Forest Service Greater Sage-grouse Monitoring Annual Report

First Year Summary: September 2015-September 2016



31 March, 2017

Background

The Greater Sage-Grouse ROD Monitoring Appendix lists major categories for monitoring during the implementation of the Amendment.

- A. Implementation (Decision) Monitoring.
- B. Habitat Monitoring.
 - Measure 1: Sagebrush Availability (percent of sagebrush per unit area)
 - Measure 2: Habitat Degradation (percent of human activity per unit area)
 - Measure 3: Energy and Mining Density (facilities and locations per unit area)
- C. Population (Demographics) Monitoring.
- D. Effectiveness Monitoring

Effectiveness Monitoring identifies various land agency contributions to habitat loss and calculates the trend of the above metrics over time by posing a series of additional questions:

- 1. Sagebrush Availability and Condition:
 - a. What is the amount of sagebrush availability (existing vegetation) and the change in the amount and condition of sagebrush at large and mid to small scales?
 - b. What is the existing amount of sagebrush on the landscape and the change in the amount relative to the pre-EuroAmerican historical, and potential, distribution of sagebrush (Biophysical potential)?
 - c. What is the trend and condition of the indicators describing sagebrush characteristics important to sage-grouse?
- 2. Habitat Degradation and Intensity of Activities:
 - a. What is the amount of habitat degradation and the change in that amount?
 - b. What is the intensity of activities and the change in the intensity?
 - c. What is the amount of reclaimed energy-related degradation and the change in the amount?
- 3. What is the population estimation of sage-grouse and the change in the population estimation?
- 4. How are the BLM and the Forest Service contributing to changes in the amount of sagebrush?
- 5. How are the BLM and the Forest Service contributing to disturbance?
- 6. Is the Amendment effective?
 - a. Is this plan meeting the sage-grouse habitat objectives?
 - b. Are sage-grouse areas within the LUP meeting, or making progress toward meeting, land health standards, including the Special Status Species/wildlife habitat standard?
 - c. Is the plan meeting the disturbance objective(s) within sage-grouse areas?
 - d. Are the sage-grouse populations within this plan boundary and within the sage-grouse areas increasing, stable, or declining?

To satisfy these monitoring requirements, Region 4, in collaboration with Regions 2 and 1, has collected required information from various sources, with particularly close cooperation with the BLM. The results follow in tabular form, referencing the above items. Additional, related information, when available, will be added within appendices to this document. This document will be updated annually and will build into a comprehensive report for the Fish and Wildlife Service review in 2020. Because of the ongoing nature of projects and modifications of GIS and other databases, all figures in this report may be revised in future versions. For comments and corrections contact John Shivik (johnashivik@fs.fed.us).

Table 1. Implementation Monitoring (Item A)

Number of authorizations (NEPA decisions) and associated conditions or restrictions (e.g., efforts to avoid, minimize, or compensatory mitigate) in PHMA and GHMA September 2015-Sept 2016.

| R4 FS Lands: | Decisions in PHMA | Number (%) with mitigations | Decisions in GHMA | Number (%) with mitigations | Decisions in IHMA | Number (%) with mitigations | Number of Decisions | Number (%) In Compliance |
|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| Ashley | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 1 | 1 (100%) |
| Beaverhead-Deerlodge | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | NA | NA | 2 | 2 (100%) |
| Boise NF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Bridger-Teton | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | NA | NA | 6 | 6 (100%) |
| Caribou-Targhee | 1 | 1 (100%) | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 (100%) |
| Dixie | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | 0 (100%) |
| Fishlake | No Data | No Data | No Data | No Data | NA | NA | No Data | No Data |
| Humbolt-Toiyabe | 1 | 1 /100% | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 1 | 1 (100%) |
| Manti-La Sal | 1 | 1 (100%) | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 1 | 1 (100%) |
| Medicine-Bow Routt* | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100 | NA | NA | 1 | 1(100%) |
| Salmon-Challis | 4 | 2 (50%) | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 6 (100%) |
| Sawtooth | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 7 (100%) |
| UWC | 3 | 1 (33%) | 1 | 0 | NA | NA | 4 | 4 (100%) |
| Total | 15 | 6 | 13 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 25 | 31 (100%) |

^{*}Includes Thunder Basin Grassland

Relevant Project Notes

Ashley

Flaming Gorge Bighorn Sheep Habitat Improvement Project: The project targets encroaching conifers, which would be beneficial to GRSG habitat, especially within ~2 miles of active leks. However, conifer reduction treatment areas are in locations that would not be considered GRSG habitat due to steep slopes and rocky/talus type terrain.

Boise

No decisions were made in sage-grouse habitats.

Bridger-Teton

Recreation Special Use Permit renewals 2016: PHMA; Outfitter-guides are authorized to only use existing permitted roads, trails, areas and assigned camps. Progressive and assigned camps comply with GYA Outfitter Policy.

Recreation Special Use Permit renewals 2015: Outfitter-guides are authorized to only use existing permitted roads, trails, areas and assigned camps. Progressive and assigned camps comply with GYA Outfitter Policy. Forest food storage regulations apply.

Skyline Wildlife Urban Interface Fuels Reduction: PHMA; Sagebrush habitat was excluded from project treatment area

Caribou-Targhee

Birch Creek Conifer Removal: 2,252 acres in IHMA; Project decision included implementation timing stipulations to prevent disturbance to breeding/nesting sage-grouse when removing trees.

