

SPECIES: Atriplex gardneri



SUMMARY

SPECIES: Atriplex gardneri

This Species Review summarizes information on the fire effects and related ecology of Gardner's saltbush that was available in the scientific literature as of 2020.

Gardner's saltbush is a member of a complex of taxa that are intermediate between herbaceous and shrub forms (i.e., half-shrubs). Taxa within the complex hybridize readily, confounding species identification and classification. There is disagreement among systematists as to placement of taxa sometimes classified as Gardner's saltbush.

Gardner's saltbush is distributed from southeastern British Columbia east to southwestern Manitoba and south to Colorado. It grows in semiarid and temperate climates and is drought and salt tolerant. It is common to dominant on sites with fine-textured, saline soils, especially clay flats and basins. Gardner's saltbush dominates or codominates many salt desert shrublands, and it is a component of some sagebrush and mixed-grass prairie communities.

Gardner's saltbush reproduces after fire and other top-killing events primarily by sprouting from the root crown and roots. It also reproduces from seed, from persistent aerial and soil-stored seed banks. Its fruits may remain viable on the plant for 1 to 2 years. The seeds are dormant upon dispersal, with high levels of dormancy and complex, multiple mechanisms of dormancy. The seedlings are most competitive on saline soils. Gardner's saltbush occurs in all stages of succession.

Information on Gardner's saltbush response to fire was scarce and anecdotal as of 2020. Due to sparse fuels, salt desert shrublands rarely burn. When fires do occur in Gardner's saltbush communities, they are stand replacing. Gardner's saltbush is relatively inflammable, and it is planted in fuelbreaks and sagebrush communities to stop or slow fire spread.

Gardner's saltbush communities are vulnerable to establishment and spread of halogeton (an invasive annual forb), especially during periods of shrub die-back. Incidents of shrub die-back may increase with climate change. Gardner's saltbush communities are apparently resilient to postfire establishment and spread of cheatgrass and other invasive annual grasses due to saline soils, but other communities with Gardner's saltbush may experience such postfire invasion.

Gardner's saltbush provides palatable, year-round browse for wildlife and livestock. It is fairly resistant to browsing pressure, although its cover and frequency are reduced in areas with heavy or continuous browsing pressure.

INTRODUCTORY

SPECIES: Atriplex gardneri

- Taxonomy
- Synonyms
- Life Form

Citation:

Fryer, Janet L. 2020. *Atriplex gardneri*, Gardner's saltbush. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Missoula Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/plants/shrub/atrgar/all.html

Literature cited in this Species Review include these reviews: [65,66,67]. Common names are used throughout this review. See table A1 for a complete list of plant species mentioned.

FEIS Abbreviation

ARCGLA

Common Names

Gardner's saltbush

saltsage

<u>Taxonomy</u>

The scientific name of Gardner's saltbush is *Atriplex gardneri* (Moq.) D. Dietr. [27,31,45,52,54,103,106,108] (Amaranthaceae) [45,52,54].

Hybrids: Gardner's saltbush is a member of the closely aligned *Atriplex canescens-A. nuttallii* taxonomic complex [31,39,108], which includes saltbush taxa that are intermediate between herbaceous and shrub forms (i.e., half-shrubs) [39]. Taxa in this complex intergrade genetically and morphologically [40,88,97,98,107,108,109], although hybridization is "occasional" enough to maintain species distinctions [109]. Within the complex, Gardner's saltbush hybridizes most commonly with fourwing saltbush [31,96,97,107], mat saltbush, and shadscale saltbush [31,39]. Hybrid swarms, and introgression among hybrids and parents, confound species identification and classification of taxa within the complex [31,98,107]. There is disagreement among systematists as to placement of taxa sometimes classified as Gardner's saltbush (see table 1).

Table 1—Nomenclature for taxa also assigned as varieties of Gardner's saltbush.
for <i>Atriplex</i> × <i>aptera</i> A. Nelson, moundscale [52,103]:
<i>Atriplex gardneri</i> var. <i>aptera</i> (A. Nelson) S. L. Welsh & Crompton, Nelson's saltbush [<u>31,45,109</u>]
for <i>Atriplex bonnevillensis</i> C. A. Hanson, Bonneville saltbush [52,103]:
Atriplex gardneri var. bonnevillensis (C. A. Hanson) S. L. Welsh [31,45,108,109]
for <i>Atriplex cuneata</i> A. Nelson, valley saltbush [52,103]:
<i>Atriplex gardneri</i> var. <i>cuneata</i> (A. Nelson) S. L. Welsh, Castle Valley saltbush [<u>31,45,108,109</u>]
for <i>Atriplex falcata</i> (M. E. Jones) Standl., sickle saltbush [52,103]:
Atriplex gardneri var. falcata (M. E. Jones) S. L. Welsh, Jones' saltbush [<u>31,45,108,109</u>]
for Atriplex robusta Stutz, M. R. Stutz & S. C. Sand., robust saltbush [45,103]:
Atriplex gardneri var. robusta (H. & M. Stutz) N. & P. Holmgren) [52]
for <i>Atriplex tridentata</i> Kuntze, basin saltbush [52,103]:
Atriplex gardneri var. utahensis (M. E. Jones) Dorn [<u>31,108,109</u>]
for <i>Atriplex welshii</i> C. A. Hanson, Welsh's saltbush [52,103]:
Atriplex gardneri var. welshii (C. A. Hanson) S. L. Welsh [31,45,109]

<u>Synonyms</u>

 for Atriplex gardneri (Moq.) D. Dietr.: Atriplex nuttallii S. Watson subsp. gardneri subsp. gardneri (Moq.) H.M. Hall & Clem. [39] Atriplex nuttallii S. Watson var. gardneri (Moq.) R. J. Davis

<u>Life Form</u>

Shrub-forb

DISTRIBUTION AND OCCURRENCE

SPECIES: Atriplex gardneri

• GENERAL DISTRIBUTION

<u>SITE CHARACTERISTICS AND PLANT COMMUNITIES</u>

GENERAL DISTRIBUTION

Gardner's saltbush is distributed from east-central British Columbia [54] east to southwestern Manitoba and south to Colorado (fig. 2) [31,51,103].



August 8] [103].

SITE CHARACTERISTICS AND PLANT COMMUNITIES

<u>Site Characteristics</u>: Gardner's saltbush grows in semiarid and temperate climates [70,93]. Across its distribution, summers are generally hot, and freezing temperatures are common in winter. Mean annual precipitation across its distribution ranges from 130-330 mm [70]. Most of the species' distribution falls in areas with a continental climate, although monsoons occur in Colorado. Within its distribution in Montana and Wyoming, about two-thirds of the annual precipitation falls in spring and early summer. Within its distribution in Colorado, over half the precipitation occurs during late summer monsoons, which are accompanied with high-intensity thunderstorms [70].

Gardner's saltbush is drought [48,86] and salt tolerant [24,86,88], and it grows primarily in dry [62] and saline soils [31,86]. A study in the Pryor Mountains of Wyoming found Gardner's saltbush-bud sagebrush communities had the lowest soil moisture among four adjacent communities [62]. Gardner's saltbush is mostly an upland species, growing on sites where the water table is well below 1 m [110]. However, it may grow on infrequently [42] or periodically flooded [62,93] sites, in soils with poor infiltration [42,70] and seasonally pooling water, on wet soils with shallow water tables [42], and in riparian areas [62], especially along alkaline streams [39]. For

example, iodinebush-Gardner's saltbush communities in the Northern Great Basin occur in intermittently flooded playa basins. The soils are saline and may have a salt crust [70]. In Wyoming and eastern Montana, black greasewood-Gardner's saltbush communities occur in areas that are usually very dry but flood intermittently [91].

Salt desert shrublands occur where soils are too saline and/or dry for most sagebrush taxa [76]. Salt desert shrublands dominated by Gardner's saltbush are most abundant on alkaline [70] clay soils [60,65] with high concentrations of soluble salts [10,70]. These communities often experience high erosion rates due to poor infiltration and high runoff [70], and their soils are generally heavier, finer-textured, and more basic than soils where big sagebrush or fourwing saltbush dominate [42].

Gardner's saltbush is common to dominant in plant communities with fine-textured, saline soils in much of the Intermountain Region and the western Great Plains [31,70]. In southern Saskatchewan, Gardner's saltbush-blue grama associations occur on south-facing slopes, in sandy soils with cobblestones (Banerjee et. al 1999, cited in [89]). In the Big Horn Basin and Pryor Mountains of Montana and in Wyoming, the birdfoot sagebrush-Gardner's saltbush dwarf-shrub association occurs on arid alluvial fans and terraces with mostly poorly drained, fine-textured, alkaline soils. Less frequently, the association occurs on moderate slopes of outwash plains. Soils are clay or silty-loam alluvium derived from shales and claystone, with lesser amounts of sandstone [70]. In Montana, Gardner's saltbush/Nuttall's povertyweed communities are restricted to arid sites with deep clay soils derived from shale or bentonite deposits. These soils have extremely low infiltration, with gentle slopes and high rates of erosion. Gravel and salt deposits are often present on the soil surfaces [88]. In eastern Montana and the Dakotas, Gardner's saltbush grows on shale badlands derived from siltstones and mudstones; these are highly erodible soils with high clay content [69]. In Gardner's saltbush communities of the Dakota and Wyoming Badlands, soils are derived from shale or alluvium [70]. Gardner's saltbush occasionally grows in sandy soils [60,61]. In western Colorado, Gardner's saltbush-Greene rabbitbrush/charming woodyaster-saline wildrye communities occur in silty-clay, saline soils derived from shale parent materials [101], and James' galleta-Gardner's saltbush-shadscale saltbush-Greene rabbitbrush communities occur in mixed sand-silty-clay soils [101].

