Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)								
R#WGRA N	Marsh		,					
General Information								
Contributors (addition	al contributors may be listed under "M	Model Evolution and Comments	")					
<u>Modelers</u> <u>Reviewers</u>								
Louisa Evers	Louisa_Evers@or.blm.gov.	Charlie Tackman	$Charlie_Tackman@or.blm.gov$					
Karen Zamundio	kzamudio@fs.fed.us							
Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	Rapid Assessme	ntModel Zones					
Grassland	✓ Literature	California	✓ Pacific Northwest					
	Local Data	Great Basin	South Central					
Dominant Species*	✓ Expert Estimate	Great Lakes	Southeast					
SCAC3	LANDFIRE Mapping Zor	Northeast	S. Appalachians					
TYPH	1 8							
JUNC	2 9	☐ N-Cent.Rockie	S					
	7							
Geographic Range								

This PNVG occurs in southeastern Oregon and Washington.

Biophysical Site Description

Freshwater marshes are located in southeastern Oregon primarily in association with Pleistocene lakes. There are additional freshwater marshes in western Oregon and western Washington, mostly in association with reservoirs and major rivers, and possibly as part of the Oregon Dunes.

Marshes are saturated, poorly drained wetlands intermittently or permanently water covered and vegetated by grass-like hydrophytic plants. Water may be slow moving (Dorr et al. 2003). The edges of some marshes may be slightly saline or alkaline where the marsh borders desert shrub and the supporting freshwater peters out.

Vegetation Description

Hardstem bulrush and cattails are the dominant species with various species of rushes common. Some marshes also have floating aquatic vegetation of varying amounts but generally less than 10% cover.

Disturbance Description

Since bulrushes and cattails are culturally significant plants, the Great Basin American Indian tribes probably maintained marsh productivity with frequent burning (need reference). Most marshes dried out enough to burn at least part of the year on a 5-10 year basis.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Marshes lie adjacent to pluvial lakes and the desert scrub, warm sagebrush, low sagebrush PNVGs in southeastern Oregon and reservoirs and major rivers in western Oregon and Washington. Most westside marshes are located in wildlife refuges or other protected areas. Many marshes have been partly or fully drained and converted to agriculture or hayfields in southeastern Oregon. Some marshes in the Willamette and Puget Trough were created. Wet meadows in forest settings and saltwater marshes should be treated as different PNVGs.

This PNVG may be similar to the PNVG R1WEHB for the California Model Zone. The California model may reflect conditions in Oregon/Washington west of the Cascades.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data ☐ Literature ✓ Local Data ✓ Expert Estimate

Marshes vary in size, depending on the former size of the remnant lake, existing size of the remaining lake (if any), and the size of the streams and rivers the feed the current marshes.

Issues/Problems

Reed canarygrass is beginning to invade in southeastern Oregon, but has not established widely as yet. Reed canarygrass dominates most freshwater marshes in western Oregon and western Washington.

Model Evolution and Comments

	Succession C	lasses**			
	ivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as a Dominant Species* and	•			
Class A 15%	Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Early1 PostRep	SCAC3	Min		Max	
Description	TYPHA	Cover	0 %	10 %	
Cover less than 10%, with	most JUNCU	Height	no data	no data	
vegetation burned off. This		Tree Size Cl			
	□Shrub □Tree <u>Fuel Model</u> no data				
Class B 80 %	<u>Dominant Species* and</u> Canopy Position	Structure D	ata (for upper layer	lifeform)	
Mid1 Closed	SCAC3		Max		
Description	ТҮРНА	Cover	60 %	80 %	
Cover >60% of hardstem b	ulruch	Height	no data	no data	
cattails, rushes, and other	JUNCU	Tree Size Class no data			
associated species. Litter n develops quickly.	unat Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			

Class C 5%		Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Mid1 Open		SCAC3	Cover		<i>Min</i> 10 %	Max 60 %	
<u>Description</u>		TYPHA	Height		no data	no data	
Cover less than 60% of bulrushes,		JUNCU	Tree Size	e Class	no data	no data	
cattails, rushe	,		1100 0120	c ciass	no data		
associated species. Can be created by two types of events: 1) after relatively intense fires during prolonged droughts that damage rhizomes, reducing sprouting capacity or density of surviving plants, or 2) during very wet periods that raise the water level considerably, drowning some plants. Both types of events create areas of open water within the marsh that are filled by plants recolonizing the area.		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class D	0%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	s* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Open		Current Control			Min	Max	
Description			Cover		0%	%	
Description			Height		no data	no data	
			Tree Size	e Class	no data		
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data			form differs from er of dominant lif	dominant lifeform. eform are:	
Class E	0%	Dominant Species* and	and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
	U / U	Canopy Position			Min	Max	
Late1 Closed			Cover		0 %	%	
<u>Description</u>			Height		no data	no data	
			Tree Size	e Class	no data		
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
		Fuel Model no data					
		Dieturban	COS				

<u>Disturbances Modeled</u>	Fire Regime Gr	<u>oup:</u> 2					
✓ Fire	I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity						
☐ Insects/Disease ✓ Wind/Weather/Stress	III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity						
Native Grazing	IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity						
Competition	v. 2001 year requestey, replacement severity				• 9		
Other:	Fire Intervals (FI)						
Other	Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of						
Historical Fire Size (acres)	fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.						
Avg: no data							
Min: no data							
Max: no data							
Sources of Fire Regime Data		Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires	
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	7			0.14286	74	
✓ Literature	Mixed	20			0.05	26	
☐Local Data	Surface						
✓ Expert Estimate	All Fires	5			0.19287		

References

Brown, J.K.; J.K. Smith, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Dorr, J.; K. Greulich, E. Nicita, and J. Skalka. 2003. Ecological unit inventory of the Winema portion, Fremont-Winema National Forests, Oregon. Interim report #5. Klamath Falls, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Fremont-Winema National Forests. [alternate pagination].

Schimdt, K.M.; J.P. Menakis, C.C. Hardy, W.J. Hann, and D.L. Bunnell. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

Smith, L.M. and J.A. Kadlec. 1983. Seed banks and their role during drawdown of a North American marsh. Journal of Applied Ecology 20: 673-684.

Smith, L.M. and J.A. Kadlec. 1985. Fire and herbivory in a Great Salt Lake marsh. Ecology 66(1): 259-265.