

Blue Mountains (BM) Variant Overview of the Forest Vegetation Simulator

April 2023



Ponderosa pine stand, Umatilla National Forest (David Powell, FS-R6)

Blue Mountains (BM) Variant Overview of the Forest Vegetation Simulator

Authors and Contributors:

The FVS staff has maintained model documentation for this variant in the form of a variant overview since its release in 1986. The original author was Ralph Johnson. In 2008, the previous document was replaced with this updated variant overview. Gary Dixon, Christopher Dixon, Robert Havis, Chad Keyser, Stephanie Rebain, Erin Smith-Mateja, and Don Vandendriesche were involved with this major update. Chad Keyser cross-checked information contained in this variant overview with the FVS source code. In 2009, Gary Dixon expanded the species list and made significant updates to this variant overview.

FVS Staff. 2008 (revised April 3, 2023). Blue Mountains (BM) Variant Overview – Forest Vegetation Simulator. Internal Rep. Fort Collins, CO: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Management Service Center. 60p.

Table of Contents

Authors and Contributors:	ii
1.0 Introduction	1
2.0 Geographic Range	2
3.0 Control Variables	3
3.1 Location Codes	3
3.2 Species Codes	3
3.3 Habitat Type, Plant Association, and Ecological Unit Codes	4
3.4 Site Index	4
3.5 Maximum Density	5
4.0 Growth Relationships	7
4.1 Height-Diameter Relationships	7
4.2 Bark Ratio Relationships	9
4.3 Crown Ratio Relationships	11
4.3.1 Crown Ratio Dubbing	11
4.3.2 Crown Ratio Change	14
4.3.3 Crown Ratio for Newly Established Trees	14
4.4 Crown Width Relationships	14
4.5 Crown Competition Factor	17
4.6 Small Tree Growth Relationships	18
4.6.1 Small Tree Height Growth	19
4.6.2 Small Tree Diameter Growth	22
4.7 Large Tree Growth Relationships	23
4.7.1 Large Tree Diameter Growth	23
4.7.2 Large Tree Height Growth	29
5.0 Mortality Model	36
6.0 Regeneration	39
7.0 Volume	42
8.0 Fire and Fuels Extension (FFE-FVS)	44
9.0 Insect and Disease Extensions	45
10.0 Literature Cited	46
11.0 Appendices	50

11.1 Appendix A. Distribution of Data Samples	50
11.2 Appendix B. Plant Association Codes	52

Quick Guide to Default Settings

Parameter or Attribute	Default Setting			
Number of Projection Cycles	1 (10 if using FVS GUI)			
Projection Cycle Length	10 years			
Location Code (National Forest)	616 – Wallowa-Whitn	nan		
Plant Association Code	79 (CWG113 ABGR/CA	ARU-BLUE)		
Slope	5 percent			
Aspect	0 (no meaningful aspe	ect)		
Elevation	45 (4500 feet)			
Latitude / Longitude	Latitude	Longitude		
All location codes	45	118		
Site Species	Plant Association Cod	e specific		
Site Index	Plant Association Code specific			
Maximum Stand Density Index	Plant Association Code specific			
Maximum Basal Area	Based on maximum stand density index			
Volume Equations	National Volume Estimator Library			
Merchantable Cubic Foot Volume Specificatio	ns:			
Minimum DBH / Top Diameter	LP	All Other Species		
All location codes	6.0 / 4.5 inches	7.0 / 4.5 inches		
Stump Height	1.0 foot	1.0 foot		
Merchantable Board Foot Volume Specification	ons:			
Minimum DBH / Top Diameter	LP	All Other Species		
All location codes	6.0 / 4.5 inches	7.0 / 4.5 inches		
Stump Height	1.0 foot	1.0 foot		
Sampling Design:				
Large Trees (variable radius plot)	40 BAF			
Small Trees (fixed radius plot)	1/300 th Acre			
Breakpoint DBH 5.0 inches				

1.0 Introduction

The Forest Vegetation Simulator (FVS) is an individual tree, distance independent growth and yield model with linkable modules called extensions, which simulate various insect and pathogen impacts, fire effects, fuel loading, snag dynamics, and development of understory tree vegetation. FVS can simulate a wide variety of forest types, stand structures, and pure or mixed species stands.

New "variants" of the FVS model are created by imbedding new tree growth, mortality, and volume equations for a particular geographic area into the FVS framework. Geographic variants of FVS have been developed for most of the forested lands in the United States.

The Blue Mountains (BM) variant was developed in 1986. It covers the northeast quarter of Oregon, roughly bounded on the west by U.S. Highway 97 from Bend to Biggs and on the south by U.S. Highway 20 from Bend to Ontario, and includes a small portion of southeast Washington, roughly surrounded by U.S. Highway 12 from Walla Walla to Lewiston, Idaho. Data used in the BM variant came from forest inventories gathered by the Forest Service, and tree nutrition studies. Equations for western white pine came from those developed for the South Central Oregon and Northeastern California (SO) variant; equations used for mountain hemlock are from the North Idaho (NI) variant.

Since the variant's development in 1986, many of the functions have been adjusted and improved as more data has become available, and as model technology has advanced. In 2009 this variant was expanded from its 10 original species to 18 species. Surrogate equations from other variants were used for these additional 8 species. Equations for western juniper, limber pine, and quaking aspen came from the Utah variant; whitebark pine from the Tetons variant; and Pacific yew, Alaska cedar, black cottonwood, and other hardwood from the West Cascades variant. In addition, the other softwood category was modified to use the same equations as ponderosa pine.

To fully understand how to use this variant, users should also consult the following publication:

• Essential FVS: A User's Guide to the Forest Vegetation Simulator (Dixon 2002)

This publication can be downloaded from the Forest Management Service Center (FMSC), Forest Service website. Other FVS publications may be needed if one is using an extension that simulates the effects of fire, insects, or diseases.

2.0 Geographic Range

The BM variant was fit to data representing forest types in northeastern Oregon and southeastern Washington. Data used in initial model development came from forest inventories on the Malheur, Ochoco, Umatilla, and Wallowa-Whitman National Forests and tree nutrition studies. Distribution of data samples for species fit from this data are shown in Appendix A.

The BM variant covers forest types in northeastern Oregon and the southeastern corner of Washington. The suggested geographic range of use for the BM variant is shown in figure 2.0.1.



Figure 2.0.1 Suggested geographic range of use for the BM variant.

3.0 Control Variables

FVS users need to specify certain variables used by the BM variant to control a simulation. These are entered in parameter fields on various FVS keywords available in the FVS interface or they are read from an FVS input database using the Database Extension.

3.1 Location Codes

The location code is a 3- or 4-digit code where, in general, the first digit of the code represents the Forest Service Region Number, and the last two digits represent the Forest Number within that region. In some cases, a location code beginning with a "7" or "8" is used to indicate an administrative boundary that doesn't use a Forest Service Region number (for example, other federal agencies, state agencies, or other lands).

If the location code is missing or incorrect in the BM variant, a default forest code of 616 (Wallowa – Whitman) will be used. Location codes recognized in the BM variant are shown in table 3.1.1.

Location Code	Location	
604	Malheur National Forest	
607	Ochoco National Forest	
614	Umatilla National Forest	
616	Wallowa – Whitman National Forest	
619	Whitman National Forest (mapped to 616)	
8117	Umatilla Reservation (mapped to 614)	

Table 3.1.1 Location codes used in the BM variant.

3.2 Species Codes

The BM variant recognizes 16 species, plus two other composite species categories. You may use FVS species codes, Forest Inventory and Analysis (FIA) species codes, or USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service PLANTS symbols to represent these species in FVS input data. Any valid western species code identifying species not recognized by the variant will be mapped to a similar species in the variant. The species mapping crosswalk is available on the FVS website variant documentation webpage. Any non-valid species code will default to the "other hardwood" category.

Either the FVS sequence number or species code must be used to specify a species in FVS keywords and Event Monitor functions. FIA codes or PLANTS symbols are only recognized during data input and may not be used in FVS keywords. Table 3.2.1 shows the complete list of species codes recognized by the BM variant.

Species	Species	FIA	PLANTS		
Number	Code	Code	Symbol	Scientific Name ¹	Common Name ¹
1	WP	119	PIMO3	Pinus monticola	western white pine
2	WL	073	LAOC	Larix occidentalis	western larch
3	DF	202	PSME	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas-fir
4	GF	017	ABGR	Abies grandis	grand fir
5	MH	264	TSME	Tsuga mertensiana	mountain hemlock
6	WJ	064	JUOC	Juniperus occidentalis	western juniper
7	LP	108	PICO	Pinus contorta	lodgepole pine
8	ES	093	PIEN	Picea engelmannii	Engelmann spruce
9	AF	019	ABLA	Abies lasiocarpa	subalpine fir
10	PP	122	PIPO	Pinus ponderosa	ponderosa pine
11	WB	101	PIAL	Pinus albicaulis	whitebark pine
12	LM	113	PIFL2	Pinus flexilis	limber pine
13	PY	231	TABR2	Taxus brevifolia	Pacific yew
14	YC	042	CANO9	Callitropsis nootkatensis	Alaska cedar
15	AS	746	POTR5	Populus tremuloides	quaking aspen
				Populus balsamifera ssp.	
16	CW	747	POBAT	trichocarpa	black cottonwood
17	OS	299	2TN		other softwood ²
18	ОН	998	2TB		other hardwood ²

Table 3.2.1 Species codes recognized by the BM variant.

¹Set based on the USDA Forest Service NRM TAXA lists and the USDA Plants database. ²Other categories use FIA codes and NRM TAXA codes that best match the other category.

3.3 Habitat Type, Plant Association, and Ecological Unit Codes

Plant association codes recognized in the BM variant are shown in Appendix B. If an incorrect plant association code is entered or no code is entered FVS will use the default plant association code, which is 79 (CWG113 ABGR/CARU-BLUE). Plant association codes are used to set default site information such as site species, site indices, and maximum stand density indices as well as modeling snag dynamics in FFE-FVS. The site species, site index and maximum stand density indices can be reset via FVS keywords. Users may enter the plant association code or the plant association FVS sequence number on the STDINFO keyword, when entering stand information from a database, or when using the SETSITE keyword without the PARMS option. If using the PARMS option with the SETSITE keyword, users must use the FVS sequence number for the plant association.

3.4 Site Index

Site index is used in some of the growth equations for the BM variant. Users should always use the same site curves that FVS uses, which are shown in table 3.4.1. If site index is available, a

single site index for the whole stand can be entered, a site index for each individual species in the stand can be entered, or a combination of these can be entered.

Species Code	Reference	BHA or TTA1	Base Age
WP	Brickell, J.E. (1970)	TTA	50
WL	Cochran, P.H. (1985)	BHA	50
DF	Cochran, P.H. (1979a)	BHA	50
GF	Cochran, P.H. (1979b)	BHA	50
MH	Means unpublished (1986) ²	BHA	100
LP	Dahms, Walter (1964)	TTA	50
ES	Alexander (1967)	BHA	100
AF	Demars, D.J. et. al. (1970)	BHA	100
PP, OS	Barrett, J.W. (1978)	BHA	100
WJ, WB, LM	Alexander, Tackle, and Dahms (1967)	TTA	100
PY, YC, CW, OH	Curtis, R.O., et. al. (1974)	BHA	100
AS	Edminster, Mowrer, and Shepperd (1985)	BHA	80

Table 3.4.1 Site index reference curves for species in the BM variant.

¹ Equation is based on total tree age (TTA) or breast height age (BHA)

² The source equation is in metric units; site index values for MH are assumed to be in meters.

If site index is missing or incorrect, the default site species and site index are determined by plant association codes found in Appendix B. If the plant association code is missing or incorrect, the site species is set to grand fir with a default site index set to 63.

Site indices for species not assigned a site index are determined based on the site index of the site species (height at base age) with an adjustment for the reference age differences between the site species and the target species.

3.5 Maximum Density

Maximum stand density index (SDI) and maximum basal area (BA) are important variables in determining density related mortality and crown ratio change. Maximum basal area is a stand level metric that can be set using the BAMAX or SETSITE keywords. If not set by the user, a default value is calculated from maximum stand SDI each projection cycle. Maximum stand density index can be set for each species using the SDIMAX or SETSITE keywords. If not set by the user by the user, a default value is assigned as discussed below.

The default maximum SDI is set based on a user-specified, or default, plant association code or a user specified basal area maximum. If a user specified basal area maximum is present, the maximum SDI for all species is computed using equation {3.5.1}; otherwise, the SDI maximum for a species is assigned from the SDI maximum for that species associated with the plant association code shown in Appendix B. If a species SDI maximum is unknown for a given plant association code, then it is assigned the SDI maximum for the site species associated with the plant association code. SDI maximums were set based on growth basal area (GBA) analysis developed by Hall (1983), an analysis of Current Vegetation Survey (CVS) plots in USFS Region 6 by Crookston (2008) or an analysis performed by Powell (2009). Some SDI maximums associated with plant associations are unreasonably large, so SDI maximums are capped at 850. Maximum stand density index at the stand level is a weighted average, by basal area, of the individual species SDI maximums.

{3.5.1} *SDIMAX*^{*i*} = *BAMAX* / (0.5454154 * *SDIU*)

SDIMAX _i	is the species-specific SDI maximum
BAMAX	is the user-specified stand basal area maximum
SDIU	is the proportion of theoretical maximum density at which the stand reaches
	actual maximum density (default 0.85, changed with the SDIMAX keyword)

4.0 Growth Relationships

This chapter describes the functional relationships used to fill in missing tree data and calculate incremental growth. In FVS, trees are grown in either the small tree sub-model or the large tree sub-model depending on the diameter.

4.1 Height-Diameter Relationships

Height-diameter relationships in FVS are primarily used to estimate tree heights missing in the input data, and occasionally to estimate diameter growth on trees smaller than a given threshold diameter. In the BM variant, FVS will dub in heights by one of two methods. By default, for all species except western juniper, whitebark pine, limber pine, and quaking aspen, the BM variant will use the Curtis-Arney functional form as shown in equation {4.1.1} (Curtis 1967, Arney 1985). For western juniper, whitebark pine, limber pine, and quaking aspen a logistic height-diameter equation {4.1.2} (Wykoff, et.al 1982) is used.

If the input data contains at least three measured heights for a species, then FVS can calibrated the logistic height-diameter equation to the input data. This calibration is done automatically for western juniper, whitebark pine, limber pine and quaking aspen. However, it must be invoked using the NOHTDREG keyword for all other species. Coefficients for equation {4.1.1} are given in table 4.1.1 sorted by species and location code. Coefficients for equation {4.1.3} are given in table 4.1.2.

{4.1.1} Curtis-Arney functional form

 $\begin{aligned} DBH &\geq 3.0'': HT = 4.5 + P_2 * \exp[-P_3 * DBH \wedge P_4] \\ DBH &< 3.0'': HT = \left[(4.5 + P_2 * \exp[-P_3 * 3.0 \wedge P_4] - 4.51 \right) * (DBH - 0.3) / 2.7 \right] + 4.51 \end{aligned}$

 $\{4.1.2\}$ HT = 4.5 + exp(B₁ + B₂ / (DBH + 1.0))

HT	is tree height
DBH	is tree diameter at breast height
B ₁ - B ₂	are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.1.2
P ₁ - P ₄	are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.1.1

Species				
Code	Location Code	P ₂	P3	P4
	604 - Malheur	140.8498	4.9436	-0.6048
WP	607 - Ochoco	140.8498	4.9436	-0.6048
	614 - Umatilla	140.8498	4.9436	-0.6048
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	140.8498	4.9436	-0.6048
	604 - Malheur	188.1500	5.6420	-0.7348
WL	607 - Ochoco	255.4638	5.5577	-0.6054
	614 - Umatilla	186.6625	5.3006	-0.7604

Species				
Code	Location Code	P ₂	P ₃	P 4
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	326.9389	4.6684	-0.4657
25	604 - Malheur	476.1213	5.0963	-0.3461
	607 - Ochoco	318.7441	5.6666	-0.4666
DF	614 - Umatilla	219.4816	5.3103	-0.5643
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	260.1577	5.2245	-0.5013
	604 - Malheur	846.4856	6.1757	-0.3210
CL	607 - Ochoco	686.4831	6.5393	-0.3740
GF	614 - Umatilla	297.7143	5.9520	-0.5290
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	360.9231	5.7382	-0.4544
	604 - Malheur	150.5836	5.5158	-0.6435
	607 - Ochoco	150.5836	5.5158	-0.6435
MH	614 - Umatilla	150.5836	5.5158	-0.6435
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	150.5836	5.5158	-0.6435
	604 - Malheur	1901.4963	5.9791	-0.2300
	607 - Ochoco	228.0877	4.2939	-0.4277
LP	614 - Umatilla	89.0137	7.7404	-1.3530
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	117.1495	4.8451	-0.8613
	604 - Malheur	211.5595	7.310	-0.7176
ГС	607 - Ochoco	738.6208	5.5866	-0.3193
ES	614 - Umatilla	221.5298	6.1879	-0.6629
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	219.4529	6.1539	-0.6558
	604 - Malheur	437.3897	5.6600	-0.3975
AF	607 - Ochoco	128.7188	6.9094	-0.9039
Ar	614 - Umatilla	164.6321	6.9476	-0.7650
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	128.7188	6.9094	-0.9039
	604 - Malheur	1818.1733	6.8482	-0.2535
РР	607 - Ochoco	1526.6312	6.9207	-0.2774
FF	614 - Umatilla	313.4270	6.4808	-0.5194
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	649.6683	6.1279	-0.3511
	604 - Malheur	77.2207	3.5181	-0.5894
PY	607 - Ochoco	77.2207	3.5181	-0.5894
FI	614 - Umatilla	77.2207	3.5181	-0.5894
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	77.2207	3.5181	-0.5894
	604 - Malheur	97.7769	8.8202	-1.0534
YC	607 - Ochoco	97.7769	8.8202	-1.0534
	614 - Umatilla	97.7769	8.8202	-1.0534
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	97.7769	8.8202	-1.0534
	604 - Malheur	178.6441	4.5852	-0.6746
CW	607 - Ochoco	178.6441	4.5852	-0.6746
	614 - Umatilla	178.6441	4.5852	-0.6746

Species				
Code	Location Code	P ₂	P3	P 4
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	178.6441	4.5852	-0.6746
	604 - Malheur	1818.1733	6.8482	-0.2535
05	607 - Ochoco	1526.6312	6.9207	-0.2774
OS	614 - Umatilla	313.4270	6.4808	-0.5194
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	649.6683	6.1279	-0.3511
	604 - Malheur	1709.7229	5.8887	-0.2286
ОН	607 - Ochoco	1709.7229	5.8887	-0.2286
	614 - Umatilla	1709.7229	5.8887	-0.2286
	616 - Wallowa-Whitman	1709.7229	5.8887	-0.2286

Table 4.1.2 Coefficients for the logistic Wykoff equation {4.1.2} in the BM variant.