Idaho Powerline project; 19.7 acres PHMA 2.04 acres GHMA; Project included implementation timing stipulations and provisions for perch deterrents if monitoring indicates they are needed in the future.

Sweeten Invasive Tree Removal 245 acres of IHMA; Project included implementation timing stipulations to avoid disturbing breeding and nesting sage-grouse.

Curlew Fence Marking: 4,512 acres in IHMA; No stipulations were required.

Dixie

No decisions made in sage-grouse habitat.

Fishlake

No Report

Humbolt-Toiyabe

Cold Springs Watershed Restoration Project: PHMA; Stream channel and meadow restoration on approximately 33.68 acres in 2016. The project was implemented in the fall instead of nesting and rearing time. Had it been implemented in the spring daily noise limitations would have been observed.

Restoration included using native seed mix to seed in behind disturbances and minimized creating new edges in the brush. The project utilized material from a weed free rock source so as to prevent new weeds from occupying the habitat. To prevent new weed infestations vehicles were washed prior to allowing them to enter the site.

Manti-La Sal

Greens Hollow Coal Lease EIS; PHMA; The decision has been signed, but the activity not permitted. When the permit is sold, elements of the GRSG-M-CML-ST-092-Standard and GRSG-M-CML-GL-093-Guideline will be incorporated.

Medicine Bow Routt Thunder Basin

The Beaver Creek Wildfire was approximately 35,000 acres in size. They had a wildlife biologist on the fire team as a resource advisor, incorporated sage-grouse habitat maps into the WFDSS database and made them available to the incident command, considered the full spectrum of sage-grouse standards and guidelines into the suppression design, avoided key habitats when locating fire camps, and used existing roads as fire breaks to protect priority habitat,

Salmon-Challis

Lee Creek Allotment Invading Conifer Removal Project; PHMA; The Lee Creek Allotment Invading Conifer Removal is a five year project to help restore the suitability and functionality of sage-grouse spring breeding and summer late-brood rearing habitat in the Lee Creek Grazing Allotment of the Salmon-Challis National Forest. Mitigations: RDFs from the EIS were incorporated into this project including RDF 3, RDF 22, RDF 24, RDF 30, RDF 50, and RDF 88. At the time of signature the Forest was under the understanding that RDFs must be considered for each project in sage grouse habitat.

Sanders Culinary Water Pipeline Special Use Permit Renewal; IHMA: Reissue a special use permit for the continued operation and maintenance of an existing culinary water transmission system consisting of a head box and approximately 0.9 miles of 2-inch pipeline with a 20 foot right-of-way. No specific stipulations or mitigations were incorporated into the permit regarding sage grouse.

Copper Basin Airstrip Special Use Permit Renewal; PHMA: Reissue a special use permit for the continued operation and maintenance of an existing airstrip. The Copper Basin landing strip is approximately 4,700 ft. by 100 ft. or 11 acres of NFS land. Maintenance of this airstrip requires painting of markers as needed, annual mowing and rolling, and fencing surrounding it. No specific stipulations or mitigations were incorporated into the permit regarding sage grouse.

Issuing Permits for Expired Outfitter and Guide Authorizations; PHMA; GHMA; IHMA: Reauthorize expired special use permits for a ten year term to eleven land based outfitters on the Salmon-Challis National Forest. No specific stipulations or mitigations were incorporated into the permit regarding sage grouse.

Salmon-Challis National Forest Invasive Plant Treatment; PHMA; GHMA; IHMA: Allows for the conduct of up to 20,000 acres of invasive plant treatment annually outside of aquatic treatments. Treatment methods include biological, herbicide, and manual and mechanical control. Project design features included: Within known or potential sage-grouse nesting/early brood-rearing habitat, any aerial herbicide application would occur after June 30.

Big Hill Insect and Disease; GHMA; Big Hill Insect and Disease Project authorizes mechanical or hand fuel treatments, commercial harvest, and prescribed burning to improve current forest resiliency to insect and disease disturbances, while promoting the retention and development of wildlife habitat and large trees including large legacy trees. The decision was modified to eliminate any project activities within GHMA habitat.

Sawtooth

Lynn Bench Juniper Encroachment CE; PHMA; No stipulations needed to juniper treatment to restore sage grouse habitat.

Pit Fence CE; IHMA; Moved fence outside of area that would affect sage –grouse.

Eight Mile CE Sufficiency Review; GHMA; Installed escape ramps and shutoff in livestock troughs.

Rock Creek Fuels and Vegetation Project; GHMA; Assessed prescribed fire impact if spread into shrubs.

Uinta Wasatch Cache

Vernon Management Area, 8,500 acres. Mechanically treat, with mastication, mature juniper in areas of less than 30 percent slope. This treatment would remove large juniper trees and no mitigation measures.

Closing unauthorized route in Monte Cristo area. No mitigation measures.

Juniper removal across 850 acres in the Pleasant Valley Springs area to benefit greater sage-grouse. Mitigation included seasonal restrictions to avoid nesting/brood rearing.

The Roughneck project is a timber harvest (stand clearcut with leave trees or a two-aged stand clearcut with reserves) project intended to harvest infested mountain pine beetle-killed lodgepole pine trees and reduce woody fuels and hazardous trees. The timber harvest project is not in sage-grouse habitat and includes harvest of mature lodgepole pine trees. No greater sage-grouse mitigation or stipulations were included.

