Reliability of Data Used for Conducting Watershed Condition Assessments at a Landscape Scale



Peter Eldred USDA Forest Service Region 6

Problems associated with study area

- + Merging multiple agencies
- + Merging Oregon and Washington with California
- No data standards across ownerships and sometimes not within agencies
- + Generally less information on private land



Vegetation

Baseline vegetation data

Interagency Vegetation Mapping Project (IVMP)

CalVeg



Change vegetation data

Interagency Vegetation Mapping Project (IVMP)





Vegetation accuracy assessment



- 9 vegetation
 pixels to each
 sample point
- 100 sample points each for riparian (100 meter buffer) and upslope
- + 14 watersheds



Vegetation accuracy assessment results

Classes used:

Riparian : < 20 inches, > 20 inches Upslope : < 10 inches, > 10 inches

	Riparian	Upslope	
	% agree	% agree	
Mean	64.5	71.8	
Range	49.3 - 97.3	56.8 - 86.3	



Road information

Oregon and Washington

+ Used the BLM GIS ground transportation layer with Forest Service data inserted in

California

- + Data put together by the Forest Service Pacific Southwest Region Remote Sensing Lab
- + Collection of Forest Service data joined with digital line graphs (DLGs) from U.S. Geological Survey

Road data concerns

- + Change in road data not fully represented on federal lands
- + No information on change in road data on non-federal lands
- + Suspected that not all roads were mapped, especially on non-federal lands
- + Updating by unit varies

Digital orthoguad road evaluation



Time 2 (2000)

- Pairs of DOQs, one from time1 (1994), one from time 2 (2000), for 40 watersheds
- + Added Road on time 1, not in existing GIS layer.
- + New Road on time 2, but not on time 1

Limitations of DOQ evaluation

- + Definition of a road
- + Effect of canopy cover





DOQ road evaluation results

Existing Road Layer



Missing roads

Federal GIS layers are missing 10 - 15% of the "roads." private lands **BLM** lands Existing Missing road layer roads

Federal GIS layers are missing 37% of the roads on non-federal lands.



Stream information

Oregon and Washington

- + Used preliminary data from the R6 national hydrography dataset
- + Best available data from units was produced by using a wide range of methods and densities

California

- Best available data from Forest Service units were pieced together by the Forest Service Pacific Southwest Region Remote Sensing lab
- Digital line graphs were used to fill gaps in the stream layer

Stream density problems





Upper Trail Creek

Undensified Densified

Stream miles	76	138
Road crossings	168	314
Riparian SQ miles	4.6	8.2
(50 Meter Buffer)		

Problems resulting from spatial layers

- + Could not confidently map riparian areas
- + Could not map riparian reserves
- Road density parameters unreliable on non-federal land
- + Road change not fully represented by the data
- + Vegetation 65% accurate



Analysis adjustments

- + Correlated model results with expert opinions of watershed condition.
- + Considered stream mapping intensities when building province models

Conclusion

+ Building and creating better GIS datasets across agency boundaries is everyone's challenge !