Species	Default	
Code	B ₁	B ₂
WP	5.035	-10.674
WL	5.043	-9.123
DF	4.929	-10.744
GF	4.874	-10.405
MH	4.874	-10.405
WJ	3.200	-5.000
LP	4.954	-9.177
ES	5.035	-10.674
AF	4.875	-9.568
PP	4.993	-12.430
WB	4.1920	-5.1651
LM	4.1920	-5.1651
PY	5.1880	-13.8010
YC	5.143	-13.497
AS	4.4421	-6.5405
CW	5.1520	-13.5760
OS	4.993	-12.430
ОН	5.1520	-13.5760

4.2 Bark Ratio Relationships

Bark ratio estimates are used to convert between diameter outside bark and diameter inside bark in various parts of the model. The equation used for western white pine, western larch, Douglas-fir, grand fir, mountain hemlock, lodgepole pine, Engelmann spruce, subalpine fir, ponderosa pine, Pacific yew, Alaska cedar, other softwood, and other hardwood is shown in equation {4.2.1} and coefficients (b₁ and b₂) for this equation by species are shown in table 4.2.1.

 $\{4.2.1\}$ DIB = b₁ * DBH^b₂ where BRATIO = DIB / DBH

where:

BRATIO	is species-specific bark ratio. Bounded to 0 < BRATIO < 0.999 for WP, WL, DF, GF,
	MH, LP, ES, AF, PP, OS and bounded to 0.80 <u>< BRATIO <</u> 0.99 for PY, YC, OH
DBH	is tree diameter at breast height
DIB	is tree diameter inside bark at breast height
b1 - b2	are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.2.1

The equation used for western juniper and limber pine is shown in $\{4.2.2\}$ with coefficients (b₁ and b₂) shown in table 4.2.1.

 $\{4.2.2\}$ BRATIO = $b_1 + b_2^*(1/DBH)$

where:

BRATIO	is species-specific bark ratio (bounded to 0.80 <u>< BRATIO <</u> 0.99)
DBH	is tree diameter at breast height. Bounded to 1.0 <u>< DBH <</u> 19.0) for WJ and
	bounded to 1.0 <u>< DBH</u> for LM
b ₁ - b ₂	are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.2.1

The equation used for whitebark pine and quaking aspen is shown in $\{4.2.3\}$ with coefficient (b₁) shown in table 4.2.1.

{4.2.3} *BRATIO* = b₁

where:

BRATIO	is species-specific bark ratio (bounded to 0.80 <u>< BRATIO <</u> 0.99)
b1	is the species-specific coefficient shown in table 4.2.1

Black cottonwood uses equation $\{4.2.4\}$ with coefficients (b₁ and b₂) shown in table 4.2.1.

 $\{4.2.4\} DIB = b_1 + b_2*DBH \qquad BRATIO = DIB / DBH$

BRATIO	is species-specific bark ratio (bounded to 0.80 < BRATIO < 0.99)
DBH	is tree diameter at breast height
DIB	is tree diameter inside bark at breast height
b ₁ - b ₂	are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.2.1

Species		
Code	b1	b ₂
WP	0.859045	1.0
WL	0.859045	1.0
DF	0.903563	0.989388
GF	0.904973	1.0
MH	0.903563	0.989388
٧J	0.9002	-0.3089
LP	0.9	1.0

Species		
Code	b₁	b ₂
ES	0.9	1.0
AF	0.904973	1.0
PP	0.809427	1.016866
WB	0.969	0.0
LM	0.9625	-0.1141
PY	0.933290	1.0
YC	0.837291	1.0
AS	0.950	0.0
CW	0.075256	0.949670
OS	0.809427	1.016866
ОН	0.9000	1.0

4.3 Crown Ratio Relationships

Crown ratio equations are used for three purposes in FVS: (1) to estimate tree crown ratios missing from the input data for both live and dead trees; (2) to estimate change in crown ratio from cycle to cycle for live trees; and (3) to estimate initial crown ratios for regenerating trees established during a simulation.

4.3.1 Crown Ratio Dubbing

In the BM variant, crown ratios missing in the input data are predicted using different equations depending on tree species and size. For all species, live trees less than 1.0" in diameter and dead trees of all sizes use equation {4.3.1.1} and one of the equations listed below, {4.3.1.2} - {4.3.1.3}, to compute crown ratio. Species group assignment and equation number used by species is found in table 4.3.1.1. Equation coefficients are found in table 4.3.1.2.

 $\{4.3.1.1\} X = R_1 + R_2 * DBH + R_3 * HT + R_4 * BA + R_5 * PCCF + R_6 * AVH/HT + R_7 * AVH + R_8 * BA * PCCF + R_9 * MAI$

 $\{4.3.1.2\}$ CR = 1 / (1 + exp(X+ N(0,SD))) where absolute value of (X + N(0,SD)) < 86

$$\{4.3.1.3\}$$
 CR = (((X + N(0,SD)) - 1) * 10 + 1) / 100

CR	is crown ratio expressed as a proportion (bounded to 0.05 < CR < 0.95)
DBH	is tree diameter at breast height
HT	is tree height
BA	is total stand basal area
PCCF	is crown competition factor on the inventory point where the tree is established
HT _{Avg}	is average height of the 40 largest diameter trees in the stand
MAI	is stand mean annual increment
N(0,SD)	is a random increment from a normal distribution with a mean of 0 and a
	standard deviation of SD
$R_1 - R_9$	are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.3.1.1

Species Code	Species Group	Equation Number	Species Code	Species Group	Equation Number
WP	1	{4.3.1.1}	PP	3	{4.3.1.1}
WL	1	{4.3.1.1}	WB	3	{4.3.1.1}
DF	2	{4.3.1.1}	LM	3	{4.3.1.1}
GF	2	{4.3.1.1}	PY	5	{4.3.1.2}
MH	2	{4.3.1.1}	YC	6	{4.3.1.2}
WJ	4	{4.3.1.1}	AS	2	{4.3.1.1}
LP	3	{4.3.1.1}	CW	7	{4.3.1.2}
ES	2	{4.3.1.1}	OS	3	{4.3.1.1}
AF	2	{4.3.1.1}	ОН	7	{4.3.1.2}

Table 4.3.1.1 Species group and equation assignment by species in the BM variant.

Table 4.3.1.2 Coefficients for the crown ratio equation {4.3.1.1} in the BM variant.

	Species Group						
Coefficie nt	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
				-	6 40004		
				2.1972	6.48981		
R ₁	-1.66949	-0.426688	-1.66949	3	3	7.558538	5.0
			-	_	-		
R ₂	-0.209765	-0.093105	0.209765	0	0	0	0
					- 0.02981	-	
R ₃	0	0.022409	0	0	5	0.015637	0
					- 0.00927	-	
R4	0.003359	0.002633	0.003359	0	6	0.009064	0
R 5	0.011032	0	0.011032	0	0	0	0
R ₆	0	-0.045532	0	0	0	0	0
R ₇	0.017727	0	0.017727	0	0	0	0
			-				
R ₈	-0.000053	0.000022	0.000053	0	0	0	0
R ₉	0.014098	-0.013115	0.014098	0	0	0	0
SD	0.5	0.6957**	*	0.2	2.0426	1.9658	0.5

* SD = 0.6124 for LP; 0.4942 for PP and OS; 0.5 for WB and LM

** SD = 0.9310 for AS

A Weibull-based crown model developed by Dixon (1985) as described in Dixon (2002) is used to predict crown ratio for all live trees 1.0" in diameter or larger. To estimate crown ratio using

this methodology, the average stand crown ratio is estimated from stand density index using equation {4.3.1.4}. Weibull parameters are then estimated from the average stand crown ratio using equations in equation set {4.3.1.5}. Individual tree crown ratio is then set from the Weibull distribution, equation {4.3.1.6} based on a tree's relative position in the diameter distribution and multiplied by a scale factor, shown in equation {4.3.1.7}, which accounts for stand density. Crowns estimated from the Weibull distribution are bounded to be between the 5 and 95 percentile points of the specified Weibull distribution. Equation coefficients for each species are shown in table 4.3.1.3.

 $\{4.3.1.4\}$ ACR = d₀ + d₁ * RELSDI * 100.0 where: RELSDI = SDI_{stand} / SDI_{max}

{4.3.1.5} Weibull parameters A, B, and C are estimated from average crown ratio

 $A = a_0$ $B = b_0 + b_1 * ACR \quad (B \ge 3)$ $C = c_0 + c_1 * ACR \quad (C \ge 2)$

 $\{4.3.1.6\}$ Y = 1-exp(-((X-A)/B)^C)

 $\{4.3.1.7\}$ SCALE = 1 – 0.00167 * (CCF – 100)

ACR	is predicted average stand crown ratio for the species
SDI stand	is stand density index of the stand
SDI _{max}	is maximum stand density index
А, В, С	are parameters of the Weibull crown ratio distribution
X	is a tree's crown ratio expressed as a percent / 10
Y	is a trees rank in the diameter distribution (1 = smallest; ITRN = largest)
	divided by the total number of trees (ITRN) multiplied by SCALE
SCALE	is a density dependent scaling factor (bounded to 0.3 < SCALE < 1.0)
CCF	is stand crown competition factor
a ₀ , b ₀₋₁ , c ₀₋₁ , a	nd d ₀₋₁ are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.3.1.3

Table 4.3.1.3 Coefficients for the Weibull parameter equations {4.3.1.4} and {4.3.1.5} in the
BM variant.

Species		Model Coefficients							
Code	a ₀	b ₀	b1	C ₀	C 1	d₀	d1		
WP	0	0.74338	0.97850	-3.98461	1.34802	6.94062	-0.01927		
WL	0	-0.00114	1.11300	3.40943	0	5.30390	-0.02049		
DF	0	0.35559	1.04220	-0.68418	0.80153	6.69836	-0.02594		
GF	0	0.46010	1.02563	-1.74681	0.98317	7.07172	-0.03044		
MH	0	0.46010	1.02563	-1.74681	0.98317	7.07172	-0.03044		
WJ	0	0.07609	1.10184	3.01	0	7.23800	0		
LP	0	-0.04970	1.14250	2.49474	0	4.82367	-0.02373		
ES	0	0.74338	0.97850	-3.98461	1.34802	6.94062	-0.01927		
AF	0	0.40743	1.02954	4.06366	0	7.97175	-0.03545		
РР	0	0.22542	1.06011	0.58615	0.64158	6.23850	-0.03064		

Species		Model Coefficients						
Code	a ₀	b ₀	b1	C ₀	C 1	d₀	d1	
WB	1	-0.82631	1.06217	3.31429	0	6.19911	-0.02216	
LM	1	-0.82631	1.06217	3.31429	0	6.19911	-0.02216	
PY	0	0.196054	1.073909	0.345647	0.620145	5.417431	-0.011608	
YC	1	-0.811424	1.056190	-3.831124	1.401938	5.200550	-0.014890	
AS	0	-0.08414	1.14765	2.77500	0	4.01678	-0.01516	
CW	0	-0.238295	1.180163	3.044134	0	4.625125	-0.016042	
OS	0	0.22542	1.06011	0.58615	0.64158	6.23850	-0.03064	
OH	0	-0.238295	1.180163	3.044134	0	4.625125	-0.016042	

4.3.2 Crown Ratio Change

Crown ratio change is estimated after growth, mortality and regeneration are estimated during a projection cycle. Crown ratio change is the difference between the crown ratio at the beginning of the cycle and the predicted crown ratio at the end of the cycle. Crown ratio predicted at the end of the projection cycle is estimated for live tree records using the Weibull distribution, equations {4.3.1.4}-{4.3.1.7}, for all species. Crown change is checked to make sure it doesn't exceed the change possible if all height growth produces new crown. Crown change is further bounded to 1% per year for the length of the cycle to avoid drastic changes in crown ratio. Equations {4.3.1.1}-{4.3.1.3} are not used when estimating crown ratio change.

4.3.3 Crown Ratio for Newly Established Trees

Crown ratios for newly established trees during regeneration are estimated using equation {4.3.3.1}. A random component is added in equation {4.3.3.1} to ensure that not all newly established trees are assigned exactly the same crown ratio.

 $\{4.3.3.1\}$ CR = 0.89722 - 0.0000461 * PCCF + RAN

where:

CR	is crown ratio expressed as a proportion (bounded to 0.2 < CR < 0.9)
PCCF	is crown competition factor on the inventory point where the tree is established
RAN	is a small random component

4.4 Crown Width Relationships

The BM variant calculates the maximum crown width for each individual tree, based on individual tree and stand attributes. Crown width for each tree is reported in the tree list output table and used for percent canopy cover (*PCC*) calculations in the model.

Crown width is calculated using equations $\{4.4.1\} - \{4.4.6\}$, and coefficients for these equations are shown in table 4.4.1. The minimum diameter and bounds for certain data values are given in table 4.4.2. Equation numbers in Table 4.4.1 are given with the first three digits representing the FIA species code, and the last two digits representing the equation source.

```
{4.4.1} Bechtold (2004); Equation 01
```

 $DBH \ge MinD: CW = a_1 + (a_2 * DBH) + (a_3 * DBH^2)$ $DBH < MinD: CW = [a_1 + (a_2 * MinD) * (a_3 * MinD^2)] * (DBH / MinD)$

{4.4.2} Crookston (2003); Equation 03 (used only for Mountain Hemlock)

 $HT < 5.0: CW = [0.8 * HT * MAX(0.5, CR * 0.01)] * [1 - (HT - 5) * 0.1] * a_1 * DBH^a_2 * HT^a_3 * CL^a_4 * (HT-5) * 0.1$ $5.0 \le HT < 15.0: CW = 0.8 * HT * MAX(0.5, CR * 0.01)$ $HT \ge 15.0: CW = a_1 * (DBH^a_2) * (HT^a_3) * (CL^a_4)$

{4.4.3} Crookston (2003); Equation 03 (western larch and grand fir)

 $DBH \ge MinD: CW = [a_1 * \exp[a_2 + (a_3 * \ln(CL)) + (a_4 * \ln(DBH)) + (a_5 * \ln(HT)) + (a_6 * \ln(BA))]]$

 $DBH < MinD:CW = [a_1 * exp[a_2 + (a_3 * ln(CL)) + (a_4 * ln(MinD)) + (a_5 * ln(HT)) + (a_6 * ln(BA))]]$ * (DBH / MinD)

{4.4.4 Crookston (2005); Equation 04

 $DBH \ge MinD: CW = a_1 * DBH^a_2$ $DBH < MinD: CW = [a_1 * MinD^a_2] * (DBH / MinD)$

{4.4.5} Crookston (2005); Equation 05

 $DBH \ge MinD: CW = (a_1 * BF) * DBH^{a_2} * HT^{a_3} * CL^{a_4} * (BA + 1.0)^{a_5} * exp(EL)^{a_6}$

 $DBH < MinD: CW = (a_1 * BF) * MinD^a_2 * HT^a_3 * CL^a_4 * (BA + 1.0)^a_5 * exp(EL)^a_6] * (DBH / MinD)$

{4.4.6} Donnelly (1996); Equation 06

 $DBH \ge MinD \ CW = a_1 * DBH^a_2$ $DBH < MinD \ CW = [a_1 * MinD^a_2] * (DBH / MinD)$

where:

BF CW	is a species-specific coefficient based on forest code (<i>BF</i> = 1.0 in the AK variant) is tree maximum crown width
CL	is tree crown length
DBH	is tree diameter at breast height
HT	is tree height
BA	is total stand basal area
EL	is stand elevation in hundreds of feet
MinD	is the minimum diameter
$a_1 - a_6$	are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.4.1

Table 4.4.1 Coefficients for crown width equations {4.4.1}-{4.4.6} in the BM variant.

