Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)

R3MCONcm Southwest Mixed Conifer--Cool, Moist with Aspen

23

2.7

General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Ros Wu William L. Baker rwu@fs.fed.us bakerwl@uwyo.edu **General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **Vegetation Type ✓** Literature Forested California Pacific Northwest ✓ Local Data Great Basin South Central **✓** Expert Estimate **Dominant Species*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians **ABCO LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains **✓** Southwest POTR 14 24 28 N-Cent.Rockies **PSME** 15 25

Geographic Range

PIPO

Generally found in AZ, NM, and southwest CO. This is a transition forest that occurs between the Warm/Dry mixed conifer and subalpine forest.

Biophysical Site Description

This PNVG's distribution is strongly driven by moisture gradients such as aspect and elevation. It is found on all aspects and slopes and a wide elevational band bounded by warm/dry mixed conifer at the low end and the subalpine forest on the upper end. In southwest CO cool/moist mixed conifer can found from 7000' to 10500'. Soils are generally of sandstone and shale. The same moisture gradients will influence the cool/moist mixed conifer's distribution elsewhere and it can be found much lower and much higher elevations than those described here.

Vegetation Description

The mixed conifer is a transitional forest and therefore best thought of as a continuum that follows a moisture gradient driven by elevation and aspect. The cool moist mixed conifer will have much less ponderosa pine than the warm/dry. However ponderosa pine is found in small groups or isolated places usually in open areas, edges of meadows, ridges. It and Douglas-fir are often canopy dominants with a heavy white fir understory. The major tree species found in the cool/moist are white fir, Douglas-fir, ponderosa pine, blue spruce, and aspen. Other tree species encountered are Rocky Mountain juniper and southwestern white pine. Near riparian areas, wetlands and drainages blue spruce can be quite common. These stands are predominantly composed of white fir, aspen and Douglas-fir. Major understory species at the lower end include Gambel oak, Arctostaphylos uva-ursi, Chimaphila umbellatum, Delphinium nelsoni, Mertensia spp, Carex gyeri, etc. Upper end species vary by region.

Disturbance Description

Fire is the primary disturbance although insects can also play a major role. Fire frequencies are very variable and the cool/moist supports a mixed fire regime. Mixed severity fires occurred every 6 - 60 years.

Lethal fires are usually at longer intervals, 100+ years.

Insect and disease can behave as either a thinning or large-scale mortality agent, but was not modeled.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

This PNVG may be similar to the PNVG R3MCONcm for the Southwest model zone. The Southwest model includes some mixed severity fire. The Great Basin model has a class (E) that is pure conifer without aspen.

Scale Description

|--|

Issues/Problems

Could not model the aspen and mixed conifer succession in one model because of box limitations.

Model Evolution and Comments

Peer review resulted in overall reduction by half of mixed and surface fire frequencies. The resulting changes were: the percent in classes A/B/C/D/E changed from 10/25/35/20/10 to 10/40/25/10/10. The original fire regimes were modeled at 200/75/66 year frequencies for replacement/mixed/surface severities, respectively, with an overall MFI of 30 years.

Succession Classes** Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov). **Dominant Species* and** Class A Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) 10% **Canopy Position** Min Max **ABCO** Early1 All Struct Cover 15 % 35 % POTR5 **Description** Height no data no data **PSME** Post-lethal fire vegetation will Tree Size Class no data **SYRO** depend on what was on site before Upper Layer Lifeform it burned. Two main pathways are Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. ⊢Herbaceous modeled here. One with aspen and Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Shrub one without. If there is no aspen, Tree then the site will start as grass/forb/shrub. There can be a Fuel Model no data few trees that regenerate immediately but their density will be low. Fire will maintain or prolong this stage. If aspen was on site before the fire, it will return as an aspen stand. Conifers such as white fir can regenerate with the aspen but again they will be few. Any surviving conifers will be seed source. If just aspen canopy cover is 50 - 100%.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
	Cover		<i>Max</i> 80 %			
			no data			
		no data				
Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Dominant Species* and	and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
		Min	Max			
	Cover	35 %	60 %			
	Height	no data	no data			
~	Tree Size Cla	no data				
Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
		Min	Max			
PSME	Cover	45 %	60 %			
POTR5	Height	no data	no data			
SYRO	Tree Size Cla	no data				
Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Da		lifeform)			
		Min	Max			
			80 %			
			no data			
	Tree Size Class no data Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model, no data						
	Canopy Position ABCO PSME AMUT SYRO Upper Layer Lifeform	ABCO PSME AMUT SYRO Upper Layer Lifeform □ Herbaceous □ Shrub □ Tree Fuel Model no data Dominant Species* and Canopy Position □ Herbaceous □ Shrub □ Tree Fuel Model no data Dominant Species* and Canopy Position □ Herbaceous □ Shrub □ Tree Fuel Model no data Dominant Species* and Canopy Position □ Herbaceous □ Shrub □ Tree Fuel Model no data Dominant Species* and Canopy Position □ Herbaceous □ Shrub □ Tree Fuel Model no data Dominant Species* and Canopy Position □ Herbaceous □ Shrub □ Tree Fuel Model no data Dominant Species* and Canopy Position □ Herbaceous □ Shrub □ Tree Fuel Model no data Dominant Species* and Canopy Position □ Herbaceous □ Shrub □ Tree Fuel Model no data Dominant Species* and Canopy Position □ Herbaceous □ Shrub □ Tree Fuel Model no data Dominant Species* and Canopy Position □ Upper Layer Lifeform □ Height and Canopy Position □ Upper Layer Lifeform □ Herbaceous □ Shrub □ Tree Size Cla Upper Layer Lifeform □ Height and Canopy Position □ Upper Layer Lifeform □ Height and Canopy Position □ Upper Layer Lifeform □ Height and Canopy Position □ Upper Layer Lifeform □ Height and Canopy Position □ Upper Layer Lifeform □ Herbaceous □ Shrub □ Tree Size Cla Upper Layer Lifeform □ Height and Canopy Position □ Upper Layer Lifeform □ Height and Canopy Position □ Upper Layer Lifeform □ Height and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer la			

replaced by white fir and Douglasfir. Dominance of white fir can transition to Douglas-fir eventually.

Disturbances										
<u>Disturbances Modeled</u>	Fire Regime Gr	oup: 3								
✓ Fire		I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity								
☐ Insects/Disease		II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity								
☐ Wind/Weather/Stress		III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity								
☐ Native Grazing		V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity								
Competition										
Other:	Fire Intervals (Fire Intervals (FI)								
Other		Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of								
Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: no data Min: no data Max: no data	fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.									
		Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires				
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	200	80	200	0.005	29				
✓ Literature	Mixed	165	35	125	0.00606	35				
✓ Local Data	Surface	160	10	100	0.00625	36				
✓ Expert Estimate	All Fires	58			0.01731					
References										

Bradley, Anne F., Nonan Noste, and William C. Fischer. 1992. Fire and Ecology of Forests oand Woodlands in Utah. USDA Forest Service, GTR INT-287.

M.A. White, J.L. Vankat. 1993. Middle and high elevation coniferous forest communites of the North rim region of Grand Canyon National Park, Arizona, USA.

Romme, William, M. Lisa Floyd, David Hanna, and Jeff Redders. 1999. DRAFT Ch. 4 Mixed Conifer Forests in Landscape Condition Analysis for the South Central Highlands Section, Southwestern Colorado and Northwester New Mexico.

Wu, R. 1999. Fire History and Forest Structure in the Mixed Conifer Forests of Southwest Colorado. Master Thesis. Colorado State Univeristy.