Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) Ponderosa Pine Douglas-Fir - Southern Rockies **R3PPDF** General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Merrill Kaufmann William L. Baker bakerwl@uwyoming.edu mkaufmann@fs.fed.us Rosemary Sherriff sherriff@colorado.edu Laurie Huckaby lhuckaby@fs.fed.us Bill Baker bakerwl@uwyoming.edu **General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **Vegetation Type ✓** Literature Forested Pacific Northwest California ✓ Local Data Great Basin South Central **✓** Expert Estimate **Dominant Species*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians **PIPO LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains **✓** Southwest **PSME** 14 24 28 N-Cent.Rockies 15 25

Geographic Range

Dominant forest type along the eastern slope of the continental divide but is scarce on the western side of the divide. The montane zone borders the Plains grasslands to the east, and in the foothills of the eastern slope includes shrublands and meadows.

27

23

Biophysical Site Description

The montane zone (5500ft - 9500 ft). Lower montane below 7000 ft and upper montane above 7000 ft. Northern Front Range -Ponderosa pine tends to be associated with xeric, south-facing slopes, and Douglas-fir tends to be associated with mesic, north-facing slopes. South of I-70 the southern Front Range toward Pikes Peak, ponderosa pine-Douglas-fir forests exist on all site conditions (i.e., aspects) above 6500 ft elevation. Pure ponderosa pine exists below 6500 ft. Below 6500ft in the southern Front Range is similar to the lower montane of the northern Front Range. Differences exist in the upper montane stands between the northern and southern Front Range.

Vegetation Description

The lower montane zone dominated by ponderosa pine (historically < 30% canopy cover below 6500 m), more dense stands of Douglas-fir on north-facing slopes. The upper montane zone the ponderosa pine cover type occurs both as relatively pure stands, and with significant components of Douglas fir. In the northern FR, typically striking contrast in stand density and species composition on south- as opposed to north-facing slopes. Douglas-fir prominent on north-facing slopes. Structural stages will greatly vary depending on past disturbance history (i.e., 50% cover of Class B would not be outside of the historical range of variability following widespread high-severity fire which has occurred in the past over the last few hundred years prior to the 20th century). In the southern FR, historically most Douglas-fir was confined to north-facing slopes with occasional larger Douglas-fir on other aspects.

Disturbance Description

Mixed-severity fire regime - typically on average fire frequency range from 40 to 100 years (5-100 ha;

Kaufmann et al. 2000, Veblen et al. 2000, Ehle and Baker 2003, Sherriff 2004). These fires range from low severity to high severity fires, and the forest structure was shaped by the pattern of fire at a landscape scale. Drought and other weather events (e.g., blowdown); insects such as mountain pine beetle, Douglas-fir beetle, and western spruce budworm (Negron 1998, 2004; Swetnam and Lynch 1993); and pathogens such as dwarf mistletoe (Hawksworth) also play important roles in this type.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Replacement fire rotation uncertain, and this affects the amount of forest in each class. Cheesman Lake -fire rotation (all fires 75 years) and stand-replacement (460 years) estimation.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data Literature Local Data Expert Estimate

Northern range -fire history sites range from 1 to 200 ha, average of 100 ha areas for fire regime information over tens of thousands of acres. Southern range -- patch sizes from less than 1 ha to a landscape scale of 35km2 plus.

Issues/Problems

Replacement fire rotation uncertain, and this affects the amount of forest in each class.

Model Evolution and Comments

Additional modelers included Jose Negron (jnegron@fs.fed.us) and Brian Kent (bkent@fs.fed.us).

Peer reviews of this type were generally favorable and no changes were made.

	Succession C	lasses**				
Succession classes are the equivalent of	"Vegetation Fuel Classes" as d Dominant Species* and	efined in the I	nteragency FRCC Guid	ebook (www.frcc.gov).		
Class A 10%	Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Early1 Open	CERCO PIPO PSME BOGR	-	Min	Max		
Description		Cover	0 %	10 %		
Openings with up to 10% by		Height no data		no data		
overstory dominated by ponderosa		Tree Size Class no data				
pine and sometimes Douglas-fir. Some openings may persist.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class B 10 %	Fuel Model no data Dominant Species* and	Structure	Data (for upper layer	lifeform)		
10 /0	Canopy Position PIPO		Min	Max		
Mid1 Closed	PSME CERCO	Cover %		%		
<u>Description</u>		Height	no data	no data		
> 50% canopy cover in the northern Front Range (above c.		Tree Size (
6500ft) and >30% canopy cover in the southern Front Range. In the northern FR, 50% cover of Class B would not be outside of the historical range of variability.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				