Species	Equation						
Code	Number*	a1	a ₂	a ₃	a4	a ₅	a 6
WP	11905	5.3822	0.57896	-0.19579	0.14875	0	-0.00685

Species	Equation						
Code	Number*	a 1	a ₂	a ₃	a 4	a 5	a 6
WL	07303	1.02478	0.99889	0.19422	0.59423	-0.09078	-0.02341
DF	20205	6.0227	0.54361	-0.20669	0.20395	-0.00644	-0.00378
GF	01703	1.0303	1.14079	0.20904	0.38787	0	0
MH	26403	6.90396	0.55645	-0.28509	0.20430	0	0
WJ	06405	5.1486	0.73636	-0.46927	0.39114	-0.05429	0
LP	10805	6.6941	0.81980	-0.36992	0.17722	-0.01202	-0.00882
ES	09305	6.7575	0.55048	-0.25204	0.19002	0	-0.00313
AF	01905	5.8827	0.51479	-0.21501	0.17916	0.03277	-0.00828
PP	12205	4.7762	0.74126	-0.28734	0.17137	-0.00602	-0.00209
WB	10105	2.2354	0.66680	-0.11658	0.16927	0	0
LM	11301	4.0181	0.8528	0	0	0	0
PY	23104	6.1297	0.45424	0	0	0	0
YC	04205	3.3756	0.45445	-0.11523	0.22547	0.08756	-0.00894
AS	74605	4.7961	0.64167	-0.18695	0.18581	0	0
CW	74705	4.4327	0.41505	-0.23264	0.41477	0	0
OS	12205	4.7762	0.74126	-0.28734	0.17137	-0.00602	-0.00209
OH	31206	7.5183	0.4461	0	0	0	0

*Equation number is a combination of the species FIA code (###) and equation source (##).

Species Code	Equation Number*	MinD	EL min	EL max	<i>HI</i> min	<i>HI</i> max	CW max
WP	11905	1.0	10	75	n/a	n/a	35
WL	07303	1.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	40
DF	20205	1.0	1	75	n/a	n/a	80
GF	01703	1.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	40
MH	26403	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	45
WJ	06405	1.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	36
LP	10805	1.0	1	79	n/a	n/a	40
ES	09305	1.0	1	85	n/a	n/a	40
AF	01905	1.0	10	85	n/a	n/a	30
PP	12205	1.0	13	75	n/a	n/a	50
WB	10105	1.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	40
LM	11301	5.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	25
PY	23104	1.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	30
YC	04205	1.0	16	62	n/a	n/a	59
AS	74605	1.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	45
CW	74705	1.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	56
OS	12205	1.0	13	75	n/a	n/a	50
OH	31206	1.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	30

Species	Location Code						
Code	604	607	614	616	619		
WP	1.081	1	1.128	1	1		
WL	0.818	0.879	0.907	0.818	0.818		
DF	1.058	1.055	1.055	1	1		
GF	1	1	1.076	1	1		
MH	1	1	1	1.077	1.077		
WJ	1	1	1	1	1		
LP	1.196	1.196	1.244	1.114	1.114		
ES	1.121	1.169	1.137	1.070	1.070		
AF	1.110	1.110	1.110	1	1		
PP	1	1	1.035	1	1		
WB	1	1	1	1	1		
LM	1	1	1	1	1		
PY	1	1	1	1	1		
YC	1	1	1	1	1		
AS	1	1	1	1	1		
CW	1	1	1	1	1		
OS	1	1	1.035	1	1		
ОН	1	1	1	1	1		

Table 4.4.3 BF values for equation {4.4.5} in the BM variant.

4.5 Crown Competition Factor

The BM variant uses crown competition factor (*CCF*) as a predictor variable in some growth relationships. Crown competition factor (Krajicek and others 1961) is a relative measurement of stand density that is based on tree diameters. Individual tree CCF_t values estimate the percentage of an acre that would be covered by the tree's crown if the tree were open-grown. Stand *CCF* is the summation of individual tree (*CCF_t*) values. A stand *CCF* value of 100 theoretically indicates that tree crowns will just touch in an unthinned, evenly spaced stand.

For all species except Pacific yew, Alaska cedar, black cottonwood, and other hardwood, crown competition factor for an individual tree is calculated using equation {4.5.1}. Coefficients are either from Paine and Hann (1982) or the Inland Empire variant coefficients (Wykoff, et.al 1982).

{4.5.1} All species except Pacific yew, Alaska cedar, black cottonwood, and other hardwood $DBH \ge 1.0"$: $CCF_t = R_1 + (R_2 * DBH) + (R_3 * DBH^2)$ 0.1" < DBH < 1.0": $CCF_t = R_4 * DBH^R_5$ DBH ≤ 0.1": $CCF_t = 0.001$

CCFt	is crown competition factor for an individual tree
DBH	is tree diameter at breast height

$R_1 - R_5$ are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.5.1

For Pacific yew, Alaska cedar, black cottonwood, and other hardwood, equation {4.5.2} is used. All species coefficients are shown in table 4.5.1.

{4.5.2} CCF equation for Pacific yew, Alaska cedar, black cottonwood, and other hardwood

 $DBH \ge 1.0''$: $CCF_t = R_1 + (R_2 * DBH) + (R_3 * DBH^2)$ DBH < 1.0'': $CCF_t = (R_1 + R_2 + R_2) * DBH$

where:

CCFt	is crown competition factor for an individual tree
DBH	is tree diameter at breast height
$R_1 - R_5$	are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.5.1

Model Coefficients Species Code R1 R₂ Rз R4 R5 0.0186 0.0146 WP 0.00288 0.009884 1.6667 0.0392 WL 0.007244 0.0180 0.00207 1.8182 DF 0.0388 0.0269 0.00466 0.017299 1.5571 GF 0.0690 0.0225 0.00183 0.015248 1.7333 MH 0.03 0.018 0.00281 0.011109 1.7250 1.7600 WJ 0.01925 0.01676 0.00365 0.009187 LP 0.01925 0.01676 0.00365 0.009187 1.7600 ES 0.03 0.0173 0.00259 0.007875 1.7360 AF 0.0172 0.00876 0.00112 0.011402 1.7560 PP 0.0219 0.0169 0.00325 0.007813 1.7780 WB 0.01925 0.01676 0.00365 0.009187 1.7600 LΜ 0.01925 0.01676 0.00365 0.009187 1.7600 ΡY 0.0204 0.0246 0.0074 0 0 YC 0.0194 0.0142 0.00261 0 0 AS 0.03 0.0238 0.00490 0.008915 1.7800 CW 0.0204 0.0246 0.0074 0 0 OS 0.0219 0.0169 0.00325 0.007813 1.7780 OH 0.0204 0.0246 0.0074 0 0

Table 4.5.1 Coefficients for the CCF equations in the BM variant.

4.6 Small Tree Growth Relationships

Trees are considered "small trees" for FVS modeling purposes when they are smaller than some threshold diameter. The threshold diameter is set to 3.0" for all species in the BM variant except western juniper. Western juniper uses the small-tree relationships to predict height and diameter growth for trees of all sizes.

The small tree model is height-growth driven, meaning height growth is estimated first, then diameter growth is estimated from height growth. These relationships are discussed in the following sections.

4.6.1 Small Tree Height Growth

The small-tree height increment model predicts 10-year height growth (*HTG*) for small trees, based on site index. Potential height growth is estimated using equations $\{4.6.1.1\} - \{4.6.1.3\}$, depending on species, and coefficients shown in table 4.6.1.1.

Potential height growth for western white pine is calculated using equation {4.6.1.1}.

 $\{4.6.1.1\} POTHTG = (SI / c_1) * (1.0 - c_2 * \exp(c_3 * X_2))^{c_4} - (SI / c_1) * (1.0 - c_2 * \exp(c_3 * X_1))^{c_4}$ $X_1 = ALOG [(1.0 - (c_1 / SI * HT)^{(1 / c_4)}) / c_2] / c_3$ $X_2 = X_1 + A$

Potential height growth for western larch, Douglas-fir, grand fir, lodgepole pine, Engelmann spruce, subalpine fir, ponderosa pine, whitebark pine, Pacific yew, Alaska cedar, black cottonwood, other softwood, and other hardwood is calculated using equation {4.6.1.2}.

 $\{4.6.1.2\}$ POTHTG = $[(c_1 + c_2 * SI) / (c_3 - c_4 * SI)] * A$

Potential height growth for mountain hemlock is calculated using equation {4.6.1.3}.

 $\{4.6.1.3\}$ POTHTG = $[(c_1 + c_2 * SI) / (c_3 - c_4 * SI)] * A * 3.280833$

Potential height growth for western juniper is calculated using equation {4.6.1.4}.

 $\{4.6.1.4\}$ *POTHTG* = [(SI / 5) * (1.5 * SI - HT)] / (SI * 1.5) (SI bounded $5.5 \le SI \le 75$)

Potential height growth for limber pine is calculated using equation {4.6.1.5}.

{4.6.1.5} *POTHTG* = *SI* / 5

POTHTG	is potential height growth
SI	is species site index
Α	is tree age
HT	is tree height
$c_1 - c_4$	are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.6.1.1

Table 4.6.1.1 Coefficients and equation	reference by species in the BM variant.
---	---

Species	POTHTG	Model Coefficients			
Code	Equation	C 1	C2	C3	C 4
WP	{4.6.1.1}	0.375045	0.92503	020796	2.48811
WL	{4.6.1.2}	-3.9725	0.50995	28.1168	0.05661
DF	{4.6.1.2}	2.0	0.420	28.5	0.05
GF	{4.6.1.2}	4.2435	0.1510	19.0184	0.0570
MH	{4.6.1.3}	0.965758	0.082969	55.249612	1.288852
WJ	{4.6.1.4}	0	0	0	0

Species	POTHTG	Model Coefficients			
Code	Equation	C 1	C2	C3	C 4
LP	{4.6.1.2}	0	0.0200805	1.0	0
ES	{4.6.1.2}	0.09211	0.208517	43.358	0.168166
AF	{4.6.1.2}	6.0	0.14	33.882	0.06588
PP	{4.6.1.2}	-1.0	0.32857	28.0	0.042857
WB	{4.6.1.2}	0	0.0321409	1.0	0
LM	{4.6.1.5}	0	0.2	0	0
PY	{4.6.1.2}	1.47043	0.23317	31.56252	0.05586
YC	{4.6.1.2}	1.47043	0.23317	31.56252	0.05586
AS	{4.6.1.10}	0	0	0	0
CW	{4.6.1.2}	1.47043	0.23317	31.56252	0.05586
OS	{4.6.1.2}	-1.0	0.32857	28.0	0.042857
OH	{4.6.1.2}	1.47043	0.23317	31.56252	0.05586

Potential height growth for all species except quaking aspen is then adjusted based on stand density (*PCTRED*) and crown ratio (*VIGOR*) as shown in equations {4.6.1.6} - {4.6.1.8} to determine an estimated height growth as shown in equation {4.6.1.9}.

 $\{4.6.1.6\} PCTRED = 1.11436 - 0.011493*Z + 0.43012E-04*Z^2 - 0.72221E-07*Z^3 + 0.5607E-10*Z^4 - 0.1641E-13*Z^5 \}$

 $Z = HT_{Avg} * (CCF / 100)$

{4.6.1.7} Used for all species except quaking aspen and western juniper

VIGOR = (150 * CR^3 * exp(-6 * CR)) + 0.3

Note, for western juniper the VIGOR adjustment is reduced by two-thirds as shown in equation {4.6.1.8}.

{4.6.1.8} Used for western juniper

VIGOR = 1 - ((1 - VIGOR) / 3)

{4.6.1.9} HTG = POTHTG * PCTRED * VIGOR

where:

PCTRED	is reduction in height growth due to stand density (bounded to $0.01 \le PCTRED \le 1$)
HT _{Avg}	is average height of the 40 largest diameter trees in the stand
CCF	is stand crown competition factor
VIGOR	is reduction in height growth due to tree vigor (bounded to <i>VIGOR</i> < 1.0)
CR	is a tree's live crown ratio (compacted) expressed as a proportion
HTG	is estimated height growth for the cycle
POTHTG	is potential height growth

Height growth for quaking aspen is obtained from an aspen height-age curve, equation {4.6.1.10} (Shepperd 1995). Because Shepperd's original curve seemed to overestimate height growth, the BM variant reduces the estimated height growth by 25 percent. A height is

estimated from the trees' current age, and then its current age plus 10 years. Height growth is the difference between these two height estimates adjusted to account for cycle length and any user defined small-tree height growth adjustments for aspen. This equation estimates height growth in centimeters so FVS also converts the estimate from centimeters to feet. An estimate of the tree's current age is obtained at the start of a projection using the tree's height and solving equation {4.6.1.10} for age.

 $\{4.6.1.10\}$ HT = $(26.9825 * A^{1.1752}) * (1 + [(SI - SITELO) / (SITEHI - SITELO)]) * 1.8$

where:

HT	is total tree height
Α	is total tree age
SI	is quaking aspen site index (bounded SITELO + 0.5 < SI)
SITEHI	is upper end of the site index range for quaking aspen (66 in the BM variant)

For all species, a small random error is then added to the height growth estimate. The estimated height growth (*HTG*) is then adjusted to account for cycle length, user defined small-tree height growth adjustments, and adjustments due to small tree height model calibration from the input data.

Height growth estimates from the small-tree model are weighted with the height growth estimates from the large tree model over a range of diameters (X_{min} and X_{max}) in order to smooth the transition between the two models. The closer a tree's *DBH* value is to the minimum diameter (X_{min}), the more the growth estimate will be weighted towards the small-tree growth model. The closer a tree's *DBH* value is to the maximum diameter (X_{max}), the more the growth estimate will be weighted towards the small-tree growth model. The closer a tree's *DBH* value is to the maximum diameter (X_{max}), the more the growth estimate will be weighted towards the large-tree growth model. If a tree's *DBH* value falls outside of the range given by X_{min} and X_{max} , then the model will use only the small-tree or large-tree growth model in the growth estimate. The weight applied to the growth estimate is calculated using equation {4.6.1.11}, and applied as shown in equation {4.6.1.12}. The range of diameters for each species is shown in table 4.6.1.2.

{4.6.1.11}

 $\begin{array}{l} DBH \leq X_{\min}: \ XWT = 0 \\ X_{\min} < DBH < X_{\max}: \ XWT = (DBH - X_{\min}) \ / \ (X_{\max} - X_{\min}) \\ DBH > X_{\max}: \ XWT = 1 \end{array}$

{4.6.1.12} Estimated growth = [(1 - XWT) * STGE] + [XWT * LTGE]

XWT	is the weight applied to the growth estimates
DBH	is tree diameter at breast height
X _{max}	is the maximum DBH is the diameter range
X _{min}	is the minimum DBH in the diameter range
STGE	is the growth estimate obtained using the small-tree growth model
LTGE	is the growth estimate obtained using the large-tree growth model

Species		
Code	X _{min}	X _{max}
WP	2.0	3.0
WL	1.0	2.0
DF	2.0	4.0
GF	2.0	4.0
MH	1.0	2.0
WJ	90.0	99.0
LP	2.0	4.0
ES	2.0	4.0
AF	2.0	4.0
PP	1.0	5.0
WB	1.5	3.0
LM	2.0	4.0
PY	2.0	4.0
YC	2.0	4.0
AS	2.0	4.0
CW	2.0	4.0
OS	1.0	5.0
ОН	2.0	4.0

Table 4.6.1.2 Diameter bounds by species in the BM variant.

4.6.2 Small Tree Diameter Growth

As stated previously, for trees being projected with the small tree equations, height growth is predicted first, and then diameter growth. So both height at the beginning of the cycle and height at the end of the cycle are known when predicting diameter growth. Small tree diameter growth for trees over 4.5 feet tall is calculated as the difference of predicted diameter at the start of the projection period and the predicted diameters are estimated using the species-specific height-diameter relationships. By definition, diameter growth is zero for trees less than 4.5 feet tall.