Table 2. Habitat Monitoring and Effectiveness Monitoring (Items B and D)

Measure 1: Current (1a) and Potential (1b) Sagebrush Habitat, through time on FS Lands (1c) Availability and Capability of lands using Priority Conservation Area boundaries in ROD as they intersect with FS Lands. Data for EVT and BPS are from Landfire coverages.

| FS | PAC (FS) | BPS | EVT2012 | % of BPS | EVT2013 | % of BPS | EVT2014 | % of BPS |
|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Region | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 229,555 | 170,997 | 149,571 | 87 | 149,471 | 87 | 149,447 | 87 |
| 2 | 228,394 | 218,105 | 191,215 | 88 | 190,721 | 87 | 190,712 | 87 |
| 3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 4 | 4,810,089 | 3,182,794 | 1,878,528 | 59 | 1,871,627 | 59 | 1,871,162 | 59 |
| 5 | 417,036 | 314,180 | 239,960 | 76 | 239,746 | 76 | 239,307 | 76 |
| 6 | 66,051 | 33,675 | 15,989 | 47 | 15,989 | 47 | 15,989 | 47 |
| Total | 5,751,125 | 3,919,751 | 2,475,263 | 63 | 2,467,554 | 63 | 2,466,617 | 63 |

<u>Table 3 – Habitat Availability and Capability (Item B and D)</u>
<u>Forest-scale analysis using Landfire data.</u> R4 forests augmented with local (VCMQ) data for greater precision

| Forest Name | Forest Acres | EVT*(2012) | EVT(2013) | EVT(2014) | BPS ** | VCMQ Data` 2016 | All HMAs" | Seasonal Habitat~ |
|----------------------|--------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| Ashley | 1,378,346 | 130,150 | 130,108 | 130,124 | 244,779 | 187,703 | 225,190 | 64,753 |
| Beaverhead-Deerlodge | 2,591,721 | 305,603 | 305,520 | 305,517 | 382,709 | N/A | 396,940 | 93,517 |
| Boise | 2,203,798 | 238,976 | 186,938 | 186,395 | 428,984 | 195,586 | 78,339 | 58,310 |
| Bridger-Teton | 3,418,586 | 340,486 | 340,398 | 340,348 | 480,840 | 417,326 | 328,478 | 84,299 |
| Caribou-Targhee | 2,898,454 | 561,597 | 560,536 | 560,417 | 700,301 | 486,243 | 162,193 | 107,056 |
| Dixie | 1,631,933 | 96,692 | 96,696 | 96,689 | 403,511 | 238,829 | 184,754 | 79,779 |
| Fishlake | 1,706,097 | 192,660 | 192,623 | 192,619 | 677,380 | 303,915 | 180,316 | 78,025 |
| Humboldt-Toiyabe | 6,251,647 | 1,651,683 | 1,647,557 | 1,647,193 | 3,602,417 | 1,750,410 | 2,408,935 | 1,188,903 |
| Manti-La Sal | 1,340,213 | 138,139 | 138,094 | 137,908 | 307,599 | 131,474 | 96,617 | 29,827 |
| Medicine Bow-Routt | 2,892,491 | 619,175 | 618,338 | 618,287 | 771,665 | N/A | 579,848 | 154,414 |
| Salmon-Challis | 4,353,985 | 793,724 | 789,896 | 789,990 | 1,033,471 | 663,720 | 408,797 | 318,445 |
| Sawtooth | 2,110,414 | 517,968 | 497,507 | 497,436 | 780,513 | 582,446 | 514,145 | 250,800 |
| Uinta-Wasatch-Cache | 2,156,644 | 313,925 | 312,760 | 312,400 | 490,175 | 213,503 | 201,564 | 55,608 |

^{*}EVT Landfire Existing Vegetation Type

^{**} BPS BioPhysical Setting Historical Vegetation Landfire

[`]VCMQ Vegetation Classification Mapping and Quantitative Inventory

^{``}Habitat Management Area (Filtered by the Record of Decision HMA for Greater Sage Grouse)

[~]Preliminary Seasonal Habitat refined area using the VCMQ (Filtered by the Record of Decision Habitat Management Areas)

<u>Table 4. Habitat Degradation 2015: Broad scale estimates of the amount and percent per unit area</u> (<u>Item B, Item D</u>); Supplied by BLM Anthropogenic Disturbances Database