Gardner's saltbush grows at midelevations, most commonly in basins and on flat to gentle slopes. It occurs from 1,400 to 2,300 m elevation across its range [31]. Elevation of Gardner's saltbush low scrub alliances generally ranges from 1,150 to 2,200 m [70]. In Montana and Wyoming, elevation of Gardner's saltbush/Nuttall's povertyweed associations ranges from 1,500 to 1,600 m [88]. For birdfoot sagebrush-Gardner's saltbush dwarf-shrub associations, elevation ranges from 1,250 to 1,525 m [16]. On the northern Colorado Plateau, salt desert shrublands dominated by or including Gardner's saltbush occur on gentle slopes and rolling plains. In Wyoming, eastern Montana, the Dakotas, and adjacent southern Canada, they occur in basins and on mesas, plateaus, plains, low hills, and eroded badlands [70]. Where distributions of Gardner's saltbush, mat saltbush, and basin saltbush overlap in the Northern Great Plains, Gardner's saltbush is generally located on shale outcrops, mat saltbush on alluvial fans, and basin saltbush on eroded slopes [25].

Plant Communities: Gardner's saltbush dominates or codominates many salt desert shrublands, and it is a component of some sagebrush and mixed-grass prairie communities. Salt desert shrublands are generally low in plant species diversity [27,61] and structurally simple, consisting of a shrub layer and a sparse herbaceous layer [61]. When undisturbed, these communities have considerable cover of <u>biological soil crusts</u> composed of cyanobacteria, algae, and mosses [16]. Allen (1983) provides information on vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae (VAM) associations of Gardner's saltbush in a Wyoming salt desert shrubland [1].

Within the Intermountain Region, total vegetation cover in Gardner's saltbush scrub communities ranges from very sparse to moderately dense, and species diversity tends to be low. The shrub canopy is low (<0.3 m tall). These dwarf shrublands often have relatively pure canopies of Gardner's saltbush, although big sagebrush and/or bud sagebrush codominate some stands. Pure Gardner's saltbush stands are common in salt desert shrublands of Montana [88]. Species diversity tends to be lowest when Gardner's saltbush is the only canopy dominant. Other shrubs and half-shrubs that may be present include black greasewood, seepweed, shortspine horsebrush, and winterfat. The herbaceous component is usually sparse except in the Northern Great Plains, where perennial

bunchgrasses may codominate. Common herb associates in this region include alkali sacaton, Indian ricegrass, James' galleta, saline wildrye, western wheatgrass, charming woodyaster, fewflower buckwheat, and Nuttall's povertyweed [25,70].

In the Big Horn Basin and Pryor Mountains of Montana and in Wyoming, the birdfoot sagebrush-Gardner's saltbush dwarf-shrub association typically has a moderately dense canopy (42% mean cover). The herbaceous layer is relatively sparse (<25% mean cover) and dominated by perennial bunchgrasses, with scattered forbs. Bunchgrasses with high consistency include bluebunch wheatgrass, Sandberg bluegrass, and western wheatgrass [70]. In salt desert shrubland of Bighorn Canyon National Recreation Area of Montana and Wyoming, Gardner's saltbush dominates the most arid sites, in shale-derived soils or alluvium. Vegetation cover is low. Gardner's saltbush provides about 12% mean cover, while big sagebrush, bud sagebrush, Indian ricegrass, squirreltail, and plains pricklypear contribute lesser cover [55]. Bud sagebrush may codominant the shrub layer in eastern Montana, and Indian ricegrass, saline wildrye, and/or western wheatgrass may codominate the herbaceous layer [91].

Gardner's saltbush codominates or is a component of some black greasewood-saltbush communities of Colorado, Montana, and Wyoming [20,70]. In central Montana and the western Great Plains, Gardner's saltbush grows on flats dominated by black greasewood, with associated basin big sagebrush, Wyoming big sagebrush, field sagewort, and/or winterfat [69]. It codominates black greasewood-saltbush communities of the Bighorn Basin [55,64]. Gardner's saltbush is a minor component (<1% cover) of black greasewood communities and big sagebrush-rubber rabbitbrush communities of Bighorn Canyon National Recreation Area [55].

Gardner's saltbush is a component of big sagebrush communities [7,19,20]—especially those on clay soils [20] (fig. 3)—and Gardner's saltbush communities often form mosaics with big sagebrush communities. In the Interior Columbia Basin, Gardner's saltbush occurs in xeric Wyoming big sagebrush-winterfat communities, along with sickle saltbush and Sandberg bluegrass [13,19]. In western Colorado, Gardner's saltbush/Indian ricegrass associations intermingle with Wyoming big sagebrush/western wheatgrass associations, with Gardner's saltbush associations occurring on the more saline, clayey soils. Boundaries between the two communities may be abrupt if soils types change abruptly. The Gardner's saltbush community occurs from 1,980 to 2,200 m elevation on flat to gently sloping mesa tops, plateaus, rolling plains, and gentle hills. Soils are very clayey and moderately alkaline (pH 8.0). Shrub cover is very low (6%-8%); herbaceous cover is higher (10%-25%) [7].



Figure 3—Gardner's saltbush (center) in a Wyoming big sagebrush-Gardner's saltbush community on clay flats near the Big Lost River,

Gardner's saltbush codominates or is a component of some mixed-grass prairies [105]. In Wyoming, it codominates in birdfoot sagebrush-Gardner's saltbush/bluebunch wheatgrass-Idaho fescue/phlox mixed-grass prairie [17]. In eastern Wyoming and Montana and western South Dakota, the Gardner's saltbush/western wheatgrass dwarf shrub-mixed-grass prairie alliance occurs on dry soils [70]. In the Charles M. Russell National Wildlife Refuge of north-central Montana, Gardner's saltbush is a component of bluebunch wheatgrass-western wheatgrass associations on upland benches, small mesas, and upper ridge slopes [19]. In Colorado, it codominates mixed-grass prairie with Indian ricegrass, James' galleta, and/or saline wildrye [6]. Near Green River, the Gardner's saltbush-saline wildrye community has relatively high grass cover (20%-30%) and low shrub cover (4%-6%) [7]. The Gardner's saltbush/saline wildrye alliance of west-central Colorado (Mesa County) occurs on north-facing shale slopes with clay soils. In northwestern Colorado (Moffat County), Gardner's saltbush is scattered in mixed-grass prairie with clay soils. Sites are flat to gently sloping, at 1,890 to 2,135 m elevation [70].

See <u>table A2</u> for a representative list of plant classifications in which Gardner's saltbush occurs.

BOTANICAL AND ECOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS

SPECIES: Atriplex gardneri

- <u>GENERAL BOTANICAL CHARACTERISTICS</u>
- <u>SEASONAL DEVELOPMENT</u>
- <u>REGENERATION PROCESSES</u>
- <u>SUCCESSIONAL STATUS</u>

GENERAL BOTANICAL CHARACTERISTICS

- Botanical Description
- Raunkiaer Life Form

Botanical Description: This description covers characteristics that may be relevant to fire ecology and is not meant for identification. Keys for identification are available (e.g., [26,27,31,106]).

Gardner's saltbush has variable form. It is a subshrub or half-shrub [31,91,103], so the root crown is woody while the stems are herbaceous [10,39,106]. Stems are unarmed and typically erect [39] but are sometimes prostrate or ascending [31]. They do not branch [40]. Heavy browsing promotes either a mat form [39] or short plants with rounded crowns [70]. Plants are usually <30.5 cm tall; rounded forms may be three to five times broader than they are tall [60]. Leaves are persistent (evergreen) [10,31].

Gardner's saltbush and other saltbushes have unusual flowers and fruits. Gardner's saltbush is typically <u>dioecious</u> [31,60], or rarely, <u>monoecious</u> [31,39,60,61]. Both staminate and pistillate flowers are borne in panicles [10,31]. The female flower lacks both petals and calyx [60,65]; it consists of a naked pistil formed in the axis of two small bracts (bracteoles). The bracts are photosynthetically active, modified leaves that envelop the seeds as the fruit develops. They harden into tough structures that protect the seeds [14,60,65]. The fruit is a <u>utricle</u> [9,49] (fig. 1). The bracts have small wings or "teeth" [26,27,31,40]. Seeds are fused within the utricle and are generally inseparable [65].

Gardner's saltbush has a taproot [10,14,30,71], primary woody roots, and shallow fibrous roots [71]. Adventitious roots form where stems contact soil [10,60,71]. Primary roots may spread >2 m from the root crown [<u>71</u>].

