Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) **R8TMPP** Table Mountain/Pitch Pine General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Roger D. Fryar rfryar@fs.fed.us Charles Lafon clafon@geog.tamu.edu Rob Klein Rob_Klein@nps.gov **Vegetation Type General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones ✓ Literature Woodland California Pacific Northwest Local Data Great Basin South Central **✓** Expert Estimate **Dominant Species*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast ✓ S. Appalachians PIRI **KALA LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest PIPU5 GAYL 57 N-Cent.Rockies VACCI **QUCO** 53

Geographic Range

QUPR

Mountains of TN, NC, KY (Pitch Pine), VA, WV, GA (extreme NE), SC (Extreme NW).

59

Biophysical Site Description

Occurs on xeric to dry sites at moderate to upper elevations between 1500 and 3500 feet. Described as "ridgetop communities" occupying the driest and most fire-prone of sites.

Vegetation Description

Overstory pine species dominate with up to 70% species specific. Scarlet and chestnut oak and other pines may also be in overstories. Midstories when present may include rhododendron (rosebay and catawba), mountain laurel, blackgum, red maple, sourwood, black locust and sprouts of American chestnut. Understories can include hobblebush, blueberries, huckberries, Galax, sedges and other herbaceous species. CES202.331Southern Appalachian Montane Pine Forest and Woodland

Disturbance Description

Fire Regime Group I with relatively common surface fires (5 years) and rarer mixed (160) and replacement (100 years) fires. Non-fire disturbances that can result in stand replacement include mortality from insects (biotic) and wind-weather related events (abiotic) e.g., windstorm and ice. Effects can be more pronounced because these species are more commonly found on exposed, often droughty and usually low productivity sites. In the absence of periodic fire, dense regeneration leads to overcrowded stands more likely predisposed to insects, particularly southern pine beetle (SPB) epidemics. Larger patches or regeneration 5 to 250 acres in size can occur in association with SPB outbreaks in the Southern Appalachians. Other bark beetles also attack these species but produce smaller patches (usually less that an acre) of mortality.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

The community is typically characterized by combining Pitch Pine and Table Mountain Pine together because they often occur on very similar sites. Although both species are strongly fire-adapted, Table-

Mountain pine is more "dependent" on fire and is capable of maintaining itself under the most frequent and most severe of possible fire regimes. Table mountain pine could fit into Fire Regime Group II or III just as easily as in I.

Scale Description

Large patch (generally), Table-Mountain pine tends to be in smaller stands than Pitch pine.

Issues/Problems

At the finest scale Pitch Pine and Table Pine may need to be separated as FRCC model types.

Model Evolution and Comments

QA/QC changes: After running the model with no changes, the Mixed Fire FRI was over 600 years, which the modeler thought was much too high. Mixed Fire was added to Classes C and D (pathways to same class) with probabilities of 0.007. Class percentages changed very little, but the Mixed Fire FRI was reduced to 160 years. Added additional disturbance info provided by modeler. Both reviewers indicated that Class C and D should have Max Cover of 60%, so that change was made, along with changes to B and E to make these classes exclusive. Also changed Cover to 35 to 100% based upon description (very dense) and reviewer recommendation. One reviewer who seems familiar with this BpS believes Mixed fires were more common than replacement fires. However, the other reviewer did not make that comment, and the original modeler disagreed in a follow-up response. Therefore, the probabilities were left as-is, but this should be explored before the LANDFIRE workshops. Species in C&D was changed from SCSC to SONU, which one reviewer seemed very confident of. QUPR2 was also added to the species list for class E as uppercanopy dominant and GAYLU was removed from the species list.

Succession Classes** Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov). Dominant Species* and Class A Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) 15% **Canopy Position** Min Max PIRI Early1 All Struct Upper Cover 35 % 100 % PIPU5 Upper Description Height Tree Short 5-9m Tree Regen <5m QUCO2 Mid-Upper Very dense regeneration 5 to 15 Tree Size Class | Sapling >4.5ft; <5"DBH QUPR2 Mid-Upper feet in height. Typically sparse **Upper Layer Lifeform** understory. Fires occurring in this Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. ☐ Herbaceous class are nearly always stand-Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Shrub replacing. **✓** Tree Fuel Model 9 **Dominant Species* and** Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class B 10% **Canopy Position** Min Max Mid1 Closed PIRI Upper 60 % Cover 100 % PIPU5 Upper **Description** Tree Short 5-9m Height Tree Medium 10-24m QUCO2 Mid-Upper Mid-seral with close canopy, Pole 5-9" DBH Tree Size Class QUPR2 Mid-Upper mostly pine in overstory. Sparse understories. Upper Layer Lifeform Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous Shrub **✓** Tree Fuel Model 9