Class C	Class C 25 % Dominant Species* and Canopy Position Structure Data (for upper layer lifef							
		PIPO	Min			Max		
Mid1 Open <u>Description</u>		PSME	Cover	%		%		
			Height no data		ata	no data		
	by cover in the	CERCO	Tree Size Class no data					
northern Front Range (above c. 6500ft) and < 30% canopy cover in the southern Front Range.		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Class D	40%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
Late1 Open		PIPO		M		Max		
Description		PSME	Cover		%	%		
< 50% canopy cover in the		CERCO	Height	no d		no data		
	nt Range (above c.		Tree Size	e Class no	data			
6500ft) and < 30% canopy cover in the southern Front Range.		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
		Fuel Model no data Dominant Species* and						
Class E	15%	Canopy Position	Structure		pper layer life			
Late1 Closed	l	PIPO	Cover	M	in %	Max		
Description		PSME	Height	no d		% no data		
> 50% canop	by cover in the	CERCO	Tree Size		data	no data		
	nt Range (above c.		1166 3126	Class	uata			
6500ft) and >30% canopy cover in the southern Front Range.		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
		Fuel Model no data						
		Disturban	ces					

Disturbances Modeled Fire Regime Group: I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity **✓** Fire II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity ✓ Insects/Disease III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity Wind/Weather/Stress IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity Native Grazing **✓** Competition Other: Fire Intervals (FI) Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of Other fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and Historical Fire Size (acres) maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Avg: no data Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are Min: no data estimates and not precise. Max: no data Min FI Avg FI Max FI Probability Percent of All Fires Sources of Fire Regime Data Replacement 460 0.00217 15 **✓** Literature Mixed 43 160 0.00625 ✓ Local Data Surface 160 0.00625 43 Expert Estimate All Fires 68 0.01467

References

Brown, P. M., M. R. Kauffman, and W. D. Sheppard. 1999. Long-term, landscape patterns of past fire events in a montane ponderosa pine

forest of central Colorado. Landscape Ecology 14:513-532.

Brown, P. M., and W. D. Shepperd. 2001. Fire history and fire climatology along a 5 degree gradient in latitude in Colorado and Wyoming, USA. Palaeobotanist 50:133-140.

Ehle, D. S., and W. L. Baker. 2003. Disturbance and stand dynamics in ponderosa pine forests in Rocky Mountain National Park, USA. Ecological Monographs 73:543-566.

Kaufmann, M. R., L. S. Huckaby, and P. Gleason. 2000. Ponderosa pine in the Colorado Front Range: Long historical fire and tree recruitment intervals and a case for landscape heterogeneity. Pages 153-160 in Proceedings, Joint Fire Science Conference and Workshop, Boise, ID June 1999.

Kaufmann, M. R., P. J. Fornwalt, L. S. Huckaby, and J. M. Stoker. 2001. Cheesman Lake--A historical ponderosa pine landscape guiding restoration in the South Platte watershed of the Colorado Front Range. Pages 9-18 In: R. K. Vance, C. B. Edminster, W. W. Covington and J. A. Blake, editors. Ponderosa pine ecosystems restoration and conservation: Steps toward stewardship, conference proceedings [Flagstaff, AZ--April 25-27, 2000]. USDA Forest Service Proceedings RMRS-P-22, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fort Collins, Colorado.

Mast, J. N., T. T. Veblen, and Y. B. Linhart. 1998. Disturbance and climatic influences on age structure of ponderosa pine at the

pine/grassland ecotone, Colorado Front Range. Journal of Biogeography 25:743-755.

Peet, R. K. 1981. Forest vegetation of the Colorado Front Range: Composition and dynamics. Vegetatio 45:3-75.

Sherriff, R. L. 2004. The historic range of variability of ponderosa pine in the northern Colorado Front Range: Past fire types and fire

effects. Ph.D. Dissertation. University of Colorado, Boulder.

Veblen, T. T., and D. C. Lorenz. 1986. Anthropogenic disturbance and recovery patterns in montane forests, Colorado Front Range. Physical Geography 7:1-24.

Veblen, T. T., T. Kitzberger, and J. Donnegan. 2000. Climatic and human influences on fire regimes in ponderosa pine forests in the Colorado Front Range. Ecological Applications 10:1178-1195.