# Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

#### Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) Madrean Oak Conifer Woodland R3OCWO General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Tyson Swetnam tswetnam@u.arizona.edu Reese Lolley rlolley@fs.fed.us **Vegetation Type General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **✓** Literature Woodland California Pacific Northwest Local Data Great Basin South Central **✓** Expert Estimate **Dominant Species\*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians JUDE2 LANDFIRE Mapping Zones Northern Plains **✓** Southwest **OUEM** 14 24 N-Cent.Rockies

## **Geographic Range**

**PILE** 

MUM

Southern Arizona, southern New Mexico, and western Texas.

15

23

25

27

## **Biophysical Site Description**

The oak and conifer woodlands of the interior Southwest is described by Brown (1994) as having open evergreen oaks, alligator bark junipers, and Mexican pines that range from 15 to 50 feet (6-15 meters) in height with an understory dominated by grasses.

Kuchler (1964) includes this type within type number 31, the oak-juniper woodland. For the coarse-scale PNVGs this type was included in type number 26, Chaparral. This PNV type is included in Bailey's (1995) and McNab and Avers (1994) Ecoregions within the Chihuahuan Semi-Desert province, Basin and range section (321A), and the Arizona-New Mexico Semi-desert Mountains province (M313) within the White Mountain-San Francisco Peaks Section (M313A) and Sacramento-Monzano Mountain Section (M313B).

#### **Vegetation Description**

The natural vegetation structure was dominated by open late seral woodland on slopes and ridges transitioning to somewhat closed woodland in draws and on rocky slopes, with interspersed patches of early and mid seral structures resulting from stand replacement fire. Shrubs and forbs were low density scattered throughout. Species dominating the natural regime include alligator juniper (Juniperus deppeana), emory oak (Quercus emoryi), mountain muhly (Mulenbergia montana), sideoats gramma (Bouteloua curtipendula), and blue gramma (Bouteloua gracilis). Climax indicator species include alligator juniper (Juniperus deppeana) and one-seed juniper (Juniperus osteosperma) at lower elevations; Chihuahuan Pine (Pinus lieophylla), Apache Pine (Pinus engelmannii), and Pinyon pine (Pinus spp.) at higher elevations. Madrean oaks (Quercus spp.), Arizona Madrone (Arbutus arizonica), and various shrubs may be codominant.

## **Disturbance Description**

Regime I (frequent surface- and mixed severity fires) with fire intervals generally ranging from 5-20 years

long (approx. 10-yr MFI; Brown and Smith 2000, USDA 2002). Large-diameter alligator junipers and evergreen oaks often survive 1 to 3 low intensity fires resulting in "cat face" scars with char at the base of the tree, whereas Mexican pines can survive multiple low intensity fires. Fire severity can be mixed in both space and time, for example, high-severity fires can occur on relatively productive sites, or during extreme fire weather and prolonged droughts. Alligator juniper and evergreen oaks that are top killed by fire resprout, indicating adaptation to frequent fire, but not to very frequent fire (less than 6 years), which would eliminate these species. Severity of fire is likely mixed in both space and time. In years when fire burned in very dry conditions, with considerable grassy fuel and wind, they would likely burn as surface fires on gentle terrain, but open up patches on steeper terrain and in wide draws where tree canopies tend to be more dense. In other years when fire burned in more moist conditions, without wind, or as backing fires, they likely would burn much of the area as surface fires. Greater than 120 day burning days with primary seasons of May-July and September-October. We estimate a range from 6 to 20 years with a mean fire interval of 10 years (Swetnam and Baisan 1996).

Drought was likely the most common natural disturbance in addition to fire. Fire years generally coincide with moist periods that produced considerable grassy fuel, followed by a dry period. Grazing by large ungulate herds may have caused some disturbance and interacted with fire and drought.

### Adjacency or Identification Concerns

This PNV can be confused with the Great Basin Conifer Woodland type of Brown (1994), the juniper-pinyon or juniper steppe types of the coarse-scale type PNVGs (Schmidt et al. 2002) and Kuchler (1964) PNV. The presence of old, often large diameter, mushroom shaped alligator juniper, evergreen oaks, and long needle pines that are older than post-Euro-American settlement, with scattered old, large diameter logs are good indicators of this type. Site indicator species include alligator juniper, oaks, mountain muhly, blue gramma, and sideoats gramma.

## **Scale Description**

Sources of Scale Data	<b>✓</b> Literature	Local Data	<b>✓</b> Expert Estimate

Typical landscapes in this PNV form a zone between the warmer and dryer Plains Mesa Grassland at lower elevations and the moister Woodland-Grassland Complex on slopes and mesas at higher elevations. Contiguous landscapes of this PNV can range from as small as a quarter section (160 acres) to as large as a township (36 sections, 23,000 acres).

