Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)

R3RIPAfo **Riparian Forest with Conifers** General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") Modelers Reviewers Barry C. Johnston bcjohnston@fs.fed.us William L. Baker bakerwl@uwyo.edu **General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **Vegetation Type** Literature Forested California Pacific Northwest Local Data Great Basin South Central Expert Estimate **Dominant Species*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians **POAN LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains **✓** Southwest POTR1 14 24 28 N-Cent.Rockies **PIPU** 15 25

Geographic Range

POTR1

Common through the Rocky Mountains from southern Canada through Montana, Idaho, Wyoming, Utah, and Colorado to northern New Mexico.

Biophysical Site Description

Bottomland or toeslope landforms, also on benches with perched water tables. Soils are somewhat welldrained, fluvaquentic (water-deposited in sorted layers) for cottonwood stands, coarse to very coarse for spruce stands, intermediate in mixed stands. Often associated with a stream channel, stream gradient usually >2.5%.

Vegetation Description

Includes: 1) Riparian forest types with cottonwood alone dominant, sometimes with aspen mixed; 2) Riparian forest types with cottonwood mixed with spruce; 3) Riparian forest types dominated by spruce alone. "Spruce" is usually blue spruce at middle elevations in the mountains in this geographic region, but may include Engelmann spruce or hybrid spruce (PIEN x PIGL) farther north or at upper elevations. "Cottonwood" is often narrowleaf cottonwood throughout the Rockies, but may also include the stable hybrid between narroleaf and one of the broadleaf cottonwoods (Populus acuminata on the eastern slope in Colorado); may also include Populus trichocarpa to the north of this region. In cottonwood stands, willows include Pacific willow (SALUL) and several others; there are many other shrub, graminoid, and forb species that may be prominent in this type, not possible to list them all here. Willow riparian and herbaceous wetlands must be modeled separately -- they would have very different reference fire regimes.

Disturbance Description

In spruce stands, "hot crown fires occur over long intervals, perhaps 300-400 yr" (Johnston et al. 2001). In cottonwood stands, fire does not often occur, but hot fires carrying through adjacent tree stands can top-kill cottonwood stands (Schoonover Fire of 2002).

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

23

2.7

Scale	Descri	ntion
Scale	DESCII	puon

Sources of Scale Data Literature Local Data Expert Estimate

Long, narrow or narrow-oblong sites, varying from 0.1-2 mi wide.

Issues/Problems

Model Evolution and Comments

Peer review agreed with model parameters.

Succession Classes**							
Succession	classes are the equivalent of '	'Vegetation Fuel Classes" as d	efined in the	Interagency FRCC Guid	ebook (www.frcc.gov).		
Class A	15%	Dominant Species* and	Structure	e Data (for upper layer	lifeform)		
0.000.	10 /0	Canopy Position	<u>Otraotar</u>	Min	Max		
Early1 PostRep Description Willows, serviceberry, alder, snowberry, other shrubs, seedlings-saplings of cottonwood and/or spruce. Or pole-sized tree stand with shrubs or not.	ALINT SALIX AMEL	Cover	%	%			
		Height	no data	no data			
		Tree Size		no data			
	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Class B	5%	Fuel Model no data Dominant Species* and Canopy Position Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			lifeform)		
Mid1 Close	ed	POAN3		Min	Max		
Description	- -	SYMPH	Cover	0 %	%		
			Height	no data	no data		
Tall, closed-canopy cottonwood stand, with depleted shrubs: no tall		ROWO	Tree Size	c Class no data			
shrubs and shorter shrubs all unpalatable or resistant.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Class C 15 % Mid2 Cwood-Spr		Dominant Species* and Canopy Position POAN3	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Min Max Cover 0 % %				
<u>Description</u>		PIPU PIEN	Height	no data	no data		
	onwood and spruce	FICIN	Tree Size	Class no data	ı		
stand, with cottonwood >40% of tallest layer; or cottonwood 40-60% alone.		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				

Dominant Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class D 35% Canopy Position Min Max POAN3 Late1 Closed Cover 0% **SALIX Description** Heiaht no data no data Late-seral closed-canopy (>60%) Tree Size Class no data cottonwood stand, with several layers of shrubs. **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous Shrub □Tree Fuel Model no data Dominant Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class E 30% **Canopy Position** Min Мах Late2 Closed **PIPU** Cover 0% Description **SWSE** Height no data no data Late-seral closed-canopy (>60% **ALINT** Tree Size Class no data cover) spruce stand, sometimes with some tall or medium shrubs in **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. patches in the stand (dogwood, Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous alder, honeysuckle). Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data **Disturbances Disturbances Modeled** Fire Regime Group: **✓** Fire I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity ✓ Insects/Disease III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity Wind/Weather/Stress IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity **✓** Native Grazing Competition Fire Intervals (FI) Other: Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of Other fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and **Historical Fire Size (acres)** maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Avg: no data Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are Min: no data estimates and not precise. Max: no data Avg FI Min FI Percent of All Fires Max FI Probability Sources of Fire Regime Data Replacement 435 300 0.0023 99 550 **✓** Literature Mixed **✓** Local Data Surface **✓** Expert Estimate All Fires 435 0.00232 References

De Velice, Robert L.; John A. Ludwig; William H. Moir; and Frank Ronco, Jr. 1986. A classification of forest habitat types of northern New Mexico and southern Colorado. General Technical Report RM-131, 59 pp. Fort Collins, CO: USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station.

Hansen, Paul L.; Steve W. Chadde; and Robert D. Pfister. 1988. Riparian dominance types of Montana.

Miscellaneous Publication No. 49, 411 pp. Missoula, MT: University of Montana, Montana Forest and Conservation Experiment Station.

Johnston, Barry C.; Laurie Huckaby; Terry J. Hughes; and Joseph Pecor. 2001. Ecological types of the Upper Gunnison Basin: Vegetation-Soil-Landform-Geology-Climate-Water land classes for natural resource management. Technical Report R2-RR-2001-01, 858 pp. Lakewood, CO: USDA Forest Serv ice, Rocky Mountain Region.

Kovalchik, Bernard L. 1987. Riparian zone associations: Deschutes, Ochoco, Fremont, and Winema National Forests. Region 6 Ecology Technical Paper [No.] 279-97, 171 pp. Portland, OR: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region.

Young, Michael K. 1984. Movement and characteristics of stream-borne coarse woody debris in adjacent burned and undisturbed watersheds in Wyoming. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 24:1933-1939.