Class C 35%	Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)		
N. 14 O	PIRI Upper		Min	Max
Mid1 Open	PIPU5 Upper	Cover	10 %	60 %
<u>Description</u>	SCSC Lower	Height	Tree Short 5-9m	Tree Medium 10-24m
Mid-seral, open canopy.	SONU Lower	Tree Size	e Class Pole 5-9" DBH	I
Woodland with herbaceous understory. In the absence of frequent fire, woody understory including mountain laurel and ericaceous species.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model 9	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:		
Class D 35%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)		
Late1 Open	PIRI Upper		Min	Max
<u>Description</u>	PIPU5 Upper	Cover	10 %	60 %
Late-development, open canopy	QUCO2 Mid-Upper	Height	Tree Medium 10-24m	Tree Tall 25-49m
pine-oak to oak-pine	GAYLU Lower	Tree Size Class Medium 9-21"DBH		
	Upper Layer Lifeform ☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☑ Tree Fuel Model 9	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:		
Class E 5%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structur	e Data (for upper laye	r lifeform)
Late1 Closed	PIRI Upper		Min	Max
Description	PIPU5 Upper	Cover	60 %	100 %
Late-seral, closed canopy, pine-oak	QUCO2 Upper	Height	Tree Medium 10-24m	Tree Tall 25-49m
dominated overstory. Little	QUPR2 Upper	Tree Size	e Class Medium 9-21"	DBH
herbaceous cover and dense shrub layer.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model 9	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:		
	i dei Model 9			

Disturbances

Disturbances Modeled Fire Regime Group: I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity **✓** Fire II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity ✓ Insects/Disease III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity **✓** Wind/Weather/Stress IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity Native Grazing □ Competition Other: Fire Intervals (FI) Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of Other fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and Historical Fire Size (acres) maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Avg: 100 Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are Min: 10 estimates and not precise. Max: 1000 Min FI Avg FI Max FI Probability Percent of All Fires Sources of Fire Regime Data Replacement 100 0.01 5 **✓** Literature Mixed 160 0.00625 3 Local Data Surface 5 0.2 92 **✓** Expert Estimate All Fires 5 0.21625

References

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Frost, C., Presettlement Fire Frequency Regimes of the United States: A First Approximation. Pages 70-81, May 1996., Proceedings of the 20nd Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference: Fire in Ecosystem Management: Shifting the Paradigm from Suppression to Prescritpion. Tall Timbers Research Station, Tallahassee, FL.

Lafon, C.W., Kutac, M.J., 2003. Effects of ice storms, southern pine beetle infestation, and fire on table mountain pine forests of southwestern Virginia. Physical Geography 24, 502-519.

Little, E.L., Jr., 1971, Atlas of United States trees, volume 1, conifers and important hardwoods: U.S. Department of Agriculture Miscellaneous Publication 1146, 9 p., 200 maps. [Online]. Available:http://esp.cr.usgs.gov/data/atlas/little

NatureServe. 2005. International Ecological Classification Standard: Terrestrial Ecological Classifications. NatrueServe Central Databases. Arlinton, VA U.S. A. Data current as of January 13, 2005.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Region, June 1997, Guidance for Conserving and Restoring Old-Growth Forest Communities on National Forests in the Southern Region – Report of the Region 8 Old-Growth Team, Forestry Report R8-FR 62.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Research Station, Southern Forest Resource Assessment, [Online]. Available: http://www.srs.fs.fed.us/sustain

Sutherland, E. K., Grissino-Mayer, H. D., Woodhouse, C. A., Covington, W. W., Horn, S., Huckaby, L., Kerr, R., Kush, J., Moore, M., and Plumb, T. (1995) Two centuries of fire in a southwestern Virginia Pinus pungens community. In Inventory and Management Techniques in the Context of Catastrophic Events: Altered States of the Forest. University Park, PA: Pennsylvania State University, Center for Statistical Ecology and Environmental Statistics.

Williams, C. E. and Johnson, W. C. (1990) Age structure and the maintenance of Pinus pungens in pine-oak forests of southwestern Virginia. American Midland Naturalist, Vol. 124, 130-141.