Raunkiaer (1934) Life Form:

Phanerophyte Chamaephyte [82]

SEASONAL DEVELOPMENT

Gardner's saltbush is a warm-season (C₄) plant [47,50]. It flowers from spring through fall [10,31,60], generally from mid-May to early July and then intermittently following heavy rains. Fruits ripen about 7 weeks after flowering [10,60]. In Utah, seeds mature from 10 September through 1 March [81]. Time of seed dispersal is variable, but dispersal typically begins in late fall and extends through the following April or May [32]. Not all seeds disperse in their first year; undispersed seeds may remain viable on flowerstalks through one or two winters [49,65]. New herbaceous flowerstalks are produced annually by sprouts arising from the woody root crown [10] (see <u>Vegetative Regeneration</u>).

REGENERATION PROCESSES

- Vegetative Regeneration
- Pollination and Breeding System
- Seed Production
- Seed Dispersal
- Seed Banking
- Germination
- Seedling Establishment and Plant Growth

Gardner's saltbush reproduces by sprouting ([10], reviews by [66,67]) and from seed [39].

<u>Vegetative Regeneration</u>: Sprouting may be Gardner's saltbush's primary method of regeneration [71]. It sprouts from the root crown ([88], reviews by [66,67]) and roots ([71,88], reviews by [66,67]) after top-kill. Decumbent stems may <u>layer</u>, producing adventitious roots where the stems contact soil [10]. Sprouting from primary and/or adventitious roots can result in Gardner's saltbush spreading in circular patches across the landscape [88]. Sprouting capability can develop early. In the greenhouse, root sprouts developed from 2-year-old plants, with up to 15 sprouts arising up to 1 m from the root crown [71].

Pollination and Breeding System: Wind disperses the pollen of Gardner's saltbush and other saltbushes. Wind dispersal results in cross-pollination among Gardner's saltbush and other saltbush species as well as pollination among Gardner's saltbush individuals [65,88].

Gardner's saltbush is genetically diverse, with high phenotypic plasticity. <u>Diploids</u> and higher <u>polyploids</u> (triploids, tetraploids, hexaploids) occur within Gardner's saltbush and its hybrids [<u>31,97</u>]. Gardner's saltbush is most commonly diploid [<u>99</u>], but most hybridization occurs with Gardner's saltbush parents that are tetraploid [<u>88,95</u>]. Diploid individuals tend to grow in low valleys with fine-textured soils, while tetraploid individuals tend to grow on upper slopes with coarser soils [<u>95</u>].

Seed Production: Information on the amount of seed produced by Gardner's saltbush and age at first seed production was lacking in the literature as of 2020.

Seed Dispersal: Seeds are not released from the utricles upon maturation; instead, the entire fruit disperses [14,65]. Most Gardner's saltbush fruits likely fall under or near the parent plant. Browsing animals may disperse seeds through their digestive tracts, although information on this was lacking in the literature as of 2020. The small utricle teeth may play a minor role in dispersal.

Seed Banking: Gardner's saltbush has persistent aerial [32,65] and soil-stored [93] seed banks. It is common to find Gardner's saltbush plants with 1- and 2-year-old fruits that contain viable seeds [32,65]. It is uncertain how long Gardner's saltbush seeds remain viable in the soil, but they likely remain viable for at least several years. Stevens and Monsen (2004) reported Gardner's saltbush seedlings appearing at an undisclosed location "3 to 4 years after disturbance, indicating seeds survive in the soil and may remain viable for a number of years" [93]. Gardner's saltbush seeds have been stored in open warehouses for 5 to 10 years or more with little or no loss of viability [65].

<u>Germination</u>: Gardner's saltbush seeds are dormant upon dispersal [3,4,14]. Saltbushes have high levels of dormancy and complex, multiple mechanisms of dormancy. Their seeds tend to lose dormancy under extended dry conditions [65].

Gardner's saltbush seed shows variable rates of viability. The percentage of filled or viable seed from four collections in the Red Desert of Wyoming ranged from 48% to 64% of total seed collected [5]. Germination rates in the laboratory are reported at 24% [49], 26% [65], and 80% [9], depending upon seed source and treatments.

Scarification, afterripening, and stratification (~6 months, or over winter) enhance germination rates [3,4,5,49,60,77]. In the field, overwintering is needed to break dormancy and facilitate germination of cold desert saltbush species such as Gardner's saltbush [65]. A laboratory study found 86% mean germination of filled Gardner's saltbush seeds treated with scarification, leaching, and 4-week stratification but only 17% mean germination for filled, untreated Gardner's saltbush seeds [5]. Laboratory experiments and field trials in Wyoming found that among scarification, afterripening, stratification, and washing, stratification was the single most important treatment for breaking seed dormancy in Gardner's saltbush [3].

Bracts on the fruits apparently inhibit germination [34], and thickness of the bract walls determines, in part, how difficult it is to break dormancy [65]. At least partial disintegration of the bracts is apparently required for germination [14]. Either scarification, removal of bracts, or removing seeds from the utricle improves germination rates in the laboratory [65,87]. In the field, the bracts may disintegrate over winter, allowing for spring germination. Fungal infection can accelerate bract disintegration [65]. Acid treatment (e.g., animal ingestion and excretion of fruits) may also disintegrate the bracts [14]. Besides inducing physical dormancy, the bracts may also induce chemical dormancy. Leachate from Gardner's saltbush bracts inhibits germination of debracted Gardner's saltbush seeds in the laboratory [5,77]. Upon germination, germinants emerge from the tip of the seed bracts, which is the weakest part of the utricle [65]. Ansley and Abernethy (1984) noted that in cold, windy, arid environments—such as the Red Desert of Wyoming—Gardner's saltbush seeds are likely exposed to most or all treatments (i.e., scarification and stratification) that help break dormancy [3].

Seedling Establishment and Plant Growth: Gardner's saltbush has variable but often low rates of establishment [14]. Horton (1989) noted that germination was often erratic for Gardner's saltbush seeds planted in Utah, but fall-planted seeds usually established "satisfactorily" [42]. In a Wyoming field study comparing mesic, intermediate, and xeric sites, emergence (percentage of planted viable seeds) of stratified Gardner's saltbush seeds was generally highest on the mesic site with relatively moist, shallow soil. The highest average rate of emergence among all sites was 17.5%. This occurred on the mesic site, at a planting depth of 1 cm. The highest rate of emergence on the xeric site averaged only 1.15%, at a planting depth of 3 cm [4].

Gardner's saltbush seedlings are most competitive on saline soils. During a period of <u>shrub die-off</u> in northern Utah, presence of Gardner's saltbush seedlings was positively correlated with soil salinity (r = 0.42, P < 0.05). Researchers speculated that elevated soil salinity suppressed establishment and growth of nonnative invasive annuals, including burningbush and cheatgrass, but not Gardner's saltbush [28].

Growth rate of Gardner's saltbush is variable, probably depending upon environmental conditions. Gardner's saltbush has been described as "very slow-growing" [70], having a "moderate" [$\underline{60,93}$] or "adequate" [$\underline{60}$] growth rate, and a growth rate at which "plants establish and grow quite rapidly" [$\underline{42}$]. An 8-year study in

northern Utah found that annual aboveground production of Gardner's saltbush was positively associated with spring precipitation (P < 0.05) [29].

SUCCESSIONAL STATUS

Gardner's saltbush occurs in all stages of succession [93]. It may replace less drought- and salt-tolerant species during times of <u>shrub die-off</u>. In northern Utah, cover of Gardner's saltbush increased while that of shadscale, burningbush, and cheatgrass decreased over a 3-year period of die-off [28]. However, halogeton may displace Gardner's saltbush under some conditions [36,76,86] (see <u>Other Management Considerations</u>).

Allen (1989) provides information on succession of native VAM in disturbed Gardner's saltbush communities [2].

FIRE EFFECTS AND MANAGEMENT

SPECIES: Atriplex gardneri

- FIRE EFFECTS
- FUELS AND FIRE REGIMES
- <u>FIRE MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS</u>

FIRE EFFECTS

- Immediate Fire Effects on Plant
- Postfire Regeneration Strategy
- Fire Adaptations and Plant Response to Fire

Immediate Fire Effects on Plant: Fire top-kills Gardner's saltbush [<u>66,67,71,111</u>]. Since Gardner's saltbush is relatively inflammable (see <u>Fuels</u>), top-kill may result from stem scorching rather than stem ignition.

Postfire Regeneration Strategy:

Prostrate half-shrub, stem growing in organic or mineral soil <u>Chamaephytic root crown</u> in organic soil, mineral soil, or on soil surface <u>Geophyte</u>, growing points deep in soil <u>Ground residual colonizer</u> (on site, initial community) <u>Initial off-site colonizer</u> (off site, initial community) <u>Secondary colonizer</u> (on- or off-site seed sources) [94]

Fire Adaptations and Plant Response to Fire: Gardner's saltbush sprouts from the root crown ([<u>88</u>], reviews by [<u>66,67</u>]) and roots ([<u>71,88</u>], reviews by [<u>66,67</u>]) after top-kill by fire [<u>71,111</u>]. However, information about degree of postfire sprouting was not available in the literature as of 2020. For example, there were no studies that assessed the effects of fire severity or plant age on postfire sprouting.

Gardner's saltbush also establishes from seed after fire [93].

