Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) **R9LLSH** Longleaf Pine - Sandhills General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers Reviewers** Calvin Bailey cbailey@forestry.state.sc.us Jim Murrian imurrian@tnc.org Kevin Hiers khiers@tnc.org **Vegetation Type General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones ✓ Literature Woodland California Pacific Northwest ✓ Local Data Great Basin South Central **✓** Expert Estimate **Dominant Species*** Great Lakes **✓** Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians PIPA2 **OUHE LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest **OUGE OULA** 46 N-Cent.Rockies **QUMA** QUIN 55 **QUMA** 58

Geographic Range

This PNVG occurs from southeastern Virginia to east Texas, and south to central Florida.

Biophysical Site Description

Longleaf pine sandhills occur as dry woodlands/savannas on excessively drained or other xeric soils. Soils are generally deep coarse sands or coarse sands underlain by clay, occasionally with dense surficial clay or sandstone at the surface. It occurs on upland sites ranging from gently rolling, broad ridge tops to steeper side slopes, as well as locally in mesic swales and terraces.

Vegetation Description

The canopy is strongly dominated by longleaf pine (Pinus palustris). Xerophytic scrub oaks, usually turkey oak (Quercus laevis), sometimes mixed with blackjack oak (Quercus marilandica), laurel oak (Quercus hemisphaerica), sand live oak (Quercus geminata), bluejack oak (Quercus incana), or sand post oak (Quercus margaretta), are present as sparsely scattered midstory individuals or clumps and shrub-size firesprouts under the reference condition. The oaks become denser with fire exclusion. Other less xerophytic oaks are absent or extremely rare.

The ground cover is dominated by wiregrass (Aristida stricta) over most of the range, but by bluestems (Schizachyrium spp. or Andropogon spp.) in places where Aristida stricta is absent. The herb layer is moderately dense, with a variety of other xerophytic herbs present. Low shrubs are sparse in the reference condition, but can become dense with fire exclusion.

Canopy trees are patchy in distribution, with regeneration in canopy gaps of ½ acre or less in size, midsuccessional clumps in similar sized patches, and the oldest trees occurring as isolated individuals. The reference condition classes are aggregates of numerous patches well dispersed over the landscape. Canopy gaps are created by fire mortality, lightning, and wind throw at the scale of individual trees or several trees. Because of the irregular seed production of longleaf pine, canopy gaps may lack regeneration for several years.

Disturbance Description

Longleaf pine sandhills were classified as Fire Regime Group I with frequent surface fires, every 2-5 years, that generally burn across large expanses. Fires are usually low in intensity overall, but will occasionally kill young regeneration patches and rarely kill individual older trees.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

This PNVG is distinguished from other longleaf pine-dominated groups by the presence of xerophytic oaks and the absence of other oaks, and by the absence of mesophytic or wetland herbs.

Longleaf pine sandhills are abundant in the Sandhills Region of North and South Carolina, and scattered on relict beach ridge systems of the outer coastal plain and on sand dune systems associated with rivers. Rare extreme sandhills (sand barrens) are so excessively drained that all strata are low in density, leaving much bare sand even in the absence of fire. Fuels are too discontinuous to support regular fire. This model does not cover these extreme communities.

Uncharacteristic vegetation types include even-aged canopy stands in which age structure has been homogenized by logging or clearing. Examples include where loblolly or slash pine have replaced some or all of the longleaf pine, where midstory oaks and/or low shrubs have become dense due to inadequate burning, and where the grass-dominated ground cover has been lost due to soil disturbance or past canopy closure.

Scale Description



The landscape is adequate in size to contain the natural variation in vegetation and disturbance regimes. Topographically, areas could be very large and extend continuously over a large expanse of the landscape, or occur as small patches.

Issues/Problems

This model includes areas with Aristida stricta, Aristida beyrichiana and bluestems dominating the understory. This fall line sandhill ecosystem may have two distinct xeric communities in the landscape. Longleaf pine-scrub oak sandhills and longleaf pine-turkey oak sandhills can make up this PNVG within its geographic range. Also, no insect and disease disturbances were noted during the succession pathway of this PNVG. It was suggested that some level of disturbance from a bark beetle infestation be added to this pathway. Most likely Classes B and D would be where the problem would occur. This addition has not been done.

Model Evolution and Comments

The information from FRCC model with PNVG Code LLSH modeled by Mike Schafale on 03 June 2004 was used to load the Reference Condition Model Tracker Database.

