

**INTERAGENCY MOBILE SHOWER FACILITIES PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (1276-F)
(REFERENCE: FAR 42.15)**

Contractor: _____		Contract No: _____	
Unit No: _____		Incident Name: _____	
		Inclusive Dates: _____	
<p>Ratings: Summarize Contractor performance and circle in the column on the right the number that corresponds to the performance rating for each rating category. The rating official must provide comments supporting each numerical rating assigned.</p>			
Quality of Services	Comments:		
			1
			2
			3
			4
			5
Equipment	Comments:		
			1
			2
			3
			4
			5
Schedule: Timeliness of Performance	Comments:		
			1
			2
			3
			4
			5
Business Relations: Working With Government and Other Contractors	Comments:		
			1
			2
			3
			4
			5

Form 1276-F (8/12)

**INTERAGENCY MOBILE SHOWER FACILITIES PERFORMANCE EVALUATION –
(CONTINUATION SHEET 1276-G)**

Contractor: _____		Contract No: _____	
Unit No: _____		Incident Name: _____	
		Inclusive Dates: _____	
Management of Key Personnel	Comments:		
			1
			2
			3
			4
			5
Key Personnel Performance: Name:		Comments:	
Name:		Comments:	
Name:		Comments:	
Given the choice, would you select this Contractor again? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Explain.			
Rating Official Name/Title: _____			
Signature: _____		Phone Number: _____	
Date: _____		E-Mail Address: _____	
Contractor Representative Name/Title: _____			
Signature: _____		Phone Number: _____	
Date: _____			

Form 1276-G (8/12)

Any Contractor comments regarding this performance evaluation must be submitted, in writing, to the Contracting Officer within 30 days of receipt by the Contractor's Representative.

Quality of Services and Equipment

4 – Very Good: Meets all contract requirements and exceeds some requirements to the Government's benefit. Non-conformance's regarding equipment and/or personnel are none or few and minor and do not impact achievement of successful performance. Contractor's corrective actions are effective.

3 – Satisfactory: Meets all contract requirements. Non-conformance's with equipment and/or personnel require minor corrective action to ensure achievement of successful performance. Contractor's corrective actions are satisfactory.

2 – Marginal: Does not meet some contract requirements. Non-conformance's with equipment and/or personnel require major corrective action and/or Agency resources to ensure satisfactory performance. Contractor's corrective actions are only marginally effective and/or not fully implemented.

1 – Unsatisfactory: Does not meet most contract requirements. Non-conformance's with equipment and/or personnel are serious and are compromising the achievement of successful performance, despite Contractor's corrective actions and/or the use of Agency resources. Recovery and achievement of satisfactory performance is unlikely. Contractor's corrective actions are ineffective.

Schedule: Timeliness of Performance

4 – Very Good: Meets all schedules and timeframes. There are no delays or only minor delays that do not impact achievement of successful performance. Contractor's corrective actions are effective.

3 – Satisfactory: Meets all schedules and timeframes. There are some delays that require minor corrective action to ensure continued successful performance. Contractor's corrective actions are satisfactory.

2 – Marginal: Some schedules and timeframes are not met. Delays require major corrective action and/or Agency resources to ensure satisfactory performance. Contractor's corrective actions are only marginally effective and/or not fully implemented.

1 – Unsatisfactory: Does not meet most contract requirements and most schedules and timeframes are not met. Delays are serious and are compromising the achievement of satisfactory performance, despite Contractor's corrective actions and/or the use of Agency resources. Recovery and achievement of satisfactory performance is unlikely. Contractor's corrective actions are ineffective.

Business Relations and Management of Key Personnel

4 – Very Good: Responses to inquiries, technical, service, administrative issues are effective and responsive. There are no issues in working with the Government and/or other Contractors. If any, problems are few and minor and do not impact successful performance.

3 – Satisfactory: Meets all contract requirements. Responses to inquiries, technical, service, administrative issues are usually effective and responsive. There are some minor issues that require minor corrective action. Contractor's corrective actions are satisfactory.

2 – Marginal: Does not meet some contract requirements. Responses to inquiries, technical, service, administrative issues are only marginally effective and responsive. Inability to work with Government and/or other Contractors requires major Agency resources to resolve. Contractor's corrective actions are only marginally effective and/or not fully implemented.

1 – Unsatisfactory: Responses to inquiries, technical, service, administrative issues are not effective or responsive. Unable to work with Government or other Contractors. Recovery is unlikely and Contractor's corrective actions are ineffective.

All Rating Factors

5 – Exceptional: The contractor has demonstrated an Exceptional performance level that was significantly in excess of anticipated achievements and is commendable as an example for others, so that it justifies adding a point to the score. Meets all contract requirements and exceeds many requirements to the Government's benefit. When needed, Contractor's corrective actions are highly effective. It is expected that this rating will be used in those RARE circumstances where contractor performance clearly exceeds the performance levels described as "Very Good".