### Issues/Problems

### **Model Evolution and Comments**

This model is based on the original FRCC model OCWI was renamed R3OCWO in the Albuquerque workshop.

This model did not receive any peer review.

#### Succession Classes\*\* Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov). Dominant Species\* and Class A Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) **Canopy Position** Min Max Early1 PostRep MUMO 10% Cover 20% BOGR2 **Description** Height no data no data **BOCU** Post-fire grass and fire-adapted Tree Size Class no data forbs: herbaceous life form with 10-Upper Layer Lifeform 30 % canopy and 20% average; Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. ☐ Herbaceous mountain muly, blue gramma, Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Shrub sideoats gramma, asters, Tree penstemons, sprouting shrubs

### Fuel Model no data

#### **Dominant Species\* and** Class B 10% Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) **Canopy Position** Min Max Mid1 Closed JUDE2 Cover 15% 70% **QUEM Description** Height no data no data **MUMO** Mid-seral woodland, typically in Tree Size Class no data **PILE** more productive draws and northerly aspects: woodland life **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. form with 15-70% canopy, average ⊢Herbaceous Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: of 55%; alligator juniper, oaks, Shrub mahogany, mountain muly, blue Tree gramma Fuel Model no data **Dominant Species\* and** Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class C 10% **Canopy Position** Min Max **MUMO** Mid1 Open Cover 5% 15% JUDE2 **Description** Height no data no data **QUEM** Mid-seral grasslands on southerly Tree Size Class no data **PILE** slopes & ridges: grass dominated herbaceous life form with species Upper Layer Lifeform Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. such as mountain muhly, blue Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: ∐Herbaceous gramma, and sideoats gramma; 25-Shrub 65% herbaceous cover; 5-15% $\Box$ Tree canopy of scattered Fuel Model no data trees and shrubs, such as alligator juniper, oaks; Dominant Species\* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class D 70% **Canopy Position** Min Max **MUMO** Late 1 Open Cover 5 % 35 % JUDE2 **Description** Height no data no data **OUEM** Late-seral open woodland on Tree Size Class no data PILE slopes & ridges: woodland life form with 5-35% canopy, 25% **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. average; alligator juniper, oaks, Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous mountain muly, blue gramma, $\Box$ Shrub sideoats gramma; $\Box$ Tree Fuel Model no data

#### Dominant Species\* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class E 1% **Canopy Position** Min Мах Late1 Closed JUDE2 Cover 35 % 70% **Description OUEM** Height no data no data Late-seral closed woodland PILE Tree Size Class no data typically in draws or on steep rocky **MUMO** or thin soil slopes & ridges: Upper Layer Lifeform Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. woodland life form with 35-70% Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous canopy, average of 55%; alligator Shrub juniper, oaks, mahogany, scattered $\Box$ Tree shrubs and grasses Fuel Model no data Disturbances **Disturbances Modeled** Fire Regime Group: I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity **✓** Fire II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity ✓ Insects/Disease III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity **✓** Wind/Weather/Stress IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity ✓ Native Grazing Competition Other: Fire Intervals (FI) Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of Other fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and **Historical Fire Size (acres)** maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Avg: no data Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are Min: no data estimates and not precise. Max: no data Percent of All Fires Avg FI Min FI Max FI Probability Sources of Fire Regime Data Replacement 65 25 45 0.01538 16 **✓** Literature Mixed 140 5 25 0.00714 8 Local Data Surface 14 20 0.07143 76 1 **✓** Expert Estimate All Fires 11 0.09396 References Bailey, Robert G. 1995. Descriptions of the ecoregions of the United States. 2nd ed. Rev. and expanded (1st ed. 1980). Misc. Publ. No. 1391 (rev.), Washington DC: USDA Forest Service. 108 p. with separate map at 1:7.500.000. Brown, David E. 1994. Biotic communities southwestern United States and northwestern Mexico. University

of Utah Press, Salt Lake City, UT. 342 p.

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Kuchler, A. W. 1964. Manual to accompany the map of potential natural vegetation of the conterminous United States. American Geographical Society. Spec. Publ. No. 36. Lib. Congress Cat. Card Num. 64-15417.

Dick-Peddie, William A. 1993. New Mexico vegetation past present and future. University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque, NM. 244 p.

McNab, W. Henry; Avers, Peter E. 1994. Ecological subregions of the United States: section descriptions. USDA Forest Service, Ecosystem Management, Washington DC. WO-WSA-5. 250 p plus appendices and maps.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

Swetnam, T. W. and C. H. Baisan 1996. Fire histories of montane forests in the Madrean Borderlands. In P. F. Ffolliott et al. tech. coords., Effects of fire on Madrean Province Ecosystems, A symposium proceedings, USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RM-GTR-289:15-36.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/.