Information on Gardner's saltbush response to fire was anecdotal as of 2020, likely because Garner's saltbush often grows in places that rarely burn. Nord (1969) reported that Gardner's saltbush recovered quickly along railroad rights-of-way after multiple fires set to reduce cover of nonnative, invasive annuals [71]. Roberts (1994) noted presence of Gardner's saltbush, shadscale, and winterfat "in some areas where we thought they had been eliminated" by cheatgrass-fueled fires in Utah, but no further details were provided [83]. West (1994) observed Garner's saltbush sprouted after fire in salt desert shrublands in northwestern Utah [111].

FUELS AND FIRE REGIMES

Fuels: Gardner's saltbush is less flammable [63,78,79] and more slow-burning [63,72] than many other desert shrub species due to high concentrations of ash [78,79,85] and sodium and potassium salts in the foliage and stems [78,79]. A laboratory study found heat value of its foliage averaged 45 kw/kg; among 11 shrub species tested, only valley saltbush had a lower mean heat value [63]. Another study found incinerated foliage of Gardner's saltbush had the highest ash content (27%), the highest rate of organic residue (56%), and the lowest percent volatilization (55%) among 16 taxa tested [78,85]. Due to its relative inflammability, Gardner's saltbush is planted in fuelbreaks and sagebrush communities to stop or slow fire spread [37,71].

Although vegetation cover in Gardner's saltbush communities ranges from very sparse to moderately dense [70], most Gardner's saltbush salt desert shrublands have insufficient fuels to carry fire. Vegetation is generally sparse, with barren soil surfaces. For example, in the Badger Wash Basin in Colorado, the soil surface of Gardner's saltbush alliances has 74% bare ground and 6% litter [70].

Fire Regimes: Gardner's saltbush communities have very infrequent, stand-replacement fires. LANDFIRE (2009) models predict fire intervals of about 600 [57,102] to over 1,000 years [58,102].

These FEIS publications provide further information about fire regimes of plant communities in which Gardner's saltbush occurs:

- Fire regimes of saltbush shrubland communities
- Fire regimes of mixed dwarf sagebrush communities
- Fire regimes of Wyoming big sagebrush and basin big sagebrush communities
- Fire regimes of Columbia Plateau grasslands and steppe communities
- <u>Fire regimes of Great Basin desert grassland communities</u>

FIRE MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

Although Gardner's saltbush salt desert shrublands appear resilient to postfire establishment and spread of cheatgrass and other invasive annual grasses due to saline soils [28], other communities with Gardner's saltbush may experience such postfire invasion [21,38,76]. Xeric Wyoming big sagebrush-winterfat communities with Gardner's saltbush are vulnerable to cheatgrass spread after fire [13]. Cheatgrass-fueled fires have spread into mixed desert-shrub communities with Gardner's saltbush in northern Utah [83,111], and Japanese brome has been noted in black greasewood-thickspike wheatgrass communities with Gardner's saltbush in the Charles M. Russell National Wildlife Refuge. In the Alkali Creek area, the authors noted that the black greasewood-thickspike wheatgrass association had "some of the highest cover" of Japanese brome in both burned and unburned sites, but Japanese brome cover appeared highest on burned sites. Higher visual cover of Japanese brome was also noted in bluebunch wheatgrass-western wheatgrass associations on burned sites compared to unburned sites, although the differences were not quantified [19].

MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

SPECIES: Atriplex gardneri

- FEDERAL LEGAL STATUS
- OTHER STATUS
- **IMPORTANCE TO WILDLIFE AND LIVESTOCK**
- VALUE FOR RESTORATION OF DISTURBED SITES
- **OTHER USES**
- OTHER MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

FEDERAL LEGAL STATUS

None [<u>104</u>]

OTHER STATUS

NatureServe (2020) rates Gardner's saltbush as globally secure (G5) [70]. Two closely related species that were once classified as Gardner's saltbush have protection status (Bonneville saltbush and Welsh's saltbush). Information on state- and province-level protection status of plants in the United States and Canada is available at <u>NatureServe</u>.

Several Gardner's saltbush alliances in the Northern Great Plains have protection status (table 1).

Table 1—Ranking of Gardner's saltbush alliances in the northwestern Great Plains [70].			
Alliance	Rank	Distribution	
Gardner's saltbush/Nuttall's povertyweed	Vulnerable (G3)	northeastern Montana and possibly northwestern Wyoming	
Gardner's saltbush/western wheatgrass	Vulnerable (G3)	broadly distributed	
Gardner's saltbush/Indian ricegrass	Vulnerable (G3)	broadly distributed	
birdfoot sagebrush- Gardner's saltbush	Vulnerable (G3)	broadly distributed	
Gardner's saltbush-bud sagebrush	Imperiled (G2)	eastern Montana and Wyoming	
Gardner's saltbush/saline wildrye	Imperiled (G2)	south-central Wyoming and northwestern and west-central Colorado	

IMPORTANCE TO WILDLIFE AND LIVESTOCK

Gardner's saltbush provides important year-round browse for wildlife and livestock [42,60]. It is especially valuable winter forage for wild ungulates and livestock ([8,10,30], Banerjee et. al 1999, cited in [89]) due to its persistent leaves [8]. It is a staple food for pronghorn [11,61]; mule deer, lagomorphs, and mourning doves also browse Gardner's saltbush [8,61]. It is a larval food of the common sootywing (*Pholisora catullus*) butterfly and the alfalfa looper (*Autographa californica*) moth [15].

Salt desert shrublands provide habitat for a wide range of wildlife species including arthropods, small birds and mammals, and large ungulates. Although plant diversity is generally low in salt desert shrublands, animal diversity is often high [61].

<u>**Palatability and Nutritional Value:**</u> Gardner's saltbush is palatable to wild ungulates and livestock $[\underline{23,71,88}]$. It is especially palatable to domestic sheep $[\underline{39,43}]$. The persistent foliage remains succulent even during hot, dry summer months $[\underline{12,68}]$.

Gardner's saltbush is nutritious browse that is high in mineral content [78]. It is rated fair in energy and protein values [23]. See these sources for further information on the nutritional value of Gardner's saltbush: [23,78].

<u>Cover Value:</u> Gardner's saltbush provides cover for small nongame birds, upland game birds, and small mammals [23] in arid, saline environments where cover may otherwise be sparse [71]. In the Big Horn, Great Divide, and Washakie basins of Wyoming, mountain plovers used plateaus on black greasewood-shadscale saltbush-Gardner's saltbush salt desert shrublands for nesting [80]. In northwestern Wyoming, black-tailed prairie dogs used Gardner's saltbush-Indian ricegrass-prairie Junegrass mixed-grass prairies more than expected

based on availability. Although that community occurred in a matrix with big sagebrush and black greasewood communities, black-tailed prairie dogs preferentially selected Gardner's saltbush-mixed-grass prairie communities with shrub heights from 8 to 92 cm for colony sites [18]. In south-central Wyoming, the critically imperiled [70] Wyoming pocket gopher habituated only Gardner's saltbush-dominated salt shrubland with fine-textured soils and flat topography, while the more common northern pocket gopher habituated more productive, adjacent big sagebrush shrubland with coarser soils [53].

VALUE FOR RESTORATION OF DISTURBED SITES

Gardner's saltbush provides soil stabilization [10,93] and is used in restoration plantings on semiarid and arid lands [14,93] including mine spoils [60,75,90,112], oil fields [46], and rangelands [60]. It is favored for restoration plantings due to its palatability and tolerance to drought, saline soils, and alkaline soils ([14], Banerjee et. al 1999, cited in [89]). It is planted on windbreaks in northern mixed-grass prairie communities (Banerjee et. al 1999, cited in [89]). Because Gardner's saltbush is relatively inflammable, it is also planted to stop or slow fire spread [37,71] (see Fuels).

Artificial regeneration of Gardner's saltbush can have mixed success, with potentially low and/or variable rates of establishment on arid sites [14,22,41,48]. It has sometimes been established by direct seeding (e.g., drilling) [10,37,60,74,93] or container plantings [35,93]. Best success is achieved on mixed-shrub sites or sites that receive at least intermittent summer rains [93]. See these sources for information on Gardner's saltbush propagation: [9,42,49,73,93,100]. Cultivars of Gardner's saltbush were not available as of 2020 [103].

Management that promotes VAM favors Gardner's saltbush establishment and growth on disturbed sites [2,112]. Allen (1989) provides information on inoculating native VAM into soils of disturbed Gardner's saltbush communities [2].

OTHER USES

Gardner's saltbush is planted as a native ornamental [15].