For western white pine, western larch, Douglas-fir, grand fir, mountain hemlock, Engelmann spruce, subalpine fir, limber pine, and quaking aspen, diameters are predicted using the height-diameter equations discussed in section 4.1; equation {4.6.2.1} is used for lodgepole pine; equation {4.6.2.2} is used for ponderosa pine and other softwood; equation {4.6.2.3} is used for western juniper; equation {4.6.2.4} is used for whitebark pine; equation {4.6.2.5} is used for Pacific yew, Alaska cedar, black cottonwood, and other hardwood with coefficients shown in table 4.6.2.1.

 $\{4.6.2.1\}$ DBH = [-9.8752 / (ln(HT - 4.5) - 4.8656)] - 1.0

 $\{4.6.2.2\}$ DBH = (HT – 4.17085) / 3.03659

 $\{4.6.2.3\}$ DBH = [(HT - 4.5) * 10] / (SI - 4.5)

 $\{4.6.2.4\} DBH = 0.3 + 0.000231^*(HT - 4.5)^*CR - 0.00005^*(HT - 4.5)^*PCCF + 0.001711^*CR + 0.17023^*(HT - 4.5)$

 $\{4.6.2.5\}$ DBH = c₁ + c₂*CR / 10 + c₃* ln(HT) + c₄* HT + c₅* MGD

where:

DBH	is tree diameter at breast height
HT	is tree height
SI	is the species-specific site index
CR	is the tree's live crown ratio (compacted) expressed as a percent
PCCF	is crown competition factor on the inventory point where the tree is established
	(bounded 25 <u>< PCCF < 3</u> 00)
MGD	is 1 if the stand is a managed stand; 0 otherwise
C ₁ - C ₅	are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.6.2.1

Species	Model Coefficients				
Code	C 1	C2	C3	C 4	C 5
PY	-2.089	0	1.980	0	0
YC	-0.532	0	1.531	0	0
CW	3.102	0	0	0.021	0
OH	3.102	0	0	0.021	0

 Table 4.6.2.1 Coefficients by species for equation {4.6.2.5} in the BM variant.

4.7 Large Tree Growth Relationships

Trees are considered "large trees" for FVS modeling purposes when they are equal to, or larger than, some threshold diameter. This threshold diameter is set to 3.0" for all species, except western juniper, in the BM variant. Western juniper uses the small-tree relationships to predict height and diameter growth for trees of all sizes.

The large-tree model is driven by diameter growth meaning diameter growth is estimated first, and then height growth is estimated from diameter growth and other variables. These relationships are discussed in the following sections.

4.7.1 Large Tree Diameter Growth

The large tree diameter growth model used in most FVS variants is described in section 7.2.1 in Dixon (2002). For most variants, instead of predicting diameter increment directly, the natural log of the periodic change in squared inside-bark diameter (ln(*DDS*)) is predicted (Dixon 2002; Wykoff 1990; Stage 1973; and Cole and Stage 1972). For variants predicting diameter increment directly, diameter increment is converted to the *DDS* scale to keep the FVS system consistent across all variants.

The BM variant uses different equation forms to predict large-tree diameter growth based on species. Equation $\{4.7.1.1\}$ is used to predict diameter growth for all trees. Coefficients for this equation are shown in tables 4.7.1.1 - 4.7.1.2.

Equation $\{4.7.1.2\}$ predicts diameter growth in large trees with a *DBH* value less than 10.0" for western white pine, western larch, Douglas-fir, grand fir, mountain hemlock, lodgepole pine, Engelmann spruce, subalpine fir, ponderosa pine and other softwood. Coefficients for this equation are given in tables 4.7.1.3 - 4.7.1.7. For these 10 species, results from equation $\{4.7.1.2\}$ are weighted with results from equation $\{4.7.1.2\}$ vields a 5-year estimate which must be expanded to a 10-year basis before the weighting using equation $\{4.7.1.3\}$. Expansion, which is not shown here, is in terms of squared diameter in real scale and then converted back to a natural logarithm scale for weighting.

 $\{4.7.1.1\} \ln(DDSL) = b_1 + (b_2 * EL) + (b_3 * EL^2) + (b_4 * \ln(TSI)) + (b_5 * \sin(ASP)) + (b_6 * \cos(ASP)) + (b_7 * SL) + (b_8 * SL^2) + (b_9 * \ln(DBH)) + (b_{10} * \ln(BA)) + (b_{11} * CR) + (b_{12} * CR^2) + (b_{13} * DBH^2) + (b_{14} * BAL / (\ln(DBH + 1.0))) + (b_{15} * PCCF) + (b_{16} * BAL) + (b_{17} * BA) + (b_{18} * MAI * CCF) + (b_{19} * CCF) + (b_{20} * TSI)$

{4.7.1.2}
$$\ln(DDSS) = b_1 + (b_2 * EL) + (b_3 * EL^2) + (b_4 * sin(ASP)) + (b_5 * cos(ASP)) + (b_6 * SL) + (b_7 * ln(DBH)) + (b_8 * ln(BA)) + (b_9 * CR) + (b_{10} * CR^2) + (b_{11} * DBH^2) + (b_{12} * BAL / (ln(DBH + 1.0))) + (b_{13} * PCCF) + HAB$$

 $\{4.7.1.3\} \ln(DDS) = XWT* \ln(DDSS) + (1-XWT)* \ln(DDSL)$

DDS	is the square of the diameter growt	th increment
DDSL	is the estimated square of the diam	neter growth increment using equation
	{4.7.1.1}	
DDSS	-	neter growth increment using equation
	{4.7.1.2}	
EL		et (bounded to <i>EL</i> <u><</u> 30 for species 16 and 18)
TSI	is a site index function based on spe	ecies
	TSI = SI	for WP, WL, DF, GF, WJ, ES, AF, PP, WB, LM, PY, YC, AS, CW, OS, OH
	TSI = 3.28 * SI	for MH
	<i>TSI</i> = -43.78 + (2.16 * <i>SI</i>)	for LP
	where: <i>SI</i> is the site index fo	or the species
ASP	is stand aspect for species 1-5, 7-10), 13-14, and 16-18
	is (stand aspect – 0.7854) for specie	es 6, 11-12, and 15
SL	is stand slope	
CR	is crown ratio expressed as a propo	rtion
DBH	is tree diameter at breast height	
BA	is total stand basal area	
BAL	is total basal area in trees larger that	an the subject tree for species 1-10, and 13-18
	is (total basal area in trees larger th	an the subject tree / 100) for species 11-12
PCCF	is crown competition factor on the	inventory point where the tree is established
MAI	is stand mean annual increment	
CCF	is stand crown competition factor	

HAB	is a plant association code dependent intercept shown in table 4.7.1.6 and
	4.7.1.7
β1	is a location-specific coefficient shown in tables 4.7.1.2 and 4.7.1.5
β2 - β20	are species-specific coefficients shown in tables 4.7.1.1 and 4.7.1.4
XWT	is 0 if <i>DBH</i> <u>></u> 10"; 1 if <i>DBH</i> < 3"; and ((10- <i>DBH</i>) / 7) otherwise

Table 4.7.1.1 Coefficients $(b_2 - b_{20})$ for equation 4.7.1.1 in the BM variant.

				S	pecies Co	ode			
Coefficient	WP	WL	DF	GF	МН	LP	ES	AF	PP
b ₂	0.00279	0	0.00371	-0.00633	0.08520	-0.06908	0	-0.01423	-0.05796
b ₃	-0.00001	0	0	0	-0.00094	0.00062	0	0	0.00060
b 4	0	0.47469	0.76217	0.58666	0	0.34450	0.34406	0.51754	0.73067
b₅	-0.19278	0	-0.11862	-0.19627	0.13360	0.09760	0.35781	-0.27729	-0.12480
b ₆	0.12915	0	-0.15167	-0.16504	0.17940	-0.37870	-0.11989	-0.44759	-0.02280
b7	0.77922	0	-0.28123	-0.67496	0.07630	0.03990	0	0.35402	-0.16402
b ₈	-0.93813	0	0	0.76704	0	0	0	0	0
b ₉	0.77889	0.41802	0.57990	1.01031	0.89780	0.70429	1.12805	0.83642	0.44675
b 10	0	0	-0.06574	-0.15658	0	-0.17037	0	-0.18969	-0.10675
b11	3.36606	2.15440	2.13121	2.56530	1.28400	3.00236	3.22770	1.60755	1.70901
b ₁₂	-1.80146	-1.03088	-0.40173	-0.91846	0	-1.24947	-1.13951	0	0
b 13	-0.00009	0	-0.00038	-0.00054	-0.00048	0	-0.00029	0.00009	-0.00021
b ₁₄	-0.00897	-0.00801	-0.00886	-0.00557	-0.00661	-0.00251	-0.00156	-0.00091	-0.01184
b 15	0	0	-0.00034	0	-0.00107	-0.00032	-0.00014	-0.00038	-0.00057
b ₁₆	0.00121	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b ₁₇	0	-0.00070	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b ₁₈	-1.00E-07	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b ₁₉	-1.60E-06	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b ₂₀	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 4.7.1.1 (Continued) Coefficients (b₂- b₂₀) for equation 4.7.1.1 in the BM variant.

			Sp	ecies Coc	le		
Coefficient	WB	LM	РҮ	YC	CW	OS	ОН
b ₂	0	0	0	0	-0.075986	-0.05796	-0.075986
b₃	0	0	0	0	0.001193	0.00060	0.001193
b4	0	0	0.252853	0.244694	0.227307	0.73067	0.227307
b ₅	-0.01752	-0.01752	0	0.679903	-0.86398	-0.12480	-0.86398
b ₆	-0.609774	-0.609774	0	-0.023186	0.085958	-0.02280	0.085958
b ₇	-2.057060	-2.057060	0	0	0	-0.16402	0
b ₈	2.11326	2.11326	0	0	0	0	0
b ₉	0.213947	0.213947	0.879338	0.816880	0.889596	0.44675	0.889596
b ₁₀	0	0	0	0	0	-0.10675	0
b ₁₁	1.523464	1.523464	1.970052	2.471226	1.732535	1.70901	1.732535
b ₁₂	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

b ₁₃	-0.000654	-0.000654	-0.000132	-0.000254	0	-0.00021	0
b ₁₄	0	0	-0.004215	-0.005950	-0.001265	-0.01184	-0.001265
b15	0	0	0	0	0	-0.00057	0
b ₁₆	-0.358634	-0.358634	0	0	0	0	0
b ₁₇	0	0	-0.000173	-0.000147	-0.000981	0	-0.000981
b ₁₈	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b ₁₉	-0.001996	-0.001996	0	0	0	0	0
b ₂₀	0.001766	0.001766	0	0	0	0	0

Table 4.7.1.2 b_1 values by location code for equation {4.7.1.1} in the BM variant.

Forest	Species Code										
Code	WP	WL	DF	GF	МН	LP	ES	AF	РР		
604, 614	-0.23185	-0.56061	-1.69223	-1.16884	-1.6803	1.59448	-2.38952	-0.48027	0.05217		
607	-0.23185	-0.56061	-1.69223	-1.16884	-1.6803	1.59448	-2.38952	-0.48027	-0.04456		
616, 619	-0.23185	-0.56061	-1.78978	-1.16884	-1.6803	1.49879	-2.38952	-0.48027	0.11197		

Table 4.7.1.2 (Continued) b₁ values by location code for equation {4.7.1.1} in the BM variant.

Forest	Species Code										
Code	WB	LM	РҮ	YC	cw	OS	ОН				
604, 614	1.91188	1.91188	-1.31007	-1.17804	-0.10765	0.05217	-0.10765				
607	1.91188	1.91188	-1.31007	-1.17804	-0.10765	-0.04456	-0.10765				
616, 619	1.91188	1.91188	-1.31007	-1.17804	-0.10765	0.11197	-0.10765				

Table 4.7.1.3 Classification of species 1-5, 7-10, and 18 (Location Class) for the diameter increment model, equation {4.7.1.2}, in the BM variant; equation {4.7.1.2} does not pertain to species 6 or 11-16.

Species Code											
WP WL DF GF MH LP ES AF PP OS											
1	1	2	3	4	4	3	3	5	5		

		L	ocation Clas	S	
Coefficient	1	2	3	4	5
b ₂	0	-0.00823	-0.09472	0.00912	-0.07547
b₃	0	0	0.00092	0	0.00087
b4	0.12754	0.05022	-0.11202	0.35696	-0.13976
b₅	-0.06358	-0.11174	-0.18548	-0.46361	-0.08695
b_6	-0.41366	-0.36252	-0.16110	0.45733	-0.24248
b7	1.20856	1.12948	1.52803	1.00488	1.04225
b ₈	-0.24782	-0.15369	-0.13405	-0.24135	-0.24965
b9	1.73596	1.54957	0.66664	2.47118	2.31970
b ₁₀	0	0	1.20070	-0.99894	-0.43073
b ₁₁	-0.000571	-0.000023	-0.000951	-0.000643	-0.000157
b ₁₂	-0.00066	-0.00223	-0.00199	-0.00358	-0.00105

Table 4.7.1.4 Coefficients (b. b.) for equation 4.7.1.2 in the PM variant

b ₁₃ 0	-0.00003	-0.00167	0	0
-------------------	----------	----------	---	---

Table 4.7.1.5 b ₁ values b	y location class for ec	quation {4.7.1.2	} in the BM variant.
---------------------------------------	-------------------------	------------------	----------------------

		Lo	ocation Cla	SS	
Forest					
Code	1	2	3	4	5
				-	
604	-0.00991	0.12927	1.31341	0.21988	1.61313
				-	
607	-0.00991	0.12927	1.53206	0.21988	1.75654
614	0.24298	0.42841	1.78409	0.22239	1.90894
				-	
616, 619	-0.00991	0.31221	1.73754	0.47388	1.75744

Table 4.7.1.6 *HAB* values by habitat class and location class for equation {4.7.1.2} in the BM variant.

		L	ocation Class	S	
Habitat Class	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	-0.131277	-0.336855	-0.137259	0.119324	0.482619
2	-0.328134	-1.004248	0.282528	0.425094	0.173487
3	0	-0.195972	0	0	-0.087731
4	0	-0.092403	0	0	0

Table 4.7.1.7 Classification of habitat class by plant association code and location class in the BM variant.

ΡΑ		Loca	tion (Class		ΡΑ		Loca	tion (Class	
Code	1	2	3	4	5	Code	1	2	3	4	5
1	0	1	0	0	0	47	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	1	0	0	0	48	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	3	0	2	0	49	0	3	0	0	3
4	0	3	0	2	0	50	0	3	0	0	3
5	0	3	0	2	0	51	0	3	0	0	3
6	0	3	0	2	0	52	0	3	0	0	3
7	0	3	0	2	0	53	0	3	0	2	3
8	0	З	0	2	0	54	0	З	0	2	3
9	0	3	0	2	0	55	0	3	0	0	3
10	0	3	0	2	0	56	0	3	0	0	3
11	0	4	0	0	0	57	0	0	0	0	0
12	0	4	0	0	0	58	0	0	0	0	0
13	0	3	0	2	0	59	0	3	0	0	3
14	0	0	0	0	0	60	0	0	0	0	0

PA	Location Class				РА	Location Class					
Code	1	2	3	4	5	Code	1	2	3	4	5
15	0	0	0	0	0	61	0	3	0	0	3
16	0	0	0	0	0	62	2	2	0	0	0
17	0	0	0	0	0	63	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0	64	0	0	0	0	0
19	0	0	0	0	0	65	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	0	66	0	0	0	0	0
21	0	1	0	0	0	67	0	0	0	0	0
22	0	1	0	0	0	68	0	0	0	0	1
23	0	0	0	0	0	69	0	0	0	0	1
24	0	1	0	0	0	70	1	0	0	1	2
25	0	1	0	0	0	71	1	0	0	1	2
26	0	0	0	0	0	72	0	0	0	0	1
27	0	1	0	0	0	73	0	0	0	0	1
28	2	2	0	0	0	74	0	0	0	0	1
29	0	0	0	0	0	75	0	0	0	0	1
30	2	2	0	0	0	76	0	0	0	0	1
31	0	3	0	2	3	77	1	0	0	1	0
32	0	3	0	2	3	78	1	0	0	1	0
33	0	3	0	2	3	79	1	0	0	1	0
34	0	3	0	2	3	80	1	0	0	1	0
35	0	3	0	2	3	81	1	0	0	1	2
36	0	3	0	2	3	82	1	0	0	0	1
37	0	3	0	2	3	83	1	0	0	1	0
38	0	3	0	2	3	84	1	0	0	1	0
39	0	3	0	2	3	85	1	0	0	1	2
40	0	3	0	2	3	86	1	0	2	0	0
41	0	3	0	2	3	87	1	3	1	0	0
42	0	3	0	2	3	88	1	3	1	0	0
43	0	3	0	2	3	89	1	0	2	0	0
44	0	3	0	2	3	90	0	3	0	2	3
45	0	3	0	2	3	91	0	3	0	2	3
46	0	3	0	2	3	92	0	3	0	2	3

*A 0 value means that no habitat code is used.

