Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)

R5SO	FPrf
------	------

Southern Floodplain - Rare Fire

General Information					
Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments")					
Modelers		Reviewers			
Bruce Davenport	bdavenport@fs.fed.us	Doug Zollner	dzollner@tnc.org		
Kevin Robertson	kevin@ttrs.org	Maria Melnechuk	maria_melnechuk@tnc.org		

Vegetation Ty	pe	General M	odel Sources	Rapid AssessmentModel Zones	
Forested		✓ Litera	ture Data	California	 Pacific Northwest South Central
Dominant Spe	cies*	✓ Experior	rt Estimate	Great Lakes	Southeast
TADI2 TAAS NYAQ QULY	ACRU FRPE SANI	LANDFIRE 45 46 37	Mapping Zones	 Northeast Northern Plains N-Cent.Rockies 	S. Appalachians

Geographic Range

This PNVG occurs from east Texas to Virginia within the Coastal Plain and lower Piedmont and up the Mississippi River basin to southern Illinois.

Biophysical Site Description

The landscape includes sloughs and abandoned channels which are flooded most or all of a given year as well as backswamps and depressions within the flood plain which are frequently flooded and where soils remain saturated or with water table close to the surface much of the year.

Vegetation Description

The vegetation is generally close canopied forests ranging from standing water to floodplain depressions. The canopy is normally dominated by cypress and tupelo under the wettest conditions and overcup oak or maple and ash on the drier end.

Disturbance Description

Weather, primarily wind and flooding, is the dominant disturbance agent in this type and includes wind damage from hurricanes and tornadoes as well as scouring, changing streamcourses, and inundated young stands. Because of its moisture regime, fire is rare, occurring only during extreme drought conditions. In addition, replacement fire requires not only extended drought but accumulated fuel by drift or deep "duff" development (may be normally submerged). Insect outbreaks would occur infrequently in closed canopy states.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Although the Southern Floodplain Forest is included in both Kuchler and coarse scale, a difference in hydroperiod from other, adjacent types often results in a dependence on drought for regeneration and subsequently, stand structure. In the absence of characteristic vegetation, the break in moisture gradient between the drier end of this type and even drier types may be unclear.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data Literature Local Data Expert Estimate

The landscape has adequate coverage to encompass natural variation. At either end of the spectrum, large swamps may cover millions of acres (Atchafalaya) while individual oxbows may be less than one hundred.

Issues/Problems

Contains long-lived species with very long fire return interval and, often, uncommon conditions required to complete life history. Literature and documentation of modeled conditions, especially fire, are not readily available.

Model Evolution and Comments

a few obligate midstory species on

Longer hydroperiod sites at least

seasonally flooded and typically

display a single, closed canopy

layer.

less frequently flooded sites.

Suggested reviewers: Tom Foti (tom@arkansasheritage.org), Paul Hamel (phamel@fs.fed.us), Charles Klimas (Waterways Exp. Sta.), Rob Evans (? Formerly NatureServe). Insect/disease was added as a disturbance after peer review.

Succession Classes**

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

<i>Class A</i> 10 %	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Class A 10 % Early1 All Struct <u>Description</u> 0-19 years. Seedlings, saplings, and some sprouts on drier sites, in openings created by flood scouring, changed streamcourses, wind damage, or, infrequently, fire. Primarily composed of major overstory species with transient herbaceous plants and shrub, small	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position TADI2 Upper NYAQ2 Upper QULY Upper FRPE Upper Upper Layer Lifeform □ Herbaceous □ Shrub ✓ Tree Fuel Model 9	Structure D Cover Height Tree Size Cl Upper lay Height and	Min 40 % Tree Regen <5m ass Sapling >4.5ft; er lifeform differs from differs from d cover of dominant	Max 80 % Tree Short 5-9m <5"DBH m dominant lifeform. lifeform are:	
trees and woody vines; the latter, woody group occurring more often on drier sites. Class B 25 % Mid1 Closed <u>Description</u> 20-99 years. Dominated by young to early mature canopy species with	Dominant Species* andCanopy PositionTADI2UpperNYAQ2UpperQULYUpperFRPEUpper	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Min Max Cover 70 % 100 % Height Tree Short 5-9m Tree Tall 25-49 Tree Size Class Medium 9-21"DBH			

Upper Layer Lifeform

Herbaceous
Shrub
Tree

Fuel Model 9

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Class C 20 %	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Min Max Cover 15 % 60 % Height Tree Medium 10-24m Tree Tall 25-49m Tree Size Class Large 21-33"DBH Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
Late1 Open <u>Description</u> 100+ years. Early to, more often, late mature open canopy in long- term flooded conditions. Created during wet periods that prevent replacement of mortality.	TADI2 Upper NYAQ2 Upper QULY Upper FRPE Upper Upper Layer Lifeform ☐Herbaceous ☐Shrub ☑Tree				
Class D 45%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer I	ifeform) Max		
Late1 Closed	TADI2 Upper	IVIIII Cover 60 %	90 %		
<u>Description</u>	NYAQ2 Upper	Height Tree Medium 10-24m	Tree Tall 25-49m		
100+ years. Early to late mature closed canopy generally occurring	QULY Upper FRPE Upper	Height Tree Medium 10-24m Tree Tail 25-49m Tree Size Class Large 21-33"DBH			
as a single overstory layer, particularly on wetter sites. Drier sites will contain some midstory and young overstory species.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from Height and cover of dominant life	dominant lifeform. eform are:		
	Fuel Model 9 Dominant Species* and	Structure Deta (for upper laver l	ifoform)		
	Canopy Position		<u>May</u>		
Late1 All Structu		Cover %	%		
Description		Height no data Tree Size Class no data	no data		
	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
	Fuel Model no data				
Disturbances					

Disturbances Modeled	Fire Regime Gr	<u>oup:</u> 5					
✓ Fire	I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity						
✓ Insects/Disease	II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity						
✓ Wind/Weather/Stress							
Native Grazing							
Competition							
Other:	Fire Intervals (FI)						
Other	Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and						
Historical Fire Size (acres)	maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the						
Avg: 100	inverse of tire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling.						
Min: 10	estimates and not precise.						
Max: 1000							
Sources of Eiro Pagimo Data		Avg Fl	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires	
Sources of File Regime Data	Replacement	1000			0.001	41	
Literature	Mixed						
Local Data	Surface	714			0.00140	58	
✓ Expert Estimate	All Fires	416			0.00241		
References							

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler. Eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen.Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agiculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Heineke, Thomas E. 1987. The flora and plant communities of the middle Mississippi river valley. PhD dissertation. S.Illinois University. 653 p.

Kuchler, A.W. 1964. Potential natural vegetation of the conterminous United States. American geographical society. New York, NY. 116 p.

Schmidt, Kirsten M., Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendell J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. +CD.

Tingle, John L., Charles V. Klimas, and Thomas L. Foti. 1995. Application of GLO survey notes to bottomland ecosystem management and restoration in the lower Mississippi valley-an example from Desha county Arkansas. IN: Bottomland hardwoods of the Mississippi alluvial valley: characteristics and management of natural function, structure, and composition. Gen. Tech. Rep. GTR-SRS-42. Fayetteville, AR. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station.