Succession	classes are the equivalent of		cession C Fuel Classes" as d			ency FRCC Guide	ebook (www.frcc.gov).	
Class A 15%		Dominant Species* and Canopy Position		Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Early1 All Struct		PIPA2 All		Min		Мах		
Description		ARST5		Cover	0 %		100 %	
				Height	Height Shrub Medium 1.0-2.9m		Tree Regen <5m	
Class A is characterized by canopy gaps, most single tree to a quarter acre size, with pine regeneration up to 15 years old, or lacking pine regeneration because no mast year has occurred since the gap opened. The native grassy ground cover is dominated by Aristida stricta. Tree cover ranges from 0 to 50%.				Tree Size Class Sapling >4.5ft; <			<5"DBH	
				Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class B 6 % Mid1 Closed Description Class B includes patches, mostly ½ acre or less in size, with canopy pines 15-75 years old. A substantial component of mid-story hardwoods or shrubs is encroaching in the absence of fire. The hardwood/shrub cover is greater than 50%. Canopy pine cover ranges between 25-75%.		Dominant Species* and Canopy Position		Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
		PIPA2 QUMA QULA2	Upper			Min	Max	
				Cover		75 %	100 %	
				Height		Regen <5m	Tree Medium 10-24m	
		ARST5 Lower		Tree Size Class Medium 9-21"DBH				
		Here Shr		Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class C 35 %		Dominant Species* and Canopy Position		Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Min Max				
Mid2 Open		PIPA2	Upper	Cover		25 %	75 %	
<u>Description</u>		QUMA		Height	Tree	Regen <5m	Tree Medium 10-24m	
Class C includes patches, most ¹ / ₄ acre or less in size, with canopy pines 15-75 years old. There is a minimal hardwood component and only sparse shrubs due to frequent fire. Aristida stricta dominates the		-	Middle	Tree Size Class Medium 9-21"DBH				
		ARST5 Lower						
				Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				

Fuel Model 6

fire. Aristida stricta dominates the ground cover. Canopy pine cover

ranges between 25-75%.

Dominant Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class D 40% **Canopy Position** Min Max Late1 Open PIPA2 Upper Cover 25 % 75% QUMA Middle **Description** Heiaht Tree Regen <5m Tree Medium 10-24m OULA2 Middle Class D is characterized by Tree Size Class | Large 21-33"DBH ARST5 Lower patches, most 1/4 acre or less in size, with canopy pines 75 or more years **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. old. There is a minimal hardwood Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous component and only sparse shrubs Shrub due to frequent fire. Aristida stricta **✓** Tree dominates the ground cover. Fuel Model 2 Canopy pine cover ranges between 25-75%. Dominant Species* and Class E Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) 4% Canopy Position Min Мах Late1 Closed PIPA2 Upper Cover 75 % 100 % Description QUMA Middle Tree Tall 25-49m Height Tree Regen <5m Class E includes patches with OULA2 Middle Tree Size Class | Large 21-33"DBH canopy pines 75 or more years old, ARST5 Lower with a substantial component of Upper Layer Lifeform Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. hardwoods and/or shrubs in either Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous the overstory or understory. The Shrub ground cover is shrubby or sparse. **✓**Tree The hardwood/shrub cover is Fuel Model 9 greater than 50%. Disturbances **Disturbances Modeled** Fire Regime Group: 1 **✓** Fire I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity Insects/Disease III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity **✓** Wind/Weather/Stress IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity Native Grazing V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity **✓** Competition Other: Fire Intervals (FI) Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of Other fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and **Historical Fire Size (acres)** maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Avg: 10000 Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are Min: 1 estimates and not precise. Max: 100000 Min FI Max FI Probability Percent of All Fires Avg FI Sources of Fire Regime Data Replacement 130 25 500 0.00769 3

✓ Literature ✓ Local Data **✓** Expert Estimate

Mixed 1430 0.0007 0 Surface 10 97 4 0.25 All Fires 4 0.25839

References

Boyer, W.D. 1993. Long-term development of regeneration under pine seed tree and shelter wood stands. Southern Journal of Applied Forestry 17: 10-15.

Brewer, J.S. and Platt, W.J. 1994a. Effects of fire season and herbivory on reproductive success in a clonal forb, Pityopsis graminifolia. Journal of Ecology 82:665-675.

Brewer, J.S. and Platt, W.J. 1994b. Effects of fire season and soil fertility on clonal growth in the pyrophilic forb, Pityopsis graminifolia (Asteraceae). American Journal of Botany 81:805-814.

Brown, James K., Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Christensen, N.L. 1981. Fire regimes in southeastern ecosystems. In Mooney, H.A., Bonnickson, T.M., Christensen, N.L., Lotan, J.E. and Reiners, W.A., eds. Fire regimes and ecosystem properties. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report WO-26. pp. 112-136.

