Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)

Montane and Subalpine Grasslands with Shrubs or Trees R3MGRAws

General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Wayne Robbie William L. Baker wrobbie@fs.fed.us bakerwl@uwyo.edu **General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **Vegetation Type ✓** Literature Grassland California Pacific Northwest ✓ Local Data Great Basin South Central Expert Estimate **Dominant Species*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians FETH **LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains **✓** Southwest FEAR2 14 24 28 N-Cent.Rockies PEFL1 15 25 CHNA

Geographic Range

Northern Arizona, Southern and Northern New Mexico, Southern Colorado

2.7

23

Biophysical Site Description

Elevated plains, terraces along valleys, toeslopes of hills and mountain side slopes ranging from nearly level to very steep topography. Aspect varies, the larger patches are on southern exposures and on summit plains. Elevation ranges from 7500 to 11,800 feet. Moderately deep to deep Typic to Pachic Cryoborolls (FETH) and Argiborolls/Haploborolls (FEAR2). Pachic Udic Argiborolls.

Vegetation Description

Grassland types include Thurber fescue (FETH), Arizona fescue (FEAR2), sheep fescue (FEOV), mountain muhly (MUMO), timber/Parry's oatgrass (DAIN/DAPA, Kentucky bluegrass (POPR), nodding brome (BRAN); tufted hairgrass (DECE), Parry's oatgrass (DAPA2), mountain muhly (MUMO), Idaho fescue (FEID), Agropyron spicatum (AGSP), and Deschampsia cespitosa (DECE). Various sedges (CAREX spp.) will be present in moist (concave) sites.

Shrubs include shrubby cinquefoil (PEFL15), at higher elevations and rubber rabbitbrush (CHNA2) at the lower elevations in the montane zone.

Trees may include ponderosa pine, white fir, Douglas-fir, Engelmann spruce, blue spruce, and subalpine fir.

Disturbance Description

Historical fire frequencies for grassland types are difficult to estimate and some disagreement about the frequency of fire in mountain grasslands exists. Experts that contributed to this model suggested MFIs ranging from 10-300 years, but agree that there is little scientific basis to estimate fire frequencies.

For this model, stand replacement fires were modeled with approximately 70 yr MFI based upon historic

photographic analysis, personal communication (Barry Johnston-R2) and inference from fire regimes of adjacent forest types (PIPO 3-12yr, ABCO/PSMEG 14-46yr, PIEN/ABLAA 60-180+yr). Surface fires (only occurring in the class with >15% woody species) occurs with an average MFI or 30 years. Anthropogenic (pre-European, Spanish colonial) fire use ignitions may have been 5-15 years. However, contributors note that estimating return intervals from rephotography or adjacent forests are both incomplete and imperfect methods.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data Literature Local Data Expert Estimate

Issues/Problems

Model Evolution and Comments

Peer review disagreed strongly with the current model construct and suggested combining all mountain grassland models (R3MGRA and R3MGRAws) and changing the overall MFI to 100-300 years (for montane and subalpine, respectively) with only replacement fire. The model values were unchanged, but descriptions were modified to incorporate these views.

Quality control found one rule violation (use of disturbance to accelerate age) and when this was eliminated, the frequency of surface fire was reduced from 10 years to 30 years, but had no effect on the resulting percent in each class.

A Mountain Grassland with tree PNVG (R3MGRAwt) created at the Southwest Rapid Assessment workshop was combined with this type.

Succession	n classes are the equivalent of "	Succession Cl			encv FRCC Guide	book (www.frcc.gov).		
Class A	10%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position						
Early1 PostRep <u>Description</u> Pioneer species of pine dropseed (BLTR) and thurber fescue (FETH) and Arizona fescue (FEAR2) associations. Some shrubs (shrubby cinquefoil (PEFL15) or rubber rabbitbrush (CHNA2)) present. Low litter cover and high bare soil (>25%)		FETH FEAR2 CHNA2	Cover	Min 0 % no data Class no data		Max 34 % no data		
			Height Tree Size					
			Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Class B	60 %	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	nd Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
Mid1 Closed Description Closed canopy of thurber and Arizona fescue (FETH and FEAR2) with only minor woody component (<15%). Potentilla fruticosa (POFR4) may be well represented. Bare soil less than		FETH FEAR2 PEFL15 Upper Layer Lifeform	Min			Max		
			Cover		35 %	54 %		
			Height no data no data Tree Size Class no data Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform.					
		Herbaceous	Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
fruticosa (I	•	□Shrub □Tree						

