Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)								
R3PGmst	Plains Mesa Grassland with Shrubs or Trees							
General Information								
Contributors (additio	nal contributors may be listed under "Mc	del Evolution and Comments	")					
Modelers		<u>Reviewers</u>	<u>leviewers</u>					
Wendel Hann	Wendel Hann whann@fs.fed.us		bakerwl@uwyo.edu					
<u>Vegetation Type</u> Grassland	General Model Sources ✓ Literature □ Local Data	Rapid Assessme California Great Basin	ntModel Zones					
Dominant Species*	✓ Expert Estimate	Great Lakes	Southeast					
BOGR BOCU ACHY STIPA	LANDFIRE Mapping Zone 14 24 28 15 25 23 27	■ Northeast ■ Northern Plains ■ N-Cent.Rockie	S. Appalachians Southwest S					

Geographic Range

Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico, and Utah. This PNV has 2 subtypes; 1 strongly influenced by the Sonoran and Chihuahuan climates that is generally south of 33 degrees latitude and west of 104 degrees longitude; 2 is strongly influenced by the Great Basin and Great Plains climates and is generally north of 33 degrees latitude and west of 104 degrees longitude. Southerly type is characterized by gramma grasses, yuccas and nolina. Northerly type is characterized by Great Basin grasses like Indian Ricegrass and Stipas in addition to the gramma grasses.

Biophysical Site Description

Usually has mollic grassland soils with relatively sand, gravel, or rock content that allows tree and shrub seedling establishment during the monsoon growing season if not killed by fire. The moisture regime is adequate to allow tree and shrub seedling establishment in the absence of fire, but natural fire except in fire protected microsites killed the tree and shrub seedlings. Elevations range from 1250 to 2200 meters on mesas and benches and in valleys. Elevations range from 1050 to 2000 meters on northerly aspects. Elevations range from 1450 to 2400 meters on southerly aspects. Precipiation ranging from 10 inches to 20 inches, with 50-60% occurring from May through August. Annual growing degree days ranging from 3000 to 5000 growing degree days (least sure about value of this in the rule set). REGAP types = CES304.7867 (< 35% canopy may identify encroachment sites); CES305.797 (low height or open canopy); CES306.835 (<35% canopy); CES302.733; CES304.766 (l< 35% canopy); CES304.777; CES302.741 (< 35% canopy);CES304.784; CES302.741; CES306.822 (< 35% canopy); CES303.668 (< 35% canopy); CES303.671 (< 35% canopy); CES304.778 (< 35% canopy); CES304.782 (< 35%); CES304.785 (< 35%) canopy); CES304.788 (< 35% canopy); CES301.730 (< 35%). At the coarse scale this PNV was not mapped. It was included in the Desert Grassland (34), Desert Shrub (28), Southwest Shrub Steppe (27), Chaparral (26), Juniper-Pinyon (22) and Warm Sagebrush (70). A rule set based on these PNVs, current cover, precipitation, elevation, aspect, and growing days will be needed to spatially map this type.

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Vegetation Description

Strongly influenced by the flora, climate, and disturbance regimes of the Sonoran desert to the southwest, Great Basin to the northwest, and Great Plains to the east. Because of fire suppression and grazing of grass fuels precluding fire the current vegetation will usually be dominated by trees and shrubs (juniper, oaks, pine, pinyon, mesquite, sagebrush, greasewood, salt desert shrubs).

Disturbance Description

Naturally this system had frequent fire dominated by replacement fires associated with productive grass fuels and cycles of moisture and drought. Patchy fires (causing 25-75% top-kill) were less frequent and were modeled here as mixed severity, although there is some debate about how often this type of patchy fire might actually occur.

Native ungulate grazing plays a small role in replacement where buffalo herds concentrated, but generally maintained systems. Drought and moist cycles play a strong role interacting with both fire and native grazing.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

The Plains Mesa Grassland with Shrub-Tree (R3PGmst) usually in a mosaic below Ponderosa pine PNV, Oak-Juniper PNVs, or Mountain Shrub PNV, or these cooler/moister PNVs can occur on northerly aspects. Usually occurs above the Desert Grassland PNV and Desert Shrub PNV or on the relatively more moist aspects, and to the east of the true plains grasslands. R3PGm, R3PGmws, and R3PGmwt were not mapped at the coarse-scale. They were included in Desert Grassland (34), Desert Shrub (28), Southwest Shrub Steppe (27), Chaparral (26), Juniper-Pinyon (22) and Warm Sagebrush (70).

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data □Literature □Local Data ✓Expert Estimate

Landscape adequate in size to contain natural variation in vegetation and disturbance regime. Topographically complex areas can be relatively small (< 1000 acres). Uniform large mesas should be relatively large (> 10, 000 acres).

