# Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

#### Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) **R9LLMU Longleaf Pine Mesic Uplands** General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Dennis Hardin hardind@doacs.state.fl.us **General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **Vegetation Type** Literature Woodland California Pacific Northwest Local Data Great Basin South Central **✓** Expert Estimate **Dominant Species\*** Great Lakes **✓** Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians PIPA2 **LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest **ARBE** 46 N-Cent.Rockies

#### Geographic Range

**OUER** 

Longleaf mesic uplands occur from North Carolina to Alabama, and south to central Florida.

55 58

#### **Biophysical Site Description**

This PNVG occurs as mesic to dry-mesic woodland/savannas on productive soils such as Wagram or Orangeburg.

#### **Vegetation Description**

Longleaf mesic uplands are generally dominated by Pinus palustris, sometimes with a minority component of Pinus echinata. There is little cover and a low density of shrubs or mid-story hardwoods under the natural fire regime. The ground cover is dense, dominated by Aristida stricta, generally with a diversity of legumes, composites, and other grasses. Canopy trees are patchy in distribution, with regeneration in canopy gaps of 1/4 acre or less in size, mid-successional stages in similar size patches, and the oldest trees occurring as isolated individuals. The reference condition classes are aggregates of numerous patches well dispersed over the landscape.

#### **Disturbance Description**

In longleaf pine mesic uplands canopy gaps are created by fire mortality, lightning, and windthrow at the scale of individual trees or several trees. Frequent surface fires, every 1-3 years, generally burn almost all of the vegetation. Fires are usually low in intensity overall but will occasionally kill young regeneration patches and rarely kill individual older trees.

### Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Uncharacteristic vegetation types include even-aged canopy stands in which age structure has been homogenized by logging or clearing. Examples include where loblolly or slash pine have replaced some or all of the longleaf pine, and where the bunch grass-dominated ground cover has been lost due to soil disturbance or past canopy closure.

<b>Scale Des</b>	cription
------------------	----------

Sources of Scale Data	Literature	Local Data	<b>✓</b>	Expert Estimate
-----------------------	------------	------------	----------	-----------------

Patch size and the scale of disturbance for this PNVG can range from tens to tens of thousands of acres.

### Issues/Problems

Many subtypes probably exist across the region. The unifying factors are longleaf pine and a grassy, usually wiregrass, understory on fertile soils of rolling uplands. Different hardwoods, including hickories, many species of oaks, and many species of small trees constitute isolated individuals or clumps in areas that experience lower fire frequencies. This model should be reviewed for applicability to the region as a whole.

### **Model Evolution and Comments**

FRCC materials from Hiers, Robertson, Herman, Outcalt, Schafale, May 4, 2004, were used to develop this model.

	Succession Cl	'aaaaa'	<b>+</b> *			
Succession classes are the equivalent of "				nev FRCC Guida	chook (www.frcc.gov)	
Class A 15%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position					
Early1 All Struct	PIPA2 Upper	Min			Max	
Description	ARBE7 Lower	Cover 0 %			100 %	
	TROLT LOWER	Height Tree Regen <5m		Regen <5m	Tree Regen <5m	
Class A is a post-replacement condition, with canopy gaps,		Tree Size	e Class	Sapling >4.5ft;	<5"DBH	
ranging from a single tree up to 1/4 acre size, of pine regeneration up to 10 years old. The native grassy ground cover is dominated by Aristida stricta. Tree cover ranges from 0 to 50%.	Upper Layer Lifeform  ☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☑ Tree  Fuel Model 2	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant life Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class B 4%	Dominant Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Class D 4 /6	Canopy Position	Structur	e Data (fo			
Mid1 Closed	Canopy Position PIPA2 Upper		e Data (fo	Min	Мах	
1,70		Cover		Min 66 %	Max 90 %	
Mid1 Closed  Description	PIPA2 Upper	Cover Height	Tree	Min 66 % Regen <5m	Max 90 % Tree Medium 10-24m	
Mid1 Closed	PIPA2 Upper	Cover	Tree	Min 66 %	Max 90 % Tree Medium 10-24m	

#### Dominant Species\* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class C 30% **Canopy Position** Мах PIPA2 Upper Mid2 Open 33 % Cover 66% ARBE7 Lower **Description** Height Tree Short 5-9m Tree Medium 10-24m Class C is characterized as a mid-Tree Size Class Medium 9-21"DBH seral open condition with patches, most 1/4 acre or less in size, of **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. canopy pines 10-40 years old. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous There is a minimal hardwood $\square_{\mathsf{Shrub}}$ **✓** Tree component due to frequent fire. The ground cover is dominated by Fuel Model 2 grass, generally Aristida stricta. The canopy pine cover ranges from 33-66%. Dominant Species\* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class D 50% **Canopy Position** Min Max PIPA2 Upper Late1 Open 33 % Cover 66% ARBE7 Lower **Description** Height Tree Regen <5m Tree Tall 25-49m QUFA Upper Class D is a late seral open Tree Size Class Large 21-33"DBH condition with patches, most 1/4 acre or less in size, of canopy pines **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. 40 or more years old. There is a Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: ⊢Herbaceous minimal component of hardwoods. $\square$ Shrub The ground cover is dominated by **✓** Tree grass, generally Aristida stricta. Fuel Model 2 The canopy pine cover ranges from 33-66%. Dominant Species\* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class E 1% **Canopy Position** Min Max Late2 Closed PIPA2 Upper Cover 66% 100 % Description **QUERC All** Heiaht Tree Short 5-9m Tree Tall 25-49m Class E is characterized as a late Tree Size Class | Medium 9-21"DBH seral closed condition with patches of canopy pines 75 or more years **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. old. There is a substantial Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous component of hardwoods or pines Shrub other than longleaf in either the **✓** Tree overstory or understory. The Fuel Model 4 ground cover is shrubby or sparse. The encroaching hardwood/pine cover is greater than 50%. Additional hardwoods could be added to the list of species present.

Disturbances

Disturbances Modeled  ✓ Fire  ☐ Insects/Disease ✓ Wind/Weather/Stress ☐ Native Grazing ☐ Competition	Fire Regime Group: 1  I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity						
☐ Other: ☐ Other  Historical Fire Size (acres)  Avg: 1000  Min: 10  Max: 10000	Fire Intervals (FI)  Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.						
Courses of Fire Positive Date		Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires	
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	110	40	200	0.00909	3	
Literature	Mixed	1000			0.001	0	
☐Local Data	Surface	3	1	5	0.33333	97	
<b>✓</b> Expert Estimate	All Fires	3			0.34342		

## References

Brown, James K., Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Myers, Ronald L. and Ewel, John J. 1990. Ecosystems of Florida. Orlando, FL: University of Central Florida Press, 765 p.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/.