Mark Twain National Forest

Comparison of Measured Growth and Mortality Trends to Modeled FVS Projections Relative to Oak Decline Impacts as Observed Over Three FIA Inventory Cycles



Fall 2006

(Updated: Spring 2010)

by:

Don Vandendriesche Forester USDA Forest Service, WOD Forest Management Service Center Fort Collins, Colorado

And

Linda Haugen Plant Pathologist USDA Forest Service Northeastern Area State and Private Forestry St. Paul, Minnesota

Mark Twain National Forest Oak Decline Assessment

Table of Contents

I. Project Purpose
Background1
2. FIA Data Sources
Cycle 3 and 4: Periodic Inventory
Cycle 5: Annual Inventory
Plot Setup
Tree and Sapling Data6
Seedling Data
FIA Plot Distribution
3. FVS Model Adjustments
FVS Self Calibration
Tree Defect
Species Abundance 11
Tree Mortality
Stand Mortality
Regeneration Imputation14
Ground Rules 14
Pattern Revelation
REPUTE the Program 19
Elate to Prorate
4. Assigning Plots to Ecological Strata
Tree-by-Tree Comparison
Plot-by-Plot Comparison
Strata-Based Comparisons
5. Establish Baseline Mortality
Manion Method27
Inventory Inference
Endemic/Epidemic Threshold
6. Analysis of Common Plots
High/Low Mortality Plots 31
Measured Trends
Modeled Forecasts
Projecting Current Conditions
Predicting Future Conditions
Conclusions
Appendix B – Additional Oak Decline Citations Relative to the Ozark Plateau 43
Appendix C – Timeline of Events in Southeast Missouri
Appendix D – Tree Species Code Guide 46
Appendix E – Application of Manion Method by Inventory Cycle

1. Project Purpose

Background

In 2003, the Mark Twain National Forest contacted the U.S. Forest Service, Forest Health Protection (FHP) Staff, St. Paul Field Office, requesting assistance with data analysis in support of their forest plan revision efforts. They were particularly interested in being able to use Forest Inventory Analysis (FIA) data to predict the effects of oak decline on future forest health conditions. Refer to Appendix A to review the memo that details this request.

A Forest Service team was assembled to address their data needs. The team included a scientist from the Northern Research Station Disease Research Work Unit, analysts from FIA, and pathologists from FHP. The team originally intended to prepare an overarching product that would include an assessment of the oak resource in Southern Missouri, analysis of data on the cause of oak decline, and management implications/recommendations. The final product(s), however, ended up being a series of individual projects that supported the original data request. Refer to Appendix B.

In addition to the published products, the team developed a timeline of events that was particularly useful in visualizing the relationships between drought events, survey efforts, and periods of oak mortality. This table was not published, but is included here in Appendix C.

This project involved an analysis of FIA data from multiple survey cycles to evaluate oak decline. FIA data from re-measured stands was used to validate projections of tree growth and stand conditions as modeled by the Forest Vegetation Simulator (FVS). The results of this project were discussed with the Mark Twain National Forest staff in September 2006, and then presented at the Third Forest Vegetation Simulator Conference in February 2007. The conference proceeding paper can be found at:

http://www.fs.fed.us/fmsc/fvs/fvs_conference.shtml.

The purpose of this document is to capture extensive information on the methods used for data analysis. The process that was developed could be quite valuable for application to other projects.

Abstract from Third FVS Conference

Comparison of FVS Projection of Oak Decline on the Mark Twain National Forest to Actual Growth and Mortality as Measured Over Three FIA Inventory Cycles

Don Vandendriesche, Forest Management Service Center, USDA Forest Service, Fort Collins, CO; and Linda Haugen, Northeastern Area State and Private Forestry, USDA Forest Service, St. Paul, MN

Abstract— Oak decline has been recorded on oak forests throughout the Ozark Plateau of Missouri since the 1970s, but severe drought in the late 1990s, combined with the advancing age of the Ozark forests, has intensified the levels of crown dieback and mortality beyond historical levels. The purpose of this project was to determine whether the Forest Vegetation Simulator (FVS) model could accurately predict the effect of oak decline on the Mark Twain National Forest (MTNF). Forest Inventory and Analysis (FIA) data were used to benchmark mortality magnitude and to adjust FVS growth projections. Data from inventory cycles 3 (1976-1977), 4 (1986-1987), and 5 (1999-2003) were available for approximately 150 oak stands on the MTNF. These data were translated into FVS-ready format and projected with and without the Oak Decline Event Monitor (ODEM) addfile. Actual growth and mortality versus projected values were compared. In the absence of harvesting or other major disturbance, baseline mortality per size class in a healthy forest is generally constant and departure from this constant may indicate unsustainable forest conditions. We compared current mortality rates to calculated mortality rates between inventory cycles 3 and 4 (i.e. prior to the latest decline events) to indicate whether mortality rates increased between inventory periods. This paper describes the FVS adjustments and methodology used; assesses the usefulness of FIA data and application of the ODEM addfile for this project; and, discusses how FVS tools and comparison of baseline mortality rates could be used to predict future trends in Missouri oak forests.

2. FIA Data Sources

Cycle 3 and 4: Periodic Inventory

The Mark Twain National Forest is located in south-central Missouri and encompasses approximately 1.5 million acres. Refer to the following graphic:



In Missouri, the 3rd FIA periodic inventory was collected from 1969-1972. Subsequently, data for the Mark Twain National Forest (MTNF) was also gathered in 1976-1977. The 4th FIA periodic inventory cycle for Missouri was completed in 1989 with most of the data on the MTNF collected from 1986-1987. Both the 3rd and 4th inventory data were gathered in accordance with a 10-point sample design distributed over approximately an acre of ground around plot center (NCRS 1969, NCRS 1986).



The pattern of sample points is designed to obtain a uniform distribution of points over approximately 1 acre. Measured distances between sequential points is 70 horizontal feet.



All trees 5.0" dbh and larger were tallied on a variable radius plot (37.5 basal area factor prism).

Variable Radius Plot, Item 18.

All trees 5.0" DBH and larger (except dead trees not qualifying as mortality or salvable-mortality) will be tallied if the tree is within the limiting distance of the 37.5 basal area factor prism. Example 18 illustrates trees that are within the variable plot, outside the variable plot, and those that are questionable. Questionable trees will be checked for their limiting distance. The limiting distance is the horizontal distance from the pin to the center of the tree at DBH. For example, a tree with a DBH of 14.6", is 20.7 feet, using a basal area factor of 37.5. Table 18 shows the limiting distances for the 37.5 basal area factor prism.

EXAMPLE 18



Outside the variable plot



Trees less than 5.0" dbh were tallied on a fixed radius plot (1/300 acre).

Fixed Radius Plot (1/300 Acre), Item 19.

Trees tallied on the fixed radius plot must be live and have the center of their stem at the base within a 6.8' $\underline{\text{horizontal}}$ distance of the point center.

Saplings - Points 1-3. Tally all live saplings (trees \geq 1.0" DBH, but <5.0" DBH) within the fixed radius plot.

Points 4-10. If 16.0% stocking is not reached using 5.0" DBH and larger trees record the most dominant saplings to reach 16% stocking on each point.

Seedlings - Points 1-10. If no live trees 5.0" DBH or larger are recorded at a point and if not enough saplings are present to reach 16% stocking, record seedling (trees <1.0" DBH) data until 16% stocking is reached on that point.

Minimum height requirement to be considered a seedling is .5' for softwood and 1.0' for hardwood species. DBH for seedlings is recorded as 000.

Cycle 5: Annual Inventory

Plot Setup

In 1999, FIA began the 5th inventory cycle for Missouri with a new annualized survey design (NCRS 1999). The field plot consists of four subplots approximately 1/24 acre in size with a radius of 24.0 feet. The center subplot is Subplot 1. Subplots 2, 3, and 4 are located 120.0 feet horizontal at azimuths of 360, 120, and 240 degrees, respectively from the center of Subplot 1. Refer to figure 1. Subplots are used to collect data on trees with a diameter (at breast height "DBH") of 5.0 inches or greater.



Figure 1. FIA plot diagram.

Each subplot contains a microplot approximately 1/300 acre in size with a radius of 6.8 feet. The center of the microplot is offset 90 degrees and 12.0 feet horizontal from each subplot center. Microplots are numbered in the same way as subplots. Microplots are used to collect data on saplings (DBH of 1.0 inches to 4.9 inches) and seedlings (DBH less than 1.0 inch in diameter and greater than 0.5 foot in height (conifers) or greater than 1.0 foot in height (hardwoods)).

The field plot may also include annular plots of 1/4 acre in size with radius of 58.9 feet with the annular plot center coinciding with each subplot center. Annular plots are numbered in the same way as subplots. Annular plots may be used to collect additional data for regional enhancements such as very large trees.

Tree and Sapling Data

Trees at least 5.0 inches in diameter are sampled within the subplot. 'Tally trees' are defined as all live and standing dead trees in accessible forest land condition classes encountered on the subplot the first time a subplot is established, and all trees that grow into a subplot thereafter. These data yield information on tree growth, mortality, removals; coarse woody debris; wildlife habitats; forest structure and composition; biomass; and carbon sequestration.

Saplings, trees with a diameter at least 1.0 inch but less than 5.0 inches, are sampled within the microplot. 'Tally saplings' are defined as all live saplings in accessible forest land condition classes encountered the first time a microplot is established, and all saplings that grow into each microplot thereafter are included until they grow to 5.0 inches or larger, at which time they are tallied on the 24.0 foot subplot and referenced (new azimuth and distance taken) to the subplot center.

Seedling Data

Stocking and regeneration information are obtained by counting seedlings within the 6.8 foot radius microplot located 90 degrees and 12.0 feet from each subplot center within each of the four subplots. Conifer seedlings must be at least 6.0 inches in length and less than 1.0 inch at DBH in order to qualify for tallying. Hardwood seedlings must be at least 12.0 inches in length and less than 1.0 inch at DBH in order to qualify for tallying. Seedlings are counted in groups by species and condition class, up to 5 individuals per species. Counts beyond 5 are coded as 6. Species are coded in order from most abundant to least abundant when SEEDLING COUNT is coded as 6. Only seedlings that occur in accessible forest land condition classes are counted.

FIA Plot Distribution

We acquired FIA data for cycle 4 and 5 in FVS-ready format through the Internet using the Forest Inventory Mapmaker program (Miles 1992). Cycle 3 data was not readily available. It was obtained directly from the North Central Research Station FIA Unit and required substantial effort to convert to FVS-ready format. Inventory dates by geographic location and measurement cycle are displayed in the following table:

		Measurement Dates					
		Cycle 3	Cycle 4	Cycle 5			
Missouri:	All Types	1969-1972	1986-1989	1998-2003			
		1976-1977					
Mark Twain:	All Types	1969-1971	1986-1988	1998-2003			
		1976-1977					
Common:	All Types	1969-1971	1986-1988	1998-2003			
		1976-1977					

We considered the data from each inventory cycle as unique condition class samples. There were 911 '*total*' plots assembled and utilized for various aspects of the study. The data were used to: calibrate the FVS model; determine the maximum bounds expected for stand density and

tree size attainment; and, construct regeneration addfiles. The following table displays plot counts by geographic location and measurement cycle:

		FI	A Plot Counts	;
		Cycle 3	Cycle 4	Cycle 5
Missouri:	All Types	3026	5076	3742
	Oak-Hickory	2467	4010	2933
Mark Twain:	All Types	247	418	406
	Oak-Hickory	213	344	354
Common:	All Types	157	157	157
	Oak-Hickory	150	145	141

	 >	557 FIA Plots used for FVS Calibration	
+	>	911 FIA Plots used for FVS Tree and Stand Maximums	s
+	>	911 FIA Plots used for FVS Regeneration Imputation	

North Central FIA also provided a plot number "crosswalk" which enabled us to match the center point of the sample plots among the 3rd, 4th, and 5th FIA inventory cycles. We restricted our data scope to oak forests of the Mark Twain National Forest residing within the Ozark Plateau in south-central Missouri. This corresponds to forestlands in the Northwest Ozark, Southwest Ozark, and Eastern Ozark FIA Survey Units. Through this screening process, we were able to identify 154 '*common*' plots on the MTNF that were remeasured in FIA cycles 3 (1976-1977), 4 (1986-1987), and 5 (1999-2003). After filtering to exclude disturbed plots, non-oak forest types, small diameter stands, and other anomalous plots (such as cycle 3 plots that were measured during 1969-1971), there were 100 '*base*' plots available for our oak decline analysis. For each of these plots, we had field measurements from the same plot center for each of the three inventory cycles spanning a 25 year period.

Common	Unit 5	Disturbed	Non-Oak	Early Cyc3	Small Size	Filtered	Available	
157	3	29	4	17	4	57	100	
>	FIA Survey L	3 29 Survey Unit 5: Riverborder						

3. FVS Model Adjustments

An essential step in properly using the Forest Vegetation Simulator is applying adjustments to the model. The FVS geographic variants are comprised of numerous mathematical relationships. In the biological sciences, regression equations at best achieve r-squared correlation values of 70 relative to the fitted data. If the results of one regression function provide the input to another, then the resultant error is compounded. Thus, it behooves the user to validate the virtual world estimates generated by FVS against real world values obtained from the inventory sample.

FVS Self Calibration

One of the end targets for calibrating the FVS model is the creation of the ReadCorD (<u>Readjust</u> <u>Cor</u>rection for <u>D</u>iameter) keyword. Input of this keyword alters the baseline estimate for the large-tree diameter growth submodel. For a particular species, the original baseline estimate is multiplied by the value of this keyword, and the result becomes the new baseline estimate. These adjustments are done prior to the model's self calibrating routines. Calculated scale factors derived from FVS self calibrating attenuate toward a value midway between the calculated scale factor and the new baseline estimate at 25 year intervals. The following values were computed for model calibration.

FVS Scale Factors used for Mark Twain Oak Decline

CALIBRATION STATISTICS GENERATED BY RUNSTREAM: Calib_34 DATE: 06-09-2006 TIME: 10:51:05 VARIANT: CS 6.21

LARGE TREE DIAMETER GROWTH CALIBRATION SUMMARY

				SCALE FA	CTOR SUMM	IARY		TOTAL	MEAN
		MODEL					STD	TREE	READCORD
SPEC	IES	TYPE*	Ν	MIN	MEAN	MAX	DEV.	RECORDS	MULTIPLIER
3	SP	LD	48	0.194	0.520	1.076	0.224	417	0.511
18	PH	LD	3	0.514	0.855	1.244	0.367	18	0.851
23	BI	LD	2	0.434	0.691	0.948	0.363	10	0.669
39	RL	LD	2	0.536	0.578	0.620	0.059	10	0.566
47	WO	LD	94	0.186	0.527	1.192	0.263	803	0.512
48	RO	LD	5	0.147	0.350	0.758	0.240	42	0.365
50	BO	LD	90	0.128	0.530	1.263	0.243	674	0.503
51	SO	LD	38	0.119	0.457	0.941	0.269	316	0.445
52	BJ	LD	7	0.257	0.595	1.005	0.245	39	0.585
57	PO	LD	30	0.233	0.638	1.199	0.248	222	0.620
85	NC	LD	9	0.199	0.515	1.027	0.352	62	0.499

Refer to Appendix D for the Tree Species Code guide.

Tree Defect

Determining net merchantable volume from gross tree dimensions requires an estimate of tree defect. Values were obtained from personnel at the North Central Forest Experiment Station. This information was retrieved from the FIA database using the Forest Inventory Mapmaker program. Defect data was selected from the Cycle 4 Periodic Inventory.