Issues/Problems

Type was not mapped for the coarse-scale or by Kuchler (1964), yet it is an important type identified by Brown 1982, Dick-Pedie 1993, and the NRCS ecological sites. It covers a substantial amount of land in the SW and is much more productive and diverse than the desert grasslands at lower elevation zones or plains grasslands to the east. It would be very valuable to do a very intensive literature search and review on this type as well as associated field recon to assess historic/current photos, local knowledge, soils, fire scars on old trees in protected sites, species adaptations, etc.

Model Evolution and Comments

Peer review suggested that that all plains grassland types be combined (R3PGm, R3PGmst, R3PGRs, R3PGRsws, R3PGRswt), mixed fire eliminated, and replacement fire interval set at 20 years. Because the workshop participants identified these separate types, they were not lumped together and fire regimes were left as-is, although descriptions were expanded to clarify use of mixed severity fire.

Quality control process found technical rule violations (using Relative Age) and eliminated them, with no change to results.

Succession Classes**

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Cla . 4 = 0/

pear

Class A 15 %	Dominant Species* and	Structure Da	lifeform)		
Early1 PostRep <u>Description</u> All sites, post-fire grass regrowth,	BOGR2 ASTER NOLIN EREM	Min Cover 15 % Height no data Tree Size Class no data		Max 55 % no data	
grass seedlings, and forbs. Blue gramma, aster, scurfpea, mallow, primrose	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
	Fuel Model no data				

Class B 25 %	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Mid1 Closed	BOCU			Max		
Description	BOGR2 NOLIN ACHY	Cover	35 %		55 %	
		Height	:	no data	no data	
More productive sites and moist		Tree Size				
sideoats gramma, blue gramma, Indian ricegrass and stipas to the north, threeawns, hairy gramma, black gramma, sand sage, yucca, snakeweed, prickly pear	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	 Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: 				
Class C 55 %	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
	BOGP2			Min	Max	
Midl Open	STIPA	Cover		15 %	35 %	
<u>Description</u>	OPUNT YUCCA	Height	n	o data	no data	
years. Mature development of		Tree Size Class no data				
sideoats gramma, blue gramma, hairy gramma, black gramma, sand sage, yucca, snakeweed, prickly	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub	Upper I Height	n dominant lifeform. ifeform are:			

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Tree

Fuel Model no data

Class D 4% Late1 Open Description Less productive ridges and rocky areas protected from fire with scattered shrubs and/or juniper, pinyon long needle pines and cal		Dominant Species* and Canopy Position JUNIP BOGR2 SHRUB PIED Upper Layer Lifeform	Min Max Cover 5 % 15 % Height no data no data Tree Size Class no data					
in fire protecte shrubs and/or t large and some multiple scars.	e demonstrate	Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data						
Class E	1%	Dominant Species* and	<u>Structur</u>	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Closed		JUNIP	Min		Min	Max		
Description		PROSO	Cover		15 %	65 %		
Productive are	s missed by fire	OUERC	Height		no data	no data		
with thick pate	thes of shrubs and/or	SHRUB	Tree Size	e Class	no data			
young sapling/ pinyon, long n in fire protecte	seedling juniper, eedle pines, and oaks d sites.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Dupper layer lifeform differs from dominant Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
		Disturban	ces					
Disturbances M ✓ Fire ✓ Insects/Dise ✓ Wind/Weat ✓ Native Graz	lodeled case her/Stress zing	Fire Regime Group: 2 I: 0-35 year frequency II: 0-35 year frequency III: 35-200 year freque IV: 35-200 year frequency V: 200+ year frequency	, low and r y, replacen ncy, low a ency, replacen y, replacen	nixed se nent sevo nd mixed cement sevo ment sevo	verity erity d severity severity verity			

Fire Intervals (FI)

All Fires

✓ Other: Wet Years	Fire Intervals	(FI)				
Other	Fire interval is e		in years fo	or each fire	severity class	and for all types of
Historical Fire Size (acres)	maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the					
Avg: no data	inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.					ion modeling. ass. All values are
Min: no data						
Max: no data						
Sources of Fire Pegime Date		Avg Fl	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires
Sources of File Regime Data	Replacement	20			0.05	76
✓ Literature	Mixed	65			0.01538	24
Local Data	Surface					

References

15

Brown, David E., editor. 1982. Biotic communities of the American Southwest–United States and Mexico. Desert Plants 4(1-4): 1-342.

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen.

✓ Expert Estimate

0.06539

^{*}Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Dick-Peddie, W. A., 1993. New Mexico vegetation, past, present, and future. University of New Mexico Press.

Kuchler, A. W. 1964. Manual to accompany the map of potential natural vegetation of the conterminous United States. American Geographical Society. Spec. Publ. No. 36. Lib. Congress Cat. Card Num. 64-15417. 156 p.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/.