Croker, T.C., and Boyer, W.D. 1984 . Regenerating longleaf pine naturally. USDA Forest Service Research Paper SE-105.

Frost, Cecil C. 1993. Four centuries of changing landscape patterns in the longleaf pine ecosystem. In Hermann, S.M., ed. The longleaf pine ecosystem: ecology, restoration and management. Proc. Tall Timbers Fire Ecol. Conf. No. 18. pp. 17-43.

Frost, Cecil C. 1997. Presettlement vegetation and fire history of the Savannah River Site and vicinity, South Carolina. Savannah River Forest Station (map and text). U.S. Department of Energy. 186 pp. [with 2 GIS maps].

Glitzenstein, J.S., Harcombe, P.A., and Streng, D.R. 1986. Disturbance, succession, and maintenance of species diversity in an east Texas forest. Ecological Monographs 56:243-258.

Hainds, M.J., Mitchell, R.J., Palik, B.J. and Boring, L.R. 1999. Abundance and distribution of native legumes (Leguminosae) in frequently burned longleaf pine (Pinaceae)—wiregrass (Poaceae) ecosystems. American Journal of Botany 86:1606-1614.

Hermann, S.M., Van Hook, T., Flowers, R.W., Brennan, L.A., Glizenstein, J.S., Streng, D.R., Walker, J.L. and Myers, R.L. 1998. Fire and biodiversity: studies of vegetation and arthropods. North American Wildland and Natural Resource Conference 63:384-401.

Hiers, J.K., Wyatt, R., Mitchell, R.J. 2000. The effects of fire regime on legume reproduction in longleaf pine savannas: is a season selective? Oecologia 125:521-530.

Jacqmain, E.I., Jones R.H, and Mitchell, R.M. 1999. Influences of frequent cool-season burning across a soil moisture gradient on oak community structure in longleaf pine ecosystems. American Midland Naturalist 141: 85-100.

Kirkman, L.K., Drew, M.B. and Edwards, D. 1998. Effects of experimental fire regimes on the population dynamics of Schwalbea americana L. Plant Ecology 137:115-137.

Palik, B.J. and Engstrom, R.T. 1999. Species composition. In M.L. Hunter, Jr., ed. Maintaining biodiversity in forest ecosystems. Cambridge University Press. Pp. 65-94.

Palik, B.J., Mitchell, R.J., Houseal, G. and Pederson, N. 1997. Effects of canopy structure on resource availability and seedling responses in a longleaf pine ecosystem. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 27: 1458-1464.

Palik, B.J. and Pederson, N. 1996. Natural disturbance and overstory mortality in longleaf pine ecosystems. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 26: 2035-2047.

Peet, R.K. and Allard, D.J. 1993. Longleaf pine vegetation of the Southern Atlantic and Eastern Gulf Coast regions: a preliminary classification. In Proceedings of the 18th Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference. Tallahassee, FL: Tall Timbers Research Station.

Platt, W.J., Evans, G.W. and Davis, M.M. 1988. Effects of fire season on flowering of forbs and shrubs in longleaf pine forests. Oecologia 76: 353-363.

Platt, W.J., Evans, G.W. and Rathbun, S.L. 1988. The population dynamics of a long-lived conifer (Pinus palustris). American Naturalist 131: 491-525.

Platt, W.J. and Rathbun, S.L. 1993. Population dynamics of an old-growth population of longleaf pine (Pinus palustris). In Proceedings of the 18th Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference. Tallahassee, FL: Tall Timbers Research Station.

Schmidt, Kirsten M., Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J. and Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

Streng, D.R., Glitzenstein, J.S. and Platt, W.J. 1993. Evaluating effects of season of burn in longleaf pine forests: a critical literature review and some results from an ongoing long-term study. Proceedings of the Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference 18:227-259.

Robbins, L.E. and Myers, R.L. 1992. Seasonal effects of prescribed burning in Florida. Miscellaneous Publications of the Tall Timbers Research Station 8:1-97.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/.

Walker, J. and Peet, R.K. 1983. Composition and species diversity of pine-wiregrass savannas of the Green Swamp, North Carolina. Vegetation 55:163-179.

Walters, J. R. 1991. Application of ecological principals to the management of endangered species: the case of the red-cockaded woodpecker. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 22:505-523.

Ware, S., Frost, C. and Doerr, P.D. 1993. Southern mixed hardwood forest: The former longleaf pine forest. In Martin, W.H., Boyce, S.G. and Echternacht, A.C., eds. Biodiversity of the southeastern United States. New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons, Inc. pp. 447-493.