TABLE 3 Forest Inventory Mapmaker Version 1.0

Geographic area of interest is Missouri 1989 cycle04 (Cycle 4 Periodic inventory). The attribute of interest is Volume of all live on timberland(cuft). Filters: National Forest includes (Mark Twain),and . (t.spcd = 802 or t.spcd = 806 or t.spcd = 812 or t.spcd = 833 or t.spcd = 835 or t.spcd = 837) Rows are Current dbh 2 inch classes to 40 inches. Columns are Tree class. Includes ONLY

white oak, scarlet oak, S. red oak, N. red oak, post oak and black oak on the MTNF

Two-way table (rows and columns)				
Dbh class	Total	Growing stock	Rough cull	Rotten cull
3 5.0-6.9	90,759,274	78,364,018	11,257,729	1,137,527
4 7.0-8.9	132,671,714	111,098,496	19,940,516	1,632,703
5 9.0-10.9	154,019,983	129,227,307	22,406,821	2,385,854
6 11.0-12.9	171,080,202	123,750,894	44,169,608	3,159,700
7 13.0-14.9	171,732,025	129,326,022	37,160,850	5,245,153
8 15.0-16.9	129,056,543	87,045,021	37,436,892	4,574,631
9 17.0-18.9	68,324,928	44,421,651	18,022,248	5,881,029
10 19.0-20.9	41,018,123	21,977,832	12,290,249	6,750,042
11 21.0-22.9	16,696,836	7,367,552	4,948,569	4,380,716
12 23.0-24.9	9,918,027	4,144,946	2,732,124	3,040,956
13 25.0-26.9	4,210,371	314,372	692,300	3,203,700
14 27.0-28.9	3,275,212	0	1,498,075	1,777,137
15 29.0-30.9	1,531,150	0	0	1,531,150
16 31.0-32.9	1,334,443	0	977,828	356,615
17 33.0-34.9	92,098	0	0	92,098
18 35.0-36.9	556,060	0	556,060	0
0 Total	996,276,992	737,038,112	214,089,868	45,149,011
5/27/2004 15:03				

JIZI	/2004	10.03	

						observed	predicted
dbh	class	total	growing	rough	rotten	defect	defect
6	5.0-6.9	90,759,274	78,364,018	11,257,729	1,137,527	0.06	0.05
8	7.0-8.9	132,671,714	111,098,496	19,940,516	1,632,703	0.07	0.06
10	9.0-10.9	154,019,983	129,227,307	22,406,821	2,385,854	0.07	0.07
12	11.0-12.9	171,080,202	123,750,894	44,169,608	3,159,700	0.08	0.08
14	13.0-14.9	171,732,025	129,326,022	37,160,850	5,245,153	0.08	0.10
16	15.0-16.9	129,056,543	87,045,021	37,436,892	4,574,631	0.09	0.11
18	17.0-18.9	68,324,928	44,421,651	18,022,248	5,881,029	0.11	0.13
20	19.0-20.9	41,018,123	21,977,832	12,290,249	6,750,042	0.15	0.15
22	21.0-22.9	16,696,836	7,367,552	4,948,569	4,380,716	0.19	0.18
24	23.0-24.9	9,918,027	4,144,946	2,732,124	3,040,956	0.20	0.21
26	25.0-26.9	4,210,371	314,372	692,300	3,203,700	0.37	0.25
28	27.0-28.9	3,275,212	0	1,498,075	1,777,137	0.32	0.29
30	29.0-30.9	1,531,150	0	0	1,531,150	0.45	0.33
32	31.0-32.9	1,334,443	0	977,828	356,615	0.25	0.39
34	33.0-34.9	92,098	0	0	92,098	0.45	0.46
36	35.0-36.9	556,060	0	556,060	0	0.18	0.53
	Total:	996,276,992	737,038,112	214,089,868	45,149,011		
	Defect:		0.040	0.177	0.445		





Associated FVS addfile to	input tree de	efect into pro	jection runs:
---------------------------	---------------	----------------	---------------

🧐 UltraEdit-32 - [C:\F	vsdata\Press\	Defect.kcp]						<u>- 🗆 ×</u>
🤞 File Edit Search	Project View	Format Column	Macro Advan	ced Window H	Help			<u>– 8 ×</u>
+ + 🗋 🗳 🗳	8 3 3 4	A 🖹 🚟	80 👫 📆		🖕 🖻 🛱			
Defect.kcp								
t Sat dafau	lt volue fo		henteble g	orior				
Defect	n n		nancable S	PECIES				-
1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
* Set defau	lt value fo	r merchant	able specie	28				
* dbh = 5"	10"	15"	20"	25"	30"	35"	40"	
Defect	0	SP						
0.05	0.07	0.10	0.15	0.23	0.33	0.49	0.73	
Defect	0	WO						
0.05	0.07	0.10	0.15	0.23	0.33	0.49	0.73	
Defect	0	SO						
0.05	0.07	0.10	0.15	0.23	0.33	0.49	0.73	
Defect	0	SK						
0.05	0.07	0.10	0.15	0.23	0.33	0.49	0.73	
Defect	0	RO						
0.05	0.07	0.10	0.15	0.23	0.33	0.49	0.73	
Defect	0	PO						
0.05	0.07	0.10	0.15	0.23	0.33	0.49	0.73	
Defect	0	BO						
0.05	0.07	0.10	0.15	0.23	0.33	0.49	0.73	
* Shortlear	Pine							
t Scerlet O	- br							
* Southern 1	an Ded Oak							
* Northern	Red Oak							
* Post Oak	ica oan							
* Black Oak								
For Help, press F1	In 1 Col 1 CO	00	S EVS Files	 Mod: 5/15/20	ING 1-59-24PM	File Size: 1215	ING	

Merchantable cubic foot volume specifications were:

Softwood -

- 4.0" minimum dbh {diameter-breast-height}
- 4.0" minimum dib {diameter-inside-bark}

Hardwood –

- 5.0" minimum dbh
- 4.0" minimum dib

Merchantable board foot volume specifications were:

Softwood -

- 9.0" minimum dbh {diameter-breast-height}
- 7.6" minimum dib {diameter-inside-bark}

Hardwood -

- 9.0" minimum dbh
- 9.6" minimum dib

FVS volumes are shown in terms of cubic feet per acre and board feet per acre. The volume equations were those available in the Region 9 cruise program (based on Gevorkiantz and Olsen, 1955). Cordwood volumes can be derived by dividing the cubic foot volume by 79.3 (cubic feet per cord).

Species Abundance

As stated, there were 911 FIA plots from measurement cycles 3 through 5 that comprised the oak-hickory data set for the Mark Twain National Forest. When viewed in aggregate, 59 tree species were present that are recognized by the Central States FVS Variant. For analysis purposes, dealing with approximately 60 separate tree species is cumbersome. Thus, tree species were segregated into "major" and "minor" tree components based on tree occurrence. If a given species comprised at least one tree per acre within the aggregate FIA data set, it was considered to be an important species component to track. If the tree representation on aggregate was less than one tree per acre, then that tree species was assigned as a minor component. There were 31 tree species considered as major and 28 that were considered as minor tree components. Refer to the table on the following page to review individual tree species designation.

Monitoring of the major tree component was used in determining maximum tree size and mortality rates to apply to the data set. Species abundance also came into play when determining regeneration input for various ecological forest strata on the Mark Twain National Forest. Each of these will be discussed in the following text.

<u>Major Tre</u>	<u>e Component</u>		<u>Minor Tree</u>	<u>Componer</u>	<u>nt</u>		+
FIA-Num	Common Name	FVS-Spc	FVS-Alpha	FVS-Num	FIA-Num	Common Name	+
068	Eastern redcedar	RC	VP	04	132	Virginia pine	+
110	Shortleaf pine	SP	BE	30	313	Boxelder	t
316	Red maple	RM	sv	31	317	Silver maple	t
318	Sugar maple	SM	OB	70	331	Ohio buckeye	1
391	American hornbeam	AH	RB	81	373	River birch	
402	Bitternut hickory	BH	SL	16	405	Shellbark hickory	
403	Pignut hickory	PH	SG	34	461	Sugarberry	
407	Shagbark hickory	SH	HK	35	462	Hackberry	
408	Black hickory	BI	HT	89	500	Hawthorn sp.	
409	Mockernut hickory	MH	AB	24	531	American beech	
471	Eastern redbud	RD	UA	27	546	Blue ash	
491	Flowering dogwood	DW	HL	73	552	Honeylocust	
521	Persimmon	PS	BN	09	601	Butternut	
541	White ash	WA	WN	08	602	Black walnut	
544	Green ash	GA	SU	82	611	Sweetgum	
693	Black tupelo	BG	YP	41	621	Yellow poplar	
701	Eastern hophornbeam	HH	MB	94	680	Mulberry sp.	
762	Black cherry	BC	TS	11	694	Swamp tupelo	
802	White oak	WO	SY	79	731	Sycamore	
806	Scarlet oak	so	នឃ	54	804	Swamp white oak	
812	Southern red oak	SK	QI	62	817	Shingle oak	
824	Blackjack oak	BJ	PN	60	830	Pin oak	
826	Chinkapin oak	CK	co	59	832	Chestnut oak	
833	Northern red oak	RO	QS	67	834	Shumard oak	
835	Post oak	PO	BK	77	901	Black locust	
837	Black oak	BO	BL	84	922	Black willow	
931	Sassafras	SS	BW	42	951	American basswood	
971	Winged elm	WE	RE	40	977	Rock elm	
972	American elm	AE					
975	Slippery elm	RL					
994	Other hardwoods, non-com	NC					

Tree Mortality

The TreeSzCp keyword sets the morphological limits for maximum diameter and height attainment for a given tree species. The specified diameter acts as a surrogate for age to invoke senescence mortality. Determining the mortality rate is akin to computing the discount interest rate needed to pay off a capital sum. The process entails choosing a large diameter class that contains approximately one tree per acre {dbh/tpa min} (the exact number is dependent on the relative abundance of a particular tree species) and targeting an ending diameter class that contains approximately one-tenth tree per acre {dbh/tpa max}. Subtracting the diameter min from the diameter max and dividing by the diameter growth rate renders the length of time in terms of projection cycles needed to get from the min to the max diameter size. The mortality rate compounds each projection cycle. Iterations of the mortality rate raised to the power of the projection cycle reveals the factor needed to diminish the tree count from one to one-tenth. This factor becomes the proportion of trees to succumb to mortality agents during each projection cycle.

The following table shows the TreeSzCp keyword values for diameter minimum and height maximum per tree species that were used to constrain the upper limit on tree growth. The table was derived using FIA tree measurement data from Oak-Hickory cover types on the Mark Twain National Forest. Adjustments were made based on input from the Forest. The following values were determined:

FIA Code	FVS Code	Species Name	DBH Min	DBH Max	TPA Min	Grow Rate	Mort Rate	Prj Cvc	TPA Max	THt Max
====	======	=======================================		=====		======	=======	=====		
068	RC	Eastern redcedar	7	11	1.00	0.70	0.325	6	0.106	40
110	SP	Shortleaf pine	17	21	1.00	1.00	0.425	4	0.109	75
316	RM	Red maple	7	11	1.00	0.65	0.325	6	0.089	55
318	SM	Sugar maple	9	13	1.00	0.85	0.375	5	0.110	60
391	AH	American hornbeam	3	5	1.00	0.40	0.375	5	0.095	30
402	BH	Bitternut hickory	11	15	1.00	0.85	0.375	5	0.110	70
403	PH	Pignut hickory	13	17	1.00	0.90	0.400	4	0.103	75
407	SH	Shagbark hickory	9	13	1.00	0.90	0.400	4	0.103	75
408	BI	Black hickory	13	17	1.00	0.75	0.350	5	0.101	70
409	MH	Mockernut hickory	13	17	1.00	0.85	0.375	5	0.110	75
471	RD	Eastern redbud	5	7	1.00	0.25	0.250	8	0.100	40
491	DW	Flowering dogwood	5	7	1.00	0.25	0.250	8	0.100	40
521	PS	Persimmon	7	9	1.00	0.40	0.375	5	0.095	45
541	WA	White ash	13	19	1.00	1.00	0.325	6	0.095	70
544	GA	Green ash	11	15	1.00	0.65	0.325	6	0.089	65
693	BG	Black tupelo	15	21	1.00	1.00	0.325	6	0.095	70
701	HH	Eastern hophornbeam	3	5	1.00	0.25	0.250	8	0.100	35
762	BC	Black cherry	9	11	1.00	0.50	0.425	4	0.109	65
802	WO	White oak	25	31	1.00	1.40	0.425	4	0.093	70
806	SO	Scarlet oak	21	27	1.00	1.45	0.425	4	0.101	75
812	SK	Southern red oak	17	23	1.00	1.25	0.375	5	0.105	70
824	BJ	Blackjack oak	11	17	1.00	1.35	0.400	4	0.103	60
826	CK	Chinkapin oak	13	19	1.00	1.00	0.325	6	0.095	65
833	RO	Northern red oak	21	29	1.00	1.50	0.350	5	0.101	75
835	PO	Post oak	21	27	1.00	1.05	0.325	6	0.106	65
837	BO	Black oak	27	33	1.00	1.40	0.425	4	0.093	70
931	SS	Sassafras	5	7	1.00	0.30	0.300	7	0.093	45
971	WE	Winged elm	7	9	1.00	0.30	0.300	7	0.093	45
972	AE	American elm	9	11	1.00	0.30	0.300	7	0.093	45
975	RL	Slippery elm	15	19	1.00	0.90	0.400	4	0.103	65
994	NC	Other hardwoods	9	11	1.00	0.35	0.325	6	0.106	50

Stand Mortality

The theoretical model that controls stand mortality is based on Stand Density Index (SDI). Reineke postulated in 1933 that any pure, fully stocked, even-aged stand of a given average stand diameter contains approximately the same number of trees per acre as any other pure, fully stocked, even-aged stand of the same species of the same average stand diameter. Thus, the most important factor in estimating stand density is the average stand diameter (D_R).

Using SDI, it is possible to compare stands at different stages of development. Regarding FVS implementation, density related mortality begins to occur when a stand reaches 55 percent of maximum SDI. Mortality increases as the stand's SDI increases and once a stand reaches 85 percent of maximum SDI, the stand density stays constant.

Since most forest stands are not pure, fully stocked, or uniformly even-aged, SDI values derived from research tend to overestimate the carrying capacity of general forest sites. The forest atlarge is very heterogeneous with regard to stocking and structure. A proxy for the average maximum SDI can be derived from the inventory data sets that are used for the planning effort. The SDI value for each FIA plot was determined. The top 3 percent were then averaged to determine the observed maximum value. To get the average maximum value of measured stands, the maximum value is multiplied by 85 percent. This represents the average upper density achieved by forest stands within southern Missouri. The following values were used to set the maximum SDI and associated maximum BA for the Oak-Hickory cover type on the Mark Twain National Forest.

Forest Type	SDI Average Max	BA Average Max
Oak-Hickory	360	140

Noting the importance of properly setting stand density bounds to limit FVS modeled projections to reflect actual growth capacity stocking charts for oak stands were also examined. The upland central hardwoods stocking chart, introduced by Gingrich (1967), has become one the forest manager's most useful tools. The "A" line on the chart was developed from stands of average maximum density, and the "B" line was developed from open-grown trees. The upper extent of the Gingrich chart defines overly dense conditions at 110 stocking percent. Stocking relates the area occupied by an individual tree to the area occupied by a tree of the same size growing in a fully stocked stand of like trees. Visual inspection of the Gingrich chart indicates an approximate basal area maximum of 140 ft^2/acre for larger average diameter stands. An examination of the FIA plots from MTNF reinforced using this value to set the basal area maximum for the projection runs.

Regeneration Imputation

To impute implies the assignment of something to another. With respect to regeneration inferences, imputation procedures examine existing conditions to predict potential conditions of future stands. Basically, the process calls for querying existing data sets for representative *stand types* (stands of similar vegetative characteristics) and tabulating their seedling/sapling component.

Ground Rules

Established seedlings generally have an acceptable minimum girth and height that indicates having a root system firmly entrenched in mineral soil. The threshold used for this analysis examined small trees from 0.2" to 3.0" in diameter to define the large seedling/small sapling understory layer. Reference to these small trees will be as the 2"-sapling component.

A 'Vegetation State' algorithm was developed that classified the Oak-Hickory FIA data set by size and density class. Size class was determined based on the quadratic mean diameter of the largest trees; largest being defined as the largest 20 percent of the trees, with a minimum of 20 trees. Size classes span a five-inch diameter range (i.e. 0"-5", 5"-10", 10"-15", 15"-20", 20"+). Three density classes were computed based on canopy closure. They were: 10%-40%, 40%-70%, and 70%+. Inventory plots rendering canopy cover less than 10% were considered non-stocked.

The FIA plot count distribution by size and density class is presented on the following page. It is apparent from the graphic that the predominant stand size classes are in the range from 5" to 15" quadratic mean diameter. Also, that generally stand densities exceed 40 percent canopy cover. This is an important aspect relative to further discussion concerning regeneration imputation.





In an effort to grasps understory relationships within the Oak-Hickory forest community on the Mark Twain National Forest, designation of "Species Groups" based on shade tolerance and height attainment was pursued.

'Shade Tolerance' ratings were used as a guide for determining the mix of individual tree species that would likely reside within a size/density regime. A forest tree that can survive and prosper under a forest canopy is referred to be *tolerant* whereas one that can thrive only in the main canopy or in the open is termed *intolerant*. From the 'Forest Ecology' textbook, Spurr and Barnes state:

"The problem of survival in the understory is basic to an understanding of forest succession, since those forest trees capable both of surviving as understory plants and responding to release to reach overstory size will inevitably form a major portion of the evolving forest community." A logical assumption relative to shade tolerance is that intolerant forest trees would be more prevalent in the smaller, less dense size classes. Tolerant forest trees would be more abundant in the larger, denser understory environments. Five classes of shade tolerance were recognized as referenced in "Silvics of North America, USDA Forest Service, Agriculture Handbook 654, Summary of Tree Characteristics, pg. 646-649". The 'Very Intolerant' class was combined with the 'Intolerant' class. Likewise, the 'Very Tolerant' class was merged with the 'Tolerant' class. Thus, three shade tolerance classes were recognized for this analysis.