Large-tree diameter growth for quaking aspen is predicted using the aspen equation from the UT variant identified in equation set {4.7.1.4}. Diameter growth is predicted from a potential diameter growth equation that is modified by stand density, average tree size and site. While not shown here, this diameter growth estimate is eventually converted to the *DDS* scale.

{4.7.1.4} Quaking aspen

POTGR = (0.4755 - 0.0000038336 * DBH^ 4.1488) + (0.0451 * CR * DBH ^.67266)

MOD = 1.0 - exp (-FOFR * GOFAD * ((310-BA)/310)^0.5) FOFR = 1.07528 * (1.0 - exp (-1.89022 * DBH / QMD)) GOFAD = 0.21963 * (QMD + 1.0) ^0.73355 PREDGR = POTGR * MOD * (.48630 + 0.01258 * SI)

where:

POTGR	is potential diameter growth
DBH	is tree diameter at breast height
CR	is crown ratio expressed as a percent divided by 10
MOD	is a modifier based on tree diameter and stand density
FOFR	is the relative density modifier
GOFAD	is the average diameter modifier
BA	is total stand basal area
QMD	is stand quadratic mean diameter
PREDGR	is predicted diameter growth
SI	is species site index

4.7.2 Large Tree Height Growth

Height growth equations in the BM variant for all species except western juniper, whitebark pine, limber pine, and quaking aspen are based on the site index curves shown in section 3.4. Equations for whitebark pine, limber pine, and quaking aspen are shown later in this section. Height growth for western juniper of all sizes is estimated as described in section 4.6.1 for all sizes of trees.

Using a species site index and tree height at the beginning of the projection cycle, an estimated tree age is computed using the site index curves. Also, a maximum species height is computed using equation {4.7.2.1}. If tree height at the beginning of the projection cycle is greater than the maximum species height, then height growth is computed using equation {4.7.2.2}.

 $\{4.7.2.1\}$ HTMAX = $a_0 + a_1 * SI$

 $\{4.7.2.2\}$ HTG = b₀ + b₁ * RELSI

ΗΤΜΑΧ	is maximum expected tree height in feet for the species in this variant (for mountain hemlock <i>HTMAX</i> is multiplied by 3.281 to convert maximum height from meters to feet)
HTG	is estimated 10-year tree height growth (bounded 0.1 <u><</u> HTG)
SI	is species site index
SIB	is species site index [bounded (<i>SITELO</i> + 0.5) <u><</u> SIB <u>< SITEHI</u>]
RELSI	is relative site index
SITELO	is minimum expected site index for this species in this variant shown in table
	4.7.2.1

- *SITEHI* is maximum expected site index for this species in this variant shown in table 4.7.2.1
- $a_0 a_1$ are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.7.2.1
- $b_0 b_1$ are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.7.2.1

Species			Мах				
Code	SITELO	SITEHI	Age	a ₀	a 1	b 0	b 1
WP	20	80	200	2.3	2.39	1.5	0.003
WL	50	110	110	12.86	1.32	2.0	0.0026
DF	50	110	180	-2.86	1.54	0.4	0.0080
GF	50	110	130	21.29	1.24	2.1	0
MH	15	30	250	52.27	1.14	0	0
WJ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LP	30	70	140	2.3	1.75	1.5	0
ES	40	120	150	20.0	1.1	1.5	0
AF	50	150	150	45.27	1.24	1.5	0
PP	70	140	200	-5.0	1.3	1.3	0.002
WB	20	65	400	85.0	0	0	0
LM	20	50	400	85.0	0	0	0
PY	5	75	200	50.0	0	0	0
YC	50	110	200	100.0	0	0	0
AS	30	66	100	75.0	0	0	0
CW	10	191	100	125.0	0	0	0
OS	70	140	200	-5.0	1.3	1.3	0.002
ОН	5	125	100	100.0	0	0	0

Table 4.7.2.3 SITELO, SITEHI, Maximum Age, and coefficients a₀, a₁, b₀, b₁ in the BM variant.

If tree height at the beginning of the projection cycle is less than the maximum species height, then for western white pine, western larch, Douglas-fir, grand fir, mountain hemlock, lodgepole pine, Engelmann spruce, subalpine fir, ponderosa pine, Pacific yew, Alaska cedar, black cottonwood, other softwood, and other hardwood, height increment is obtained by subtracting estimated current height from estimated future height, then adjusting the difference according to tree's crown ratio and height relative to other trees in the stand. Estimated current height (ECH) and estimated future height (H10) are both obtained using the equations shown below. Estimated current height is obtained using estimated tree age at the start of the projection cycle and site index. Estimated future height is obtained using estimated tree age at the start of the start of the projection cycle plus 10-years and site index.

{4.7.2.3} Used for white pine

 $H = SI / [c_0 * (1.0 - c_1 * (exp(c_2 * A)))^c_3]$

{4.7.2.4} Used for western larch

$$H = 4.5 + (c_1^* A) + (c_2^* A^2) + (c_3^* A^3) + (c_4^* A^4) + (SI - 4.5)^* [c_5 + (c_6^* A) + (c_7^* A^2) + (c_8^* A^3)] - c_9^* [c_{10} + (c_{11}^* A) + (c_{12}^* A^2) + (c_{13}^* A^3)]$$

{4.7.2.5} Used for Douglas-fir and other species

$$H = 4.5 + \exp[c_1 + (c_2 * \ln(A)) + (c_3 * \ln(A))^4] + c_4 * [c_5 + (c_6 * (1 - \exp(c_7 * A))^c_8)] + (SI - 4.5)$$

* [c_5 + c_6* (1 - exp(c_7* A)^c_8)]

{4.7.2.6} Used for grand fir

 $\begin{aligned} H &= \exp[c_0 + c_1^* \ln(A) + c_2^* (\ln(A))^4 + c_3^* (\ln(A))^9 + c_4^* (\ln(A))^{11} + c_5^* (\ln(A))^{18}] + c_{12}^* \\ &= \exp[c_6 + c_7^* \ln(A) + c_8^* (\ln(A))^2 + c_9^* (\ln(A))^7 + c_{10}^* (\ln(A))^{16} + c_{11}^* (\ln(A))^{24}] + \\ &= (SI - 4.5)^* \exp[c_6 + c_7^* \ln(A) + c_8^* (\ln(A))^2 + c_9^* (\ln(A))^7 + c_{10}^* (\ln(A))^{16} + c_{11}^* \\ &= (\ln(A))^{24}] + 4.5 \end{aligned}$

{4.7.2.7} Used for mountain hemlock

 $H = [(c_0 + (c_1 * SI)) * (1 - \exp(c_2 * SQRT(SI) * A))^{-1.37] * 3.281$

{4.7.2.8} Used for lodgepole pine

 $H = SI * [c_0 + (c_1 * A) + (c_2 * A^2)]$

{4.7.2.9} Used for Engelmann spruce

 $H = 4.5 + [(c_0 * S/^{c_1}) * (1 - \exp(-c_2 * A)) ^ (c_3 * S/^{c_4})]$

{4.7.2.10} Used for subalpine fir

 $H = SI * [c_0 + (c_1 * A) + (c_2 * A^2)]$

{4.7.2.11} Used for ponderosa pine and other softwood

 $H = [c_0 * (1 - \exp(c_1 * A))^c_2] - [(c_3 + c_4 * (1 - \exp(c_5 * A))^c_6) * c_7] + [(c_3 + c_4 * (1 - \exp(c_5 * A))^c_6) * (SI - 4.5)] + 4.5$

{4.7.2.12} Used for Pacific yew, Alaska cedar, black cottonwood and other hardwood

$$H = (SI - 4.5) / (c_0 + [c_1 / (SI - 4.5)] + [c_2 * (A)^{-1.4}] + [(c_3 / (SI - 4.5)) * (A)^{-1.4}] + 4.5$$

- *H* is estimated height of the tree
- SI is species site index
- A is estimated age of the tree
- $c_0 c_{13}$ are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.7.2.2

	Species Code						
Coefficient	WP	WL	DF	GF	МН		
C ₀	0.37504453	0	0	-0.30935	22.8741		
C1	0.92503	1.46897	-0.37496	1.2383	0.950234		
C2	-0.0207959	0.0092466	1.36164	0.001762	-0.00206465		
C3	-2.4881068	-0.00023957	-0.00243434	-0.0000054	0		
C4	0	1.1122E-06	-79.97	2.046E-07	1.365566		
C 5	0	-0.12528	-0.2828	-4.04E-13	2.045963		
C 6	0	0.039636	1.87947	-6.2056	0		
C7	0	-0.0004278	-0.022399	2.097	0		
C8	0	1.7039E-06	0.966998	-0.09411	0		
C9	0	73.57	0	-0.00004382	0		
C ₁₀	0	-0.12528	0	2.007E-11	0		
C ₁₁	0	0.039636	0	-2.054E-17	0		
C ₁₂	0	-0.0004278	0	-84.93	0		
C ₁₃	0	1.7039E-06	0	0	0		
		Species Code					
					PY, YC, CW,		
Coefficient	LP	ES	AF	PP, OS	ОН		
C 0	-0.0968	2.75780	-0.07831	128.8952205	0.6192		
C1	0.02679	0.83312	0.0149	-0.016959	-5.3394		
C 2	-0.00009309	0.015701	-0.000040818	1.23114	240.29		
C3	0	22.71944	0	-0.7864	3368.9		
C 4	0	-0.63557	0	2.49717	0		
C 5	0	0	0	-0.0045042	0		
C 6	0	0	0	0.33022	0		
C 7	0	0	0	100.43	0		
C ₈	0	0	0	0	0		
C 9	0	0	0	0	0		
C ₁₀	0	0	0	0	0		
C ₁₁	0	0	0	0	0		
C ₁₂	0	0	0	0	0		
C ₁₃	0	0	0	0	0		

Table 4.7.2.2 Coefficients (c₀-c₁₃) for height-growth equations in the BM variant.

Potential 10-year height growth (*POTHTG*) is calculated by using equation {4.7.2.13}. Then, modifiers are applied to the height growth based upon a tree's crown ratio (using equation {4.7.2.14}), and relative height and shade tolerance (using equation {4.7.2.15}). Equation {4.7.2.16} uses the Generalized Chapman – Richard's function (Donnelly et. al, 1992) to calculate a height-growth modifier. Final height growth is calculated using equation {4.7.2.17}

as a product of the modifier and potential height growth. The final height growth is then adjusted to the length of the cycle.

 $\{4.7.2.13\} POTHTG = H10 - ECH \\ \{4.7.2.14\} HGMDCR = (100 * (CR / 100)^3) * exp(-5 * (CR / 100)) bounded HGMDCR \le 1.0 \\ \{4.7.2.15\} HGMDRH = [1 + ((1 / d_1)^d_2 - 1) - 1) * exp((-1 * (d_3 / (1 - d_4)) * RELHT^(1 - d_4)]^{-1 / (d_2 - 1)})$

 $\{4.7.2.16\} \text{ } HTGMOD = (0.25 * HGMDCR) + (0.75 * HGMDRH) \text{ bounded } 0.1 \leq HTGMOD \leq 2.0$

{4.7.2.17} *HTG* = *POTHTG* * *HTGMOD*

where:

POTHTG	is potential height growth
H10	is estimated height of the tree in ten years
ECH	is estimated height of the tree at the beginning of the cycle
HGMDCR	is a height growth modifier based on crown ratio
HGMDRH	is a height growth modifier based on relative height and shade tolerance
HTGMOD	is a weighted height growth modifier
CR	is crown ratio expressed as a percent
RELHT	is tree height divided by average height of the 40 largest diameter trees in the
	stand; bounded RELHT <a>
$d_1 - d_4$	are species-specific coefficients shown in table 4.7.2.3

Table 4.7.2.3 Coefficients $(d_1 - d_4)$ for equation 4.7.2.15 in the BM variant.

	Species Code									
									PP,O	
Coefficient	WP	WL	DF	GF	MH	LP	ES	AF	S	PY
d1	0.10	0.01	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.01	0.15	0.15	0.05	0.20
d ₂	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10
d ₃	15.0	12.0	15.0	20.0	20.0	12.0	16.0	16.0	13.0	20.0
d ₄	-1.45	-1.60	-1.45	-1.10	-1.10	-1.60	-1.20	-1.20	-1.60	-1.10
	Species Code									
Coofficient	VC	CIM								

	Species Code			
Coefficient	YC	CW	ОН	
dı	0.15	0.01	0.10	
d ₂	1.10	1.10	1.10	
d₃	16.0	12.0	15.0	
d4	-1.20	-1.60	-1.45	

Whitebark pine, limber pine, and quaking aspen use Johnson's SBB (1949) method (Schreuder and Hafley, 1977) for predicting height growth. Height increment is obtained by subtracting current height from the estimated future height. If tree diameter is greater than ($C_1 + 0.1$), or tree height is greater than ($C_2 + 4.5$), where C_1 and C_2 are shown in table 4.7.2.4, parameters of

the SBB distribution cannot be calculated and height growth is set to 0.1. Otherwise, the SBB distribution "Z" parameter is estimated using equation {4.7.2.18}.

 $\{4.7.2.18\} Z = [C_4 + C_6 * FBY2 - C_7 * (C_3 + C_5 * FBY1)] * (1 - C_7^2)^{-0.5}$ FBY1 = ln[Y1/(1 - Y1)] FBY2 = ln[Y2/(1 - Y2)] Y1 = (DBH - 0.095) / C1 Y2 = (HT - 4.5) / C2

where:

HT	is tree height at the beginning of the cycle
DBH	is tree diameter at breast height at the beginning of the cycle
$C_1 - C_7$	are coefficients based on species and crown ratio class shown in table 4.7.2.4

Equation {4.7.2.19} is used to eliminate known bias in this methodology.

 $\{4.7.2.19\}$ Z = Z + $(0.1 - 0.10273 * Z + 0.00273 * Z^2)$ if Z < 0; set Z = 0

If the Z value is 2.0 or less, it is adjusted for all younger aged trees using equation {4.7.2.20}. This adjustment is done for trees with an estimated age between 11 and 39 years and a diameter less than 9.0 inches. After this calculation, the value of Z is bounded to be 2.0 or less for trees meeting these criteria.

 $\{4.7.2.20\}$ Z = Z * (0.3564 * DG) * CLOSUR * K

if CCF > 100: CLOSUR = PCT / 100 if CCF < 100: CLOSUR = 1 if CR > 75 %: K = 1.1 if CR < 75 %: K = 1.0

where:

DG	is diameter growth for the cycle
PCT	is the subject tree's percentile in the basal area distribution of the stand
CCF	is stand crown competition factor

Estimated height 10 years later is calculated using equation {4.7.2.21}, and finally, 10-year height growth is calculated by subtraction using equation {4.7.2.22} and adjusted to the cycle length.

 $\{4.7.2.21\}$ H10 = $[(PSI / (1 + PSI)) * C_2] + 4.5$

 $PSI = C_8 * [(D10 - 0.1) / (0.1 + C_1 - D10)]^{C_9} * [e(K)]$ K = Z * [(1 - C_7^2)^{0.5} / C_6]

{4.7.2.22} Height 10 years later

```
H10 > HT: POTHTG = H10 - HT
H10 \leq HT: POTHTG = 0.1
```

where:

H10	is estimated height of the tree in ten years
HT	is tree height at the beginning of the cycle
D10	is estimated diameter at breast height of the tree in ten years
POTHTG	is potential height growth
$C_1 - C_9$	are coefficients based on species and crown ratio class shown in table 4.7.2.4

Table 4.7.2.4 Coefficients in the large tree height growth model, by crown ratio, for species using the Johnson's SBB height distribution in the BM variant.

