Date: BLM/USFS: Region/State: Project: Who: Agency person Community member Contractor Other:
FY06 PROGRAMMATIC MONITORING: The Role of Local Communities in Development of Stewardship Contracting Agreements or Contract Plans
<u>Participants</u> : When Congress authorized the Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management to use stewardship contracting, it also required that the agencies provide an annual report on the role of local communities in the development of agreements or contract plans under that authority. In the preparation of this report, a stratified random sample among existing stewardship contracting projects is surveyed each year, and the stewardship contracting project you are involved in was one of those selected for review. We anticipate that your involvement in this telephone survey/interview will take no longer than 30-minutes.
A sample survey form has been included with this e-mail, so that you may have the opportunity to review the questions prior to the telephone survey/interview. Plans are to conduct the telephone surveys/interviews from August 2006 – November 2006.
The Pinchot Institute for Conservation is coordinating this study under contract with the Forest Service. Your name will not be associated with the interviewer's notes from the phone survey and the names of those interviewed will not be retained. The information collected in this interview will be analyzed and used by both the Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management to inform the agencies' yearly report to Congress on stewardship contracting implementation. The survey responses will not be shared with other organizations inside and outside the government but the results of the analysis of the survey responses, through its inclusion in the FS and BLM report to Congress, will be available for use by organizations both inside and outside the government.
Participating in the interview is completely voluntary. Your participation assumes your understanding and acceptance of this voluntary agreement. Your decision to participate or not will not affect your current or future relations with the Forest Service, Bureau of Land Management, the Pinchot Institute for Conservation or(insert local/regional subcontractor name here).

On behalf of the Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management, we would like to thank you in advance for your thoughtful and candid responses to the following questions related to stewardship contracting in your community.

You are/have been involved in the		stewardship contracting project.			
la. If	someone asked you to explain stewa	rdship contract	ing, what would you say?		
lb. H	as your view of stewardship contract	ing changed sin	nce you became involved in this	s project	
If	yes, how has it changed?				
I wan	t to ask about community involve	ement in vour	project		
	•	_ ` `	•		
	ho initiated the project? Agency	∐ Non-age	ncy		
3. W	ho has been involved?				
		Check all that	What is the scale of involved		
		apply.	What is the scale of involvement (local, regional, national)?		
	USDA Forest Service		(local, legional, national).		
	Bureau of Land Management				
	Other Federal agencies				
	Tribal interests				
	State agencies				
	Local governmental interests				
	Community business interests				
	Environmental conservation groups				
	Fire interests/organizations				
	Adjacent landowners/residents				
	Recreation interests/users				
	Educators/educational interests				
	Wildlife and fisheries groups				
	Right to access groups				
	Project contractors		_		
	Other (Please specify)				
	.,				
ł. Wh	at is/was the role of the local comm	unity in the	stewardship contracting pro	oject?	
			Check all that apply.		
	Planning and design.		Спеск ан инас арріу.		
	Development of alternatives.				
	Comments and recommendations.				
	Public outreach and education				
	NEPA analysis.				
	Implementation.				
	Provision of technical information	tion.			
	Becoming informed.				
	Providing and/or acquiring fu	nding.			
	Monitoring.				
	Representation of concerned/s	attected local			
	Other.				

project? For each affirmative answer, probe for		_	ecifically to get people involved in th
Meetings Direct mail Personal contacts Field tours	5		Presentations to existing community groups Presentations to organizations Other (Please describe)
6. Is/Was community involvement in effort? Yes	the	stewardsh	ip contracting project a collaborative
Please explain your answer. Probes: Do/Did multiple interests meet? Is/Wa	is there discuss	sion among mul	tiple interests?
7a. How did you personally get involve	d?		
7b. Why did you personally get involve	d?		
8. Are there individuals or interests yo stewardship contracting project that			should have been involved in the
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know			
8b. If yes, who?			
	Check all	that apply.	Why should they be involved? See list below for options -Include all that apply.
USDA Forest Service			
Bureau of Land Management			
Other Federal agencies			
Tribal interests			
State agencies			
Local government interests			
Community business interests			
Environmental/conservation groups			
Fire interests/organizations			
Adjacent landowners and residents			
Recreation interests/users			
Educators/educational interests			
Wildlife and fisheries groups			
Right to access groups			
Project contractors			
Other			
(a) To avoid misunderstanding.(b) Because they are users of the area(c) To avoid appeals and/or litigation(d) Because they are a constraint to implementation		(f) (g) (h)	share
(e) A need to be inclusive		(i)	
(c) A field to be illefasive		(-)	c care (product to product)