Height class grouping were also defined for the major tree species. The main purpose for doing so was to differentiate understory tolerant trees (i.e. redcedar, dogwood, hophornbeam) from those that comprise the overstory canopy. Three average maximum height attainment classes were recognized: < 45', 45' - 60', 60' - 75'. Furthermore, the 60' - 70' class was subdivided into Non-Merchantable trees, Shortleaf pine, and Merchantable oaks. Nine combinations of shade tolerance and height attainment were recognized as displayed in the following table:

FIA-Num	Common Name	FVS-Spc	Shd_Tol	Hgt_max	Hgt-Grp	Code
068	Eastern redcedar	RC	2	40	2	22
931	Sassafras	SS	2	45	2	22
994	Other hardwoods, non-com	NC	2	50	2	22
402	Bitternut hickory	BH	2	70	3	23
409	Mockernut hickory	MH	2	75	3	23
541	White ash	WA	2	70	3	23
762	Black cherry	BC	2	65	3	23
826	Chinkapin oak	CK	2	65	3	23
110	Shortleaf pine	SP	2	75	4	24
806	Scarlet oak	SO	2	75	5	25
835	Post oak	PO	2	65	5	25
403	Pignut hickory	PH	3	75	3	33
407	Shagbark hickory	SH	3	75	3	33
408	Black hickory	BI	3	70	3	33
824	Blackjack oak	BJ	3	60	3	33
972	American elm	AE	3	45	3	33
802	White oak	WO	3	70	5	35
812	Southern red oak	SK	3	70	5	35
833	Northern red oak	RO	3	75	5	35
837	Black oak	BO	3	70	5	35
391	American hornbeam	AH	4	30	1	41
471	Eastern redbud	RD	4	40	1	41
491	Flowering dogwood	DW	4	40	1	41
701	Eastern hophornbeam	HH	4	35	1	41
316	Red maple	RM	4	55	2	42
521	Persimmon	PS	4	45	2	42
971	Winged elm	WE	4	45	2	42
318	Sugar maple	SM	4	60	3	43
544	Green ash	GA	4	65	3	43
693	Black tupelo	BG	4	70	3	43
975	Slippery elm	RL	4	65	3	43

Oak Decline: Mark Twain NF -- Shade Tolerance/Height Group

The 2"-sapling component {trees 1.0" to 3.0" diameter} was summarized for the Oak-Hickory data set based on Northerly and Southerly aspects and high and low site index.

```
* Strata Definition:
   Aspect: Northerly {0-112,293-360 degrees}
*
*
            Southerly {113-292 degrees}
   Site Index: Low Productivity {SI<=70}
*
                High Productivity {SI>=71}
```

	Stand Class	Shade Tole	erance/Hei	ght Class								
	Size/Density	SAPS00	SAPS22	_SAPS23	_SAPS24	_SAPS25	_SAPS33	SAPS35	_SAPS41	_SAPS42	_SAPS43	_SAPS99
North Aspect/	22 Average	474	27	42	10	44	71	113	96	26	37	8
Low Site	23 Average	597	46	39	12	41	78	103	160	57	57	5
	32 Average	258	14	19	7	14	27	64	74	12	24	4
Plot Count:	33 Average	298	24	12	0	11	33	48	102	23	42	3
447	Grand Average	339	24	2.6	6	24	43	67	88	24	35	4
	Percent	100	7	8	2	7	13	20	26	7	10	1
						Total Per	cent:	49				
	Stand Class	Shade Tole	erance/Hei	ght Class								
	Size/Density	SAPS00	_SAPS22	_SAPS23	_SAPS24	_SAPS25	_SAPS33	SAPS35	_SAPS41	_SAPS42	_SAPS43	SAPS99
North Aspect/	22 Average	441	10	19	0	15	60	105	184	7	39	2
High Site	23 Average	575	62	20	2	5	22	37	232	87	97	12
	32 Average	218	20	20	0	5	28	37	55	23	28	3
Plot Count:	33 Average	349	14	20	1	1	45	25	174	22	43	5
102	Grand Average	406	34	23	2	6	46	44	158	35	55	5
	Percent	100	8	6	0	1	11	11	39	9	13	1
						Total Per	cent:	29				
	Stand Class	Shade Tole	erance/Hei	ght Class								
	Size/Density	SAPS00	_SAPS22	_SAPS23	_SAPS24	_SAPS25	_SAPS33	SAPS35	_SAPS41	_SAPS42	_SAPS43	_SAPS99
South Aspect/	22 Average	495			10	0.0	0.0	107	58	29	20	2
		400	31	30	10	02	00	127	00	20		
Low Site	23 Average	571	53	30 45	9	52	108	97	104	45	46	14
Low Site	23 Average 32 Average	571	53 53	30 45 16	9 11	52 28	108	97 64	104 43	45 19	46	14 3
Low Site Plot Count:	23 Average 32 Average 33 Average	571 254 301	31 53 13 23	30 45 16 38	18 9 11 2	52 28 8	108 33 47	97 64 47	104 43 72	45 19 34	46 24 26	14 3 4
Low Site Plot Count: 317	23 Average 32 Average 33 Average Grand Average	571 254 301 399	31 53 13 23 28	30 45 16 38 32	10 9 11 2 11	52 28 8 45	108 33 <u>47</u> 62	97 97 64 47 89	104 43 72 64	45 19 34 30	39 46 24 26 33	14 3 4 4
Low Site Plot Count: 317	23 Average 32 Average 33 Average Grand Average Percent	301 301 309 100	31 53 13 23 28 7	30 45 16 <u>38</u> 32 8	9 11 2 11 3	82 52 28 8 45 11	108 33 47 62 16	97 64 47 89 22	104 43 72 64 16	45 19 34 30 8	39 46 24 26 33 8	14 3 4
Low Site Plot Count: 317	23 Average 32 Average 33 Average Grand Average Percent	301 399 100	31 53 13 23 28 7	30 45 16 38 32 8	10 9 11 2 11 3	52 52 28 8 45 11 Total Per	108 33 47 62 16 cent:	97 64 47 89 22 60	104 43 72 64 16	45 19 34 30 8	39 46 24 26 33 8	14 3 4 4 1
Low Site Plot Count: 317	23 Average 32 Average 33 Average Grand Average Percent	571 254 301 399 100	31 53 13 23 28 7	30 45 16 38 32 8	10 9 11 2 11 3	52 52 28 8 45 11 Total Per	108 33 47 62 16 cent:	97 64 47 89 22 60	104 43 72 64 16	45 19 34 30 8	46 24 26 33 8	14 3 4 1
Low Site Plot Count: 317	23 Average 32 Average 33 Average Grand Average Percent Stand Class	571 254 301 399 100 Shade Tolo	31 53 13 23 28 7 7	30 45 16 38 32 8 ght Class	10 9 11 2 11 3	52 52 28 8 45 11 Total Pere	108 33 47 62 16 cent:	97 64 47 89 22 60	104 43 72 64 16	45 19 34 30 8	46 24 26 33 8	14 3 4 1
Low Site Plot Count: 317	23 Average 32 Average 33 Average Grand Average Percent Stand Class Size/Density	571 254 301 399 100 Shade Tolu SAPS00	31 53 13 23 28 7 7 erance/Hei	30 45 16 38 32 8 8 ght Class SAPS23	SAPS24	52 52 28 8 45 11 Total Per	108 33 47 62 16 cent: 		104 43 72 64 16 	25 45 19 34 30 8 	53 46 24 26 33 8 8 5APS43	14 3 4 1 5APS99
Low Site Plot Count: 317 South Aspect/	23 Average 32 Average Grand Average Percent Stand Class Size/Density 22 Average	571 254 301 399 100 <u>Shade Tol.</u> SAPS00 187	31 53 13 23 7 7 erance/Hei SAPS22 4	30 45 16 38 32 8 ght Class SAPS23 14		52 52 28 45 11 Total Per 	108 33 47 62 16 cent: 	127 97 64 47 89 22 60 	104 104 43 72 64 16 5APS41 40	20 45 19 34 30 8 	33 46 24 26 33 8 8 5APS43 22	14 3 4 1 5 SAPS99 5
Low Site Plot Count: 317 South Aspect/ High Site	23 Average 32 Average 33 Average Grand Average Percent Stand Class Size/Density 22 Average 23 Average	571 254 301 399 100 <u>Shade Tol</u> SAPSO0 187 287	31 53 13 23 28 7 7 erance/Hei SAPS22 4 12	30 45 16 38 32 8 8 9 5 2 5 2 5 2 5 2 5 2 5 2 5 2 3 14 2 3		52 52 28 8 45 11 Total Per SAPS25 7 7	00 108 33 47 62 16 cent: 	127 97 64 47 89 22 60 \$\$\$\$\$\$\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$ 42 27	104 43 72 64 16 	20 45 19 34 30 8 <u>SAPS42</u> 10 21	33 46 24 26 33 8 8 5APS43 22 66	14 3 4 1
Low Site Plot Count: 317 South Aspect/ High Site	23 Average 32 Average 33 Average Grand Average Percent Stand Class Size/Density 22 Average 32 Average	571 254 301 399 100 <u>Shade Tol</u> <u>SAPS00</u> 187 287 82	31 53 13 23 28 7 7 erance/Hei SAPS22 4 12 15	30 45 16 38 32 8 <u>9</u> 8 <u>9</u> 5 APS23 14 23 0		52 52 28 8 45 11 Total Per SAPS25 7 7 7 0	00 108 33 47 62 16 cent: SAPS33 41 60 15	127 97 64 47 89 22 60 53 53 52 27 52	00 104 43 72 64 16 SAPS41 40 61 0	25 45 19 34 30 8 SAPS42 10 21 0	33 46 24 26 33 8 8 5APS43 22 66 0	14 3 4 1
Low Site Plot Count: 317 South Aspect/ High Site Plot Count:	23 Average 32 Average 33 Average Grand Average Percent Stand Class Size/Density 22 Average 23 Average 33 Average	571 254 301 399 100 <u>Shade Tol.</u> SAPS00 187 287 287 287 287 287	31 53 13 23 28 7 erance/Heil SAPS22 4 12 15 0	30 45 16 38 32 8 9 t. Class SAPS23 14 23 0 0	SAPS24 2 0 0 0 0	52 52 28 8 45 11 Total Per SAPS25 7 7 7 7 0 0	50 108 33 47 62 16 cent: 5APS33 41 60 15 0	12) 97 64 47 89 22 60 SAPS35 42 27 52 75	00 104 43 72 64 16 <u>SAPS41</u> 40 61 0 0	200 45 19 34 30 8 SAPS42 10 21 0 0 0 0	53 46 24 26 33 8 5APS43 22 66 0 0	14 3 4 1 <u>SAPS99</u> 5 12 0 0 0
Low Site Plot Count: 317 South Aspect/ High Site Plot Count: 45	23 Average 32 Average Grand Average Percent Stand Class Size/Density 22 Average 33 Average Grand Average	Shade Tol: SAPSO0 SAPSO0 254 3399 100 SAPSO0 187 287 82 75 342	31 53 13 23 28 7 7 7 5 8 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 29 20 4 12 15 0 0 12	30 45 16 38 32 8 9 5AP523 14 23 0 0 32	SAPS24 2 0 0 0 7	52 52 28 8 45 11 Total Per SAPS25 7 7 7 0 0 0	108 33 47 62 16 cent: SAPS33 41 60 15 0 52	12) 97 64 47 22 60 53 53 53 53	50 104 43 72 64 16 5APS41 40 61 0 0 80	210 45 19 34 30 8 <u>SAPS42</u> 10 21 0 0 26	33 46 24 26 33 8 5APS43 22 66 0 0 0	14 3 4 1 5 5 12 0 0 9 9
Low Site Plot Count: 317 South Aspect/ High Site Plot Count: 45	23 Average 32 Average Grand Average Percent Stand Class Size/Density 22 Average 23 Average 32 Average Grand Average Percent	571 254 301 399 100 <u>Shade Tol.</u> SAPSO0 187 287 82 75 342 342 100	31 53 13 23 28 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	30 45 16 38 32 8 <u>ght Class</u> <u>SAPS23</u> 14 23 0 0 0 2 2 9 9	SAPS24 2 0 0 0 7 2 2	52 28 8 45 11 Total Per 5APS25 7 7 0 0 0 5 25 7	50 108 33 47 62 16 cent: 53PS33 41 60 15 0 52 15	12) 97 64 47 89 22 60 50 53 53 53 16	SAPS41 SAPS41 40 61 0 80 23		33 46 24 26 33 8 5AP543 22 266 0 0 46 13	14 3 4 1 5 5 12 0 0 0 9 3

Code Template:					
Size - Canopy Cover/Structure	0-5" QMD	5-10" QMD	10-15" QMD	15-20" QMD	20"+ QMD
10-40% CC - Multi	11	21	31	41	51
40-70% CC - Multi	12	22	32	42	52
70%+ CC - Multi	13	23	33	43	53

* Shade Tolerance/Height Class

- * Shd=20: Intolerant
- * Shd=30: Intermediate
- * Shd=40: Tolerant
- Hgt=01: Understory Trees < 45' *
- *
- Hgt=02: Mid-story Trees 45' 60' Hgt=03: Overstory Trees 60' 75', Non-Merchantable *
- Hgt=04: Overstory Trees 60' 75', Shortleaf Pine *
- Hqt=05: Overstory Trees 60' 75', WO,SO,SK,NO,PO,BO *
- * Xxx=99: Minor Tree Species

Numbers appearing below the Aspect/Site label indicate the FIA plot sample residing within the strata. Based on this analysis, combining the North/Low and South/High ecological strata based on commonality of species composition and relative abundance was deemed acceptable. The North/High and South/Low strata were viewed as significantly different in 2"-sapling component from each other and the combined North/Low – South /High strata.

Pattern Revelation

An interesting pattern was observed when viewing the 2"-sapling tables relative to stand size and density. Using a factor of "1/2" from the smallest size, most dense class to the largest size, least dense class {or conversely "2" from the largest size, least dense class to the smallest size, most dense class} an overlay matrix could be developed that closely mimics observed trends in 2" sapling occurrence. The "2-factor" matrix does a good job of smoothing inordinate 'jumps' between adjacent size/density classes.

	North/High (102 FIA Plots)					
	Size - Canopy Cover/Structure	0-5" QMD	5-10" QMD	10-15" QMD	15-20" QMD	20"+ QMD
	10-40% CC - Multi		180			
Measured	40-70% CC - Multi	1140	441	218	180	
	70%+ CC - Multi	2324	575	349	125	
	Size - Canopy Cover/Structure	0-5" QMD	5-10" QMD	10-15" QMD	15-20" QMD	20"+ QMD
	10-40% CC - Multi	363	181	91	45	23
Prorated	40-70% CC - Multi	725	363	181	91	45
	70%+ CC - Multi	1450	725	363	181	91
	North/Low-South/High (492 FIA Plot.	s}				
	Size - Canopy Cover/Structure	0-5" QMD	5-10" QMD	10-15" QMD	15-20" QMD	20"+ QMD
	10-40% CC - Multi	1289	129	153		
Measured	40-70% CC - Multi	1190	496	248	105	
	70%+ CC - Multi	1649	597	297	130	
	Size - Canopy Cover/Structure	0-5" QMD	5-10" QMD	10-15" QMD	15-20" QMD	20"+ QMD
	10-40% CC - Multi	300	150	75	38	19
Prorated	40-70% CC - Multi	600	300	150	75	38
	70%+ CC - Multi	1200	600	300	150	75
	South/Low (317 FIA Plots)					
	Size - Canopy Cover/Structure	0-5" QMD	5-10" QMD	10-15" QMD	15-20" QMD	20"+ QMD
	10-40% CC - Multi	845	366	60		
Measured	40-70% CC - Multi	1332	495	254	22	
	70%+ CC - Multi		571	301	150	
	Size - Canopy Cover/Structure	0-5" QMD	5-10" QMD	10-15" QMD	15-20" QMD	20"+ QMD
	10-40% CC - Multi	350	175	88	44	22
Prorated	40-70% CC - Multi	700	350	175	88	44
	70%+ CC - Multi	1400	700	350	175	88

Compare the "Measured" table with the "Prorated" (i.e. apportioned by ½ factor) by tables for each ecological stratum. Notice the 2"-sapling component pattern between the various size and density classes. Trial factors were developed that best fit the measured trends. Use of the prorated tables guided expected sapling counts at various stages of stand development.

FVS keyword files were developed that, as each FIA plot was processed, identified the ecological strata, classified its size/density class, and determined expected 2"-sapling component frequency.

REPUTE the Program



Repute, a *post* post-processing program, has been written that embodies the concept of Regeneration Imputation. This program reads the 'Stand Table' output files from the Fvsstand Alone post processing program to develop regeneration keyword component files.

REPUTE = REgeneration imPUTation Extractor

Repute will cycle through the Fvsstand Alone stand tables and pick the diameter classes less than the maximum diameter specified on the initial Repute screen.

Configuration	Species Gr	oups		
FVS Variant				
UT = Utah CR = Central Rockies L3 = Lake States	(Southwest / Col	orado / Black	Hill s)	
CS = Central States				
Max DBH: 3.0 inc	nes	Species Group:	NHI NSO	
Max DBH: 3.0 inc	185	Species Group:	NHI NSO SLO	
Max DBH: 3.0 inc	nes	Species Group:	NHI NSO SLO	▲ ▼

- 🗆 🗵

To accommodate the major tree species option within the Repute program, the "Species Groups" tab was developed. Individual tree species are chosen to comprise the forest type. A group label is also specified to associate individuals within the collection.

For those minor tree species that occur within a cover type but are not assigned as select tree species, their representative seedling count is capture in the default classes for softwood and hardwood.

REPUTE = REgeneration imPUTatio	n Extractor
Configuration Spe	ecies Groups
T door T Silver maple Group Label: SLO	Select >> (110) Shortleaf pine (316) Red maple (316) Red maple (403) Pignut hickory (403) Black hickory (409) Black hickory (401) Flowering dogwood (401) Flowering dogwood
Save Values	Build Exit

Configuration Species Groups
Image: Select >> [290] Dther softwoods Image: Select All [34] Dther hardwoods, non-cor Image: Select All [112] Eastern white pine Image: Select All
Save Values Build Exit

REPUTE = REgeneration imPUTation Extractor

- 🗆 ×

Separate lines in the regeneration addfile (a.k.a. keyword component files) are created per species, per diameter, given that there are trees per acre values listed in the Fvsstand Alone stand tables (refer to following page).