Coefficient*	WB, LM	AS
C₁ (<i>CR</i> ≤ 24)	37.0	30.0
C ₁ (25 <u><</u> CR <u><</u> 74)	45.0	30.0
C ₁ (75 <u><</u> CR <u><</u> 100)	45.0	35.0
C ₂ (<i>CR</i> <u><</u> 24)	85.0	85.0
C ₂ (25 <u><</u> CR <u><</u> 74)	100.0	85.0
C ₂ (75 <u><</u> CR <u><</u> 100)	90.0	85.0
C₃ (<i>CR</i> ≤ 24)	1.77836	2.00995
C₃ (25 <u><</u> CR <u><</u> 74)	1.66674	2.00995
C ₃ (75 <u><</u> CR <u><</u> 100)	1.64770	1.80388
C ₄ (<i>CR</i> <u><</u> 24)	-0.51147	0.03288
C ₄ (25 <u><</u> CR <u><</u> 74)	0.25626	0.03288
C ₄ (75 <u><</u> CR <u><</u> 100)	0.30546	-0.07682
C₅ (<i>CR</i> <u><</u> 24)	1.88795	1.81059
C₅ (25 <u><</u> CR <u><</u> 74)	1.45477	1.81059
C₅ (75 <u><</u> CR <u><</u> 100)	1.35015	1.70032
C ₆ (<i>CR</i> <u><</u> 24)	1.20654	1.28612
C ₆ (25 <u><</u> CR <u><</u> 74)	1.11251	1.28612
C ₆ (75 <u><</u> CR <u><</u> 100)	0.94823	1.29148
C7 (<i>CR</i> <u><</u> 24)	0.57697	0.72051
C ₇ (25 <u><</u> CR <u><</u> 74)	0.67375	0.72051
C ₇ (75 <u><</u> CR <u><</u> 100)	0.70453	0.72343
C ₈ (<i>CR</i> <u><</u> 24)	3.57635	3.00551
C ₈ (25 <u><</u> CR <u><</u> 74)	2.17942	3.00551
C ₈ (75 <u><</u> CR <u><</u> 100)	2.46480	2.91519
C ₉ (<i>CR</i> ≤ 24)	0.90283	1.01433
C ₉ (25 <u><</u> CR <u><</u> 74)	0.88103	1.01433
C ₉ (75 <u><</u> CR <u><</u> 100)	1.00316	0.95244

*CR represents percent crown ratio

For quaking aspen, if estimated tree age at the beginning of the projection cycle is greater than the expected maximum age for quaking aspen then height increment is set to 0.1 foot.

5.0 Mortality Model

The BM variant uses an SDI-based mortality model as described in Section 7.3.2 of Essential FVS: A User's Guide to the Forest Vegetation Simulator (Dixon 2002, referred to as EFVS). This SDI-based mortality model is comprised of two steps: 1) determining the amount of stand mortality (section 7.3.2.1 of EFVS) and 2) dispersing stand mortality to individual tree records (section7.3.2.2 of EFVS). In determining the amount of stand mortality, the summation of individual tree background mortality rates is used when stand density is below the minimum level for density dependent mortality (default is 55% of maximum SDI), while stand level density-related mortality rates are used when stands are above this minimum level.

The equation used to calculate individual tree background mortality rates for all species is shown in equation {5.0.1}, and this is then adjusted to the length of the cycle by using a compound interest formula as shown in equation {5.0.2}. Coefficients for these equations are shown in table 5.0.1. The overall amount of mortality calculated for the stand is the summation of the final mortality rate (*RIP*) across all live tree records.

 $\{5.0.1\} RI = [1 / (1 + exp(p_0 + p_1 * DBH))] * 0.5$

 $\{5.0.2\} RIP = 1 - (1 - RI)^{Y}$

where:

RI	is the proportion of the tree record attributed to mortality
RIP	is the final mortality rate adjusted to the length of the cycle
DBH	is tree diameter at breast height
Y	is length of the current projection cycle in years
p_0 and p_1	are species-specific coefficients shown in table 5.0.1

Table 5.0.1 Coefficients used in the background mortality equation {5.0.1} in the BM variant.

Species		
Code	\mathbf{p}_0	p ₁
WP	6.5112	-0.0052485
WL	6.5112	-0.0052485
DF	7.2985	-0.0129121
GF	5.1677	-0.0077681
MH	9.6943	-0.0127328
WJ	5.1677	-0.0077681
LP	5.9617	-0.0340128
ES	9.6943	-0.0127328
AF	5.1677	-0.0077681
PP	5.5877	-0.005348
WB	6.5112	-0.0052485
LM	6.5112	-0.0052485
PY	5.5877	-0.005348
YC	5.5877	-0.005348

Species		
Code	p 0	p 1
AS	5.1677	-0.0077681
CW	5.5877	-0.005348
OS	5.5877	-0.005348
ОН	5.9617	-0.0340128

When stand density-related mortality is in effect, the total amount of stand mortality is determined based on the trajectory developed from the relationship between stand SDI and the maximum SDI for the stand. This is explained in section 7.3.2.1 of EFVS.

Once the amount of stand mortality is determined based on either the summation of background mortality rates or density-related mortality rates, mortality is dispersed to individual tree records in relation to either a tree's DBH or percentile in the basal area distribution (PCT) using equations {5.0.3} or {5.0.4}. This value is then adjusted by a species-specific mortality modifier representing the species shade tolerance shown in equation {5.0.5}.

The mortality model makes multiple passes through the tree records multiplying a record's trees-per-acre value times the final mortality rate (*MORT*), accumulating the results, and reducing the trees-per-acre representation until the desired mortality level has been reached. If the stand still exceeds the basal area maximum sustainable on the site the mortality rates are proportionally adjusted to reduce the stand to the specified basal area maximum.

{5.0.3} Used for western white pine, western larch, Douglas-fir, grand fir, mountain hemlock,

lodgepole pine, Engelmann spruce, subalpine fir, ponderosa pine, and other softwood

MR = [14.94435 – (0.69929 * *DBH*) + (0.00868 * *DBH*^2)] * 0.001

{5.0.4} Used for western juniper, whitebark pine, limber pine, Pacific yew, Alaska cedar, quaking

Aspen, black cottonwood, and other hardwood

 $MR = [0.84525 - (0.01074 * PCT) + (0.0000002 * PCT^3)] * 0.01$

{5.0.5} *MORT* = *MR* * *SPADJ*

where:

MR	is the proportion of the tree record attributed to mortality (bounded: $0.01 \le MR$
	<u>≤</u> 1)
DBH	is tree diameter at breast height
PCT	is the subject tree's percentile in the basal area distribution of the stand
MORT	is the final mortality rate of the tree record
SPADJ	is the species specific shade tolerance adjustment shown in table 5.0.2

Table 5.0.2 Shade tolerance adjustment (*SPADJ*) used in the density-related mortality equation {5.0.5} in the BM variant.

Species	
Code	SPADJ
WP	1.0

Species	
Code	SPADJ
WL	1.0
DF	1.0
GF	1.0
MH	1.0
WJ	1.1
LP	1.0
ES	1.0
AF	1.0
PP	1.0
WB	0.8
LM	0.8
PY	0.5
YC	0.5
AS	1.3
CW	0.85
OS	1.0
ОН	1.0

6.0 Regeneration

The BM variant contains a partial establishment model which may be used to input regeneration and ingrowth into simulations. A more detailed description of how the partial establishment model works can be found in section 5.4.5 of the Essential FVS Guide (Dixon 2002).

The regeneration model is used to simulate stand establishment from bare ground, or to bring seedlings and sprouts into a simulation with existing trees. Sprouts are automatically added to the simulation following harvest or burning of known sprouting species (see table 6.0.1 for sprouting species).

Species Code	Sprouting Species	Minimum Bud Width (in)	Minimum Tree Height (ft)	Maximum Tree Height (ft)
WP	No	0.4	0.9	23
WL	No	0.3	1.7	27
DF	No	0.3	1.0	21
GF	No	0.3	1.0	21
MH	No	0.2	0.5	22
WJ	No	0.3	0.5	6
LP	No	0.4	1.3	24
ES	No	0.3	0.5	18
AF	No	0.3	0.5	18
PP	No	0.5	1.0	17
WB	No	0.4	1.0	23
LM	No	0.4	1.0	9
PY	Yes	0.2	1.0	20
YC	No	0.2	1.0	20
AS	Yes	0.2	6.0	16
CW	Yes	0.2	1.0	20
OS	No	0.5	1.0	17
OH	No	0.2	1.0	20

Table 6.0.1 Regeneration parameters by species in the BM variant.

One sprout record is created for Pacific yew, two sprout records for quaking aspen and logic rule {6.0.1} is used to determine the number of sprout records for black cottonwood. The trees-per-acre represented by each sprout record is determined using the general sprouting probability equation {6.0.2}. See table 6.0.2 for species-specific sprouting probabilities, number of sprout records created, and reference information.

Users wanting to modify or turn off automatic sprouting can do so with the SPROUT or NOSPROUT keywords, respectively. Sprouts are not subject to maximum and minimum tree heights found in table 6.0.1 and do not need to be grown to the end of the cycle because estimated heights and diameters are end of cycle values.

{6.0.1} For black cottonwood:

 $DSTMP_i \le 5: NUMSPRC = 1$ $5 < DSTMP_i \le 10: NUMSPRC = NINT(-1.0 + 0.4 * DSTMP_i)$ $DSTMP_i > 10: NUMSPRC = 3$

 $\{6.0.2\}$ TPA_s = TPA_i * PS

 $\{6.0.3\} PS = (TPA_i / (ASTPAR * 2)) * ((ASBAR / 198) * (40100.45 - 3574.02 * RSHAG ^ 2 + 554.02 * RSHAG ^ 3 - 3.5208 * RSHAG ^ 5 + 0.011797 * RSHAG ^ 7))$

where:

DSTMP _i	is the diameter at breast height of the parent tree
NUMSPRC	is the number of sprout tree records
NINT	rounds the value to the nearest integer
TPAs	is the trees per acre represented by each sprout record
TPA _i	is the trees per acre removed/killed represented by the parent tree
PS	is a sprouting probability (see table 6.0.2)
ASBAR	is the aspen basal area removed
ASTPAR	is the aspen trees per acre removed
RSHAG	is the age of the sprouts at the end of the cycle in which they were created

Species	Sprouting	Number of	
Code	Probability	Sprout Records	Source
РҮ	0.4	1	Minore 1996
PT		T	0.4 1
AS	{6.0.3}	2	Keyser 2001
CINI	0.9	(6.0.1)	Gom and Rood 2000
CW		{6.0.1}	Steinberg 2001

Regeneration of seedlings must be specified by the user with the partial establishment model by using the PLANT or NATURAL keywords. Height of the seedlings is estimated in two steps. First, the height is estimated when a tree is 5 years old (or the end of the cycle – whichever comes first) by using the small-tree height growth equations found in section 4.6.1. Users may override this value by entering a height in field 6 of the PLANT or NATURAL keyword; however the height entered in field 6 is not subject to minimum height restrictions and seedlings as small as 0.05 feet may be established. The second step also uses the equations in section 4.6.1, which grow the trees in height from the point five years after establishment to the end of the cycle.

Seedlings and sprouts are passed to the main FVS model at the end of the growth cycle in which regeneration is established. Unless noted above, seedlings being passed are subject to minimum and maximum height constraints and a minimum budwidth constraint shown in table 6.0.1. After seedling height is estimated, diameter growth is estimated using equations described in section 4.6.2. Crown ratios on newly established trees are estimated as described in section 4.3.1.

Regenerated trees and sprouts can be identified in the treelist output file with tree identification numbers beginning with the letters "ES".

7.0 Volume

In the BM variant, volume is calculated for three merchantability standards: total stem cubic feet, merchantable stem cubic feet, and merchantable stem board feet (Scribner). Volume estimation is based on methods contained in the National Volume Estimator Library maintained by the Forest Products Measurements group in the Forest Management Service Center (Volume Estimator Library Equations 2009). The default volume merchantability standards and equation numbers for the BM variant are shown in tables 7.0.1 - 7.0.3.

Merchantable Cubic Foot Volume Specifications:				
Minimum DBH / Top Diameter	LP	All Other Species		
All location codes	6.0 / 4.5 inches	7.0 / 4.5 inches		
Stump Height	1.0 foot	1.0 foot		
Merchantable Board Foot Volume Specifications:				
Minimum DBH / Top Diameter	LP	All Other Species		
All location codes	6.0 / 4.5 inches	7.0 / 4.5 inches		
Stump Height	1.0 foot	1.0 foot		

Table 7.0.2 Volume equation defaults for each species, at specific location codes, with model
name.

		Equation	
Common Name	Location Codes	Number	Model Name
western white pine	All	616BEHW119	Behre's Hyperbola
western larch	604	616BEHW073	Behre's Hyperbola
western larch	607	I12FW2W073	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
western larch	614	I13FW2W202	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
western larch	616	I13FW2W073	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
Douglas-fir	604, 607	I12FW2W202	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
Douglas-fir	614	I13FW2W017	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
Douglas-fir	616	I11FW2W202	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
grand fir	616	I11FW2W017	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
grand fir	604, 607	I12FW2W017	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
grand fir	614	I13FW2W017	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
mountain hemlock	All	616BEHW264	Behre's Hyperbola
western juniper	All	616BEHW064	Behre's Hyperbola
lodgepole pine	604, 607	I12FW2W108	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
lodgepole pine	614, 616	100FW2W108	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
Engelmann spruce	604, 607	616BEHW093	Behre's Hyperbola
Engelmann spruce	614	100FW2W093	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
Engelmann spruce	616	I11FW2W093	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
subalpine fir	604, 607, 616	616BEHW019	Behre's Hyperbola

_		Equation	
Common Name	Location Codes	Number	Model Name
subalpine fir	614	100FW2W019	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
ponderosa pine	604, 607	I12FW2W122	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
ponderosa pine	614	I13FW2W122	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
ponderosa pine	616	I11FW2W122	Flewelling 2-Point Profile Model
whitebark pine	All	616BEHW101	Behre's Hyperbola
limber pine	All	616BEHW113	Behre's Hyperbola
Pacific yew	All	616BEHW231	Behre's Hyperbola
Alaska cedar	All	616BEHW042	Behre's Hyperbola
quaking aspen	All	616BEHW746	Behre's Hyperbola
black cottonwood	All	616BEHW747	Behre's Hyperbola
other softwood	All	616BEHW299	Behre's Hyperbola
other hardwood	All	616BEHW998	Behre's Hyperbola

Table 7.0.3 Citations by Volume Model

Model Name	Citation
Behre's	USFS-R6 Sale Preparation and Valuation Section of Diameter and Volume
Hyperbola	Procedures - R6 Timber Cruise System. 1978.
Flewelling 2-	Unpublished. Based on work presented by Flewelling and Raynes. 1993.
Point Profile	Variable-shape stem-profile predictions for western hemlock. Canadian
Model	Journal of Forest Research Vol 23. Part I and Part II.

8.0 Fire and Fuels Extension (FFE-FVS)

The Fire and Fuels Extension to the Forest Vegetation Simulator (FFE-FVS) (Reinhardt and Crookston 2003) integrates FVS with models of fire behavior, fire effects, and fuel and snag dynamics. This allows users to simulate various management scenarios and compare their effect on potential fire hazard, surface fuel loading, snag levels, and stored carbon over time. Users can also simulate prescribed burns and wildfires and get estimates of the associated fire effects such as tree mortality, fuel consumption, and smoke production, as well as see their effect on future stand characteristics. FFE-FVS, like FVS, is run on individual stands, but it can be used to provide estimates of stand characteristics such as canopy base height and canopy bulk density when needed for landscape-level fire models.

For more information on FFE-FVS and how it is calibrated for the BM variant, refer to the updated FFE-FVS model documentation (Rebain, comp. 2010) available on the FVS website.

9.0 Insect and Disease Extensions

FVS Insect and Pathogen models for dwarf mistletoe and western root disease have been developed for the BM variant through the participation and contribution of various organizations led by Forest Health Protection. These models are currently maintained by the Forest Management Service Center and regional Forest Health Protection specialists. Additional details regarding each model may be found in chapter 8 of the Essential FVS Users Guide (Dixon 2002).

10.0 Literature Cited

- Alexander, R.R. 1967. Site Indices for Engelmann Spruce. Res. Pap. RM-32. Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 7 p.
- Alexander, R.R., Tackle, D., and Dahms, W.G. 1967. Site Indices for Lodgepole Pine with Corrections for Stand Density Methodology. Res. Pap. RM-29. Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 18 p.
- Arney, J. D. 1985. A modeling strategy for the growth projection of managed stands. Canadian Journal of Forest Research. 15(3):511-518.
- Barrett, James W. 1978. Height growth and site index curves for managed, even-aged stands of ponderosa pine in the Pacific Northwest. Res. Pap. PNW-232. Portland, OR: Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 14 p.
- Bechtold, William A. 2004. Largest-crown-diameter Prediction Models for 53 Species in the Western United States. WJAF. Forest Service. 19(4): pp 241-245.
- Brickell, James E. 1970. Equations and Computer subroutines for Estimating Site Quality of Eight Rocky Mountain Species. Res. Pap. INT-75. Ogden, UT: Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 24 p.
- Burns, R. M., & Honkala, B. H. 1990. Silvics of North America: 1. Conifers; 2. Hardwoods Agriculture Handbook 654. US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Washington, DC.
- Cochran, P.H. 1979a. Site index and height growth curves for managed, even-aged stands of Douglas-fir east of the Cascades in Oregon and Washington. Res. Pap. PNW-251.
 Portland, OR: Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 16 p.
- Cochran, P.H. 1979b. Site index and height growth curves for managed, even-aged stands of white or grand fir east of the Cascades in Oregon and Washington. Res. Pap. PNW-252.
 Portland, OR: Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 13 p.
- Cochran, P. H. 1985. Site index, height growth, normal yields, and stocking levels for larch in Oregon and Washington. Res. Note PNW-424. Portland, OR: Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 13 p.
- Cole, D. M.; Stage, A. R. 1972. Estimating future diameters of lodgepole pine. Res. Pap. INT-131. Ogden, UT: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 20p.
- Crookston, Nicholas L. 2003. Internal document on file. Data provided from Region 1. Moscow, ID: Forest Service.
- Crookston, Nicholas L. 2005. Draft: Allometric Crown Width Equations for 34 Northwest United States Tree Species Estimated Using Generalized Linear Mixed Effects Models.