| Summary | Unit | PHMA and IHM | A Only (BSU) | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| | | Summary Unit Acres | Acres of Disturbance | % Disturbance |
| Range- Wide | Greater Sage-Grouse Current Range | 63,745,929 | 445,774 | 0.70% |
| S | MZ I - Great Plains | 12,240,632 | 80,690 | 0.66% |
| Management Zones | MZ II - Wyoming Basins | 16,335,606 | 142,715 | 0.87% |
| ıt Z | MZ III - Southern Great Basin | 6,981,191 | 56,196 | 0.80% |
| ner | MZ IV - Snake River Plain | 21,621,272 | 124,766 | 0.58% |
| ıger | MZ V - Northern Great Basin | 6,600,833 | 39,653 | 0.60% |
| lane | MZ VI - Columbia Basin* | 15,992,753 | 326,967 | 2.04% |
| Σ | MZ VII - Colorado Plateau | 233,248 | 4,036 | 1.73% |
| | Anthro Mountain | 1,399 | 0 | 0.00% |
| | Baker | 336,540 | 5,069 | 1.51% |
| | Bald Hills | 326,404 | 3,208 | 0.98% |
| | Belt Mountains* | 3,120,915 | 29,754 | 0.95% |
| | Carbon | 207,779 | 5,257 | 2.53% |
| | Central | 802,741 | 9,197 | 1.15% |
| | Crab Creek* | 808,111 | 9,428 | 1.17% |
| | Dakotas | 1,444,234 | 14,896 | 1.03% |
| | E Tavaputs Plateau UT* | 448,424 | 2,355 | 0.53% |
| | Eagle/S Routt CO | 101,260 | 1,985 | 1.96% |
| | E-Central ID | 3,539 | 6 | 0.18% |
| 6 | Jackson Hole WY | 44,829 | 333 | 0.74% |
| ion | Klamath OR/CA | 19,036 | 275 | 1.44% |
| Populations | Laramie WY* | 296,944 | 3,261 | 1.10% |
| obr | Meeker - White River | 13,034 | 148 | 1.14% |
| _ | Middle Park CO | 207,982 | 3,557 | 1.71% |
| | Moses Coulee* | 1,212,278 | 22,720 | 1.87% |
| | N Mono Lake CA/NV* | 1,365,216 | 9,502 | 0.70% |
| | North Park | 384,365 | 6,857 | 1.78% |
| | Northern Great Basin | 14,139,816 | 75,806 | 0.54% |
| | Northern Montana | 2,369,719 | 14,760 | 0.62% |
| | NW-Interior NV | 240,774 | 943 | 0.39% |
| | Panguitch | 344,073 | 4,040 | 1.17% |
| | Parachute Piceance Roan | 220,214 | 3,888 | 1.77% |
| | Parker Mountain-Emery | 817,287 | 6,940 | 0.85% |
| | Pine Nut NV* | 258,992 | 3,244 | 1.25% |
| | Powder River Basin | 3,201,853 | 28,101 | 0.88% |

| Summary | Unit | PHMA and IHM | A Only (BSU) | |
|---------|---|--------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| | | Summary Unit Acres | Acres of Disturbance | % Disturbance |
| | Quinn Canyon Range NV* | 1,709,353 | 5,735 | 0.34% |
| | S Mono Lake CA* | 589,467 | 13,781 | 2.34% |
| | S White River UT* | 176,723 | 4,715 | 2.67% |
| | Sawtooth ID* | 275,323 | 1,438 | 0.52% |
| | Sheeprock Mountains | 526,348 | 4,915 | 0.93% |
| | Snake, Salmon, and Beaverhead | 5,784,521 | 35,769 | 0.62% |
| | Southern Great Basin | 4,286,617 | 27,655 | 0.65% |
| | Southwest Montana | 1,356,856 | 8,116 | 0.60% |
| | Strawberry | 160,630 | 2,628 | 1.64% |
| | Warm Springs Valley NV | 58,288 | 314 | 0.54% |
| | Weiser ID* | 944,539 | 9,602 | 1.02% |
| | West Tavaputs | 50,397 | 403 | 0.80% |
| | Western Great Basin | 5,720,768 | 29,867 | 0.52% |
| | White Mountains NV/CA* | 1,278,708 | 12,108 | 0.95% |
| | Wyoming Basin | 15,573,786 | 129,798 | 0.83% |
| | Yakama Indian Nation* | 317,400 | 3,295 | 1.04% |
| | Yakima Training Center* | 524,824 | 12,218 | 2.33% |
| | Yellowstone Watershed | 5,225,039 | 22,934 | 0.44% |
| | Ashley National Forest | 114,477 | 1,007 | 0.88% |
| | Boise National Forest | 71,846 | 440 | 0.61% |
| | Bridger-Teton National Forest | 104,361 | 767 | 0.74% |
| | Caribou-Targhee National Forest | 151,620 | 1,402 | 0.92% |
| | Dixie National Forest | 193,077 | 1,048 | 0.54% |
| | Fishlake National Forest | 176,952 | 1,106 | 0.62% |
| Forests | Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest | 1,054,332 | 6,951 | 0.66% |
| For | Manti-La Sal National Forest | 97,555 | 773 | 0.79% |
| | Salmon-Challis National Forest | 401,331 | 1,287 | 0.32% |
| | Sawtooth National Forest | 314,001 | 2,800 | 0.89% |
| | Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest | 311,781 | 2,712 | 0.87% |
| | TOTAL FS REGION 4 CONTRIBUTION TO RANGE- WIDE DISTURBANCE | | 20,293 | 0.03% |

 $^{^{*}}$ Summary Units without PHMA or IHMA designations within them. The entire unit is represented in the PHMA & IHMA Only section of the table.