Keyword statements account for minimum stocking targets and for pre-existing tree species occurrence.

Lastly, a test is performed to inquire if mature trees of a given species were present that would ensure an adequate seed source.

The final step for the Repute program includes naming the newly created regeneration addfile.

Repute regeneration addfiles were constructed from each of the primary ecological strata.



Elate to Prorate

Running the Repute program using the Oak-Hickory inventory data set per ecological strata produces an *average* representation of the strata. This average condition on the whole depicts size class 2, density class 3 given that this combination contains the largest plot set. Proportioning the 2"-sapling count for each size/density class versus the *average* size class 3, density class 2 rendered the multiplicative factors that can be used in conjunction with 2" sapling values generated through the Repute program.

Regeneration imputation can provide an empirical estimation method on which to base seedling recruitment inferences. The procedures presented in this section describe the process of imputing 2" saplings to model natural growth stand development. This method may be suited for certain silvicultural treatment prescriptions but might need to be augmented with professional judgment of regeneration response.

Fvs	stand Alo	ne Anal	ysis									MEASUR	DATE PLOT A EMENT LE	RUN - 09 CRES - NGTH - 10)/22/20 317.0	06 0 ARS
TABLE	shc	o <mark>rt</mark>	тав le	af	pi	ne										
:« DIA. : CLASS:	TREES /AC	- 199 AVG DBH	5 M H AVG HGT	EASU BA /AC	REMEN CUBIC CU/AC	T BOARD CU/AC	BOARD : BD/AC :	TREES /AC	- 2003 AVG DBH	5 M H AVG HGT	BASU: BA /AC	REMEN CUBIC CU/AC	T BOARD CU/AC	BOARD : BD/AC :	% CU DEF	* BD DEF
<1. : 2. :	0.000 7.411 94 05	0.00 1.94 4.03 2.77	0.0 4.0 2.5 3.4	0.000 0.162 0.441 0.603	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.0 : 0.0 : 0.0 : 0.0 :	0.000 11.447	0.00	0.0 19.0	0.000 0.244	0.00	0.00 0.00	0.0 :	0.00 0.00 0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
LAS	124 393 317	5.69 7.95 6.73	2.5 0.3 1.5	0.540 0.899 1.439	0.19 0.10 0.29	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.0 : 0.0 : 0.0 :	$\frac{TRE}{2}$	LES AC		A' Di	VG BH	۲ ۲	AVG IGT	0	0.00 0.00 0.00
2.	34 755 (45 11 (46	9.74 11.83 14.08 15.61 11.04	2.4 0.1 3.0 10.5 2.2	0.745 0.577 0.266 0.148 1.736	0.73 0.03 0.31 0.60 1.67	0.41 0.02 0.22 0.53 1.18	2.2 : 0.1 : 1.3 : 3.1 : 6.7 :	11.	. 44	7	1	.89	1	19.0	0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
18. : 20. : 22. : 24. : 28. : 30. : 32. : 34. : 36. : 38. : 40. :	0.036 0.015 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	17.82 19.80 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.063 0.032 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	0.00 0.00	0.0 : 0.0 :	0.182 0.076 0.024 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	17.73 19.70 21.80 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	72.0 71.1 73.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	0.313 0.162 0.001 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	8.98 4.72 1.89 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0	7.85 4.22 1.70 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	47.1 : 25.8 : 10.5 : 0.0 : 0.0 : 0.0 : 0.0 : 0.0 : 0.0 : 0.0 : 0.0 : 0.0 :	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0
TOTAL:	20.519	4.92	2.7	3.874	1.97	1.18	6.7 :	38.529	5.74	38.1	9.835	181.11	95.45	535.4 :	0.00	0.00
>=5"+:	8.214	8.14	1.7	3.270	1.97	1.18	6.7 :	19.371	8.71	51.5	8.904	179.96	95.45	535.4 :	0.00	0.00

4. Assigning Plots to Ecological Strata

Early on, it became readily apparent that in order to make meaningful comparisons, the stands needed to be assigned to distinct strata. Although we had remeasurement data for specific stands, the variable plot design of the periodic inventories prevented valid treeby-tree or plot-by-plot comparisons over time. Per acre tree expansion factors varied based on changes in tree diameter. Inferences of tree and plot dynamics become obscure as a result. However, given a large enough plot sample size, strata-based conclusions could be drawn. Let's examine each of the comparison methods separately.

Tree-by-Tree Comparison

FIA cycle 3 and cycle 4 measurements were taken using the periodic inventory design. Trees 5.0" dbh and larger were tallied on a variable radius plot using a 37.5 basal area factor prism. Trees less than 5.0" dbh were tallied on a 1/300 acre fixed area plot. For larger trees, their tree expansion factor (that allows for conversion to a per acre estimate) varies by tree diameter. Refer to the figure on the following page. FIA plot (Survey Unit=1, County=35, Plot Number=9005 periodic/29013 annual) is displayed by inventory cycle, by tree record. The FVS input tree format is as follows:

Data Type	Format Description
integer i4	plot identification
integer i3	tree identification
real f6.2	tree count, number of sample trees this record represents
integer i1	tree history code
integer i3	species code
real f4.1	dbh
real i3	diameter increment (tenths of inches)
real f3.0	live height
real f3.0	height to topkill
real f4.1	height increment
integer i1	crown ratio code
integer i2,i2	first pair of tree-damage and severity codes
integer i2,i2	second pair of tree-damage and severity codes
integer i2,i2	third pair of tree-damage and severity codes
integer i1	tree value class code
integer i1	cut or leave prescription code
	Data Type integer i4 integer i3 real f6.2 integer i1 integer i3 real f4.1 real i3 real f3.0 real f3.0 real f4.1 integer i1 integer i2,i2 integer i2,i2 integer i1 integer i1 integer i1

For reference, the tree species code is highlighted in blue. The column to the left of tree species code is the tree history code. Tree history values 0 to 5 indicate live trees; values 6 to 7 represent recent dead trees; and, values 8 and 9 denote past dead trees. The next six columns to the left hold the tree count representation. The four columns to the right of the tree species code store the current dbh followed by the next three columns to the right which are the past dbh. Notice for the periodic inventory, cycles 3 and 4, as the tree diameter increases, the tree count decreases. This is a result of the variable plot design. A given tree, if it grows in diameter, its tree representation on a per acre basis decreases from one cycle to the next. Although each tree is accounted for, the actual estimate of the trees per acre will differ for a given plot. Inferences regarding growth and mortality are skewed as a result.

WitraEdit-32 - C:\Fvsdata\Press\Mo\Cycle3\Fvs\2903000103509005.fvs

File Edit Search Project View Format Column Macro Advanced Window Help

🍝 🗁 🗋 😂 🗒 🎒 🕲 🖓 🖹 🚍 👪 🆓 📆 📳 🍫 🚱 🐘 🖪

× 2903000103509005.fvs 2904000103509005.fvs 2920030103529013.fvs

🔞 C: \Fvsdata \Press \Mo \Cycle3 \Fvs \2903000103509005.fvs 📃 🗖 🗙	C:\Fvsdata\Press\Mo\Cycle4\Fvs\2	904000103509005.fvs 📃 🗆 🗙	C:\Fvsdata\Press\Mo\Cycle5\Fvs\29200	30103529013.fvs 💷 🗙
1001 14.871068 6.8 5 4	1001 14.871 <mark>068</mark> 6.8 68	0 3 🗖	1001 2.126 <mark>068</mark> 6.8 68 0	0
1002 5.111 <mark>837</mark> 11.6 10 3	1002 4.541 <mark>837</mark> 12.3116	0 4	1002 6.021 <mark>837</mark> 13.1 51	4
	1003 2.94183715.3140	0 3	1003 6.02183716.8 79	3
				3
		0 5		4
2002 8,301835 9,1 7 5		0 5	1007 6.02183715.9 54	3
2003 3.51182414.0 12 4	2003 0.35682414.0140	0 4	1008 6.021409 9.5 78	3
3001 29.991 <mark>931</mark> 1.6 1 2	3001 29.991 <mark>931</mark> 2.2 16	0 3	1931374.831931 0.1 0	0
3002 4.941 <mark>837</mark> 11.8 10 4	3002 4.701 <mark>837</mark> 12.1118	0 4	2001 6.021802 6.8 62	3
3003 29.991 <mark>491</mark> 1.4 1 3	3003 29.991 <mark>491</mark> 1.4 14	0 3	2002 6.02183712.9 80	3
3004 7.461837 9.6 8 4	3004 6.01183710.7 96	0 4	2003 6.021693 5.0 34	3
4001 4.07183713.0 11 4		0 4		U 2
5001 5.38183311.3 9 3 5002 2 35183317 1 14 5	5002 2 10183312.5113	0 5	3001 6.02183716 9 70	3 4
5003 3.66183713.7 11 4	5003 3.18183714.7137	0 4	3004 74.971802 3.1 0	0
6001 5.20183711.5 9 4	6001 3.95183713.2115	0 4	3005 74.971409 1.2 0	ō
6002 3.831 <mark>837</mark> 13.4 11 4	6002 3.411 <mark>837</mark> 14.2134	0 4	3006 74.971802 1.4 0	0
7001 9.741 <mark>835</mark> 8.4 7 3	7001 8.881 <mark>835</mark> 8.8 84	0 5	3409149.931409 0.1 0	0
7002 21.921 <mark>693</mark> 5.6 4 4	7002 16.791 <mark>693</mark> 6.4 56	0 5	3833 74.971833 0.1 0	0
7003 6.011 <mark>693</mark> 10.7 9 6	7003 5.111 <mark>693</mark> 11.6107	0 5	3837 74.971837 0.1 0	0
				0
8001 5.29183711.4 9 5	8001 4.40183712.5114	0 5	4002 74.971693 3.4 0	2
8003 4.20183712.8 11 5	8003 3.41183714.2128	0 5	4004 6.021110 8.1 47	3
9001 2.50180216.6 14 6	9001 2.10180218.1166	0 6	4005 6.02183715.3 56	4
9002 29.991 <mark>802</mark> 1.2 1 3	9002 29.991 <mark>802</mark> 1.3 12	0 2	4006 6.02180213.4 46	4
10001 3.061 <mark>837</mark> 15.0 12 4	10001 0.316 <mark>837</mark> 15.0150	0 4	4007 6.02183715.3 64	3
10002 5.891 <mark>837</mark> 10.8 9 4	10002 4.701 <mark>837</mark> 12.1108	0 5	4008 6.02183712.3 44	3
10003 2.47180616.7 14 4	10003 1.97180618.7167	0 4	4009 6.021837 7.9 48	3
10004 2.25183517.5 15 5	10004 2.05183518.3175	0 5		U
		0 5		0
5004 4.33140312.6 10 6	4003 2.72183715.9 0	0 3	3903 75.002833 0.1 0 0	0
8004 4.07783313.0 11	5005 29.991693 4.6 0	0 6	3905 75.002837 0.1 0 0	0
	7005 2.35183717.1 0	0 4	4904 75.002409 0.1 0 0	0
	7006 29.991693 2.5 0	0 3	103003 7.146491 1.4 14 0	0
			105003 0.45683714.7147 0	0
		-1		-
	•	F		
For Help, press F1	•	Ln 31, Col. 18, C0 DOS	Mod: 9/23/2006 12:06:16PM Col	Sel: 3 INS

💌 E E E E A % & & 😓 E 🗆 🕾 😒 🍳

_ 8 ×

FIA cycle 5 measurement was taken using the annual inventory design Under this sampling scheme, four fixed-area subplots, 1/24 acre in size, are used to measure trees 5" dbh and larger. A fixed-area microplot, 1/300 acre in size, was co-located within the major plot, offset from plot center, to gather information on trees less than 5" dbh. Annual inventory plots share a common plot center. The designation stake for Subplot 1 is common for all inventory cycles. Notice that the first five trees on the annual inventory from cycle 5 were present during the periodic inventories for cycle 3 and 4. However, all other tree records are different. Although the plot center represents the same stand type, the sampling design differs significantly between the visitation cycles. This obscures the ability to observe actual change components.

Plot-by-Plot Comparison

It could be assumed that the increased tree diameter with the associated decrease in tree count representation would offset and that projecting a FIA plot from cycle 3 to cycle 4 would approximate a field measurement of the plot at cycle 4. Unfortunately, due to the variable plot sample design, it doesn't work out that way. Refer to the figure on the following page. Note for the year 1986 for cycles 3 and 4, different values for numbers of trees and basal area per acre are reported for the same plot. There are less trees of smaller size displayed for cycle 4 than for cycle 3 for the same measurement year.

To make matters worse, for comparison sake, changing from the periodic to annual inventory design renders confounding values between the projected data and the measured data in 2001. It appears that the tendency is having fewer trees of larger proportion the further you are from the measured data.

The FIA plot chosen as an example here was truly the "*best case scenario*". Most trees are still present between cycles 3 and 4. Most FIA plots cannot account for trees that somehow appear or disappear from plot boundaries. The table on the page following displays a listing of tree accountability. Columns M and R reveal the percentage of trees that can be accounted for between cycles 3 and 4, for trees 0" dbh and greater versus trees 5" dbh greater, respectively. Larger trees are mostly re-sampled between cycles but even one tree difference will effect per acre estimates for a given plot. Small trees do not fare as well in their chances of being re-sampled.

Note columns N and O, plus S and T from the plot listing table. These columns are a tally of trees remeasured on Subplot 1 between cycle 4 and 5. It is obvious that not many of the same trees are actually measured between the periodic and annual inventory designs. Consequently, *tree-by-tree* and *plot-by-plot* analysis could not be pursued given the disparities in sampling design. However, strata-based comparisons proved to be a worthwhile endeavor.