OTHER MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

Rangelands: Gardner's saltbush is fairly resistant to browsing pressure; however, its cover and frequency are reduced in areas with heavy or continuous browsing pressure. It tolerates light to moderate use [10,30]. In salt desert shrubland of Wyoming, for example, Gardner's saltbush (misclassified as Nuttall's saltbush) tolerated up to 30% winter utilization by domestic sheep without substantial loss of canopy cover [30]. In the Red Desert of Wyoming, it averaged 16% frequency on two relict buttes that are inaccessible to wild and domestic ungulates and 4% frequency on accessible areas [59]. In a 10-year study in western Colorado, shrub cover on Gardner's saltbush-Greene rabbitbrush/charming woodyaster-saline wildrye and James' galleta-Gardner's saltbush-shadscale saltbush-Greene rabbitbrush rangelands declined 32% on transects grazed by cattle and domestic sheep from November to mid-May and by 8% on transects in livestock exclosures. Stocking was reported as "rather heavy". Gardner's saltbush frequency in the first community averaged 75% and 88% on grazed and ungrazed plots, respectively, and 32% and 34%, respectively, in the second community. Overall mean survivorship of Gardner's saltbush was 62% and 82% on grazed and ungrazed plots, respectively [101]. With moderate grazing, livestock utilization of 30% [44] to 40% [43] has been reported for Gardner's saltbush.

Some low cold-desert salt shrublands have become badly degraded by overgrazing. Clary and Holmgren (1987) noted that on rangelands of eastern Montana, eastern Wyoming, and Colorado, 30 years of unregulated livestock grazing in the early 20th century resulted in desertification of some areas [16]. Such sites are difficult to revegetate [42]. The "imperiled" status of Gardner's saltbush/saline wildrye alliances is based on the assumption that grazing has caused a decline in the condition of many of these alliances [70].

The good <u>rangeland condition</u> of some Gardner's saltbush sites is due in part to their inaccessibility. NatureServe (2020) concluded that that for the Gardner's saltbush/Nuttall's povertyweed association, the "only remotely possible disturbance to this association is (off-) roading", but because these sites are "impassible with even minor precipitation events" (due to high clay content), they are often "bypassed" by off-road vehicle recreationists [70].

Shrub die-off: Cold deserts are subject to periodic shrub die-offs. Causes of the die-offs are varied and likely interactive; they have been attributed to weather extremes and/or climate change (e.g., waterlogging caused by above-average precipitation, extended cold winter temperatures with long-lasting snow cover, and severe summer drought), increased salinity in soils, fungal infections, and overgrazing [28]. Stutz (1978) noted that after the 1976-1977 winter drought in Wyoming, most surviving Gardner's saltbush individuals were tetraploid. Diploid individuals were "almost completely wiped out" [95]. Gardner's saltbush may replace less drought- and salt-tolerant species during periods of shrub die-offs [28] (see Seedling Establishment and Plant Growth).

Climate change and possible changes in plant community composition: Padgett (2018) postulated that salt desert shrublands have moderate to high vulnerability to climate change [76]. Climate change is expected to result in more extreme precipitation events in salt desert shrublands [76,111]. This may increase incidences of shrub die-off.

Halogeton (an invasive annual forb) may replace Gardner's saltbush in some salt desert shrublands [36,76,86], especially during periods of shrub die-back. Livestock grazing may exacerbate this replacement [36]. Near Green River, Colorado, cattle overgrazing in a Gardner's saltbush/Indian ricegrass community greatly reduced graminoid cover and promoted establishment and spread of halogeton [7]. A laboratory study found Gardner's saltbush and halogeton were about equally salt tolerant, leading the researchers to conclude that another, unknown mechanism is responsible for displacement of Gardner's saltbush by halogeton in saline soils [86].

Conversely, increasing aridity may result in Gardner's saltbush displacing relatively mesic species on some sites. Romme and Turner (1991) postulated that with global warming, Gardner's saltbush-black greasewood desert shrubland may replace sagebrush shrubland on warm, dry, low-elevation sites in the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem [84].

APPENDIX

SPECIES: Atriplex gardneri

- Table A1: Plant names Table
- A2: Plant communities

Links go to other FEIS Speci-	es Reviews.			
Common name	Scientific name			
Cacti				
plains pricklypear	<u>Opuntia polyacantha</u>			
Forbs				
burningbush	Bassia scoparia			
charming woodyaster	Xylorhiza venusta			
fewflower buckwheat	Eriogonum pauciflorum			
field sagewort	<u>Artemisia campestris</u>			
halogeton	Halogeton glomeratus			
Nuttall's povertyweed	Monolepis nuttalliana			
phlox	Phlox spp.			
Graminoids				
alkali sacaton	<u>Sporobolus airoides</u>			

https://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/plants/shrub/atrgar/all.html

bluebunch wheatgrass	Pseudoroegneria spicata	
blue grama	Bouteloua gracilis	
cheatgrass	Bromus tectorum	
Idaho fescue	Festuca idahoensis	
Indian ricegrass	Achnatherum hymenoides	
James' galleta	Pleuraphis jamesii	
Japanese brome	Bromus japonicus	
prairie Junegrass	Koeleria macrantha	
saline wildrye	Leymus salinus	
Sandberg bluegrass	Poa secunda	
squirreltail	Elymus elymoides	
thickspike wheatgrass	Elymus lanceolatus	
western wheatgrass	Pascopyrum smithii	
Shrubs		
basin saltbush	Atriplex tridentata	
big sagebrush basin big sagebrush	Artemisia tridentata <u>Artemisia tridentata subsp. tridentata</u>	
Wyoming big sagebrush	<u>Artemisia tridentata subsp. wyomingensis</u>	
birdfoot sagebrush	<u>Artemisia pedatifida</u>	
Bonneville saltbush	Atriplex bonnevillensis	
black greasewood	Sarcobatus vermiculatus	
bud sagebrush	Picrothamnus desertorum	
fourwing saltbush	<u>Atriplex canescens</u>	
Gardner's saltbush	Atriplex gardneri	
Greene rabbitbrush	Chrysothamnus greenei	
iodinebush	Allenrolfea occidentalis	
mat saltbush	Atriplex corrugata	
moundscale	Atriplex × aptera	
Nuttall's saltbush	Atriplex nuttallii	
robust saltbush	Atriplex robusta	
rubber rabbitbrush	Ericameria nauseosa	
sagebrush	Artemisia spp.	
saltbush	Atriplex spp.	
shadscale saltbush	<u>Atriplex confertifolia</u>	
shortspine horsebrush	<u>Tetradymia spinosa</u>	
seepweed	Suaeda spp.	
sickle saltbush	Atriplex falcata	
valley saltbush	Atriplex cuneata	
Welsh's saltbush	Atriplex welshii	
winterfat	Krascheninnikovia lanata	

Table A2—Representative plant community classifications in which Gardner's
saltbush occurs.
FRES Ecosystems
FRES29 Sagebrush
FRES30 Desert shrub
FRES38 Plains grasslands [33]
Kuchler Plant Associations
K038 Great Basin sagebrush
K040 Saltbush-greasewood
K055 Sagebrush steppe
K057 Galleta-three-awn shrubsteppe
K064 Grama-needlegrass-wheatgrass
K066 Wheatgrass-needlegrass [56]
SRM (Rangeland) Cover Types
SRM 310 Needle-and-thread-blue grama
SRM 402 Mountain big sagebrush
SRM 403 Wyoming big sagebrush
SRM 406 Low sagebrush
SRM 408 Other sagebrush types
SRM 414 Salt desert shrub
SRM 606 Wheatgrass-bluestem-needlegrass
SRM 607 Wheatgrass-needlegrass
SRM 608 Wheatgrass-grama-needlegrass
SRM 609 Wheatgrass-grama
SRM 610 Wheatgrass [92]

REFERENCES:

1. Allen, Michael F. 1983. Formation of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae in Atriplex gardneri (Chenopodiaceae): Seasonal response in a cold desert. Mycologia. 75(5): 773-776. [94533]

2. Allen, Michael F. 1989. Mycorrhizae and rehabilitation of disturbed arid soils: Processes and practices. Arid Soil Research. 3(2): 229-241. [9198]

3. Ansley, R. James; Abernethy, Rollin H. 1984. Overcoming seed dormancy in Gardner saltbush (Atriplex gardneri (Moq) D. Dietr.) as a strategy for increasing establishment by direct seeding. In: Tiedemann, Arthur R.; McArthur, E. Durant; Stutz, Howard C.; Stevens, Richard; Johnson, KIendall L. compilers. Proceedings: Symposium on the biology of Atriplex and related chenopods; 1983 May 2-6; Provo, UT. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-172. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: 152-158. [335]

4. Ansley, R. James; Abernethy, Rollin H. 1984. Seed pretreatments and their effects on field establishment of spring-seeded Gardner saltbush. Journal of Range Management. 37(6): 509-513.

