Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)

R3SPFI	Spruce - Fir									
General Information										
Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments")										
Jim Griffin	jgriffin01@fs.fed.us	William L. Baker	bakerwl@uwyo.edu							
Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	Rapid Assessme	Rapid AssessmentModel Zones							
Porested <u>Dominant Species*</u> PIEN ABLA PICO POTR	☐Local Data ✓Expert Estimate	Great Basin	South Central							
	LANDFIRE Mapping Zone 14 24 28 15 25 23 27	 Northeast Northern Plains N-Cent.Rockies 	☐ S. Appalachians Southwest S							

Geographic Range

Colorado, northern New Mexico and parts of Arizona and Utah. Elevations typically range from 9500-11,000 feet.

Biophysical Site Description

PNVG occurs in the subalpine zone on gentle to moderately steep terrain (e.g., 10-60% slope).

Vegetation Description

The overstory is typically dominated by Engelmann spruce and/or subalpine fir.. Other tree species may include lodgepole pine, aspen, limber pine, bristlecone pine, and Douglas-fir. Cork bark fir occurs in the southern part of the zone. Lodgepole pine does not occur in this PNVG south of 38 degrees 30 minutes (approximate). Common understory species include Vaccinium myrtillus, Polemonium pulchemimum, Ligularia, and Erigeron eximus.

Disturbance Description

Fire Regimes V and IV: Primarily long-interval (e.g., 150-300 yr) stand replacement fires, with very minor amount of terrain influenced by mixed severity fires. Disturbances also include insect/disease and windthrow events.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

This PNVG may be similar to the PNVGs R0SPFI from the Northern and Central Rockies model zone and R2SPFI from the Great Basin model zone.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data 🖌 Literature 🗌 Local Data 🖌 Expert Estimate

Patch sizes vary but are mostly in the hundreds of acres, with occasional very large patches (disturbances) in the thousands of acres. There may be frequent small disturbances in the 10s of acres or less.

Issues/Problems

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Model Evolution and Comments

This model is based on the original FRCC model SPFI 5 with quantitative changes made in distribution of vegetation classes and description of vegetation.

Peer review suggested aligning this PNVG with similar types from other modeling zones. As a result, this type was remodeled and more closely reflects models for high elevation spruce-fir in other zones.

	Succession C	lasses	**				
Succession classes are the equivalent of Class A 5%	"Vegetation Fuel Classes" as a Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov). <u>d</u> Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
Farly1 PostRen	PIEN	Min			Max		
Description		Cover	r 0%		100 %		
	ADLA	Height	1	no data	no data		
Early succession after moderately		Tree Size Class no data					
fires	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Class B 15 %	<u>Fuel Model</u> no data Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	<u>ıd</u> <u>Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)</u>					
Mid1 Closed	PIEN	Min		Min	Max		
Description	ABLA	Cover		50 %	100 %		
Shade tolerant- and mixed conifer		Height	1	no data	no data		
sanlings to poles (>60% canony		Tree Siz	e Class	no data			
cover)	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Class C 20%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure	e Data (fo	or upper layer li	feform)		
Mid1 Open	PIEN	0		Min	Max		
Description	ABLA	Cover		0%	50 %		
Primarily moderately tolerant		Height	n	o data	no data		
saplings to poles (1" - 6.9" dbh)		I ree Size	Class	no data			
and <50% canopy cover	Upper Laver Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Class D 20 %	Dominant Speci	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)						
Latal Open	PIFN	<u>+</u>		I	Min	Max		
Description			Cover		0%	50 %		
	ADLA		Height	no	data	no data		
Poles (5" dbh+)- and larger		Tree Size	e Class no	o data				
conifer species (<50% canopy cover) in small- to moderate size patches, generally on south aspects	Upper Layer Lif	eform us o data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Class F 40%	Dominant Speci	ies* and	d. Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
	Canopy Position		Min Max					
Late1 Closed	PIEN ABLA		Cover		50 %	100 %		
Description			Height	no	data	no data		
Pole- and larger diameter			Tree Size	e Class no	o data			
conifer species (>50% canopy cover), in moderate to large size patches, all aspects	Upper Layer Life Herbaceou Shrub Tree Fuel Model no	i <mark>eform</mark> us o data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Disturbances								
Disturbances Modeled	Fire Regime Gro	oup: 5						
 ✓ Fire ✓ Insects/Disease ✓ Wind/Weather/Stress □ Native Grazing □ Competition 	I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity							
Other:	Fire Intervals (I	FI)						
Other	Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FL is central tendency modeled. Minimum and							
Historical Fire Size (acres)	maximum show	the relati	ve range of	fire interva	uls, if known. F	Probability is the		
Avg: no data	inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.							
Min: no data								
Max: no data								
Courses of Fire Devine Date		Avg Fl	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires		
	Replacement	210	150	300	0.00476	96		
Literature	Mixed	5000	35	100	0.0002	4		
Local Data	Surface							
Expert Estimate	All Fires	201			0.00497			
	Rei	ferenc	es					
Alexander, R.R. and O. Engelby, 1983, Engelmann spruce - subalpine fir. In: Silvicultural systems for the								

Alexander, R.R. and O. Engelby. 1983. Engelmann spruce - subalpine fir. In: Silvicultural systems for the major forest types of the United States. Agriculture Handbook 445. Washington, D.C, U.S. Dept. of Agriculture.

Buechling, A., and W. L. Baker. 2004. A fire history from tree rings in a high-elevation forest of Rocky

^{*}Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Mountain National Park. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 34:1259-1273.

DeVelice, Robert L. et al. 1986. A Classification of Forest Habitat Types of Northern New Mexico and Southern Colorado. USDA, Forest Service. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. GTR RM-131.

Komarkova, Vera et al. 1988. Forest Vegetation of the Gunnison and Parts of the Uncompany National Forests: A Preliminary Habitat Type Classification. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. GTR RM-163.

Sibold, J. 2001. The forest fire regime of an upper montane and subalpine forest, Wild Basin, Rocky Mountain National Park. M.S. Thesis, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO.

Veblen, T. T., K. S. Hadley and M. S. Reid. 1991. Disturbance and stand development of a Colorado subalpine forest. Journal of Biogeography (1991) 18:707-716.

Veblen, T. T., K. S. Hadley, E. M. Nel, T. Kitzberger, M. S. Reid, and R. Villalba. 1994. Disturbance regime and disturbance interactions in a Rocky Mountain subalpine forest. Journal of Ecology 82:125-135.