M	licrosoft	Excel - Com	pare.xl	\$																					فل	<u>El X</u>
8	<u>F</u> ile <u>E</u> o	dit <u>V</u> iew <u>I</u>	nsert F	<u>o</u> rmat <u>T</u>	ools <u>D</u> at	ta <u>W</u> indo	w <u>H</u> elp															Туре а	a question fo	or help	f	3 >
I D	<u> </u>	BAR	ABC	X Ba f	a 🛷	0 - 0	- Q	Σ - Α	Z 40	1 85%	- 2		rial		10 🗸	в	ZII			\$ \$ %	*. 0	oo <u>€</u> ≣ 00	•=	- 8.	- A -	
	0.4		· ·	00 - E U	= · ×			2 · Z	* A*			4 - [1] - 1					<u> </u>	-			> J .00 +	.0 ==		· <u>· · ·</u>		1
	Al	-	7× ₽	lot Numb	er											_	-	-								_
	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	H		J	к	L	M	N	0	_	P	Q	R	S	T	U		W	X	-7
1	Plot Deviedie	Number	Survey	Cycle 3	Cycle 4	Cycle 5	Romant	C#o	Disturbance	DOD	Cuelo 2	Cuele 4	Frees - Dia	meter 0"+	CupE Sub	4 0	uele 2. Ci	11 I h alau	rees - Diar	neter 5"+ Cup4, Sub4	CupE Subr	Mostolii	iortality - Di	ameter :	5"+	t, T
2	Ferioaic Larga Si	Annual	ality Ic=	2%Aur3	linv rear	inv rear	Aspeci	Sile	Disturbance	FOD	Cycle 3	Cycle 4	ACCHL %	CyC4_Sub1	Cyca_suc	<u>, 10</u>	ycle s C	ycie 4	ACCHL %	Cyc4_Sub1	Cycs_sub	WOrtall	y wissing	WOIL+	WUL+ %	F
4	22150900	6 221520013	2	1977	1987	2000	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=U	37	25	68	2		2	13	13	100	2	,	2	0 0	0	0	5
5	21530900	05 215320094	2	1977	1987	2000	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=U	56	32	57	5		1	14	14	100	1		5	0 0	0	0	Ð.
6	20910900	01 209120184	2	1977	1987	2000	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=N	17	16	94	2	!	1	5	5	100	1	l ·		0 0	0	0	\rangle
7	12210016	57 122129021	1	1976	1986	2003	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=U	49	33	67	3	l	0	18	18	100	1		J	0 0	0	0	\rightarrow
8	12030901	4 120320092	1	1977	1986	2002	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=N	23	14	61	1		1	6	6	100	1		<u> </u>	0 0	0	0	\square
9	11810901	3 118120128		1976	1987	2003	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=U	28	20	71	2		1	8		100) \	<u> </u>	0 0	0		H.
10	11790901	14 117920062		1976	1987	2002	Southerly	SI<=70 SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=Y	48	38 196	79			1	35 20	36	100	4	· · · ·	/──	1 0	1	5	H.
12	11490900	18 114920083		1976	1986	2000	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=1	44	20	57	4		2	18	18	100	2			1 0	1	6	H.
13	10930901	2 109329014	1	1976	1987	2000	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=Y	28	25	89	2		õ	18	18	100		2	5	1 0	1	6	ΤŃ
14	10230900	05 102329007	1	1977	1987	2003	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=Y	38	21	55	3		1	15	14	93	3	- }	i	0 1	1	7	Ð.
15	12210900	08 122120169	1	1976	1986	2002	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=Y	28	17	61	1		0	14	13	93	1	1	2	0 1	1	7	Ν
16	10550900	02 105520019	1	1976	1986	2001	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=U	28	23	82	1		0	14	13	93	1		າ	0 1	1	7	N
17	20910900	04 209120157	2	1977	1987	2003	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=Y	38	25	66	2		2	19	17	89	2	2 :	2	0 2	2	11	\mathbf{P}
18	22130900	J7 221329011	2	1977	1987	2002	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=U	34	23	68	2		0	9	8	89	1		<u></u>	0 1	1	11	8
19	10650900	19 106520157 14 00000000		1976	1987	2002	Southerly	SI<=70 SIZ=70	Undisturbed	POD=U	30	27	71	 		3	17	15	89	1		á — —	0 1	1	11	H.
20	10650900	1 106520154	1	1976	1987	2003	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=U	36	30	83	1		1	8	13	100	1		/——	1 0	1	13	Ħ.
22	10550900	08 105520101	1	1976	1986	2001	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=U	28	23	82	3	1	2	15	13	87	2		2	0 2	2	13	Ð.
23	11810900	04 118120086	1	1976	1987	2002	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=Y	31	23	74	3	I	2	14	14	100	2	2 :	2	2 0	2	14	\rightarrow
24	11790901	17 117920144	1	1976	1987	2002	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=Y	28	26	93	4		0	21	20	95	4	L I	<u></u>	2 1	3	14	\rightarrow
25	i 117909017 117920144 1 1976 1987 2002 Southerly SI Sinterly Sinter																									
26	5 109309005 109320091 1 1976 1987 2002 Southerly SI<=70																									
26 106509004 106520082 1 1976 1986 2000 Southerly SI<=70 Undisturbed POD=Y 45 37 82 5 3 21 20 95 4 3 2 1 3 14 N 27 103509005 103529013 1 1977 1987 2001 Southerly SI<=70															R											
27 10000000 100320012 1 1010 1000 2000 Southerly Six=70 Undisturbed POD=1 40 37 5 5 28 27 96 5 3 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 1 1000 2000 Southerly Six=70 Undisturbed POD=N 32 31 97 5 5 28 27 96 5 5 3 1 4 14 28 102309009 10322012 1 1977 1987 2001 Southerly Six=70 Undisturbed POD=N 32 31 97 5 5 28 27 96 5 5 3 1 4 4 28 102309009 102320112 1 1977 1987 2001 Southerly Six=70 Undisturbed POD=Y 32 2 88 1 0 20 19 95 0 0 2 1 3 16 29 17990007 117929003 1 1976																										
30	11730300	7 117323003	· ·	1370	1307	2001	Southerry	314-70	Ondistanced	FOD-T	20	24	32			-			34		, .	· —	2 1		10	F°.
31	Large Si	ze/High Mort	ality (>	2%/vr3																						
32	12230901	1 122320136	1	1977	1987	2001	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=Y	37	27	73	0	l.	0	24	20	83	() I	נ	1 4	5	21	\rightarrow
33	10230900	04 102320071	1	1977	1987	2003	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=Y	34	30	88	4		0	31	28	90	3	3 1	J	4 3	7	23	\rightarrow
34	11490901	5 114929030	1	1977	1986	2001	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=U	44	33	75	2		0	8	6	75	0) I	ر	0 2	2	25	\mathbf{P}
35	10350900	103520080		1976	1987	2002	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=U	35	28	80	3		2	13	13	100	2	2	2	4 0	4	31	8
36	11810900	J9 118120003		1976	1987	2002	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=U	30	24	80	3	i	1	16	13	81	1		<u> </u>	2 3	10	31	H.
38	21530900	16 215320112	2	1970	1907	2001	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=Y	32	27	75	5		3	15	10	67	1			0 5	5	33	5
39	12210900	3 122120087	1	1976	1986	2000	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=U	32	20	63	3		2	14		64	2		2	0 5	5	36	É.
40	31610900	05 316120063	3	1976	1987	2003	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=Y	42	26	62	2		0	15	10	67	C C) i	د اد	2 5	7	47	Ð.
41	20670900	02 206720040	2	1977	1987	2002	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=U	29	7	24	0	l	0	8	3	38	0) I	<u></u> د	0 5	5	63	\rightarrow
42																										
43	Small Si	Ze		4077	0000	4007		01 70											400							
44	20910900	J7 209120015	2	1977	2000	1987	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=N	22	15	68	4		3	1	1	100)	2	0 0	0		H.
45	12230900	122320017	1	1977	2003	1907	Southeny	51<=70	Undisturbed	POD=0	- 21	11	41	0		3	3	- 4	°'	1		<u>'</u>	0 1	1		H.
47	Early Cv	cle 3 Plots																					-			H
48	10650005	53 106520166	1	1969	2003	1987	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=Y	35	18	51	3	1	0	16	16	100	3	3 1	2	1 0	1	6	5
49	11790005	50 117929017	1	1971	1999	1987	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=Y	35	17	49	3	1	0	16	15	94	3	3 1	د ا	1 1	2	13	\rightarrow
50	12210001	8 122120099	1	1971	2002	1987	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=Y	36	15	42	3	l -	0	11	9	82	2	2 1	1	0 2	2	18	\rightarrow
51	10230010	08 102320030	1	1969	2000	1987	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=N	27	16	59	2		0	15	14	93	1	l I		2 1	3	20	\square
52	20430901	3 204320172	2	1970	2002	1987	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=Y	23	8	35	1		0	13	7	54	0)	2	0 6	6	46	N.
53	22150017	4 221520036	2	1970	2002	1987	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=Y	28	0	0	0	1		27	0	0	C) I	<u>ال</u>	0 27	27	100	
55	Non-Oak	Forest Tune																								\vdash
56	20090900	19 200920045	2	1977	2001	1987	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=U	51	15	29	4		0	16	10	63	1			0 6	6	38	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$
57	10350901	1 103529001	1	1977	2002	1987	Southerly	SI<=70	Undisturbed	POD=U	63	44	70	5		3	12	12	100	2	2	2	0 0	0	0	Ď
58																										
59	Disturbe	d Plot - Cutti	ng																							
60	31610900	06 316120094	3	1976	2000	1987	Southerly	SI<=70	Disturbed	POD=U	38	3	8	0	l i i	0	14	2	14	0) I	J	1 12	13	93	
61	22150900	15 221520060	2	1976	2002	1987	Southerly	SI<=70	Disturbed	POD=U	33	14	42	0		0	13	10	77	0)	л	U 3	3	23	날
• •	I 🕨 MIV 3	Sheet0 / She	et1 / Sł	neet2 / SH	neet3 / NH	HI (NSO (SLO <u>(</u> NHI	(2) / NS	50 (2)) SLO ((2)/																11
Rea	dy																							NUM		

Strata-Based Comparisons

In brief, the 100 *base* plots were assigned to strata according to slope exposure (aspect) and productivity capacity (site index). Aspect was defined as southerly (113-292 degrees) or northerly (0-112 or 293-360 degrees) and had proven to be important in determining regeneration response. High site quality was classified as site index values greater than 70; low was 70 or below. This productivity threshold is cited as important in detecting/assessing oak decline impacts. Since black oak was not always the tree species measured for site index. Hence, each plot was adjusted to a relative black oak site index as averaged over the three inventory cycles. To simplify tracking the results, sites with northerly aspect and high site quality were designated as "good sites"; sites with southerly aspect with low site quality were designated as "moderate sites". Refer to the following table for the FIA plot distribution by productivity class.

Productivity	Available
Class	Number of Plots
Good	15
Moderate	49
Poor	36
Total:	100

5. Establish Baseline Mortality

Tree status coding (i.e. removal tree) could be used to determine past treatment history for a FIA plot. Although there are tree status codes (i.e. mortality tree, dead tree) that indicate loss of life, direct coding to Oak Decline agents was vague at-best. Another method had to be used to assess *endemic* versus *epidemic* levels of mortality for a given plot.

Manion Method

Endemic implies being constantly present in a particular region and generally considered under control. In contrast, epidemic refers to out of control situations where the vector is spreading rapidly among many individuals. To that end, a process of evaluation presented by Manion and Griffin (2001) that distinguishes between endemic and epidemic conditions was pursued.

Paul D. Manion, Professor, and his colleague, David H. Griffin, Professor Emeritus, from State University of New York, College of Environmental Science and Forestry, in their paper entitled: *"Large Landscape Scale Analysis of Tree Death in the Adirondack Park, New York"*, postulated the following:

"We propose that healthy forests depend on quantitatively predictable tree death as a continuous process linked to forest structure and growth. Quantifying a baseline mortality value by forest structure analysis using the Law of de Liocourt allows estimation of forest health by comparing the observed mortality to a baseline value. This method is applicable to large landscape samples, but not generally to individual forest stands. Observed relative mortality per dbh class from a random sample of the Adirondack Park (New York, USA) forest was slightly, but significantly, less than the baseline relative mortality per dbh class required for maintaining size-distribution stability of the forest. This result suggests a changing structure involving increased forest density, or future mortality increase to maintain the current structure. Differences in structuremortality relationships among the more abundant species indicate changing composition of the forest. This reflects unhealthy conditions in some species (American beech, yellow birch, balsam fir, and red spruce) that are compensated by enhanced development of others (red maple, sugar maple, and eastern hemlock). For. Sci. 47(4):542–549."

Further: "Observed relative mortality per dbh class is expressed as the percentage of dead trees to total trees, living plus dead, in each dbh class. This method of estimating mortality per dbh class involves the assumption that dead trees remain identifiable to species for about the same time that it takes living trees to grow 2.54 cm. The baseline mortality per dbh class can be used with reliable estimates of dbh growth rates to estimate a baseline annual mortality rate for comparison with observed annual mortality rates from remeasured plot data."

The following depiction, referred to as the *phoenix helix*, conceptualizes the interrelationships of tree growth and tree mortality in a forest ecosystem:



In quantitative terms, the baseline mortality per dbh class can be used with estimates of dbh growth rates to determine an annual mortality rate needed for stand equilibrium. A given number of trees must die within a dbh class to allow space for the survivors to progress to the next dbh class. This number can be transformed into a rate or percentage for all dbh classes.

Inventory Inference

In application of the Manion and Griffin method, 125 FIA '*mortality*' plots were used that were resident from cycle 3 through cycle 5 (i.e. 154 common plots, minus 29 that had experienced disturbance, mainly cutting treatments). Note that for this part of the analysis we were able to use an additional 25 common plots that were of smaller size class or were slightly "off-cycle" from the cycle 3 measurement period. Mortality inferences were based upon the cycle 3 measurements. This period pre-dates the drought years that triggered intensification of oak decline. "Past diameter" and "mortality tree" data is included in each FIA remeasurement dataset. As such, we were able to extrapolate cycle 2 trees per acre values from the cycle 3 data set. The resulting stand table is presented as follow:

DBH	Trees/Ac	DBH	Annual	Annual	Trees/Ac
Size Class	Cycle 2	Growth/Yr	Baseline	Observed	Cycle 3
(inches)		(inches)	Mort % ^a	Mort % ^b	
2	381.953	0.011	0.391	2.286	384.592
4	130.578	0.024	0.851	1.102	118.281
6	59.799	0.040	1.414	0.542	61.196
8	36.070	0.058	2.044	0.355	32.860
10	20.369	0.082	2.877	0.524	22.284
12	12.631	0.097	3.394	0.576	14.359
14	5.900	0.112	3.909	0.802	8.117
16	2.456	0.129	4.489	1.156	3.470
18	1.092	0.143	4.963	1.392	1.485
20	0.551	0.163	5.638	2.595	0.700
22	0.213	0.176	6.073	2.676	0.311
24	0.086	0.203	6.972	4.419	0.124
26	0.058	0.213	7.302	1.552	0.048
28	0.056	0.226	7.730	2.500	0.049
30	0.016	0.243	8.287	1.250	0.037
32	0.006	0.263	8.938	10.000	0.022
Total:	658.552	0.062	2.183	1.582	647.935

Stand table displaying trees per acre in each 2" dbh size class from FIA cycle 2 to cycle 3 with associated diameter growth, baseline mortality estimates, and observed mortality percent.

^a Annual Baseline Mortality % = A predictable level of relative mortality caused by biotic and abiotic factors interacting with stocking competition essential for maintenance of a balanced healthy forest. Baseline mortality is linked to forest structure (Law of de Liocourt, Manion and Griffin, 2001) and growth (measured diameter increment).

^b Annual Observed Mortality % = Sum of the total number of dead trees per diameter size class observed in the field at any point in time divided by the initial live tree stocking, divided by the growth measurement period. Note that mortality is expressed in terms of diameter class rather than years.

Notice the general trend of approximately twice as many trees per acre from the largest to the next smallest diameter class for cycle 2 data. The computed q-slope across all diameter classes equals a 2.038 factor. Think of this as a survivability factor. Conversely, a mortality factor would equal one minus the inverse of the q-slope. Expressing the baseline relative mortality as a percent equals 50.932 (that is: (1-1/2.038)*100). Thus, approximately one-half of the trees need to die for the survivors to progress to the next diameter size class. Knowing the average annual diameter growth rate of the trees allows calculation of the baseline relative mortality. For oak forests on the MTNF, a baseline relative mortality rate of 2.183 percent was computed. The observed mortality rate derived from the data set was 1.582 percent. According to Manion and Griffin, this value indicates an evolving forest structure that will trend toward the baseline relative mortality rate.

Applying these same methods to cycle 4 and 5 data rendered the following results:

Measure Period ^a	Annual Baseline Mortality Percent	Annual Observed Mortality Percent
1966 - 1976	2.183	1.582
1976 – 1986	2.981	3.267
1986 - 2001	2.974	3.324

Calculated mortality rates (baseline versus observed) During the three measurement periods.

^a Appendix E contains stand tables for each of the inventory cycles, including the backdated cycle 2.

Endemic/Epidemic Threshold

The reconstruction of cycle 2 from cycle 3 data represents the 1966 to 1976 growth measurement period. FIA cycle 3 to cycle 4 equates to the 1976 to 1986 growth period. Cycle 4 to cycle 5 spans the 1986 to 2001 measurement interval. Notice that the observed relative mortality exceeds baseline relative mortality during the past two FIA measurement cycles. This correlates well to the observed higher incidence of oak decline.

As a point of comparison, Manion and Griffin reported annual baseline mortality for Adirondack Park, New York, in 1996 at 3.0 percent. Buckman (1985) computed an annual measured mortality rate of 2.7 percent for National Forests in Michigan and Wisconsin. Based on these findings, and specifically on values obtained from the cycle 3 data set, we chose a value of 2.0 percent annual mortality (in terms of trees per acre) from reconstructed cycle 2 to cycle 3 as the threshold to indicate stands of low mortality occurrence (*endemic*) versus those of high mortality incidence (*epidemic*).

6. Analysis of Common Plots

High/Low Mortality Plots

Recall that three ecological strata were identified for this project based on aspect and site index: *poor, moderate,* and *good.* Using the 2.0 percent baseline relative mortality threshold to aid in determining low versus high mortality incidence, the plots represented in the FIA data set were distributed as shown in the following table:

		Number of stands in	each mortality group
Productivity	Available	Low mortality $(< 2\%)$	High mortality (>= 2%
Class	Number of Plots	per vear)	per vear)
Good	15	14	1
Moderate	49	34	15
Poor	36	26	10
Total:	100	74	26

Distribution of the base	FIA plate	among produ	activity class	and mortality	aroun
Distribution of the base	r la piots	among prout	ictivity class	and mortanty	group.

The Forest Vegetation Simulator was used to summarize various growth parameters for the base plot set from the three ecological strata and two mortality groups. The "good site/high mortality" category had only one stand. Strata based inferences could not be drawn for this site-mortality combination, so it was excluded from further consideration.

Measured Trends

For low mortality plots, measured trends indicate that cubic foot volume per acre steadily increased from cycle 3 to 4 to 5. For high mortality stands, cubic foot volume per acre remained mostly constant from cycle 3 to 4 but then rebounded for cycle 5. On these sites, tree mortality made space for associated species to fill in the gaps over the 25-year period. Recall that we used the reconstructed cycle 2 to cycle 3 mortality rate to distinguish stands of observed high mortality versus those of low mortality occurrence. Thus by our definition of mortality groups, the "high mortality" sites were already experiencing increased casualties at the beginning of cycle 3.

In order to observe how the distribution of species changed over time, we calculated the cubic foot volume within six species groups of particular interest. We considered scarlet oak (Q. coccinea Muenchh.) and black oak (Q. velutina L.) as one unique species group, white oak as another species group, and all other oaks (*Quercus* sp.) as a third group. All hickories were considered in the hickory group. All other hardwoods, such as cherry (*Prunus sp.*), ash (*Fraxinus sp.*), dogwood (*Cornus sp.*), etc., were grouped together. Shortleaf pine was the predominant conifer recorded; however, eastern redcedar was observed in cycle 5. Pine and cedar were combined as a unique species groups. In the figure on the following page, the proportion of total cubic foot volume in each of these species groups is shown for the "low" and "high" mortality sites at each of the three measurement periods.

Cubic Foot Volume Trends in Tree Species Composition over Three FIA Inventory Cycles for Low and High Mortality Sites



On high mortality sites, the proportion of cubic foot volume in scarlet and black oak decreased in successive measurement cycles. Conversely, the proportion of cubic foot volume in white oak increased. This trend is consistent with the silvicultural predictions of tree species response to oak decline (Shifley and other. 2006). On low mortality sites, cubic foot volume in scarlet and black oak increased during each cycle. White oak also increased. The identifiable differences in response pattern between the high and low mortality groups indicate that the criterion we used to distinguish mortality groups was meaningful.