<u>Table 5. Habitat Degradation 2015: Broad scale estimates of activities per Unit Area (Item B, Item D)</u> Supplied by BLM Anthropogenic Disturbances Database

| Summai | ry Unit | PHMA and II | HMA Only | (BSU) | | |
|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|----------|-------|--------|---------|
| | | Summary | Point | Poly | Total | Density |
| | | Unit Acres | Count | Count | Count | (#/640 |
| _ | | | 10.000 | | 10.100 | Acres) |
| Range- Wide | Greater Sage- | 63,745,929 | 10,082 | 41 | 10,123 | 0.10 |
| wide | Grouse Current Range | | | | | |
| | MZ I - Great Plains | 12,240,632 | 0 | 4,400 | 4,400 | 0.23 |
| 2ones | MZ II - Wyoming Basins | 16,335,606 | 5 | 3,701 | 3,706 | 0.15 |
| | MZ III - Southern Great Basin | 6,981,191 | 14 | 221 | 235 | 0.02 |
| Management Zones | MZ IV - Snake River Plain | 21,621,272 | 22 | 31 | 53 | 0.00 |
| anage | MZ V - Northern Great Basin | 6,600,833 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0.00 |
| Σ | MZ VI - Columbia Basin * | 15,992,753 | 2,648 | 0 | 2,648 | 0.11 |
| | MZ VII - Colorado Plateau | 233,248 | 0 | 1,725 | 1,725 | 4.73 |
| | Anthro Mountain | 1,399 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Baker | 336,540 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Bald Hills | 326,404 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Belt Mountains* | 3,120,915 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0.00 |
| | Carbon | 207,779 | 153 | 3 | 156 | 0.48 |
| | Central | 802,741 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.00 |
| ડા | Crab Creek* | 808,111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| tior | Dakotas | 1,444,234 | 1,081 | 0 | 1,081 | 0.48 |
| Populations | E Tavaputs Plateau UT* | 448,424 | 203 | 0 | 203 | 0.29 |
| - | Eagle/S Routt CO | 101,260 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.01 |
| | E-Central ID | 3,539 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Jackson Hole WY | 44,829 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Klamath OR/CA | 19,036 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Laramie WY* | 296,944 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Meeker - White River | 13,034 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |

| Summary Unit | PHMA and II | HMA Only | (BSU) | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| | Summary Unit Acres | Point Count | Poly Count | Total Count | Density (#/640 Acres) |
| Middle Park CO | 207,982 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.01 |
| Moses Coulee* | 1,212,278 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| N Mono Lake CA/NV* | 1,365,216 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 0.01 |
| North Park | 384,365 | 310 | 0 | 310 | 0.52 |
| Northern Great Basin | 14,139,816 | 30 | 22 | 52 | 0.00 |
| Northern Montana | 2,369,719 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 0.00 |
| NW-Interior NV | 240,774 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.01 |
| Panguitch | 344,073 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.00 |
| Parachute Piceance Roan | 220,214 | 1,725 | 0 | 1,725 | 5.01 |
| Parker Mountain- Emery | 817,287 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.00 |
| Pine Nut NV* | 258,992 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.00 |
| Powder River Basin | 3,201,853 | 2,570 | 0 | 2,570 | 0.51 |
| Quinn Canyon Range NV* | 1,709,353 | 68 | 0 | 68 | 0.03 |
| S Mono Lake CA* | 589,467 | 58 | 1 | 59 | 0.06 |
| S White River UT* | 176,723 | 874 | 0 | 874 | 3.17 |
| Sawtooth ID* | 275,323 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Sheeprock Mountains | 526,348 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Snake, Salmon, and Beaverhead | 5,784,521 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.00 |
| Southern Great Basin | 4,286,617 | 59 | 8 | 67 | 0.01 |
| Southwest Montana | 1,356,856 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Strawberry | 160,630 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.01 |
| Warm Springs Valley NV | 58,288 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Weiser ID* | 944,539 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.00 |
| West Tavaputs | 50,397 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0.06 |
| Western Great Basin | 5,720,768 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.00 |
| White Mountains NV/CA* | 1,278,708 | 33 | 3 | 36 | 0.02 |
| Wyoming Basin | 15,573,786 | 3,388 | 5 | 3,393 | 0.14 |

| Summa | ry Unit | PHMA and I | HMA Only | y (BSU) | | |
|---------|---|-------------------------------|----------|---------|-------|------------------|
| | | Summary | Point | Poly | Total | Density |
| | | Unit Acres | Count | Count | Count | (#/640 Acres) |
| | Yakama Indian Nation* | 317,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Yakima Training Center* | 524,824 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Yellowstone Watershed | 5,225,039 | 731 | 0 | 731 | 0.09 |
| | Ashley National Forest | 114,477 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Boise National Forest | 71,846 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Bridger-Teton National Forest | 104,361 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0.03 |
| | Caribou-Targhee National Forest | 151,620 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Dixie National Forest | 193,077 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Fishlake National Forest | 176,952 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.01 |
| Forests | Humboldt- Toiyabe National Forest | 1,054,332 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 0.01 |
| | Manti-La Sal National Forest | 97,555 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.02 |
| | Salmon-Challis National Forest | 401,331 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Sawtooth National Forest | 314,001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Uinta-Wasatch- Cache National | 311,781 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Forest | | | | | |
| | TOTAL FS REGION 4 | | | | 25 | 0.00025 |
| | KANGEWIDE DISTU | RANGEWIDE DISTURBANCE DENSITY | | | | |

 $^{^{*}}$ Summary Units without PHMA or IHMA designations within them. The entire unit is represented in the PHMA & IHMA Only section of the table.