		Received (yes/no)	From	whom?	For what?
	Financial	<u> </u>			
	Training				
	In-kind time, services,				
	facilities				
	Technical				
	Other (Please describe)				
	None.				
What	t have been the local benefits of	the stewards	hip conti		oroject? all that apply
	Economic				
	More local jobs				Ħ
	More on-the-ground work accomplish	hed by local contractors			
	Greater opportunity to use local contr				
	Increased collaboration				
	Improved efficiency and effects	iveness			
	Improved public trust (among/ between whom?)				
	Specific project outcomes (e.g., wildlife benefits, watershed protection,				
	specific project outcomes (e.g.,)	wildlife benefits, watershed j	brotection,		
	fuel reduction)	wildlife benefits, watershed j	brotection,		
		wildlife benefits, watershed j	brotection,		
	fuel reduction)				
	fuel reduction) Other (Please describe) t are/have been the benefits of gracting project.	community involver	nent in th		stewardsh
	fuel reduction) Other (Please describe) t are/have been the benefits of gracting project. Broader understanding/consider	community involver	nent in th		
	fuel reduction) Other (Please describe) t are/have been the benefits of cracting project. Broader understanding/considering Improved trust (among/between whether)	eration of diverse inte	nent in th		
	fuel reduction) Other (Please describe) t are/have been the benefits of cracting project. Broader understanding/considering Improved trust (among/between well-increased opportunity for public increased opportunity in the increased opportunity in the increased opportunity in the incre	eration of diverse inte	nent in th		
	fuel reduction) Other (Please describe) t are/have been the benefits of cracting project. Broader understanding/consided Improved trust (among/between will Increased opportunity for public Improved sense of purpose and	eration of diverse inte	nent in th		
	fuel reduction) Other (Please describe) t are/have been the benefits of cracting project. Broader understanding/considering Improved trust (among/between well-increased opportunity for public increased opportunity in the increased opportunity in the increased opportunity in the incre	eration of diverse inte	nent in th		
How	fuel reduction) Other (Please describe) t are/have been the benefits of gracting project. Broader understanding/consided Improved trust (among/between will Improved sense of purpose and Increased support for the agence Other (Please describe) widely supported do you believe munity? Widely supported Somewhat supported	eration of diverse inte	nent in the rests	Check :	all that appl
How	fuel reduction) Other (Please describe) t are/have been the benefits of gracting project. Broader understanding/consider Improved trust (among/between well-increased opportunity for public Improved sense of purpose and Increased support for the agency Other (Please describe) widely supported do you believe munity? Widely supported Somewhat supported Indifferent	eration of diverse inte	nent in the rests	Check :	all that apply
How	fuel reduction) Other (Please describe) t are/have been the benefits of gracting project. Broader understanding/consided Improved trust (among/between will Improved sense of purpose and Increased support for the agence Other (Please describe) widely supported do you believe munity? Widely supported Somewhat supported	eration of diverse inte	nent in the rests	Check :	all that apply

13.	How widely supported do you believe this stewardship contracting project is/was in the agency [Forest Service and/or BLM]?
	 Widely supported Somewhat supported Indifferent Generally unaware Opposed I don't know
14.	Are there any lessons that you learned about community involvement through this project that you would like to share?
15.	Based on your experience in this project, would you participate in another stewardship contracting project? Yes No Please explain.
16.	Are there any additional comments you want to make about either stewardship contracting generally or your personal experience with it?

BURDEN AND NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENTS

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0596-0201. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, and marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at 202-720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call (800) 975-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.