Modeled Forecasts

Projecting Current Conditions

From the 100 base plots measured three times over a 25 year period, we were able to compare the actual measured attributes to projected values when we simulated the stands for 25 years under four different combinations of the FVS model. The combinations we compared were:

- FVS without adjustments
- FVS without adjustments, with the Oak Decline Event Monitor (ODEM) addfile
- FVS with adjustments as described in Section 3 of this paper
- FVS with adjustments as described in Section 3, with the ODEM addfile

From this trial, we concluded that FVS without adjustment consistently underestimated trees per acre and overestimated basal area per acre for all strata, even after a period as short as 25 years. When the ODEM addfile was applied without model adjustments, projected values improved slightly. For best results, applying model adjustments alone for the low mortality plots and including the ODEM addfile for high mortality plots are the proper steps.

Stand tables are presented on the following pages for the six ecological strata/mortality groups that show comparison of measured trends to modeled projections using these methods:

- SLO_L = Poor Sites_Low Mortality: FVS adjustments {26 plots}
- SLO_H = Poor Sites_High Mortality: FVS adjustments + ODEM addfile {10 plots}
- NSO_L = Moderate Sites_Low Mortality: FVS adjustments {34 plots}
- NSO_H = Moderate Sites_High Mortality: FVS adjustments + ODEM addfile {15 plots}
- NHI_L = Good Sites_Low Mortality: FVS adjustments {14 plots}
- NHI_H = Good Sites_High Mortality: FVS adjustments + ODEM addfile {1 plots}

Note the reasonable results in terms of tree per acre and basal area per acre, especially for trees 5" dbh and larger. Of particular interest is the portrayal of measured benchmarks against modeled projections for a 25 year time period. For monitoring purposes, the effects of an epidemic outbreak of oak decline were captured. Future inferences based on this knowledge could be applied.

SLO_L

1000			1976 MEA	SURE	MENT					1986 N	MODEL	ED					2001	MODEL	ED		
DIA.	TREES AV	/G A\ 34.5 41	/G BA			BOARD	BOARD BD/AC	TREES A	VG A	VG BA			BOARD I	BOARD	TREES A	AVG	AVG E	BA (CUBIC	BOARD	BOARD
2	399.10	1.84	18.80	7.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	336.70	2.13	19.90	8.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	223.87	2.35	20.60	6.96	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	138.42	3.74	33.10	10.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	201.73	3.64	29.80	14.91	0.89	0.00	0.00	285.70	3.67	27.70	21.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
6	60.27	5.90	45.90	11.54	88.17	0.00	0.00	66.93	5.86	44.50	12.67	88.24	0.00	0.00	80.35	5.83	41.30	15.05	76.92	0.00	0.00
10	25.09	9.89	57.00	13.42	218.00	41.76	220.90	27.87	9.88	52.40	14 89	242.91	54.16	286.00	30.98	9.98	56.80	16.89	261.35	54.97	291.80
12	11.03	11.78	60.10	8.36	156.51	65.02	334.10	14.99	11.79	60.60	11.39	210.59	90.59	467.90	18.44	11.88	59.70	14.24	283.75	132.33	690.20
14	6.83	13.76	62.20	7.07	135.21	79.14	430.20	7.65	13.83	60.90	7.99	152.88	89.96	491.00	9.61	13.93	61.80	10.19	183.06	109.55	603.00
16	2.79	15.69	63.40	3.75	82.62	57.14	323.90	4.23	15.78	64.00	5.75	129.17	88.72	503.00	6.22	15.87	63.80	8.56	188.79	131.55	747.90
20	0.42	19.39	59.10	0.86	19.10	13.67	80.10	0.49	19.73	59.40	1.05	23.05	16.98	99.60	0.85	19.68	63.50	1.80	41.52	31.47	183.50
22	0.22	21.74	59.10	0.58	12.21	9.86	58.10	0.15	21.95	60.90	0.40	8.59	6.84	40.30	0.21	21.76	63.90	0.55	12.85	10.27	60.70
24	0.04	24.50	50.20	0.15	2.72	1.89	11.60	0.08	23.63	55.60	0.24	4.74	4.15	25.00	0.10	23.41	59.30	0.31	7.02	5.43	32.20
28	0.04	27.00	53.00	0.13	2.53	2.30	13.70	0.04	27.20	53.50	0.10	1.73	1.51	9.40	0.02	27.39	58.30	0.16	3.01	2.67	16.40
30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
32	0.03	32.70	60.20	0.14	2.42	2.18	13.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
40 Total:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	541.15	0.00
>=5"+;	144.70	0.27	52.00	60.29	303.30	284.32	1011.30	162.05	0.30	51.50	69.83	1074.23	300.40	2120.00	193.39	0.40	49.00	86.43	1314.34	041.10	2332.00
										1986 ME	ASURE	MENT					2001	MODEL	ED		
							CLASS	AC E	DBH_5 H	GT_5 /A	C C	CU/AC	CU/AC I	BOARD BD/AC	AC [DBH_5	HGT_5 /	AC (CU/AC	CU/AC	BD/AC
							2	366.80	1.77	19.80	6.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	297.18	2.02	19.70	6.96	0.00	0.00	0.00
							4	53.94	3.82	35.50	9.59	0.92	0.00	0.00	184.48	3.62	30.70	13.51	77.95	0.00	0.00
							8	41.21	7.91	54.40	14.14	190.36	0.00	0.00	41.10	8.02	54.10	14.50	177.14	0.00	0.00
							10	27.86	9.97	58.90	15.15	263.17	51.61	273.10	27.03	9.89	58.40	14.47	244.70	48.51	258.50
							12	17.88	11.90	61.80	13.85	275.99	128.27	669.20	22.18	11.90	61.50	17.16	344.41	160.50	833.60 754.90
							16	4.70	15.92	65.00	6.50	143.50	98.48	556.20	7.07	15.69	64.40	9.50	205.27	140.43	792.10
							18	1.82	17.85	65.50	3.17	72.85	53.92	314.10	3.31	17.79	65.70	5.73	137.21	100.26	582.90
							20	0.62	19.65	64.00 64.20	1.30	29.52	24.14	143.40	1.17	19.77	64.40 64.10	2.50	58.28	46.20	270.40
							24	0.04	24.50	62.50	0.15	3.10	2.37	14.50	0.13	23.79	63.60	0.40	9.06	7.73	46.30
							26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
							28	0.04	27.00	61.00	0.14	3.04	2.74	16.60	0.02	27.50	61.50	0.09	1.78	1.61	9.80
							32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
							34	0.02	34.70	61.00	0.14	2.18	1.96	12.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
							36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	35.00	61.50	0.08	1.25	1.13	7.00
							40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
							Total:	642.39	8.83	54.80	92.15	1265.19	494,79	2725.10	658.93	8.96	53.70	108.81	1498.21	652.35	3610.40
							>=5"+"	157.93			75.59				177.28		2001 M E	88.34 EASURE	MENT		1
)	DIA.	TREES A	AVG	AVG E	BA (CUBIC	BOARD	BOARD
													2	2	791 24	JBH_5 179	HGT_5 /	AC (CU/AC	CU/AC 0.00	RD/AC
														4	124.00	3.82	35.30	10.03	0.00	0.00	0.00
														6	46.54	5.86	41.50	8.80	53.53	0.00	0.00
														8	36.82 24.55	7.83	52.50 62.70	12.38	146.04	45.84	243.50
														12	17.60	12.03	66.20	13.92	302.39	155.03	815.20
														14	13.89	13.81	69.60	14.46	338.12	201.90	1108.80
														16	10.88	15.89	73.30	15.02	379.73	256.94	1442.70
														20	0.70	19.67	64.70	1.47	33.23	28.27	175.70
														22	0.46	21.70	63.00	1.19	27.72	21.45	126.20
														24	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														32	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
													1	40 Total:	0.00 560.4P	0.00	0.00	0.00	1621.01	0.00	0.00
														>=5"+:	154.22	0.40	00.00	85.30	1001.01	102.20	4000.40

										SLO	_н										
			1976 M E	ASURE	MENT					1986	MODEL	ED					2001	MODEL	ED		
CLASS	IREES /AC	DBH_5	AVG B HGT_5 //	A (C	CURIC CURIC	CU/AC	BOARD BD/AC	AC E	AVG DBH_5	AVG HGT_5	BA AC	CURIC CURIC	CU/AC	BD/AC	AC	AVG DBH_5	HGT_5 /	ac	CUBIC CU/AC	CU/AC	BD/AC
2 4	374.88 104.97	1.73 4.00	17.60 35.80	6.77 9.30	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00	347.58 160.06	2.02 3.72	18.90 30.50	8.15 12.49	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00	278.44 260.13	2.28 3.51	19.50 26.30	8.17 17.92	0.00 2.26	0.00	0.00
6 8	75.27 30.36	5.79 7.66	45.60 53.70	13.88 9.75	93.03 111.57	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	83.80 37.21	5.89 7.74	44.60 53.20	15.99 12.23	106.61 145.81	0.00 0.00	0.00	93.92 53.56	5.94 7.81	43.00 51.60	18.23 17.92	119.47 210.02	0.00	0.00
10 12	25.90 20.12	9.76	57.60 60.40	13.50	211.87	6.59 130.90	35.80 670.00	26.32	10.01	57.40 60.60	14.44 13.35	226.28	10.59 122.42	55.40 630.80	18.09 19.47	10.08	57.30 59.60	10.06	155.80 278.23	12.22	62.90 556.40
14	7.51	13.86	62.20	7.88	169.96	101.72	563.00	10.12	13.62	62.30	10.25	222.61	129.87	712.60	15.26	13.70	62.20	15.66	347.89	200.39	1090.10
18	0.44	17.76	66.20	0.75	9.44	40.63	44.10	4.38	17.39	63.90	5.85 1.76	29.98	22.87	131.00	1.87	17.87	66.20	3.25	70.53	52.58	308.20
20 22	0.34	19.99	57.30 0.00	0.75	16.87	12.60	77.60 0.00	0.38	19.59 21.50	64.50 53.30	0.81	19.73	15.35	95.80 33.40	0.64	19.78	68.60 57.80	1.36	32.63 14.76	25.34 10.91	156.30 67.50
24 26	0.11	24.60 0.00	52.90 0.00	0.38	7.36	6.45 0.00	38.60 0.00	0.00	0.00 25.10	0.00 53.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 38.50	0.00	0.00	0.00 53.80	0.00	0.00	0.00 6.24	0.00
28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	31.30	63.90	0.35	6.28	5.65	38.70
34 36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
38 40	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total: >=5"+:	642.16 162.32	8.13 I	51.90	82.08	1011.57	319.17	1748.00	688.30 180.67	8.25	51.40	96.58 75.94	1191.43	413.40	2282.70	747.27	8.33	50.30	116.14 90.04	1421.09	541.37	3004.20
		•	L.				אור	TOCCS	MG	1986 M	EASURE	MENT	POAPD	POAPD	TREES	AVG	2001	MODEL	ED	POAPD	POARD
						_	CLASS	AC E	DBH_5	HGT_5	AC	CU/AC	CU/AC	BD/AC	AC	DBH_5	HGT_5 /	AC		CU/AC	BD/AC
							4	428.86	3.73	34.40	9.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	344.62 196.59	3.72	30.70	9.06	1.99	0.00	0.00
							6 8	59.74 32.58	5.85 7.80	46.20 55.30	11.25 10.88	83.69 146.21	0.00 0.00	0.00	72.31 38.87	5.88 7.89	45.10 52.20	13.79 13.28	89.23 155.77	0.00	0.00
							10 12	19.93 12.12	9.98	59.40 60.40	10.87	184.44 195.52	19.76 98.25	101.50 511.90	23.18 15.64	10.08 11.95	59.40 61.90	12.88	224.16 252.14	13.06 115.14	66.70 598.70
							14	10.56	13.97	62.90	11.25	254.68	149.99	825.40	9.28	14.04	63.10	10.00	228.60	146.48	805.80
							18	1.30	17.84	60.00	2.25	35.62	24.40	138.80	4.79	17.67	66.10	8.16	194.81	141.11	827.20
							20	0.33	20.37	70.90 63.60	0.75	20.47	15.67 13.78	95.40 82.30	0.79	19.59 22.57	65.70 67.70	1.66 0.91	35.68 22.19	26.78 18.79	157.00
							24 26	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	23.10	72.20	0.26	6.87 0.00	5.65 0.00	35.10
							28 30	0.00 00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00 00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00
							32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
							36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
							38 40	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	00.00 00.0	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00
							Total: >=5"+:	696.39 141.58	8.51	53.40	80.72 63.76	1085.84	425.62	2348.70	714.20	8.76	52.70	107.95	1461.34	636.03	3565.20
												52		DIA.	TREES	AVG	2001 M E	ASURE	MENT	BOARD	BOARD
														CLASS	/AC	DBH_5	HGT_5 /	AC 5 75	CU/AC	CU/AC	BD/AC
														4	89.96	3.62	34.20	6.59	0.00	0.00	0.00
														8	26.49	5.80	40.30	9.61	63.75 110.29	0.00	0.00
														10 12	16.86 14.45	10.04 12.00	59.20 61.10	9.29 11.38	167.60 218.59	20.11	104.40 617.70
														14 16	15.05 10.84	13.77	66.80 69.30	15.58 14.64	372.16	227.26	1243.00
														18	5.42	18.06	80.10	9.65	208.05	161.76	951.20
														20	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.28	29.08	0.00
														24 26	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00
														28 30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														38 40	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00
														Total: >=5"+:	546.31 141.47	9.47	53.30	92.85 80.51	1505.24	785.31	4390.60

NSO_L

	1		1976 M	EASURE	MENT					1986	MODEL	ED					2001	MODEL	ED		1
DIA. CLASS	TREES AV /AC DE	'G A 1H_5 H	VG GT_5	BA /AC	CUBIC CU/AC	BOARD CU/AC	BOARD BD/AC	TREES A /AC E	VG .)BH_5	AVG HGT_5	BA /AC	CUBIC CU/AC	BOARD CU/AC	BOARD BD/AC	TREES . /AC	AVG DBH_5	AVG HGT_5	BA /AC	CUBIC CU/AC	BOARD CU/AC	BOARD BD/AC
2	430.45 139.37	1.81 3.80	20.10 35.80	8.38 11.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	369.44 204.24	2.10	21.00 31.60	9.28 14.92	0.00	0.00	0.00	248.538 293.79	2.35 3.70	22.00 29.00	7.75 22.35	0.00	0.00	0.00
6	63.68	5.83	47.40	11.91	75.57	0.00	0.00	73.71	5.83	45.90	13.82	82.23	0.00	0.00	80.19	5.83	43.00	14.98	73.65	0.00	0.00
10	20.07	9.87	58.30	10.70	172.57	36.09	189.50	24.72	9.82	53.00 58.40	13.04	192.24	35.98	191.50	28.56	9.89	52.00	15.27	231.70	37.37	197.70
12 14	11.13	11.82	61.20 63.10	8.49	159.87	68.49 79.13	351.20 431.00	13.95	11.91	60.90 63.70	10.81	211.98	95.06 84.41	489.70	17.11	11.86 13.73	60.70 63.10	13.17	218.33	109.35	568.20 679.00
16	3.07	15.62	63.00	4.08	80.67	54.83	310.70	4.76	15.83	63.60	6.51	144.10	99.29	563.70	6.00	15.88	64.70	8.26	174.87	118.26	672.40
18 20	1.14 0.31	17.90	64.30 65.60	1.99	41.67	30.02	174.10 49.00	0.39	17.94	64.30 66.70	2.12	40.22	30.01	76.60	2.56	17.64 19.81	66.70	4.34	93.13 35.71	68.62 27.74	393.40 162.70
22 24	0.16	22.30 24.23	66.80 64.40	0.44	10.49	8.57	54.80 25.90	0.15	22.06 23.64	67.40 67.70	0.39	5.38	4.47	29.60 24.70	0.09	22.12 24.02	68.60 69.10	0.25	3.46 7.04	2.84	16.60 36.10
26	0.09	26.11	68.80	0.33	7.69	6.49	40.50	0.06	26.11	69.40	0.21	4.87	4.11	25.70	0.03	25.78	66.60	0.09	2.05	1.85	11.80
28 30	0.03	28.40	67.60 73.00	0.11	2.45	1.99	13.50 28.70	0.02	27.50 29.10	64.90 68.30	0.07	1.41	1.27	7.70 9.10	0.03	27.89	70.80 69.20	0.14	3.06	2.70	6.10
32 34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	31.30	73.10	0.15	2.98	2.73	18.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
38 40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total: >=5"+:	713.76	8.16	52.90	78.73	864.55	302.38	1668.70	742.31	8.21	52.20	94.05 69.85	1013.11	375.86	2071.20	738.04	8.45	51.10	116.23	1229.85	500.83	2773.10
					1 03		DIA	TREES	VG	1986 M	EASURE		POAPD	ROARD	TREES	AVG	2001	MODEL	ED	POAPD	ROARD
							CLASS	AC E	BH_5	HGT_5	AC T OD	CU/AC	CU/AC	BD/AC	/AC	DBH_5	HGT_5	AC	CU/AC	CU/AC	BD/AC
							2 4	402.22	3.74	20.10 36.40	7.26 9.98	0.00	0.00	0.00	181.89	2.17 3.71	21.20 32.10	13.95	0.00	0.00	0.00
							6 8	59.93 40.17	5.89 7.85	48.60 55.90	11.47 13.57	66.22 168.32	0.00 0.00	0.00	63.47 43.46	5.90 7.91	45.90 54.60	12.17 14.90	60.06 165.87	0.00	0.00
							10	25.65	9.91	60.40	13.79	221.64	35.27	188.00	29.46	9.89	59.80	15.78	248.74	32.82	172.90
							14	10.38	13.88	64.90	10.92	227.94	133.45	731.70	10.67	13.84	64.50	11.16	232.30	136.77	748.10
							16 18	4.64	15.90 17.82	64.90 65.20	6.40 3.09	137.43 65.24	94.68 50.39	537.60 293.50	9.23 3.47	15.71 17.94	66.60 66.10	12.43 6.11	281.26 133.73	189.00 97.78	1076.50 561.80
							20	0.72	19.77	66.90	1.54	31.96	24.91	145.10	1.04	19.57	66.80	2.17	45.09	35.59	209.40
							22	0.21	23.52	68.80	0.55	10.65	8.82	56.10	0.52	24.21	70.20	0.41	10.16	8.46	52.80
							26 28	0.06	25.58 27.86	66.30 70.70	0.22	4.83	4.35 4.43	27.60 28.60	0.04	25.58 27.56	71.10	0.16	3.74 3.35	3.05 2.92	20.50 18.80
							30	0.07	30.13	72.00	0.33	6.88	6.06	41.30	0.01	30.80	67.60	0.07	1.54	1.26	7.60
							34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
							36 38	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
							40 Total:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
							>=5"+:	159.63	0.00]	74.67				181.81		2001 M	92.77	MENT		
														DIA.	TREES	AVG	AVG	BA	CUBIC	BOARD	BOARD
														2	304.29	1.81	20.30	5.96	0.00	0.00	BD/AC 0.00
														4	116.87 52.76	3.83 5.89	36.90 44.60	9.58	1.54 60.20	0.00	0.00
														8	38.07	7.96	55.00	13.22	159.48	0.00	0.00
														12	17.53	11.81	67.90	13.36	268.79	126.12	658.30
														14 16	11.33 5.84	13.90 15.81	71.60	11.97 7.97	256.29 158.11	158.95 116.91	874.30 672.90
														18	1.77	17.82	67.00	3.07	67.96	50.23	292.30
														20	0.53	20.05	81.30	1.33	36.21	30.44	183.10
														24 26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														34 36	0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														40 Total:	575.20	9.04	56.40	93.67	1302.50	587.07	3278.40
														>=5"+:	154.04			78.12			