Table 6. Population Monitoring (Item C)

Data collected and reported by states. Contact states for additional information.

| | GRSG Ma | GRSG Male Bird Counts on Leks | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------|-------------------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | | | | |
| Colorado [#] | TBD | TBD | 2,245 | | | | |
| Idaho* | 335 | 275 | 256 | | | | |
| Idaho** | 11,897 | 13,089 | 15,844 | | | | |
| Nevada** | 8,869 | 11,907 | 12,661 | | | | |
| Montana** | 538 | 502 | 616 | | | | |
| Utah*** | 4,449 | 5,332 | 5,183 | | | | |
| Wyoming** | 20,211 | 36,233 | 42,433 | | | | |

^{*}Northwest Colorado and North Park males counted on leks

^{*}On FS lands Only

^{**}Total State Count

^{***}Sage-grouse Management Area Males

Table 7. Effectiveness Monitoring (Item D) Estimated Broad Scale Habitat Monitoring Trend (EVT) through time (derived from Table 3)

Forest Name EVT*(2012) EVT(2013) 1-Year Trend EVT(2014) 1-Year Trend

| | | Trend Totals | -83,807 | | -1,648 |
|----------------------|-----------|--------------|---------|-----------|--------|
| Uinta-Wasatch-Cache | 313,925 | 312,760 | -1,165 | 312,400 | -360 |
| Sawtooth | 517,968 | 497,507 | -20,461 | 497,436 | -71 |
| Salmon-Challis | 793,724 | 789,896 | -3,828 | 789,990 | 94 |
| Medicine Bow-Routt | 619,175 | 618,338 | -837 | 618,287 | -51 |
| Manti-La Sal | 138,139 | 138,094 | -45 | 137,908 | -186 |
| Humboldt-Toiyabe | 1,651,683 | 1,647,557 | -4,126 | 1,647,193 | -364 |
| Fishlake | 192,660 | 192,623 | -37 | 192,619 | -4 |
| Dixie | 96,692 | 96,696 | 4 | 96,689 | -7 |
| Caribou-Targhee | 561,597 | 560,536 | -1,061 | 560,417 | -119 |
| Bridger-Teton | 340,486 | 340,398 | -88 | 340,348 | -50 |
| Beaverhead Deerlodge | 305,603 | 305,520 | -83 | 305,517 | -3 |
| Boise | 238,976 | 186,938 | -52,038 | 186,395 | -543 |
| Ashley | 130,150 | 130,108 | -42 | 130,124 | 16 |

Appendices: Auxiliary Sage-Grouse Related Information

Appendix 1. Sage-Grouse Habitat Improvement (approximate acres and projects, pending revision)

Table A1-1: Fiscal Year 2015-2016 Habitat Improvements Benefiting Sage-Grouse on Forest System Lands

| FOREST UNIT | ACTIVITY | PARTNER_NAME | FUNDING AMOUNT | ACRES | MILES |
|---------------|---|--|-------------------|-------|---------|
| ASHLEY | Fence-Removal | Youth Conservation Corps, Incorporated | 1040 | 563 | 0 |
| ASHLEY | Fence-Removal | Youth Conservation Corps, Incorporated | 127000 | 208 | 2 |
| | | SUBTOTAL | 128040 | 771 | 2 |
| BOISE | Wildlife Habitat Improved | Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation | 1225 | 69 | 0 |
| BOISE | Wildlife Habitat Improved | Idaho Department of Fish and Game | 1313 | 69 | 0 |
| BOISE | Native Plant Restoration | Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation | 4028 | 88 | 0 |
| BOISE | Native Plant Restoration | US Air Force | 1600 | 88 | 0 |
| BOISE | Native Plant Restoration | Girl Scouts of America | 1000 | 88 | 0 |
| BOISE | Wildlife Habitat Improved | Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation | 12775 | 731 | 0 |
| BOISE | Wildlife Habitat Improved | Idaho Department of Fish and Game | 13687 | 731 | 0 |
| | | SUBTOTAL | 35628 | 1864 | 0 |
| BRIDGER-TETON | Trail4203 Connector Spur | Jackson Hole Wildlife Foundation | 650 | 0.37 | 0.07 |
| | Decommission NE End | | | | |
| BRIDGER-TETON | User-created spur road | | 6000 | 2875 | 0 |
| | barrier and obliteration | | | | |
| BRIDGER-TETON | Road obliteration using a disc and revegetation | | 6000 | 4350 | 0 |
| BRIDGER-TETON | Fish Cr Feed ground Rd 30400/30438 Connector | | 844 | 0.34 | 0.03912 |
| | Spur Decommissioning | | | | |
| BRIDGER-TETON | 040304-Fish Cr Feed ground | | 844 | 0.31 | 0.02088 |
| | Rd 30400/30438 Connector | | | | |
| | Spur Decommissioning | | | | |
| | (West) | | | | |
| BRIDGER-TETON | Wildlife Friendly Fence Tags | | 1500 | 0 | 2.25 |