^{*}Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Class C	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position Structure Data (for upper layer life)								
NC 11 0		CHNA2	Min			Max			
Mid1 Open		PEFL15	Cover	Cover 55 %		100 %			
<u>Description</u>		PIEN	Height		no data	no data			
Closed canopy of fescue (FETH and FEAR2) with >15% cover of woody species (see species list under vegetation description; many tree species may be present). Bare soil less than 10%. Surface fires can occur in this class, usually eliminating shrubs or tree seedlings and causing a transition to class B.		PIPO	Tree Size Class no data						
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:						
Class D	0%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)						
Late1 Open		<u></u>			Min	Max			
Description			Cover		65 %	95 %			
Description			Height		no data	no data			
			Tree Size	e Class	no data				
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:						
Class E	0%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)						
			<u>Otraotar</u>	c Data (Min	Max			
Late1 Closed Description			Cover		0 %	%			
			Height		no data	no data			
			Tree Size	e Class	no data				
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:						
		Disturban	ces						

Disturbances Modeled Fire Regime Group: I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity **✓** Fire II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity ☐ Insects/Disease III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity Wind/Weather/Stress IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity Native Grazing Competition Other: Fire Intervals (FI) Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of Other fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and Historical Fire Size (acres) maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Avg: no data Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are Min: no data estimates and not precise. Max: no data Avg FI Min FI Max FI Probability Percent of All Fires Sources of Fire Regime Data Replacement 70 10 100 0.01429 30 **✓** Literature Mixed ✓ Local Data Surface 30 0.03333 70 Expert Estimate All Fires 21 0.04763

References

Allen, Craig D., 1984. Montane grasssland in the landscape of the Jemez Mountains, New Mexico, Master's Thesis, Univ. Wisconsin, Madison, WI.

Brewer David, G., Rodney K. Jorgensen, Lewis P. Munk, Wayne A. Robbie and Janet L. Travis. 1991. Terrestrial Ecosystem Survey of the Kaibab National Forest. USDA Forest Service, Southwestern Region. 319 pps.

Danker, Robert c., Wayne Robbie and Cliff Landers. 1979. Terrestrial Ecosystem Report for Smokey Bear Ranger District. USDA Forest Service, Southwestern Region. 245pps. w/maps.

DickPeddie, William A. 1993. New Mexico Vegetation; Past, Present and Future. University of New Mexico Press. Albuquerque, NM.

Miller, Gregory, Jeff Redders, Ron Stein, Malcolm Edwards, John Phillips, Valerie Andrews, Steve Sebring and Corrine Vaandrager. 1993. Terrestrial Ecosystem Survey of the Santa Fe National Forest. USDA Forest Service Southwestern Region. 563 pps. Maps.

Edwards, Malcom, Greg Miller, Jeff Redders, Ron Stein and Kent Dunstan. 1987. Terrestrial Ecosystem Survey of the Carson National Forest. USDA Forest Service Southwestern Region. 552 pps.

Miller, Geg, Norm Ambos, Pat Boness, Deanna Ryher, George Robertson, Ken Scalzone, Rory Steinke and Tom Subirge. 1995. Terrestrial Ecosystem Survey of the Coconino National Forest. USDA Forest Service, Southwestern Region. 405pp.

Moir, William H., 1967. The subalpine tall grass, Festuca thurberi, community of Sierra Blanca, New Mexico. The Southwest Naturalist. 12:321-328.

Moody, Ron, Les Buchanan, Ron Melcher and Hunter Wistrand. 1992. Fire and Forest Health. USDA Forest Service. Agency report on file Southwestern Regional Office, Albuquerque, NM.

Muldavin, Esteban and Phil Tonne. 2003. A Vegetation Survey and Preliminary Ecological Assessment of the

Valles Caldera National Preserve, New Mexico. Final Report. New Mexico Natural Heritage Program. Albuquerque, NM.

Swetnam, Thomas W. 1990. Fire History and Climate in the Southwestern United States. USDA Forest Service. Rocky Mtn. Forest and Range Exp. Sta. GTR-RM-191.

Touchan, Ramzi, Craig D. Allen and Thomas W. Swetnam. 1996. Fire History and Climatic Patterns in the Ponderosa Pine and Mixed-Conifer Forests of the Jemez Mountains, Northern New Mexico. IN: Proceedings of the Second La Mesa Fire Symposium, Fire Effects in Southwestern Forests. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. RM-GTR-286.