Crookston, Nicholas L. 2008. Internal Report.

- Curtis, Robert O. 1967. Height-diameter and height-diameter-age equations for second-growth Douglas-fir. Forest Science 13(4):365-375.
- Curtis, Robert O., Herman, Francis R., and Demars, Donald J. 1974. Height growth and site index for Douglas-fir in high-elevation forests of the Oregon-Washington Cascades. Forest Science 20(4):307-316.
- Dahms, Walter. 1964. Gross and net yield tables for lodgepole pine. Res. Pap. PNW-8. Portland, OR: Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 14 p.
- DeMars, Donald J., Herman, Francis R., and Bell, John F. 1970. Preliminary site index curves for noble fir From stem analysis data. Portland, OR: Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Res. Note PNW-119. 9p.
- Dixon, G. E. 1985. Crown ratio modeling using stand density index and the Weibull distribution. Internal Rep. Fort Collins, CO: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Management Service Center. 13p.
- Dixon, Gary E. comp. 2002 (revised frequently). Essential FVS: A user's guide to the Forest Vegetation Simulator. Internal Rep. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Management Service Center.
- Donnelly, Dennis. 1996. Internal document on file. Data provided from Region 6. Fort Collins, CO: Forest Service.
- Donnelly, Dennis M., Betters, David R., Turner, Matthew T., and Gaines, Robert E. 1992.
 Thinning even-aged forest stands: Behavior of singular path solutions in optimal control analyses. Res. Pap. RM-307. Fort Collins, CO: Forest Service. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 12 p.
- Edminster, Carleton B., Mowrer, Todd H., and Shepperd, Wayne D. 1985. Site index curves for aspen in the central Rocky Mountains. Res. Note. RM-453. Fort Collins, CO: Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 4p.
- Unpublished. Based on work presented by Flewelling and Raynes. 1993. Variable-shape stemprofile predictions for western hemlock. Canadian Journal of Forest Research Vol 23. Part I and Part II.
- Gom, L. A., & Rood, S. B. (2000). Fire induces clonal sprouting of riparian cottonwoods. Canadian Journal of Botany, 77(11), 1604-1616.
- Hall, Frederick C. 1983. Growth basal area: a field method for appraising forest site productivity for stockability. Can. J. For. Res. 13:70-77.
- Johnson, N.L. 1949. Bivariate distributions based on simple translation systems. Biometrika 36:297-304.
- Keyser, C.E. 2001. Quaking Aspen Sprouting in Western FVS Variants: A New Approach. Unpublished Manuscript.

- Krajicek, J.; Brinkman, K.; Gingrich, S. 1961. Crown competition a measure of density. Forest Science. 7(1):35-42
- Means, J.F., M.H. Campbell, and Johnson, G.P. 1986. Preliminary height growth and site index curves for mountain hemlock. FIR Report, Vol 10, No.1. Corvallis, OR: Oregon State University.
- Minore, D., & Weatherly, H. G. (1996). Stump sprouting of Pacific yew. General Technical Report. PNW-GTR-378. Portland, Or.: U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Pacific Northwest Research Station.
- Paine, D.P., and Hann, D.W. 1982. Maximum Crown Width Equations for Southwestern Oregon Tree Species. Res. Pap. 46. Corvallis, OR: Oregon State University, Forest Research Laboratory. 20 p.
- Powell, D.C. 2009. Updates of Maximum Stand Density Index and Site Index for the Blue Mountains Variant of the Forest Vegetation Simulator. Internal Rep. Pendleton, OR: US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Umatilla National Forest. 30p.
- Rebain, Stephanie A. comp. 2010 (revised frequently). The Fire and Fuels Extension to the Forest Vegetation Simulator: Updated Model Documentation. Internal Rep. Fort Collins, CO: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Management Service Center. 379 p.
- Reinhardt, Elizabeth; Crookston, Nicholas L. (Technical Editors). 2003. The Fire and Fuels
 Extension to the Forest Vegetation Simulator. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-116. Ogden,
 UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station.
 209 p.
- Schreuder, H.T. and W.L. Hafley. 1977. A Useful Distribution for Describing Stand Structure of Tree Heights and Diameters. Biometrics 33, 471-478.
- Shepperd, Wayne D. 1995. Unpublished equation. Data on file. Fort Collins, CO: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station.
- Stage, A. R. 1973. Prognosis Model for stand development. Res. Paper INT-137. Ogden, UT: U.
 S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 32p.
- Steinberg, Peter D. 2001. Populus balsamifera subsp. trichocarpa. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer).
- USFS-R6 Sale Preparation and Valuation Section of Diameter and Volume Procedures R6 Timber Cruise System. 1978.
- Van Dyck, Michael G.; Smith-Mateja, Erin E., comps. 2000 (revised frequently). Keyword reference guide for the Forest Vegetation Simulator. Internal Rep. Fort Collins, CO: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Management Service Center.

- Wykoff, W. R. 1990. A basal area increment model for individual conifers in the northern Rocky Mountains. For. Science 36(4): 1077-1104.
- Wykoff, William R., Crookston, Nicholas L., and Stage, Albert R. 1982. User's guide to the Stand Prognosis Model. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-133. Ogden, UT: Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 112p.

11.0 Appendices

11.1 Appendix A. Distribution of Data Samples

The following tables contain distribution information of data used to fit species relationships in this variant's geographic region (information from original variant overview).

Table 11.1.1. Distribution of samples by National Forest, expressed in whole percent of total observations for each species.

		Nationa	al Forest		Total Number
		Ochara		Wallowa-	of
Species	Malheur	Ochoco	Umatilla	Whitman	Observations
western larch	14	18	51	17	1209
Douglas-fir	28	13	36	22	3478
grand fir	27	16	40	18	2963
lodgepole pine	33	13	34	20	1117
Engelmann spruce	6	6	66	23	596
subalpine fir	11	8	48	32	599
ponderosa pine	44	25	20	12	6577

Table 11.1.2. Distribution of samples for diameter breast high, expressed in whole percent of total observations for each species.

	DBH Range											
Species	0-5	0-5 5-10 10-15 15-20 20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40 4										
western larch	0	26	24	19	13	8	6	3	2			
Douglas-fir	0	26	28	18	12	7	4	3	2			
grand fir	0	28	26	18	11	8	6	2	2			
lodgepole pine	<1	73	23	3	1	<1	0	0	0			
Engelmann spruce	0	22	22	23	14	9	6	3	2			
subalpine fir	0	42	29	16	10	3	<1	0	0			
ponderosa pine	<1	22	19	15	15	13	9	5	3			

Table 11.1.3. Distribution of samples by Crown Ratio group, expressed in whole percent of total observations for each species.

			Crown C	ode (1=1	L-10,2=1	1-20,,9	=81-100)		
Species	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
western larch	2	10	26	28	19	11	4	1	0
Douglas-fir	1	3	7	16	21	22	16	11	4
grand fir	0	3	9	15	21	21	17	10	40
lodgepole pine	4	19	32	18	13	8	3	3	1
Engelmann spruce	0	2	6	12	17	22	19	15	6
subalpine fir	0	2	7	9	16	22	24	16	5

		Crown Code (1=1-10,2=11-20,,9=81-100)									
Species	1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9									
ponderosa pine	0	0 2 8 18 27 25 13 5 1									

Table 11.1.4. Distribution of samples by Aspect Code, expressed in percent of totalobservations for each species.

				А	spect Coo	de			
Species	North	North- east	East	South- east	South	South- west	West	North- west	Level
western larch	26	17	10	7	5	5	13	11	7
Douglas-fir	21	13	12	6	10	6	13	11	7
grand fir	19	16	10	8	8	7	14	12	7
lodgepole pine	21	10	9	9	5	9	14	10	14
Engelmann spruce	21	15	7	8	8	4	8	16	13
subalpine fir	19	10	11	9	14	6	9	15	10
ponderosa pine	9	10	9	11	16	14	12	7	13

Table 11.1.5. Distribution of samples by Slope Code, expressed in percent of totalobservations for each species.

		Slope code											
Species	< <u>5</u>	<5 6-15 16-25 26-35 36-45 46-55 56-65 66-75 76-85 >86											
western larch	10	24	23	17	10	9	5	3	0	0			
Douglas-fir	9	18	18	13	13	12	10	6	0	0			
grand fir	10	20	22	15	12	10	7	3	1	0			
lodgepole pine	22	34	22	13	5	4	1	1	0	-			
Engelmann spruce	16	31	23	9	10	6	4	1	0	-			
subalpine fir	12	38	17	15	9	5	2	-	4	-			
ponderosa pine	19	28	21	12	9	6	3	1	0	0			

Table 11.1.6. Distribution of samples by total stand basal area per acre, expressed in percent of total for each species.

		Basal Area										
		50- 100- 150- 200- 250- 3						350-				
Species	0-50	100	150	200	250	300	350	400	<u>> 400</u>			
western larch	-	<1	7	35	45	13	-	-	-			
Douglas-fir	2	21	35	21	13	6	2	<1	<1			
grand fir	1	10	21	25	25	14	4	1	1			
lodgepole pine	2	9	35	33	14	6	1	<1	-			
Engelmann spruce	1	5	12	21	27	25	10	1	-			
subalpine fir	-	3	11	24	30	24	7	2	-			
ponderosa pine	3	36	41	15	4	1	0	0	0			

		Diameter Growth (inches/10 years)										
Species	< 0.5	< 0.5 0.5-1.0 1.0-1.5 1.5-2.0 2.0-2.5 2.5-3.0 3.0-3.5										
western larch	39	37	17	5	2	-	<1	-				
Douglas-fir	15	32	26	14	8	3	2	1				
grand fir	10	31	30	16	7	3	2	1				
lodgepole pine	31	43	18	5	2	<1	<1	<1				
Engelmann spruce	11	36	28	12	8	2	3	2				
subalpine fir	22	42	22	9	3	1	<1	-				
ponderosa pine	27	37	22	9	3	1	<1	<1				

Table 11.1.7. Distribution of samples by diameter growth, expressed in percent for each species.

 Table 11.1.8. Distribution of samples by elevation, expressed in percent for each species.

		Elevation										
Species	< 2000	2000-3000	3000-4000	4000-5000	5000-6000	<u>></u> 6000						
western larch	-	<1	7	35	45	13						
Douglas-fir	-	1	10	41	40	9						
grand fir	-	<1	4	31	47	17						
lodgepole pine	-	-	1	16	62	20						
Engelmann spruce	-	<1	2	31	39	28						
subalpine fir	-	-	-	8	44	49						
ponderosa pine	-	<1	5	39	53	3						

11.2 Appendix B. Plant Association Codes

Table 11.2.1 Plant association codes recognized in the BM variant.

FVS Seq. Num. = PA Type	PA Name	Alpha Code	SP	Site SP	Site Index	Site Source	Max SDI	Max SDI Source	Reference
		CAG111	DF		48	Р			
			WL		65	Р			
1 = ABLA2/CAGE	Subalpine fir/elk sedge		LP	Х	78	Р	346	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 37
	Ļ		ES		66	Р			57
			AF		62	Р	465	Р	
		CAG4	DF		56	Р			
2 =ABLA2/STOC	Subalpine fir/western		LP	Х	78	Р	346	Р	R6-ERW-TP-036-92
Z = ABLAZ/STUC	featherbells		ES		64	Р			KO-EKW-1P-030-92
			AF		48	Р	465	Р	
		CDG111	PP	Х	77	Р	278	Р	
3 = PSME/CAGE-BLUE	Douglas-fir/elk sedge		DF		52	Р	351	Р	R6 E TP-036-92 , p.
3 = PSIVIE/CAGE-BLUE	(Blue Mountains)		WL		59	Р			93
	(GF		62	Р			
4 = PSNE/(ARU-RUE)		CDG112	PP	Х	83	Р	329	Р	
	Douglas-fir/pinegrass (Blue Mountains)		DF		53	Р	330	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 91
			WL		55	Р			91

FVS Seq. Num.	54.41	Alpha		Site	Site	Site	Max	Max	5.6
= PA Type	PA Name	Code	SP	SP	Index	Source	SDI	SDI Source	Reference
			GF		48	Р			
		CDG121	PP	х	86	P	451	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
5 = PSME/CARU	Douglas-fir/pinegrass		DF		55	Р	475	Р	93
		CDS611	PP		86	Р	425	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
6 = PSME/HODI	Douglas-fir/oceanspray		DF	Х	64	Р	319	Р	85
	Douglas-fir/common	CDS622	PP	Х	84	Р	416	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
7 = PSME/SYAL-WALLO	snowberry (Wallowa)		DF		60	Р	475	Р	358
8 = PSME/SYOR-WALLO	Douglas-fir/mountain	CDS623	PP	Х	90	Р	451	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
8 - FSINIE/STOR-WALLO	snowberry (Wallowa)		DF		55	Р			365
	Develop fin / service on	CDS624	PP		81	Р	341	Р	
9 = PSME/SYAL-BLUE	Douglas fir/common snowberry (Blue		DF	х	61	Р	390	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
	Mountains)		WL				256	Р	87
			GF		70	Р			
10= PSME/SPBE	Douglas-fir/spiraea	CDS634	PP	Х	82	Р	441	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
10 10112/01/02	2008.00		DF		61	Р	464	Р	352
		CDS711	PP		87	Р	343	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
11 = PSME/PHMA-BLUE	Douglas-fir/ninebark		DF	Х	59	Р	281	Р	83
			WL		64	Р	320	Р	
12 = PSME/ACGL-	Douglas-fir/Rocky Mountain maple-	CDS722	DF	Х	64	Р	346	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
PHMA	ninebark		PP		96	Р	351	Р	339
	Douglas-fir/big	CDS821	PP		92	Р	241	Р	
13 = PSME/VAME-BLUE	huckleberry (Blue		DF	х	53	Р	229	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 81
	Mountains)			~					
		CEF221	WL	~	62	P	348	P	
14 = ABLA2/LIBO2	Subalpine fir/twinflower		LP	Х	65	P	333	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p. 268
			ES		67	P	538	P	208
		055244	AF	× ×	40	P	488	P	
		CEF311	LP ES	Х	65 69	P P	346 586	P P	
15 = ABLA2/STAM	Subalpine fir/twisted stalk		GF		57	P P	060	P	R6 E TP-255-86, p. 275
	Stand		AF		65	P	443	Р	275
	C. halaina C. (Calaa	CEF331	LP	х	65	P	346	P	
16 = ABLA2/TRCA3-	Subalpine fir/false bugbane (Blue	CLI 331	ES	Λ	60	P	430	P	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
BLUE	Mountains)		AF			•	478	P	25
		CEF411	DF		59	Р	475	P	
			WL				513	P	
			LP	Х	65	Р	346	P	R6-ECOL-TP-
17 = ABLA2/POPU	Subalpine fir/Woodrush		ES	1	58	P	568	P	255A86
			GF		54	Р			
			AF		54	Р	483	Р	
18 = PIEN/CAEU	Engelmann spruce/widefruit sedge	CEM111	ES	х	80		635	н	R6 E TP-279-87, p. 55
19 = PIEN/EQAR-STRO	Engelmann spruce/common horsetail-rosy twisted stalk	CEM221	ES	x	90		712	н	R6 E TP-279-87, p. 57
20 = PIEN/CLUN	Engelmann spruce/queen's cup beadlily	CEM222	ES	x	15		842	Н	R6 E Tp-279-87, p. 49
21 = PIEN/VAOC2-FORB	Englemann spruce/bog blueberry/forb	CEM311	ES	х	85		643	н	R6 E TP-004-88,p. 59