[94540]

5. Ansley, R. James; Abernethy, Rollin H. 1985. Environmental factors influencing Gardner saltbush seed dormancy alleviation. Journal of Range Management. 38(4): 331-335. [336]

6. Baker, William L. 1984. A preliminary classification of the natural vegetation of Colorado. The Great Basin Naturalist. 44(4): 647-676. [380]

7. Baker, William L.; Kennedy, Susan C. 1985. Presettlement vegetation of part of northwestern Moffat County, Colorado, described from remnants. The Great Basin Naturalist. 45(4): 747-783. [384]

8. Banner, Roger E. 1992. Vegetation types of Utah. Journal of Range Management. 14(2): 109-114. [20298]

9. Belcher, Earl. 1985. Handbook on seeds of browse-shrubs and forbs. Tech. Publ. R8-TP8. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Region. 246 p. In cooperation with: Association of Official Seed Analysts. [43463]

10. Blaisdell, James P.; Holmgren, Ralph C. 1984. Managing Intermountain rangelands--Salt-desert shrub ranges. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-163. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 52 p. [464]

11. Boccadori, Sylvanna J.; White, P. J.; Garrott, Robert A.; Borkowski, John J.; Davis, Troy L. 2008. Yellowstone pronghorn alter resource selection after sagebrush decline. Journal of Mammalogy. 89(4): 1031-1040. [94542]

12. Branson, F. A.; Miller, R. F.; McQueen, I. S. 1967. Geographic distribution and factors affecting the distribution of salt desert shrubs in the United States. Journal of Range Management. 20(5): 287-296. [509]

13. Bunting, Stephen C.; Kingery, James L.; Hemstrom, Miles A.; Schroeder, Michael A.; Gravenmier, Rebecca A.; Hann, Wendel J. 2002. Altered rangeland ecosystems in the interior Columbia Basin. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-553. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 71 p. [43462]

14. Burton, P. W.; Campbell, W. F.; Bittner, A. S.; Johnson, A. J.; Anderson, A. J. 1984. Cell wall composition and enzymatic degradation of Atriplex gardneri (Moq) Dietr. seed bracteole. Journal of Seed Technology. 9(1): 68-78. [94543]

15. California Native Plant Society. 2020. Gardner's saltbush: Atriplex gardneri, [Online]. Sacramento, CA: California Native Plant Society (Producer). Available: https://calscape.org/Atriplex-gardneri-() [2020, September 2]. [94571]

16. Clary, Warren P.; Holmgren, Ralph C. 1987. Reversal of desertification on the low-shrub cold desert. In: Aldon, Earl F.; Gonzales Vicente, Carlos E.; Moir, William H., technical coordinators. Strategies for classification and management of native vegetation for food production in arid zones: Proceedings; 1987 October 12-16; Tucson, AZ. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-150. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: 138-142. [2731]

17. Collins, Ellen I. 1984. Preliminary classification of Wyoming plant communities. Cheyenne, WY: Wyoming Natural Heritage Program; The Nature Conservancy. 42 p. [661]

18. Collins, Ellen I.; Lichvar, Robert W. 1986. Vegetation inventory of current and historic black-footed ferret habitat in Wyoming. Great Basin Naturalist Memoirs. 8(1): 85-93. [66616] https://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/plants/shrub/atrgar/all.html 19. Cooper, Stephen V.; Jean, Catherine. 2001. Wildfire succession in plant communities natural to the Alkali Creek vicinity, Charles M. Russell National Wildlife Refuge, Montana. Unpublished report prepared for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service: USFWS Agreement Number 60181-0-J206. Helena, MT: Montana Natural Heritage Program. On file at: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory, Missoula, MT. 32 p. [70496]

20. Costello, David F. 1944. Important species of the major forage types in Colorado and Wyoming. Ecological Monographs. 14(1): 107-134. [693]

21. Cronin, Eugene H. 1965. Ecological and physiological factors influencing chemical control of Halogeton glomeratus. Technical Bulletin No. 1325. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture. 65 p. [4586]

22. Davis, James N. 2004. Climate and terrain. In: Monsen, Stephen B.; Stevens, Richard; Shaw, Nancy L., comps. Restoring western ranges and wildlands. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-136-vol-1. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station: 33-38. [52821]

23. Dittberner, Phillip L.; Olson, Michael R. 1983. The Plant Information Network (PIN) data base: Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, Utah, and Wyoming. FWS/OBS-83/86. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. 786 p. [806]

24. Dobrowolski, James P.; Ewing, Kern. 1990. Vegetation dynamics and environmental attributes of a Great Basin valley exhibiting widespread shrub dieback. In: McArthur, E. Durant; Romney, Evan M.; Smith, Stanley D.; Tueller, Paul T., compilers. Proceedings--Symposium on cheatgrass invasion, shrub die-off, and other aspects of shrub biology and management; 1989 April 5-7; Las Vegas, NV. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-276. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station: 103-114. [12842]

25. Donart, Gary B. 1994. SRM 501: Saltbush - greasewood. In: Shiflet, Thomas N., ed. Rangeland cover types of the United States. Denver, CO: Society for Range Management: 61-62. [67041]

26. Dorn, Robert D. 1984. Vascular plants of Montana. Cheyenne, WY: Mountain West Publishing. 276 p. [819]

27. Dorn, Robert D. 1988. Vascular plants of Wyoming. Cheyenne, WY: Mountain West Publishing. 340 p. [6129]

28. Ewing, Kern; Dobrowolski, James P. 1992. Dynamics of shrub die-off in a salt desert plant community. Journal of Range Management. 45(2): 194-199. [18319]

29. Fetcher, Ned; Trlica, M. J. 1980. Influence of climate on annual production of seven cold desert forage species. Journal of Range Management. 33(1): 35-37. [38662]

30. Fisser, H. G. 1967. Proper winter sheep grazing on saltbush rangeland. Wyoming Range Management. 235: 201-206. [94546]

31. Flora of North America Editorial Committee, eds. 2020. Flora of North America north of Mexico, [Online]. Flora of North America Association (Producer). Available: http://www.efloras.org/flora_page.aspx?flora_id=1. [36990]

32. Foiles, Marvin W. 1974. Atriplex L. saltbush. In: Schopmeyer, C. S., technical coordinator. Seeds of woody plants in the United States. Agric. Handb. 450. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service: 240-243. [933]

33. Garrison, George A.; Bjugstad, Ardell J.; Duncan, Don A.; Lewis, Mont E.; Smith, Dixie R. 1977. Vegetation and environmental features of forest and range ecosystems. Agric. Handb. 475. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 68 p. [998]

34. Garvin, Susan C.; Meyer, Susan E.; Carlson, Stephanie L. 1996. Seed germination studies in Atriplex confertifolia (Torr. & Frem.) Wats. In: Barrow, Jerry R.; McArthur, E. Durant; Sosebee, Ronald E.; Tausch, Robin J., compilers. Proceedings: Shrubland ecosystem dynamics in a changing environment; 1995 May 23-25; Las Cruces, NM. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-GTR-338. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station: 165-169. [27045]

35. Gillis, Anna Maria. 1991. Bringing back the land. Bioscience. 41(2): 68-71. [15489]

36. Goodrich, Sherel; Zobell, Aaron. 2011. Trend of Gardner saltbush and halogeton in the lower Green River Basin, Wyoming. In: Monaco, Thomas A.; Schupp, Eugene W.; Pendleton, Rosemary L.; Kitchen, Stanley G.; Palacios, Patsy K., comps. Proceedings of the 16th wildland shrub symposium: Threats to shrubland ecosystem integrity; 2010 May 18-20; Logan, UT. Natural Resources and Environmental Issues, Volume XVII. Logan, UT: Utah State University, S.J. and Jessie E. Quinney Natural Resources Research Library: 303-309. [94550]

37. Green, Lisle R. 1977. Fuelbreaks and other fuel modifications for wildland fire control. Agric. Handb. 499. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 79 p. [10511]

38. Halford, Douglas K. 1981. Repopulation and food habits of Peromyscus maniculatus on a burned sagebrush desert in southeastern Idaho. Northwest Science. 55(1): 44-49. [1058]

39. Hall, Harvey M.; Clements, Frederic E. 1923. The phylogenetic method in taxonomy: The North American species of Artemisia, Chrysothamnus, and Atriplex. Publication No. 326. Washington, DC: The Carnegie Institute of Washington. 355 p. [43183]

40. Hanson, Craig A. 1962. Perennial Atriplex of Utah and the northern deserts. Provo, UT: Brigham Young University. 133 p. Thesis. [37191]

41. Hatton, Thomas J.; West, Neil E. 1987. Early seral trends in plant community diversity on a recontoured surface mine. Vegetatio. 73(1): 21-29. [77995]

42. Horton, Howard, ed./comp. 1989. Interagency forage and conservation planting guide for Utah. Extension Circular 433. Logan, UT: Utah State University, Cooperative Extension Service. 67 p. [12231]

43. Hutchings, Selar S. 1954. Managing winter sheep range for greater profit. Farmers' Bulletin No. 2067. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture. 46 p. [23306]

44. Hutchings, Selar S.; Stewart, George. 1953. Increasing forage yields and sheep production on Intermountain winter ranges. Circular No. 925. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture. 63 p. [1227]

45. ITIS Database. 2020. Integrated taxonomic information system, [Online]. Available: http://www.itis.gov/index.html. [51763]

46. Jacobs, James S.; Winslow, Susan R.; Clause, Karen J.; Hybner, Roger. 2011. Seeded native shrub establishment on disturbed sites in southwestern Wyoming. In: Wambolt, Carl L.; Kitchen, Stanley G.; Frisina, Michael R.; Sowell, Bok; Keigley, Richard B.; Palacios, Patsy; Robinson, Jill, comps. Proceedings--shrublands: Wildlands and wildlife habitats; 15th wildland shrub symposium; 2008 June 17-19; Bozeman, MT. Natural Resources and Environmental Issues, Volume XVI.