										NSO_H											
			1976 M	EASURI	EMENT			1		1986 M	ODEL	ED					2001	MODEL	ED		
DIA. CLASS	TREES A	/G 3H_5	AVG HGT_5	BA /AC	CUBIC CU/AC	BOARD CU/AC	BOARD BD/AC	TREES /AC	AVG A DBH_5 H	.VG BA IGT_5 /AC		CUBIC CU/AC	BOARD CU/AC	BOARD BD/AC	TREES /AC	AVG DBH_5	AVG B. HGT_5 /A	A I	CUBIC CU/AC	BOARD CU/AC	BOARD BD/AC
2	439.85 143.95	1.73	18.90 33.40	7.94	0.00 4 80	0.00	0.00	382.00	2.03 3.70	20.10 30.80	8.95 16.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	273.59 282.28	2.34	21.80 28.40	8.41 21.90	0.00 1.44	0.00	0.00
6	55.17	5.88	44.50	10.50	67.25	0.00	0.00	56.53	5.86	43.90	10.68	69.91	0.00	0.00	81.24	5.75	41.00	14.79	75.69	0.00	0.00
10	14.85	9.77	56.10	7.75	64.33 6 90.19	4.82	25.00	19.17	9.73	55.60	9.93	98.41	9.60	51.50	22.39	9.95	56.30	12.17	108.47	6.96	37.10
12 14	10.03 8.41	12.08 13.80	59.10 62.40	8.00	142.91 161.51	62.74 89.84	324.10 496.80	9.95	11.74 13.88	60.20 61.80	7.50 10.66	128.05 213.31	48.42 119.35	248.00 660.30	11.05 8.72	11.91	60.40 61.90	8.57 9.31	135.44 195.02	55.23 113.66	286.80 627.70
16 18	2.49	16.06	64.00	3.50	63.61	41.89	239.10	3.23	15.76	62.80	4.38	92.04	61.20 71.40	347.20	6.91	15.78	8 62.60 64.80	9.40	201.55	132.66	762.00
20	1.05	19.82	59.50	2.25	44.89	34.29	207.90	1.90	19.61	62.00	3.98	90.80	70.10	422.80	2.76	19.88	64.60	5.95	136.00	105.66	630.00
22	0.30	0.00	58.60	0.75	0 16.92 0 0.00	0.00	74.50 0.00	0.64	21.48 0.00	0.00	0.00	30.04	23.88	139.20	0.86	21.62	8 55.60	0.63	51.49	39.88	239.10
26 28	0.07	25.40 27.52	64.00 67.10	0.25	5.28 5 16.84	4.74	29.40 89.00	0.05	26.00 28.05	64.50 68.00	0.18 0.47	3.69 10.40	3.32 9.16	20.60 58.10	0.03	26.70 28.49) 65.00 72.60	0.13	2.59 4.67	2.33	14.50 26.60
30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	29.70	60.60	0.09	1.84	1.66	10.10
32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
36 38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00) 0.00) 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
40 Total:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
>=5"+;	121.46	0.07	51.10	56.77	1 020.07	555.20	2010.10	133.62	0.04		63.54	324.00	410.44	2001.00	172.03]	40.00	78.47	1103.31	515.71	2001.00
							DIA.	TREES	AVG A	VG BA	SURE		BOARD	BOARD	TREES	AVG	AVG B	A I	CUBIC	BOARD	BOARD
							CLASS 2	/AC 383.87	DBH_5 H 1.66	IGT_5 /AC 18.10	6.33	CU/AC 0.00	CU/AC 0.00	BD/AC 0.00	/AC 305.21	DBH_5 2.20	HGT_5 /A 0 21.20	8.35	CU/AC 0.00	CU/AC 0.00	BD/AC 0.00
							4	121.96 40.56	3.92 5.89	34.80 46.20	10.46	3.20 58.33	0.00	0.00	149.68 81.11	3.59	29.30	10.78 14.06	1.51 66.17	0.00	0.00
							8	31.40	7.89	52.60	10.73	125.72	0.00	0.00	32.50	7.90	50.80	11.13	140.77	0.00	0.00
							12	9.06	11.88	59.60	7.00	120.90	52.58	275.00	10.24	11.89	58.60	7.92	118.83	56.67	295.40
							14 16	7.08 4.39	13.93 15.82	62.50 62.80	7.50 6.00	153.42 118.25	84.00 81.61	460.70 465.80	7.31	13.80 15.82) 62.80 9 63.30	7.61 8.42	157.39 185.67	88.53 120.94	490.70 691.50
							18	2.56	17.90	64.90	4.48	99.11 75.00	74.09	432.40	3.38	17.72	2 64.70 84.50	5.80	120.63	86.75	505.70
							20	0.49	21.50	62.90	1.25	28.50	22.67	135.10	0.59	21.96	66.50	1.54	37.09	29.21	178.50
							24	0.16	23.78 25.20	58.30 60.40	0.50	10.37	8.47	51.10 54.50	0.42	23.31 25.64	59.80	0.59	21.46	16.80	61.60
							28 30	0.12	27.20 29.20	68.70 64.40	0.50	11.61 5.07	9.47	60.50 27.70	0.08	28.20) 69.90) 65.40	0.33	7.54	6.57 2.69	42.60 16.40
							32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
							36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
							38 40	0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00) 0.00) 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
							Total: >=5"+:	620.42 114.58	8.99	53.00	75.73	926.00	416.69	2378.40	624.58	8.36	49.70	96.24 77.11	1162.01	528.96	3030.60
								111100			00.01			DIA	TREES	AVG	2001 M E	ASURE		POADD	POARD
														CLASS	IREE5 /AC	DBH_5	HGT_5 /A		CU/AC	CU/AC	BD/AC
														2 4	359.86 139.94	1.69	20.80 34.70	6.25 11.15	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00
														6	56.19 30.50	5.82	40.60	10.48	57.11 104.23	0.00	0.00
														10	26.09	9.84	55.90	13.82	201.87	35.24	186.30
														12 14	12.44	11.83	62.10 66.10	9.51 10.66	191.72 209.38	98.17 129.23	510.70 710.40
														16 18	3.61	15.90) 65.00 8 67.00	4.99	114.86 80.75	79.46	452.90 341.50
														20	0.80	20.60	79.50	1.86	51.45	40.37	244.90
														24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														26 28	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00 (0.00 (00.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														36 38	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														40 Total:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 2837.00
														>=5"+:	142.88	1		68.42		200.01	

NHI_H -- 1 stand only

	1		1976 M E	EASURE	MENT			č		1986	MODEL	ED					2001	MODEL	ED		
DIA. CLASS	TREES /AC	AVG A DBH 5 H	VG E	BA (AC (CUBIC CU/AC	BOARD CU/AC	BOARD BD/AC	TREES .	AVG A DBH 5 H	VG B	A AC	CUBIC CU/AC	BOARD CU/AC	BOARD BD/AC	TREES A	NVG AVG	AVG E HGT 5 //	BA I	CUBIC I	BOARD CU/AC	BOARD BD/AC
2	239.92	1.98	27.20	5.69	0.00	0.00	0.00	141.58	1.88	24.20	2.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	142.59	2.27	23.60	4.11	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	36.03	4.30	42.50 54.90	7.50	95.22	0.00	0.00	49.44	3.44	49.80	8.76	76.62	0.00	0.00	46.86	5.68	44.80	8.25	51.73	0.00	0.00
8	19.98	8.28	62.50	7.50	123.94	0.00	0.00	25.73	7.78	60.00	8.53	140.69	0.00	0.00	34.86	7.50	57.00	10.75	176.40	0.00	0.00
10	40.74 24.77	10.05	63.90 67.80	18.75	474.12 426.92	154.35	355.20	36.70	10.21	64.70 67.30	20.92	434.70	280.56	441.60	30.92	9.83	62.80	14.14 23.85	275.30 538.95	213.87	1098.60
14	14.45	13.79	68.80	15.01	389.15	233.45	1308.60	11.96	13.90	69.40	12.63	327.02	191.56	1073.70	23.98	13.63	69.80	24.33	625.93	339.18	1891.10
18	5.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	03.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	425.05	270.52	1569.00	5.73	15.92	70.80	9.47	239.31	335.95 187.43	1110.20
20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
38 40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total:	441.71	9.71	62.80	90.59	1699.97	575.56	3167.80	425.39	9.51	60.80	103.20	1986.59	828.13	4597.40	499.89	9.88	59.70	124.65	2406.10	1132.19	6359.30
2-J T.	141.01	1	L.	10.10				100.33		1986 M E	ASURE	MENT			103,13		2001	MODEL	ED		
							DIA. CLASS	/AC	AVG A DBH_5 H	.VG B IGT_5 //	A AC	CUBIC CU/AC	BOARD CU/AC	BOARD BD/AC	TREES A	DBH_5	AVG E HGT_5 //	AC (BOARD CU/AC	BOARD BD/AC
							2 4	209.93 89.97	1.77	22.50 41.10	3.95 7.44	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	114.68 145.60	2.02 3.57	23.20 37.00	2.58 10.26	0.00	0.00	0.00
							6	15.32	6.70	54.60	3.75	55.15	0.00	0.00	22.49	6.10	45.40	4.57	0.00	0.00	0.00
							10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
							12	24.59	11.80	67.30	18.75	443.75	186.62	996.30	10.99	12.20	65.10	8.92	198.93	123.54	663.80
							16	8.31	15.75	71.60	11.25	285.69	181.71	1057.40	7.07	15.92	73.00	9.79	249.41	177.22	1035.90
							18	6.77	17.44	74.50	11.24	190.29	149.20	882.10	8.24	17.82	73.30	14.29	396.65	280.54	1670.50
							20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
							24 26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
							28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
							30 32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
							34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
							36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
							40 Total:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
							>=5"+:	62.12	11.83	88.00	52.49	1100.10	625.31	3538.00	83.33	11.40	61.60	69.43	1562.15	1010.77	5657.40
														DIA.	TREES A	WG .	2001 M E AVG E	BA URE	MENT CUBIC I	BOARD	BOARD
														CLASS 2	/AC E 974.61	0BH_5 1.68	HGT_5 // 16.80	AC 16.78	CU/AC	CU/AC	BD/AC
														4	74.97	4.50	37.80	8.28	0.00	0.00	0.00
														8	66.22	5.76	28.60	39.57	186.62	0.00	0.00
														10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														12	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.05	0.00	0.00	0.00
														16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
														38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
													9	40 Total:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 485 21	0.00	0.00
														>=5"+:	288.96	0.20	L	63.83		0.00	0.00

Predicting Future Conditions

After determining that the FVS model with adjustments provided realistic estimates of stand growth, we simulated the development of the poor sites, with both high and low mortality levels, for 100 years into the future. Refer to the figure below. The poor sites with high mortality were projected from cycle 5 for 100 years using the FVS model with adjustments. The Oak Decline Event Monitor addfile was not applied in this case under the assumption that these are "aftermath" stands that have already lost their vulnerable scarlet and black oak component. The adjusted FVS model predicts that these stands would increase in basal area to a maximum slightly above 120 sq. ft. It predicts that the white oak and other hardwoods would occupy an increasingly large proportion of the basal area. Projecting data beyond 50 years is suspect, but if pressed to do so, it is encouraging to see that the adjusted model predicts a basal area level and species composition that are similar to measured trends. Note that we also produced an iteration of the FVS model without adjustments to determine what the predicted basal area would be after 100 years of growth. This simulation produced an unrealistically high prediction of basal area.



Projected basal area and species composition of poor sites with high mortality from Cycle 5 for 100 years into the future using the adjusted FVS model. For comparison, projected 100-year values using FVS without adjustment are also shown.

Poor sites, low mortality, were also projected from cycle 5 for 100 years. For this trial, we ran the model with and without the ODEM addfile in order to observe the effect of the ODEM addfile on the projection. When the FVS model with adjustments was used, the

predicted pattern of stand development was very similar to the pattern predicted for the high mortality stands. Refer to the figure below. This was not surprising since the average basal area of the stands within these two groups was actually quite similar for cycle 5. The interesting result here is the effect of including the ODEM addfile in the projection. Over a 100-year period, adding the ODEM addfile causes a fairly significant shift in species composition. It predicts a much lower proportion of the stand to be composed of either the "scarlet/black oak" or "white oak" species group and a much larger proportion of the stand in "other hardwoods". It also holds the basal area below 100 ft²/acre throughout the projection. Based on these findings, we recommend that the species related mortality impacts within the ODEM addfile be modified to apply a lower level to the "white oak" and a higher level to the "other hardwoods" species group



Projected basal area and species composition of poor sites with low mortality from Cycle 5 for 100 years into the future using the FVS model with adjustments and the FVS model with adjustments with the ODEM addfile.

Conclusions

The purpose of this paper has been to provide further detail of the process used to compare oak decline on the Mark Twain National Forest to actual growth and mortality data as measured over three FIA inventory cycles. It should be readily apparent that this study required extensive effort and intensive resolve to bring to fruition. It is the intention of the authors to have provided enough documentation to provide a good template for studies of a similar nature. Formal conclusions and recommendations should be gleaned from the Third FVS Conference proceedings paper.

Appendix A – Original Request from Mark Twain National Forest

Data Assessment needs from FIA data for the Mark Twain National Forest Mike Schanta, Forest Plan Analyst (573) 341-7447, mschanta@fs.fed.us

In FY 2002, The Mark Twain National Forest requested that FIA revisit the Mark Twain FIA plots that were measured in 1999 (possible some in 1998), 2000 and some 2001. This request was made in order for the Forest to gain some understanding of the Oak Decline and/or Red Oak Borer problems currently afflicting Missouri and Arkansas. The following questions are asked:

Compare original 1999-2000 data to re-measured 2002

What are the changes – tree by tree and plot by plot on the plots that were measured in 1999 and 2000 and then revisited (re-measured) in 2002? Are there indications of Oak Decline on the original measurements? Re-measurements? What species, age class, crown closure, crown position is most effected? Can the location of these plots tell us anything? Effects lumped in one or 2 counties or scattered everywhere?

Can these changes be summarized to a total mortality per acre basis? Total mortality by Species per acre? Expand to a Forest or Countywide figure? Can a "percent of the Forest will die (or has died)" figure be calculated? By species?

Compare previous FIA data with succeeding re-measurements; Trend Analysis

How can we use mortality figures over time so that we can "estimate" the effects that have happened since the early 1980s and how might this effect be looked at over the next planning horizon – 2002-2052? Can the data tell us that xxx, xxx CCF has died in the last 2 years? 5years? 10years? 20 years? Are the summary plot data – Forest Type, Size class, Age class, changing with each re-measure?

Major drought conditions occurred in 1980 and in 1998-2001. These droughts are considered the primary trigger of Oak Decline in Missouri.

Missouri FIA Data has been collected in 1972, 1989 and 1999 (new 5 year cycle). The Mark Twain has FIA Data collected for 1972, 1977, 1989 and the 1999 cycle. The 1977 data was reprocessed using the 1989 procedures for Resource Bulletin NC-129.

Can the data for the Mark Twain FIA Plots be compared tree by tree and then summarized by plot for: 1977 vs 1989?

1989 vs. 1999 (re-measure of the 1989 before new plot design)? 1999-2000 vs. re-measure 2002?