| FOREST UNIT | ACTIVITY | PARTNER_NAME | FUNDING AMOUNT | ACRES | MILES |
|-----------------|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------|--------|-------|
| BRIDGER-TETON | Wetland Restoration | | 21100 | 1 | 0.27 |
| BRIDGER-TETON | Fence Removal | | 1500 | 40.5 | 0.2 |
| BRIDGER-TETON | Prescribed fire for RX and sage-grouse | | 25000 | 298 | 0 |
| BRIDGER-TETON | Trail4203 Connector Spur Decommission | | 750 | 0.48 | 0.09 |
| BRIDGER-TETON | Removal 1st of 2 reaches of fence | Jackson Hole Wildlife Foundation | 1650 | 245 | 0 |
| BRIDGER-TETON | Removal 2nd of 2 reaches of fence | Jackson Hole Wildlife Foundation | 1650 | 335 | 0 |
| BRIDGER-TETON | Wilderness Boundary Spur Decommission | | 600 | 47 | 0.06 |
| BRIDGER-TETON | Clark's Draw Road Improvement | | 10000 | 41 | 0 |
| BRIDGER-TETON | Mosquito Lake East Road Improvement | | 10000 | 32 | 0 |
| BRIDGER-TETON | Union Pass Road Improvement | | 22000 | 170 | 0 |
| BRIDGER-TETON | 2015 BTNF Noxious Weed Treatment in Sage Grouse Habitat | | 41000 | 793 | 0 |
| BRIDGER-TETON | 2016 BTNF Noxious Weed Treatment in Sage Grouse Habitat | | 97150 | 1873 | 0 |
| | | SUBTOTAL | 248,238 | 11,102 | 3 |
| CARIBOU-TARGHEE | Wildlife Habitat Improved | Idaho Department of Fish and Game | 750 | 253 | 0 |
| CARIBOU-TARGHEE | Wildlife Habitat Improved | Boy Scouts of America | 500 | 253 | 0 |
| CARIBOU-TARGHEE | Spring Protection | Pheasants Forever, Incorporated | 500 | 26 | 0 |
| CARIBOU-TARGHEE | Spring Protection | Fish and Wildlife Service | 6000 | 26 | 0 |
| | | SUBTOTAL | 7750 | 558 | 1 |
| DIXIE | Wildlife Habitat Improved | Utah Department of Natural Resources | 83000 | 1663 | 0 |

| FOREST UNIT | ACTIVITY | PARTNER_NAME | FUNDING AMOUNT | ACRES | MILES |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|--|-------------------|--------|-------|
| DIXIE | Wildlife Habitat Improved | Utah Department of Natural Resources | 1000 | 4513 | 0 |
| | | SUBTOTAL | 84000 | 6176 | 0 |
| HUMBOLDT-TOIYABE | Wildlife Habitat Improved | Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation | 42806 | 692 | 0 |
| HUMBOLDT-TOIYABE | Wildlife Habitat Improved | Great Basin Institute | 2714 | 6516 | 1 |
| HUMBOLDT-TOIYABE | Wildlife Habitat Improved | Nevada Department of Wildlife | 25630 | 401 | 0 |
| HUMBOLDT-TOIYABE | Thinning | Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation | 20000 | 275 | 0 |
| HUMBOLDT-TOIYABE | Wildlife Habitat Improved- Game | Nevada Department of Wildlife | 5000 | 60 | 0 |
| HUMBOLDT-TOIYABE | Wildlife Habitat Improved- Game | Great Basin Institute | 2714 | 7001 | 1 |
| | | SUBTOTAL | 98864 | 14945 | 2 |
| MANTI-LA SAL | Thinning | Utah Partners for Conservation and Development | 30300 | 1 | 0 |
| | | SUBTOTAL | 30300 | 1 | 0 |
| MEDICINE BOW-ROUTT | Invasive weed treatments | NA | 12000 | 2000 | 0 |
| MEDICINE BOW-ROUTT | Invasive weed treatments | NA | 6000 | 150 | 0 |
| | | SUBTOTAL | 18000 | 2150 | 0 |
| | | TOTALS | \$650,820 | 37,567 | 8 |

Appendix 2. Adaptive Management Annual Evaluations by state.

Colorado

1. Soft Triggers

Soft Triggers are evaluated as part of decisions, "soft triggers for restrictive management actions would include evaluation of the effectiveness of the minimization, mitigation, and location of permitted activities in the context of the PAC."

--State reports indicated that values did not exceed trigger thresholds.

2. Disturbance Cap Triggers

In Northwest Colorado, the disturbance cap trigger would be defined as habitat loss and/or degradation measured as the 3 percent disturbance cap in PHMA calculated by biologically significant unit (Colorado populations) and proposed project analysis area (Colorado MZ).

--Percent disturbance in the Colorado Plateau Management Zone is 1.73%, thus the area is far under the trigger threshold.

3. Hard Triggers

The population hard trigger for Northwest Colorado is 1,575 counted males, and for North Park is 670 counted males.

The habitat loss threshold is determined by 30 percent cumulative loss of PHMA, measured independently in Northwest Colorado and North Park. North Park - Approx. 1400 males on leks, total Population over 5,000. **Values did not exceed trigger thresholds.** Northwest CO - Approx. 4,200 males on leks, low trigger is 1,550 males. Total population wasn't reported but is high and increasing. **Values did not exceed trigger thresholds.**

Idaho

1. Population Triggers.

Idaho Department of Fish and Game made the determination that **Values did not exceed trigger thresholds.**

2 Habitat Triggers.

TBD

Montana

TBD

<u>Nevada</u>

TBD

Utah

The Interagency Team for Utah met on 20 December, 2016 and 13 January, 2017 to evaluate sage-grouse population data.