Logan, UT: Utah State University, College of Natural Resources, S. J. and Jessie E. Quinney Natural Resources Research Library: 165-169. [83482]

47. Jacobs, S. W. L. 2001. Review of leaf anatomy and ultrastructure in the Chenopodiaceae (Caryophyllales). The Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society. 128(3): 236-253. [94554]

48. Jonas, Jayne L.; Grant-Hoffman, M. Nikki; Paschke, Mark W. 2018. Restoration of North American salt deserts: A look at the past and suggestions for the future. Ecological Restoration. 36(3): 177-194. [93623]

49. Jorgensen, Kent R.; Stevens, Richard. 2004. Seed collection, cleaning, and storage. In: Monsen, Stephen B.; Stevens, Richard; Shaw, Nancy L., comps. Restoring western ranges and wildlands. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-136-vol. 3. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station: 699-716. [42398]

50. Kadereit, Gudrun; Mavrodiev, Evgeny V.; Zacharias, Elizabeth H.; Sukhorukov, Alexander P. 2010. Molecular phylogeny of Atripliceae (Chenopodioideae, Chenopodiaceae): Implications for systematics, biogeography, flower and fruit evolution, and the origin of C4 photosynthesis. American Journal of Botany. 97(10): 1664-1687. [94555]

51. Kartesz, J. T. The Biota of North America Program (BONAP). 2015. North American Plant Atlas, [Online]. Chapel Hill, NC: The Biota of North America Program (Producer). Available: http://bonap.net/napa [maps generated from Kartesz, J. T. 2015. Floristic Synthesis of North America, Version 1.0. Biota of North America Program (BONAP). [in press]. [94573]

52. Kartesz, J. T. The Biota of North America Program (BONAP). 2015. Taxonomic Data Center, [Online]. Chapel Hill, NC: The Biota of North America Program (Producer). Available: http://bonap.net/tdc [Maps generated from Kartesz, J. T. 2010. Floristic synthesis of North America, Version 1.0. Biota of North America Program (BONAP). [in press]. [84789]

53. Keinath, Douglas A.; Griscom, Hannah R.; Andersen, Mark D. 2014. Habitat and distribution of the Wyoming pocket gopher (Thomomys clusius). Journal of Mammalogy. 95(4): 803-813. [94556]

54. Klinkenberg, Brian, ed. 2020. E-Flora BC: Electronic atlas of the plants of British Columbia, [Online]. Vancouver, BC: University of British Columbia, Department of Geography, Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis (Producer). Available: https://ibis.geog.ubc.ca/biodiversity/eflora/. [54933]

55. Knight, Dennis H.; Jones, George P.; Akashi, Yoshiko; Myers, Richard W. 1987. Vegetation ecology in the Bighorn Canyon National Recreation Area: Wyoming and Montana. Final Report. Laramie, WY: University of Wyoming; National Park Service Research Center. 114 p. [12498]

56. Kuchler, A. W. 1964. Manual to accompany the map of potential vegetation of the conterminous United States. Special Publication No. 36. New York: American Geographical Society. 166 p. [1384]

57. LANDFIRE Biophysical Settings. 2009. Biophysical setting 1610810: Inter-Mountain Basins mixed salt desert scrub. In: LANDFIRE Biophysical Setting Model: Map zone 16, [Online]. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory; U.S. Geological Survey; Arlington, VA: The Nature Conservancy (Producers). Available: https://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/pdfs/BpS/1610810.pdf. [94568]

58. LANDFIRE Biophysical Settings. 2009. Biophysical setting 2110810: Inter-Mountain Basins mixed salt desert scrub. In: LANDFIRE Biophysical Setting Model: Map zone 21, [Online]. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research

Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory; U.S. Geological Survey; Arlington, VA: The Nature Conservancy (Producers). Available: https://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/pdfs/BpS/2110810.pdf. [94569]

59. Marquiss, Robert; Lang, Robert. 1959. Vegetational composition and ground cover of two natural relict areas and their associated grazed areas in the Red Desert of Wyoming. Journal of Range Management. 12(3): 104-109. [1529]

60. McArthur, E. Durant; Monsen, Stephen B. 2004. Chenopod shrubs. In: Monsen, Stephen B.; Stevens, Richard; Shaw, Nancy L., comps. Restoring western ranges and wildlands. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-136-vol-2. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station: 467-492. [52843]

61. McArthur, E. Durant; Plummer, A. Perry; Davis, James N. 1978. Rehabilitation of game range in the salt desert. In: Johnson, Kendall L., ed. Wyoming shrublands: Proceedings of the 7th Wyoming shrub ecology workshop; 1978 May 31-June 1; Rock Springs, WY. Laramie, WY: University of Wyoming, Range Management Division; Wyoming Shrub Ecology Workshop: 23-50. [1575]

62. McCarthy, Judith Colleen. 1996. A floristic survey of the Pryor Mountains, Montana. Bozeman, MT: Montana State University. 93 p. Thesis. [46912]

63. McKell, Cyrus M.; Blaisdell, James P.; Goodin, Joe R., eds. 1972. Wildland shrubs--their biology and utilization: An international symposium; 1971 July; Logan, UT. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-1. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 494 p. [1612]

64. McNab, W. Henry; Avers, Peter E., comps. 1994. Ecological subregions of the United States: Section descriptions. WO-WSA-5, [Online]. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Ecosystem Management (Producer). Available: https://www.fs.fed.us/land/pubs/ecoregions/ [2018, October 30]. [64271]

65. Meyer, Susan E. 2008. Atriplex L.: saltbush. In: Bonner, Franklin T.; Karrfalt, Robert P., eds. Woody plant seed manual. Agric. Handbook No. 727. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service: 283-290. [45116]

66. Miller, Richard F.; Chambers, Jeanne C.; Pellant, Mike. 2015. A field guide for rapid assessment of post-wildfire recovery potential in sagebrush and pinon-juniper ecosystems in the Great Basin: Evaluating resilience to disturbance and resistance to invasive annual grasses and predicting vegetation response. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-338. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 70 p. [90443]

67. Miller, Richard F.; Chambers, Jeanne C.; Pyke, David A.; Pierson, Fred B.; Williams, C. Jason. 2013. A review of fire effects on vegetation and soils in the Great Basin Region: Response and ecological site characteristics. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-308. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 126 p. [87889]

68. Monsen, Stephen B.; Christensen, Donald R. 1975. Woody plants for rehabilitating rangelands in the Intermountain Region. In: Stutz, Howard C., ed. Wildland shrubs: Symposium and workshop proceedings; 1975 November 5-7; Provo, UT. Provo, UT: Brigham Young University: 72-119. [1680]

69. NatureServe. 2009. International ecological classification standard: Terrestrial ecological classifications. In: NatureServe Central Databases. Arlington, VA: NatureServe (Producer). 1172 p. Available: http://downloads.natureserve.org/get_data/data_sets/veg_data/nsDescriptions.pdf [2020, August 3]. [94380]

70. NatureServe. 2020. NatureServe Explorer, [Online]. Arlington, VA: NatureServe (Producer). Available: http://explorer.natureserve.org/. [94379]

71. Nord, Eamor C.; Christensen, Donald R.; Plummer, A. Perry. 1969. Atriplex species [or taxa] that spread by root sprouts, stem layers, and by seed. Ecology. 50(2): 324-326. [1772]

72. Nord, Eamor C.; Countryman, Clive M. 1972. Fire relations. In: McKell, Cyrus M.; Blaisdell, James P.; Goodin, Joe R., eds. Wildland shrubs--their biology and utilization: Proceedings of the symposium; 1971 July; Logan, UT. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-1. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: 88-97. [1773]

73. Nord, Eamor C.; Goodin, J. R. 1970. Rooting cuttings of shrub species for plantings in California wildlands. Res. Note PSW-213. Berkeley, CA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 4 p. [42390]

74. Nord, Eamor C.; Hartless, Patrick F.; Nettleton, W. Dennis. 1971. Effects of several factors on saltbush establishment in California. Journal of Range Management. 24(3): 216-223. [1774]

75. Olson, Richard A.; Gores, James K.; Booth, D. Terrence; Schuman, Gerald E. 2000. Suitability of shrub establishment on Wyoming mined lands reclaimed for wildlife habitat. Western North American Naturalist. 60(1): 77-92. [35400]

76. Padgett, Wayne G.; Reeves, Matthew C.; Kitchen, Stanley G.; Tart, David L.; Chambers, Jeanne C.; Howell, Cheri; Manning, Mary E.; Proctor, John G. 2018. Effects of climate change on nonforest vegetation. In: Halofsky, Jessica E.; Peterson, David L.; Ho, Joanne J.; Little, Natalie, J.; Joyce, Linda A., eds. Climate change vulnerability and adaptation in the Intermountain Region. Part 1. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-375. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station: 165-197. [92816]

77. Pendleton, Rosemary L.; Meyer, Susan E. 1990. Seed germination biology of spineless hopsage: Inhibition by bract leachate. In: McArthur, E. Durant; Romney, Evan M.; Smith, Stanley D.; Tueller, Paul T., compilers. Proceedings--Symposium on cheatgrass invasion, shrub die-off, and other aspects of shrub biology and management; 1989 April 5-7; Las Vegas, NV. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-276. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station: 181-186. [12728]

78. Philpot, C. W. 1970. Influence of mineral content on the pyrolysis of plant materials. Forest Science. 16(4): 461-471. [1883]

79. Philpot, Charles W. 1968. Mineral content and pyrolysis of selected plant materials. Research Note INT-84. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 4 p. [1882]

80. Plumb, Regan E.; Anderson, Stanley H.; Knopf, Fritz L. 2005. Habitat and nesting biology of Mountain Plovers in Wyoming. Western North American Naturalist. 65(2): 223-228. [94559]

81. Plummer, A. Perry; Christensen, Donald R.; Monsen, Stephen B. 1968. Restoring big-game range in Utah. Publ. No. 68-3. Ephraim, UT: Utah Division of Fish and Game. 183 p. [4554]

82. Raunkiaer, C. 1934. The life forms of plants and statistical plant geography. Oxford, England: Clarendon Press. 632 p. [2843]

83. Roberts, Thomas C., Jr. 1994. Resource impacts of cheatgrass and wildfires on public lands and livestock grazing. In: Monsen, Stephen B.; Kitchen, Stanley G., compilers. Proceedings--Ecology and management of annual rangelands; 1992 May 18-22; Boise, ID. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-GTR-313.

Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station: 167-169. [24274]

84. Romme, William H.; Turner, Monica G. 1991. Implications of global climate change for biogeographic patterns in the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem. Conservation Biology. 5(3): 373-386. [21677]

85. Rundel, Philip W. 1981. Structural and chemical components of flammability. In: Mooney, H. A.; Bonnicksen, T. M.; Christensen, N. L.; Lotan, J. E.; Reiners, W. A., tech. coords. Fire regimes and ecosystem properties: Proceedings of the conference; 1978 December 11-15; Honolulu, HI. Gen. Tech. Rep. WO-26. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service: 183-207. [4393]

86. Sagers, Joseph K.; Waldron, Blair L.; Creech, Joseph Earl; Mott, Ivan W.; Bugbee, Bruce. 2017. Salinity tolerance of three competing rangeland plant species: Studies in hydroponic culture. Ecology and Evolution. 7(24): 10916-10929. [94560]

87. Salo, L. F.; Artiola, J. F.; Goodrich-Mahoney, J. W. 1996. Plant species for revegetation of a saline flue gas desulfurization sludge pond. Journal of Environmental Quality. 25(4): 802-808. [34693]

88. Sanderson, Stewart C.; Stutz, Howard C. 1994. Woody chenopods useful for rangeland reclamation in western North America. In: Monsen, Stephen B.; Ketchum, Stanley G., compilers. Proceedings--Ecology and management of annual rangelands; 1992 May 18-22; Boise, ID. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-GTR-313. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station: 374-378. [24311]

89. Schellenberg, M. P.; Banerjee, M. R. 2001. The potential of legume-shrub mixtures for optimum forage production in southwestern Saskatchewan: A greenhouse study. Canadian Journal of Plant Science. 82(2): 357-363. [48906]

90. Schladweiler, Brenda K.; Vance, George F.; Legg, David E.; Munn, Larry C.; Haroian, Rose. 2005. Topsoil depth effects on reclaimed coal mine and native area vegetation in northeastern Wyoming. Rangeland Ecology & Management. 58(2): 167-176. [78834]

91. Schneider, Rick E.; Faber-Langendoen, Don; Crawford, Rex C.; Weakley, Alan S. 1997. The status of biodiversity in the Great Plains: Great Plains vegetation classification--Supplemental document 1. [Cooperative Agreement # X 007803-01-3]. In: Ostlie, Wayne R.; Schneider, Rick E.; Aldrich, Janette Marie; Faust, Thomas M.; McKim, Robert L. B.; Chaplin, Stephen J., comps. The status of biodiversity in the Great Plains. Arlington, VA: The Nature Conservancy, Great Plains Program. 75 p. Available online:

http://conserveonline.org/docs/2005/02/greatplains_vegclass_97.pdf [2011, September 8]. [62020]

92. Shiflet, Thomas N., ed. 1994. Rangeland cover types of the United States. Denver, CO: Society for Range Management. 152 p. [23362]

93. Stevens, Richard; Monsen, Stephen B. 2004. Guidelines for restoration and rehabilitation of principal plant communities. In: Monsen, Stephen B.; Stevens, Richard; Shaw, Nancy L., comps. Restoring western ranges and wildlands. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-136-vol. 1. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station: 199-294. [52829]

94. Stickney, Peter F. 1989. Seral origin of species comprising secondary plant succession in northern Rocky Mountain forests. FEIS workshop: Postfire regeneration. Unpublished draft on file

at: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory, Missoula, MT. 10 p. [20090]

95. Stutz, Howard C. 1978. Biogeography of saltbush Atriplex in Wyoming. In: Johnson, Kendall L., ed. Wyoming shrublands: Proceedings of the 7th Wyoming shrub ecology workshop; 1978 May 31 - June 1; Rock Springs, WY. Laramie, WY: University of Wyoming, Range Management Division, Wyoming Shrub Ecology Workshop: 9-15. [2280]

96. Stutz, Howard C. 1978. Explosive evolution of perennial Atriplex in western North America. The Great Basin Naturalist Memoirs. 2(1): 161-168. [2279]

97. Stutz, Howard C. 1983. Some promising chenopods for use on disturbed lands. In: Monsen, Stephen B.; Shaw, Nancy, compilers. Managing Intermountain rangelands--improvement of range and wildlife habitats: Proceedings of symposia; 1981 September 15-17; Twin Falls, ID; 1982 June 22-24; Elko, NV. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-157. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: 132-135. [2283]

98. Stutz, Howard C. 1984. Atriplex hybridization in western North America. In: Tiedemann, Arthur R.; McArthur, E. Durant; Stutz, Howard C.; [and others], compilers. Proceedings-symposium on the biology of Atriplex and related chenopods; 1983 May 2-6; Provo, UT. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-172. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: 25-27. [2284]

99. Stutz, Howard C.; Sanderson, Stewart C. 1998. Taxonomic clarification of Atriplex nuttallii (Chenopodiaceae) and its near relatives. Sida. 18(1): 193-212. [30299]

100. Tiedmann, Arthur R.; McArthur, E. Durant; Stutz, Howard C.; Stevens, Richard; Johnson, Kendall L., compilers. 1984. Proceedings--symposium on the biology of Atriplex and related chenopods; 1983 May 2-6; Provo, UT. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-172. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 309 p. [2337]

101. Turner, George T. 1971. Soil and grazing influences on a salt-desert shrub range in western Colorado (Las influencias del suelo y pastoreo sobre un matorral desertico salitroso en el estado de Colorado occidental). Journal of Range Management. 24(1): 31-37. [94561]

102. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Missoula Fire Sciences Laboratory. 2012. Fire regimes of saltbush shrubland communities. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available:

https://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/fire_regimes/Saltbush_shrub/all.html. [94570]

103. USDA, NRCS. 2020. The PLANTS Database, [Online]. Greensboro, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, National Plant Data Team (Producer). Available: https://plants.usda.gov/. [34262]

104. USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 2020. Endangered Species Program, [Online]. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service (Producer). Available: https://www.fws.gov/endangered/. [86564]

105. Weaver, T.; Aho, Ken. 2006. Identification of community types of SE Montana as targets for mine reclamation. In: Barnhisel, R., ed. 10th Billings Land Reclamation Symposium 2006: Reclamation: Supporting Future Generations. Billings Land Reclamation Symposium; 5-8 June 2006; Billings, MT. Lexington, KY: American Society of Mining and Reclamation: 774-802. [91534] 106. Weber, William A.; Whittman, Ronald C. 2012. Colorado flora: Eastern slope. A field guide to the vascular plants, 4th Edition. Boulder, CO: University Press of Colorado. 555 p. [94319]

107. Welsh, Stanley L. 1984. Utah flora: Chenopodiaceae. The Great Basin Naturalist. 44(2): 183-209. [12726]

108. Welsh, Stanley L.; Atwood, N. Duane; Goodrich, Sherel; Higgins, Larry C., eds. 2015. A Utah flora. 5th ed. Provo, UT: Brigham Young University. 987 p. [94185]

109. Welsh, Stanley L.; Crompton, Clifford. 1995. Names and types in perennial Atriplex Linnaeus (Chenopodiaceae) in North America selectively exclusive of Mexico. Great Basin Naturalist. 55(4): 322-334. [94563]

110. West, Neil E. 1983. Intermountain salt-desert shrubland. In: West, Neil E., ed. Temperate deserts and semi-deserts. New York: Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company: 375-397. (Goodall, David W., ed. in chief; Ecosystems of the world; vol. 5). [2507]

111. West, Neil E. 1994. Effects of fire on salt-desert shrub rangelands. In: Monsen, Stephen B.; Kitchen, Stanley G., compilers. Proceedings--Ecology and management of annual rangelands; 1992 May 18-22; Boise, ID. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-GTR-313. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station: 71-74. [24256]

112. White, J. A.; DePuit, E. J.; Smith, J. L.; Williams, S. E. 1992. Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and irrigated mined land reclamation in southwestern Wyoming. Soil Science Society of America Journal. 56(5): 1464-1469. [35181]