What are the species/age classes being effected over the years? As the MT's Forest grows older - is there likely to be another occurrence of Oak Decline in say 15 years? Which area or county most likely to be effected?

If possible, can a comparison of the 1989 and 1999 plot data for southern Missouri be done for all ownerships? Or maybe just for the Eastern and South Western Ozarks survey units. Plotting the plot location with a high-med-low occurrence of mortality would be very useful.

Appendix B – Additional Oak Decline Citations Relative to the Ozark Plateau

- Kromroy, Kathryn W.; Juzwik, Jennifer; Castillo, Paul; Hansen, Mark H. 2008. Using Forest Service forest inventory and analysis data to estimate regional oak decline and oak mortality. Northern Journal of Applied Forestry. 25(1): 17-24.
- Moser, W. Keith; Hansen, Mark H.; Treiman, Thomas B.; Leatherberry, Earl C.;
 Jepsen, Ed; Olson, Cassandra L.; Perry, Charles H.; Piva, Ronald J.; Woodall, Christopher W.; Brand, Gary J. 2007. Missouri's forests 1999-2003 (Part A).
 Resour. Bull. NRS-10. Newtown Square, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northern Research Station. 79 p.
- Moser, W. Keith; Hansen, Mark H.; Hatfield, Mark A.; Nigh, Timothy A. 2006. Evaluating sustainability: a method for assessing vegetation change in southern Missouri, U.S.A.: 1820-2003. In: Lafortezza, R.; Sanesi, G., eds. Patterns and processes in forest landscapes. Consequences of human management. Proceedings of the 4th meeting of IUFRO working party 8.01.03; 2006, September 26-29; Locorotondo, Bari, Italy. Accademia Italiana di Scienze Forestali. 399-408.
- Moser, W. Keith; Hansen, Mark; McWilliams, Will; Sheffield, Ray. 2006. Oak composition and structure in the eastern United States. In: Dickinson, Matthew B., ed. 2006. Fire in eastern oak forests: delivering science to land managers, proceedings of a conference; 2005 November 15-17; Columbus, OH. Gen. Tech. Rep. NRS-P-1. Newtown Square, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northern Research Station: 49-61.
- Moser, W. Keith; Liknes, Greg; Hansen, Mark; Nimerfro, Kevin. 2005. Incorporation of Precipitation Data into FIA Analyses: A Case Study of Factors Influencing Susceptibility to Oak Decline in Southern Missouri, U.S.A. p. 33-41 In: Proceedings of the fifth annual forest inventory and analysis symposium; 2003 November 18-20; New Orleans, LA. Gen. Tech. Rep. WO-69. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service. 222p.
- Woodall, Chris W.; Morin, Randall S.; Steinman, Jim R.; Perry, Charles H. 2008.
 Status of oak seedlings and saplings in the northern United States: implications for sustainability of oak forests. In: Jacobs, Douglass F.; Michler, Charles H., eds. 2008. Proceedings, 16th Central Hardwood Forest Conference; 2008 April 8-9; West Lafayette, IN. Gen. Tech. Rep. NRS-P-24. Newtown Square, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northern Research Station: 535-542.
- Woodall, C.W.; Grambsch, P.L.; Thomas, W.; Moser, W.K. 2005. Survival analysis for a large scale forest health issue: Missouri oak decline. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment (2005) 108: 295-307

Also, FIA annual reports commonly include some discussion of oak decline:

- Moser WK, Hansen MH, Crocker SJ, Treiman TB. 2008. Missouri's forest resources in 2007. Newtown Square, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northern Research Station Res. Note NRS-y. 4 p. In press.
- Moser W..K., Hansen M..H., Treiman T. B. 2007. Missouri's forest resources in 2006. Newtown Square, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northern Research Station Res. Note NRS-4. 4 p.

- Moser WK, Hansen MH, Brand GJ, Treiman TB. 2007. Missouri's forest resources in 2005. Newtown Square, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northern Research Station. Resour. Bull. NRS-15. 25 p.
- Moser WK, Hansen MH, Treiman T, Moltzan B, Lawrence R, Brand GJ. 2006. Missouri's forest resources in 2004. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Research Station. Resour. Bull. NC-257. 37 p.
- Moser WK, Treiman T, Moltzan B, Lawrence R, Brand GJ. 2005. Missouri's forest resources in 2003. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Research Station. Resour. Bull. NC-243. 44 p.
- Moser WK, Brand GJ, Treiman T, Moltzan B, Lawrence R. 2004. Missouri's forest resources in 2002. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Research Station. Resour. Bull. NC-233. 42 p.
- Moser WK, Treiman T, Moltzan B, Lawrence R, Brand GJ. 2003. Missouri's Forest Resources in 2001. St. Paul, MN: USDA, Forest Service North Central Research Station Resour Bull NC-226. 26 p.

Appendix C – Timeline of Events in Southeast Missouri



Appendix D – Tree Species Code Guide

Oak Declin	e Assessm	ent: Mark 1	Wain NF	Tree Specie	s Codes	
FVS-Alpha	FVS-Grp	FVS-Num	FIA-Grp	FIA-Num	Common Name	
SP	02	03	02	110	Shortleaf pine	
VP	03	04	03	132	Virginia pine	
RC	01	01	09	068	Eastern redcedar	
WO	19	47	25	802	White oak	
នឃ	24	54	25	804	Swamp white oak	
CK	24	53	25	826	Chinkapin oak	
RO	20	48	26	833	Northern red oak	
QS	27	67	26	834	Shumard oak	
PO	25	57	27	835	Post oak	
CO	26	59	27	832	Chestnut oak	
SK	20	49	28	812	Southern red oak	
BO	21	50	28	837	Black oak	
SO	22	51	28	806	Scarlet oak	
BJ	23	52	28	824	Blackjack oak	
QI	27	62	28	817	Shingle oak	
PN	27	60	28	830	Pin oak	
SL	07	16	29	405	Shellbark hickory	
SH	07	15	29	407	Shagbark hickory	
MH	07	17	29	409	Mockernut hickory	
BH	08	21	29	402	Bitternut hickory	
PH	08	18	29	403	Pignut hickory	
BI	08	23	29	408	Black hickory	
SM	17	43	31	318	Sugar maple	
RM	12	29	32	316	Red maple	
SV	12	31	32	317	Silver maple	
AB	09	24	33	531	American beech	
នប	29	82	34	611	Sweetgum	
BG	06	13	35	693	Black tupelo	
TS	06	11	35	694	Swamp tupelo	
UA	10	27	36	546	Blue ash	
WA	18	45	36	541	White ash	
GA	18	46	36	544	Green ash	
BW	16	42	38	951	American basswood	
YP	15	41	39	621	Yellow poplar	
WN	05	08	40	602	Black walnut	
BN	05	09	41	601	Butternut	
BE	12	30	41	313	Boxelder	
BC	13	32	41	762	Black cherry	
SG	14	34	41	461	Sugarberry	
нк	14	35	41	462	Hackberry	
UF	14	36	41	971	Ninged elm	
0E 2E	14	30	41	971	winged eim	
AL	14	33	41	974	American ein	
RL	14	39	41	975	Slippery eim	
OB	28	70	41	331	Unio buckeye	
55	28	69	41	931	Sassairas	
RB	29	81	41	373	River birch	
SY	29	79	41	731	Sycamore	
BL	29	84	41	922	Black willow	
RE	14	40	42	977	Rock elm	
PS	28	72	42	521	Persimmon	
HL	28	73	42	552	Honeylocust	
BK	28	77	42	901	Black locust	
DW	30	88	42	491	Flowering dogwood	
MB	30	94	42	680	Mulberry sp.	
٨H	30	86	43	391	American hornbeam	
PD	20	97	43	471	Fastern redbud	
UT IT	20	07	40	T (1	Heuthorn an	
11	30	09	40	300	Restaur berkerningen	
нн	30	95	43	/01	Lastern nopnornbeam	
I NC	30	1 85	43	994	Other hardwoods, non-com	

Appendix E – Application of Manion Method by Inventory Cycle

Mark	Twa	in Nationa	l Forest - U	ndisturbed	Oak-Hickor	y Common	n Plots {125	FIA Plots}							
dhh		tna 2	mort 2	surv 2	darow 2	ingrow 3	tna 3	Inítna	2)	calc tna2	a-slone	calc mort	rel mort%	an ormrt%	ormrt%
dbii	2	381.953	87.331	294.622	0.011	105.320	384.592	filling a	5.945	327.864	2.038	50.934	0.391	2.286	0.000
	4	130.578	14.395	116.183	0.024	0.000	118.281		4.872	160.871	2.038	50.934	0.851	1.102	91.867
	6	59.799 36.070	3.243	56.556 34.789	0.040	0.000	51.196		4.091	78.933	2.038	50.934	1.414	0.542	27.116
	10	20.369	1.068	19.301	0.082	0.000	22.284		3.014	19.003	2.038	50.934	2.877	0.524	12.240
	12	12.631	0.728	11.903	0.097	0.000	14.359	2	2.536	9.324	2.038	50.934	3.394	0.576	11.884
	14	5.900	0.473	5.427	0.112	0.000	8.117	1	1.775	4.575	2.038	50.934	3.909	0.802	14.316
	18	2.456	0.264	2.172	0.129	0.000	3.470	(1.699	2.245	2.038	50.934	4.469	1.156	17.928
	20	0.551	0.143	0.408	0.163	0.000	0.700	-0	0.596	0.540	2.038	50.934	5.638	2.595	31.844
	22	0.213	0.057	0.156	0.176	0.000	0.311	-1	1.546	0.265	2.038	50.934	6.073	2.676	30.410
	24	0.086	0.038	0.048	0.203	0.000	0.124	-2	2.453	0.130	2.038	50.934	6.972	4.419	43.533
	28	0.056	0.003	0.043	0.215	0.000	0.040		2.882	0.004	2.038	50.934	7.730	2.500	22.124
	30	0.016	0.002	0.014	0.243	0.000	0.037	-4	4.135	0.015	2.038	50.934	8.287	1.250	10.288
	32	0.006	0.006	0.000	0.263	0.000	0.022	-{	5.116	0.008	2.038	0.000	8.938	10.000	0.000
	34	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	(J.UUU 1 000	0.004	2.038	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	38	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.001	2.038	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	40	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0.000	0.000	2.038	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Total:		658.552	115.940	542.612	0.062	105.320	647.935			643.706	0.404	70.004	2 402	1.500	
										m% =	50.932	50.934	2.103	1.502	
dbh	2	tpa_3	mort_3	surv_3	dgrow_3	ingrow_4	tpa_4	In(tpa	3)	calc_tpa3	q-slope	calc_mort	rel_mort%	obs_mort%	ormrt%
	2	384.592	39,926	237.074	0.045	138.434	334.929	5	5.952 4 773	292.920	1.945	48.583	1.485	3.836	100.000
	6	61.196	23.529	37.667	0.076	9.771	51.340		4.114	77.440	1.945	48.583	2.496	3.845	91.181
	8	32.861	11.096	21.765	0.089	8.735	34.601	3	3.492	39.817	1.945	48.583	2.917	3.377	75.880
	10	22.284	7.235	15.049	0.104	5.979	23.488		3.104 0.664	20.473	1.945	48.583	3.400	3.247	62.437
	14	14.359	4.29U 2.279	5.839	0.112	3.4/1 2.351	15.628		≤.004 2.094	5 412	1.945	40.503	3.65/	2.988	53.351 47.588
	16	3.470	0.797	2.673	0.109	1.082	5.827		1.244	2.783	1.945	48.583	3.560	2.297	42.144
	18	1.484	0.389	1.095	0.114	0.326	2.127		0.395	1.431	1.945	48.583	3.721	2.621	45.988
	20	0.700	0.159	0.541	0.097	0.222	1.153	-0	J.357	0.736	1.945	48.583	3.175	2.271	46.834
	22	0.311	0.073	0.230	0.112	0.115	0.465	-	1.160 2.087	0.370	1.945	40.503	2.661	2.347	35 153
	26	0.048	0.019	0.029	0.124	0.015	0.074	-3	3.037	0.100	1.945	48.583	4.040	3.958	63.844
	28	0.049	0.023	0.026	0.098	0.000	0.035	-3	3.016	0.051	1.945	48.583	3.207	4.694	95.793
	30	0.037	0.014	0.023	0.089	0.000	0.025	-3	3.297	0.026	1.945	48.583	2.917	3.784	85.029
	34	0.022	0.012	0.010	0.143	0.000	0.016		3.817 1.000	0.014	1.945	48.583	4.645	5.455	0.000
	36	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0.000	0.004	1.945	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	38	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0.000	0.002	1.945	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Total	40	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.001	1.945	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
TUTAL		047.533	237.376	410.005	0.051	173.101	003.721			inverse a	0.514	48.583	2.981	3.267	
										m% =	40.500				
وا وا ا		4		2	damarri 9	in analysis of	4	lu du e	4	aala kaad		aala waant	ual us aut 0/	alta utart0/	a waa ut 0/
ubn	2	384.592	147.518	237.074	0.045	138,434	334.929	intrha	_ 4) 5.814	322.306	4-slope 1.942	48.501	1.482	3.836	0.000
	4	118.281	39.926	78.355	0.060	2.639	102.926		4.634	165.985	1.942	48.501	1.971	3.376	112.517
	6	61.196	23.529	37.667	0.076	9.771	51.340	3	3.938	85.482	1.942	48.501	2.490	3.845	101.181
	10	32.861	7 335	21.765	0.089	8.735	34.601		3.544	44.022	1.942	48.501	2.910	3.3/7	75,880
	12	14.359	4.290	10.069	0.112	3.471	15.628		2.749	11.676	1.942	48.501	3.648	2.988	53.351
	14	8.117	2.279	5.838	0.118	2.351	10.871	2	2.386	6.013	1.942	48.501	3.840	2.808	47.588
	16	3.470	0.797	2.673	0.109	1.082	5.827	1	1.763	3.097	1.942	48.501	3.552	2.297	42.144
	20	0.700	0.369	0.541	0.114	0.326	2.127	(J.755 1 142	0.821	1.942	40.501	3.712	2.621	45.900
	22	0.311	0.073	0.238	0.112	0.115	0.463	-0	0.770	0.423	1.942	48.501	3.648	2.347	41.915
	24	0.124	0.017	0.107	0.078	0.020	0.214	-1	1.542	0.218	1.942	48.501	2.555	1.371	35.153
	26	0.048	0.019	0.029	0.124	0.015	0.074	-2	2.604	0.112	1.942	48.501	4.031	3.958	63.844
	30	0.043	0.023	0.028	0.030	0.000	0.035		3.689	0.030	1.942	48.501	2.910	3.784	85.029
	32	0.022	0.012	0.010	0.143	0.000	0.016	-1	4.135	0.015	1.942	48.501	4.634	5.455	0.000
	34	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	-6	5.298	0.008	1.942	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	38	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	l l	J.UUU 1 000	0.004	1.942	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	40	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.001	1.942	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Total:		647.935	237.376	410.559	0.091	173.161	583.721			664.538	0.545	40.500	2.07	3 307	
										inverse q m% =	48.501	48.583	2.974	3.267	
									_						
dbh	h	tpa_4	mort_3	surv_3	dgrow_3	ingrow_4	tpa_5	In(tpa	5 807	calc_tpa5	q-slope	calc_mort	rel_mort%	obs_mort%	ormrt%
	4	102.926	39.926	63.000	0.040	2.639	106.725		4.670	141.147	1.807	44.656	1.759	3.879	129.303
	6	51.340	23.529	27.811	0.076	9.771	43.982	3	3.784	78.116	1.807	44.656	2.223	4.583	120.605
	8	34.601	11.096	23.505	0.089	8.735	29.889	3	8.397	43.233	1.807	44.656	2.598	3.207	72.064
	10	23.488	7.235	16.253	0.104	5.979	21.814		3.083 7 700	23.927	1.807	44.656	3.029	3.080	59.236
	14	10.871	2.279	8.592	0.112	2.351	11.686		2.458	7.329	1.807	44.656	3.430	2.096	35.532
	16	5.827	0.797	5.030	0.109	1.082	8.003	2	2.080	4.056	1.807	44.656	3.173	1.368	25.097
	18	2.127	0.389	1.738	0.114	0.326	4.037		1.396	2.245	1.807	44.656	3.316	1.829	32.085
	20	1.153	0.159	0.994	0.097	U.222	1.204		J. 186 J. 440	1.242	1.807	44.656	2.828	1.379	28.433
	24	0.403	0.073	0.197	0.078	0.020	0.030		1.551	0.381	1.807	44.656	2.281	0.794	20.105
	26	0.074	0.019	0.055	0.124	0.015	0.283	-1	1.262	0.211	1.807	44.656	3.601	2.568	41.412
	28	0.035	0.023	0.012	0.098	0.000	0.071	-2	2.645	0.117	1.807	44.656	2.857	6.571	134.111
	30	0.025	0.014	0.011	U.U89 0.172	0.000	0.000		0.000 1.000	0.065	1.807	44.656	2.598	5.600	125.843
	34	0.005	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.020	1.807	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	36	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0.000	0.011	1.807	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	38	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		J.000	0.006	1.807	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
-	40	583.721	237.376	346.345	0.000	173.161	577.494		J.UUU.	571.107	1.807	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
l otal:				2.2.10			1			invorco a	0.553	44 656	2 656	3 324	
i otal:										niverse q	0.000	11.000	2.000	J.J24	

47