1. Population Triggers

The Hard and Soft Triggers were evaluated for 12 populations in Utah. Most of the populations were well below trigger thresholds, although data indicate that the Sheep Rocks population has surpassed the set trigger thresholds. Specifically, for the hard trigger of "Lambda of less than 1 in 8 years of a 10 year window, based on all leks within the PHMA," the lambda values for the Sheep Rocks population were less than 1, 8 times in the last 10 years:

| Year | Lambda |
|-------|--------|
| 2007 | 0.73 |
| 2008 | 0.41 |
| 2009 | 0.80 |
| 2010 | 1.83 |
| 2011: | 0.33 |
| 2012 | 1.46 |
| 2013 | 0.68 |
| 2014 | 0.96 |
| 2015 | 0.81 |
| 2016 | 0.86 |
| | |

2. Habitat Triggers

After initial analyses, it was determined that none of the areas of habitat in PHMA had approached habitat trigger points. **Values did not exceed trigger thresholds.**

Wyoming

TBD

Appendix 3 Fire Management in Sage-Grouse Habitats

Fire Management Review of Fire Management Guidance Direction:

Regional fire managers participated in an After Action Review (AAR) of the Fire Management Guidance develop to protect or enhance Greater Sage Grouse habitat. The AAR occurred on Tuesday, November 8 as a topic item associated with the Intermountain Regional Fire Management Team meeting held in Jackson WY.

- The overall consensus was that things went very well.
- Several Forest FMO's remarked that the guidelines largely described the actions we typically have already taken for fire suppression in sage grouse habitats but it's good to have it in writing now.
- Nothing that we did was new practice or new perspective.
- We are closely aligned with our partners on appropriate management to protect habitats and the direction supports that close alignment.
- While the sage grouse issue is a bigger issue for BLM due to the amount of habitat they manage we are frequently engaged in good conversations with them about protecting habitat.
- There was much more prepositioning of smokejumper assets in southern and central Utah to be responsive to needs in sage grouse habitat, and that's been a positive change.
- We had a comment that the FWS habitat map in WFDSS has errors on the Caribou Targhee where the maps do not align with forest plan maps.

Fire and Invasive Species Assessment (FS-FIAT)

The purpose of these assessments is designed to assess threats to greater sage-grouse and its habitat from Wildfire, Invasive Species, and Conifer Encroachment on each Forest in the Great Basin. The Intermountain Region was tasked to complete the assessment for each National Forest and Grassland within the Region in cooperation with Forest Staff.

FIAT Completion Status

FY15: Completed: Sawtooth NF

FY16: Completed: Salmon Challis NF, Humboldt-Toiyabe NF and Boise NF

Started and to be delivered in FY17: Caribou-Targhee NF, Ashley NF, Dixie NF and Uinta-

Wasatch-Cache NF

FY 17: Planned: Fishlake NF, Manti-Lasal NF, Bridger-Teton NF in addition the Beaverhead-Deerlodge

NF in R1 and Medicine Bow-Routt/Thunderbasin Grasslands in R2 are planned

GRSG Fuels Project NEPA Planning

Starting in 2016 the Intermountain Region initiated a program to increase fuels management projects within Sage-Grouse habitat. NEPA analysis is being conducted for projects that intersect with GRSG habitat and urban interface areas.

FY16: Completed: Sawtooth NF: Lynn Bench Project, Humboldt-Toiyabe NF Landscape Fuels assessment

Started and to be delivered in FY17: Caribou-Targhee: Sheep Creek

FY17: Planned: Ashley NF: Flaming Gorge/Vernal RD GRSG Habitat Improvements (8,000 ac treatments). Efforts begun for Salmon-Challis NF, Manti-Lasal NF, Fishlake NF, and Bridger-Teton NF GRSG treatments NEPA.

2016 Fire and Fuels Activities

Though the 2016 fire season was relatively slow across the country and in the Intermountain Region there was still a significant amount of both wildland fire and fuels management activities that occurred in GRSG habitat on national forest lands. Table A3-1 displays the total acres of GRSG habitat protected by each National Forest in the region, plus the number of fire and fuels projected along with the acres burned by wildfire and acres treated through fuels projects.

Table A3-1. Region 1, 2, and 4, National Forest Fire Information for Sage-Grouse Habitat.

| R4 NFs | Acres | # of Fires | Acres |
|----------------------|--------------|------------|-----------|
| | Protected in | in GRSG | Burned in |
| | GRSG | habitat | GRSG |
| | Habitat* | | habitat |
| Ashley | 242,600 | 5 | 31.5 |
| Boise NF | 131,500 | 0 | 0 |
| Beaverhead-Deerlodge | 410,900 | 1 | 24 |
| Bridger-Teton | 349,300 | 13 | 16.9 |
| Caribou-Targhee | 123,400 | 1 | 5 |
| Dixie | 246,100 | 6 | 1.65 |
| Fishlake | 133,400 | 0 | 0 |
| Humboldt-Toiyabe | 1,784,400 | 1 | 3 |
| Manti-La Sal | 109,600 | 5 | 543 |
| MBRTB | 593,233 | 4 | 2121 |
| Salmon-Challis | 439,400 | 2 | 0.2 |
| Sawtooth | 571,600 | 7 | 147 |
| UWC | 378,800 | 40 | 3,501 |
| Total | 5,514,233 | 85 | 6,394 |

^{*}Amount of habitat estimated and reported in Record of Decisions