FVS Seq. Num. = PA Type		Alpha		Site	Site	Site	Max SDI	Max	
	PA Name	Code	SP	SP	Index			SDI Source	Reference
22 = PIEN/VAOC2/CAEU	Engelmann spruce/bog blueberry/ widefruit sedge	CEM312	ES	x	76		444	н	R6 E TP-006-88, p. 45
	Jeuge	CES131	PP				379	Р	
			WL	х	83	Р	414	Р	
23 = ABLA2/CLUN	Subalpine fir/queen's cup beadily		ES		72	Р	586	Р	R6 E TP-255-86 , p. 262
	cup beauly		GF		77	Р	681	Р	202
			AF		69	Р	429	Р	
		CES221	DF		56	Р			
24 = ABLA2/MEFE	Subalpine fir/fool's		LP	х	65	Р	346	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
24 - ADLAZ/ MILI L	huckleberry		ES				460	Р	238
			AF				410	Р	
		CES311	WL		63	Р	478	Р	
25 = ABLA2/VAME-	Subalpine fir/big	-	LP				319	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
BLUE	huckleberry (Blue	-	ES		58	Р	478	Р	33
	Mountains)		GF		72	Р			
			AF	Х	51	Р	331	Р	
	Subalpine fir/queen's	CES314	WL	Х	79	Р	513	Р	
26 = ABLA2/CLUN-BLUE	cup beadily (Blue Mountains)		ES		69	Р	586	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 27
, 2202			GF		69	Р			
			AF		53	Р	520	Р	
27 = ABLA2/VAME- WALLO	Subalpine fir/big huckleberry (Wallowa)	CES315	DF		55	Р	475	Р	
			WL		62	Р	460	Р	
			LP	Х	82	P P	346	P	R6 E TP-255-86, p. 253
			ES		65		573	Р	
			GF AF		55 63	P P	425	Р	
		CES411	DF		03	P	425	P P	
		CE3411	WL		46	Р	458	P P	
	C. halaina C. Januar		LP	х	66	P	346	P	
28 = ABLA2/VASC-BLUE	Subalpine fir/grouse huckleberry (Blue		ES	~	53	P	458	P	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
	Mountains)		GF		61	P	430	1	35
	,		AF		44	P	456	Р	
			WB		19	P			
		CES414	DF		64	P			
			WL		58	Р	513	Р	
			LP		66	Р			R6 E TP-036-92, p.
29 = ABLA2/LIBO2	Subalpine fir/twinflower		ES		60	Р	474	Р	29
			GF		52	Р			1
			AF	Х	53	Р	419	Р	1
		CES415	DF				475	Р	
			WL		51	Р	513	Р	1
30 =	Subalpine fir/grouse		LP	х	70	Р	346	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
ABLA2/VASC/POPU	huckleberry/skunk- leaved polem		ES		57	Р	568	Р	244
	ieaveu polem		GF		51	Р			1
			AF		48	Р	483	Р	
31 = PICO/LIBO2	Lodgepole	CLF211	WL		55	Р			R6 E TP-255-86, p.
51 - FICU/LIBUZ	pine/twinflower		LP	Х	72	Р	690	C	305
32 = PICO/CARU-VASC	Lodgepole pine/pinegrass-grouse huckleberry	CLG211	LP	x	39		395	Н	R6 AG 3-1-73, p. 34

FVS Seq. Num.		Alpha		Site	Site	Site	Max	Max	
= PA Type	PA Name	Code	SP	SP	Index	Source	SDI	SDI Source	Reference
33 = PICO/POPR	Lodgepole pine/Kentucky bluegrass	CLM112	PP	x	97		538	н	R6 E TP-279-87, p. 29
34 = PICO/CAEU	Lodgepole pine/widefruit sedge	CLM113	LP	х	57		491	н	R6 E TP-279-87, p. 41
35 = PICO/CAAQ	Lodgepole pine/aquatic sedge	CLM114	LP	х	45		549	н	R6 E TP-279-87, p. 43
36 = PICO/VAOC2/CAEU	Lodgepole pine/bog blueberry/widefruit sedge	CLM312	LP	x	54		466	н	R6 E TP-279-87, p. 39
37 = PICO/SPDO/FORB	Lodgepole pine/Douglas spiraea/forb	CLM313	LP	х	51		558	Н	R6 E TP-279-87, p. 33
38 = PICO/SPDO/CAEU	Lodgepole pine/Douglas spiraea/widefruit sedge	CLM314	LP	х	59		519	Н	R6 E TP-279-87, p. 35
39 = PICO-PIEN/ELPA2	Lodgepole pine- Engelmann spruce/few- flow spikerush	CLM911	LP	х	35		495	С	R6 E TP-279-87, p. 45
40 = PICO/VASC-BLUE	Lodgepole pine/grouse huckleberry (Blue Mountains)	CLS411	LP	х	34		331	Н	R6 AG 3-1-73, p. 36
		CLS415	WL		45	Р			
41 = PICO/VASC/POPU-	Lodgepole pine/grouse huckleberry/skunk-		LP	х	61	Р	785	С	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
WALLO	leaved polem		ES		52	Р			250
			AF		42	Р			
	Lodgepole pine/pinegrass	CLS416	PP		78	Р			
42 = PICO/CARU			DF		53	Р			R6 E TP-036-92, p.
			WL		55	Р			79
		CLCE	LP	Х	66	Р	279	P	
		CLS5	PP DF		55	Р	456 475	P P	
42			WL		52	Р	475	P P	
43 = PICO(ABGR)/VAME-	Lodgepole pine/thinleaf		LP	х	67	P	346	P	R6-ERW-TP-036-92
LIBO2	huckleberry/pinegrass		ES	~	56	P	499	P	10 2100 11 000 02
			GF		52	P	645	P	
			AF				466	Р	
44 = PICO/VAME-BLUE	Lodgepole pine/big huckleberry (Blue Mountains)	CLS511	LP	x	30	Р	348	н	R6 AG 3-1-73, p. 35
	La deservata inter dete	CLS515	WL		46	Р			
45 = PICO/VAME- WALLO	Lodgepole pine/big huckleberry (Wallowa)		LP	х	65	Р	414	Н	R6 E TP-255-86, p. 259
WALLO	nuckieberry (wallowa)		ES		46	Р			235
		CLS6	DF				475	Р	
	Lodgepole pine/Sitka		WL		59	Р	513	Р	
46 = PICO(ABGR)/ALSI	alders		LP	Х	65	Р	346	Р	R6-ERW-TP-036-92
			ES				586	P	
		Ch 46424	GF	.,	60		700	P	
17 TOLIE 4	Mountain	CMS131	LP	Х	68	Р	283	P	
47 = TSME/VASC- WALLO	hemlock/grouse		ES AF				371 520	P P	R6 E TP-255-86, p.230
	huckleberry (Wallowa)	<u> </u>	MH		16	Р	610	P C	
		CMS231	LP	х	68	P	283	P	
48 = TSME/VAME-	Mountain hemlock/big		ES				371	P	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
WALLO	Mountain hemlock/big huckleberry (Wallowa)		AF				520	P	230
			МН	t	15	Р	745	С	1

FVS Seq. Num.	PA Name	Alpha	SP	Site	Site	Site	Max	Max SDI	Reference
– PA Type	FANdine	Code	51	SP	Index	Source	SDI	Source	Reference
/1	Ponderosa	CPG111	PP	Х	72	Р	166	Р	
49 = PIPO/AGSP-BLUE	pine/bluebunch wheatgrass (Blue Mountains)		DF GF		52 69	P P			R6 E TP-036-92, p. 121
50 = PIPO/FEID-BLUE	Ponderosa pine/Idaho fescue (Blue Mountains)	CPG112	PP DF	Х	74 59	P P	243	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 119
51 = PIPO/FEID-WALLO	Ponderosa pine/Idaho fescue (Wallowa)	CPG131	PP DF	х	79 57	P P	259	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p. 378
52 = PIPO-AGSP- WALLO	Ponderoas Pine/bluebunch wheatgrass (Wallowa)	CPG132	PP DF	х	77 62	P P	233	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p. 383
	Ponderosa	CPG221	PP	х	77	Р	456	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
53 = PIPO/CARU	pine/pinegrass		DF		55	P P			107
		CPG222	GF PP	х	66 73	P P	251	Р	
54 = PIPO/CAGE	Ponderosa pine/elk sedge		DF		51	P			R6 E TP-036-92, p. 109
			LP		70	Р			109
55 = PIPO/ELGL	Ponderosa pine/blue wildrye	CPM111	PP	х	80	?	235	н	R6 AG 3-1-73, p. 28
56 = PIPO/ARTR/FEID- AGSP	Ponderosa Pine/mtn big sagebrush/ID fescue- wheatgrass	CPS131	РР	x	73	Ρ	238	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 117
57 = PIPO/PUTR/CARO	Ponderosa pine/bitterbrush/Ross' edge	CPS221	РР	х	74	Ρ	304	Ρ	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 111
58 = PIPO/PUTR/CAGE	Ponderosa pine/bitterbrush/elk sedge	CPS222	PP	х	79	Р	255	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 113
59 = PIPO/PUTR/FEID- AGSP	Ponderosa pine/bitterbrush/ID fescue-bluebunch wheatgr.	CPS226	РР	x	64	Ρ	231	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 115
	Ponderosa	CPS232	PP	Х	65	Р	290	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
60 = PIPO/CELE/CAGE	pine/mountain- mahogany/elk sedge		DF		53	Р			97
61 = PIPO/CELE/PONE	Ponderosa pine/mountain- mahogany/Wheeler's bluegrass	CPS233	PP	x	67	Ρ	199	Ρ	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 99
62 = PIPO/CELE/FEID-	Pond. pine/mtn mahogany/ID fescue-	CPS234	PP	х	66	Р	196	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
AGSP 63 = PIPO/SYAL-FLOOD	bluebunch wheatgr. Ponderosa pine/common	CPS511	DF PP	x	51 101	Р	516	н	101 R6 E TP-279-87, p. 27
	snowberry-floodplain Ponderosa	CPS522	PP	х	85	Р	301	Р	
64 = PIPO/SYAL-WALLO	pine/common snowberry (Wallowa)		DF		70	P	501		R6 E TP-255-86, p. 372
65 = PIPO/SPBE	Ponderosa pine/spiraea	CPS523	PP	х	96	P P	276	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p. 377
	Ponderosa	CPS524	DF PP	х	71 81	P	398	Р	- R6 E TP-036-92, p. 103
66 = PIPO/SYAL	pine/common snowberry	0.0021	DF	~	56	P			
67 = PIPO/SYOR	Ponderosa pine/mountain snowberry	CPS525	PP	х	79	Р	325	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 105
68 = ABGR/TABR/CLUN		CWC811	ES	Х	76	Р	533	Р	

FVS Seq. Num. =		Alpha		Site	Site Index	Site Source	Max SDI	Max SDI	
	PA Name	Alpha Code	SP	SP					Reference
РА Туре		couc		5.	macx	Jource	501	Source	
	Grand fir/Pacific yew/queen's cup beadily		GF		69	Р	700	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 51
		CWC812	DF		76	Р	475	Р	
	Grand fir/Pacific		WL				378	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
69 = ABGR/TABR/LIBO2	yew/twinflower		ES	Х	66	Р	374	Р	53
			GF		90	Р	700	Р	
		CWF311	PP		104	Р			
			DF		60	Р	475	Р	
70 = ABGR/LIBO2	Grand fir/twinflower		WL		60	Р	511	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
70 – Aboly Liboz	Grand my twinnower		LP	х	73	Р	346	Р	298
			ES		59	Р			
			GF		59	Р	700	Р	
		CWF312	РР		92	Ρ	456	Ρ	
71 = ABGR/LIBO2-BLUE	Grand fir/twin flower		DF		62	Р	475	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
/1 - AbdityLibO2-bLOL	(Blue Mountains)		WL		58	Р	463	Р	59
			LP	х	72	Р	346	Р	
			ES		53	Р	499	Р	
			GF		56	Р	645	Р	
			AF				466	Р	
	Grand fir/queen's cup beadily (Wallowa)	CWF421	PP		111	Р	456	Р	
			DF		69	Р	475	Р	
			WL		79	Р	455	Р	
72 = ABGR/CLUN- WALLO			LP	х	81	Р	346	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p. 279
WALLO	beddiry (wallowa)		ES		72	Р	586	Р	
			GF		74	Р	700	Р	
			WP		40	Р			
73 = ABCO/CLUN	White fir/queen's cup beadily	CWF431	DF	x	77		872	н	R6 E TP-279-87, p. 47
		CWF512	DF		75	Р			
74 = ABGR/TRCA3	Grand fir/false bugbane		WL				498	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
			ES	Х	72	Р	485	Р	49
			GF		79	Р	693	Р	
75 = ABGR/GYDR	Grand fir/oakfern	CWF611	GF	х	79	Р	691	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 45
76 = ABGR/POMU-	Grand fir/sword fern	CWF612	WL	Х	79	Р	438	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
ASCA3	ginger		ES				586	Р	47
			GF		78	Р	608	Р	
		CWG111	PP	Х	81	Р	263	Р	
			DF		56	Р	376	Р	
77 = ABGR/CAGE-BLUE	Grand fir/elk sedge (Blue		WL		64	Р			R6 E TP-036-92, p. 73
	Mountains)		LP		70	Р			
			ES		68	P	707		
		01/01/0	GF		50	P	700	P	
		CWG112	PP	Х	90	P	456	P	4
	Creat fin/sisses		DF	 	60	P	475	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
78 = ABGR/CARU	Grand fir/pinegrass		WL		55	P			320
			ES		75	P			
			GF		56	Р			

FVS Seq. Num. = PA Type		Alusha		C:++	C:+-	C:+-	Max	Max	Reference
	PA Name	Alpha	SP	Site	Site	Site	Max	SDI	
		Code	•••	SP	Index	Source	SDI	Source	
ТАтуре		CWG113	PP	х	80	Р	395	P	
		CWG115	DF	^	56	P	446	P	
79 = ABGR/CARU-BLUE	Grand fir/pinegrass (Blue		WL		59	P	384	P	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
79 - ABON/CARO-BLOL	Mountains)		LP		76	P	346	P	71
			GF		52	P	555	P	
		CWG211	WL	х	79	P	513	P	
	Grand fir/Columbia	000211	ES	~	15	•	586	P	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
80 = ABGR/BRVU	brome		GF		57	Р	700	P	67
			AF		55	P			
		CWS211	PP		86	P	424	Р	
			DF		66	P	439	P	
	Grand fir/big		WL		84	Р	464	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
81 = ABGR/VAME	huckleberry		LP	х	54	Р	331	Р	290
			ES		66	Р	586	Р	
			GF		61	Р	700	Р	
		CWS212	РР		79	Р	365	Р	
			DF		61	Р	475	Р	
			WL		57	Р	513	Р	
82 = ABGR/VAME-BLUE	Grand fir/big		LP	х	68	Р	298	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p. 61
	huckleberry		ES		67	Р	426	Р	
			GF		60	Р	569	Р	
			AF				515	Р	
83 = ABGR/SPBE	Grand fir/spiraea	CWS321	PP	х	92	Р	456	Р	
			DF		58	Р	475	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p. 315
			LP		74	Р			
			GF		65	Р			
		CWS322	PP		82	Р	319	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
	Grand fir/birchleaf		DF	х	57	Р	248	Р	
84 = ABGR/SPBE-BLUE	spiraea		LP		60	Р			69
			GF		49	Р	443	Р	
		CWS412	PP		107	Р			
85 = ABGR/AGGL-	Grand fir/Rocky		DF	Х	66	Р	475	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p.
PHMA	Mountain maple- ninebark		WL		79	Р	444	Р	325
	Inneburk		GF		65	Р	628	Р	
		CWS541	DF	Х	70	Р	301	Р	
96 - APCP/ACCI	Grand fir/Rocky		WL				439	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
86 = ABGR/ACGL	Mountain maple		ES				405	Р	55
			GF		71	Р	576	Р	
		CWS811	PP		101	Р	215	Р	
			DF		59	Р	343	Р	
87 = ABGR/VASC	Grand fir/grouse		WL		61	Р	380	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
	huckleberry		LP	х	65	Р	346	Р	65
			ES		43	Р			
			GF		48	Р	460	Р	
		CWS812	PP		81	Р			
			DF		56	Р	434	Р	
	Grand fir/grouse		WL	х	56	Р	316	Р	R6 E TP-036-92, p.
88 = ABGR/VASC-LIBO2	huckleberry-twinflower		LP		75	Р	346	Р	63
			ES		70	Р	436	Р	
			GF		56	Р	618	Р	
			AF				230	Р	

FVS Seq. Num. = PA Type	PA Name	Alpha Code	SP	Site SP	Site Index	Site Source	Max SDI	Max SDI Source	Reference
89 = ABGR/ACGL Grand fir/Rocky Mountain maple	CWS912	PP				456	Р		
	Grand fir/Rocky		DF	х	67	Р	475	Р	R6 E TP-255-86, p. 310
	Mountain maple		WL		64	Р			
			GF		69	Р	700	Р	
90 = POTR/ELGL	Quaking aspen/blue wildrye	HQM121	LP	х	55		464	Н	R6 E TP-279-87, p. 61
91 = POTR- PICO/SPDO/CAEU	Quaking Aspen- Lodgepole pine/Doug spiraea/wildfruit sedge	HQM411	LP	x	59		640	н	
92 = POTR/SYAL/ELGL	Quaking aspen/common snowberry/blue wildrye	HQS221	PP	х	101		596	н	

*Site index estimates are from GBA analysis. Site index and SDI maximums are set by GBA analysis, Source=H (Hall 1983); CVS plot analysis, Source=C (Crookston 2008); or Blue Mountains Variant Analysis, Source = P (Powell 2009).

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, or marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice or TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.