Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)

R#TAOAco	Oregon Coastal Tanoak		L
	General	Information	
Contributors (additi	onal contributors may be listed under "M	odel Evolution and Commen	ts")
Modelers		Reviewers	
Diane White	dewhite01@fs.fed.us	Tom Atzet	jatzet@budget.net
Edward Reilly	ereilly@blm.gov	Jim Merzenich	jmerzenich@fs.fed.us
Charley Martin	cmartin@blm.gov		
Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	Rapid Assessm	nentModel Zones
Forested	✓ Literature ✓ Local Data	California	✓ Pacific Northwest
Dominant Species	★ Expert Estimate	Great Lakes	Southeast
PSME LIDE3 TSHE	LANDFIRE Mapping Zon 1 8 2 9	Northeast	S. Appalachians Southwest ies
	7		

Geographic Range

This PNVG occurs in Southwest Oregon, in Coastal Coos, Curry & western Josephine Counties, and reaches into northern California to del Norte and possibly Humboldt counties. This model was specifically created for the Oregon range, but may apply to the California populations.

Biophysical Site Description

This type occurs where annual temperatures are 45-53 F (49 avg.); annual precipitation 60-120 inches (95 avg.); soils - sedimentary (often sandstone) types, generally 37-52 inches in depth (though shallower on the Dothan sandstones); elevation - 1000-3500 feet. All aspects, generally less common on south- and west-facing slopes. Slope position is generally mid and lower slope (Atzet, et al 1996).

Vegetation Description

Plant Association Groups included in this type are: Tanoak Canyon live oak, or saddler oak Tanoak big leaf maple-swordfern Tanoak - GASH Tanoak- Evergreen Huckleberry (Redwood)

This group incorporates the range of redwood in Oregon. Port Orford cedar is common. Evergreen huckleberry (VAOV2) is usually present. Western Swordfern (POMU) is usually present. Other associates are California Laurel (UMCA), Pacific Rhododenron, (RHMA3), Salal (GASH), dwarf Oregon Grape (BENE).

Disturbance Description

Local Ecology plot data (Southwestern Oregon Forest Service) shows 250 year average stand age, suggesting a mean stand replacement fire return interval of 250 years. Mixed severity fire ranges from 15-40 years.

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Surface fire may be locally common (due to aspect, topography etc.), but it is generally uncommon due to moist weather (humidity, fog) conditions which allow fuel build up resulting in mixed severity fire. Mixed severity fire maintained tanoak as a principal canopy intermediate. Stand replacement fire often results in rapid resprouting and tanoak dominated sites for a decade. Mixed severity fire results in all size conifer mortality in higher intensity portions of fires.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Bounded to south by California Redwood types and Douglas-fir/Hemlock wet mesic type to north. Mixed conifer - SW is the dominant type to the east.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data ☐ Literature ✔ Local Data ✔ Expert Estimate

Pre-settlement fires were long duration (months) with 100 to 10,000 acres (fifth field watershed size analysis area). (Agee 1993).

Issues/Problems

Wind/ice stress could have been added to the model.

Model Evolution and Comments

One reviewer suggested combining plant communities in this area on moisture and elevational gradients rather than the mixed hardwood vs. mixed conifer groups of an earlier approach. In the proposed system, coastal tanoak would be combined with other wet inland series, not the dry inland series; and fire return intervals are likely closer to 70-90 years than the current model's 250 year return for replacement fires.

Cover Height Tree Size Upper la Height a	Min 0 % no data Class no data ayer lifeform differs fro and cover of dominant	Max % no data		
Cover Height Tree Size Upper la Height a	0 % no data <i>Class</i> no data ayer lifeform differs fro and cover of dominant	mo data		
Height Tree Size 1 Upper la Height a	no data Class no data ayer lifeform differs fro and cover of dominant	no data om dominant lifeform t lifeform are:		
Tree Size	Class no data ayer lifeform differs fro and cover of dominant	om dominant lifeform Ilifeform are:		
D Upper la Height a	ayer lifeform differs fro and cover of dominant	om dominant lifeform t lifeform are:		
nd Christer				
Structure	Data (for upper layer	<u>r lifeform)</u>		
	Min	Max		
Cover	60 %	100 %		
Height	no data	no data		
Tree Size	Tree Size Class no data			
Upper la Upper la Height a	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform are:			
	Cover Height Tree Size	Min Cover 60 % Height no data Tree Size Class no data Upper layer lifeform differs from theight and cover of dominant		

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Class C	50 %	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	<u>scies* and</u> <u>Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)</u>				
Mid1 Open		PSME	Min		Min	Max	
Midi Open		LIDE2	Cover	10 %		60 %	
Description			Height	no data		no data	
Douglas-fir g dominance a Open conditi mixed severi dominant tar hardwoods in Laurel (UMC (CACH6) Ca (QUCH2).	gradually assuming s age increases. ons maintained by ty fire. Patches of toak present. Other nelude California CA), Chinquapin tuyon Live Oak	TSHE Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Tree Size	<i>Class</i> ayer life and cov	no data form differs f rer of domina	from dominant lifeform. nt lifeform are:	

Class D 25 %	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Open	PSME LIDE3 TSHE		Min	Max		
Description		Cover	10 %	60 %		
		Height	no data	no data		
Hardwoods often reaching tree		Tree Size Class no data				
form. Open conditions maintained by mixed severity fire. Patches of dominant tanoak present. Other hardwoods include California Laurel (UMCA), Chinquapin (CACH6) Canyon Live Oak (QUCH2).		Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class E 5%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data	(for upper layer lifef Min	orm) Max		

	PSME LIDE3 TSHE	Min		Max		
Late1 Closed		Cover	60 %	100 %		
Description Douglas-fir is dominant.		Height Tree Size Clas	no data s no data	no data		
Yorm. With less frequent fire or ower intensity fire, closed conditions would occur. Upper Laver Lifeform > 240 years. □ Herbaceous		Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
	Fuel Model no data					
Disturbances						

_

Disturbances Modeled	Fire Regime Gr	<u>oup:</u> 1					
 ✓ Fire ☐ Insects/Disease ☐ Wind/Weather/Stress ☐ Native Grazing ☐ Competition 	I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity						
Other:	Fire Intervals (FI)						
Other	Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of						
Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: no data Min: no data Max: no data	maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.						
Sources of Fire Regime Data		Avg Fl	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires	
Sources of the negline Data	Replacement	250			0.004	10	
✓ Literature	Mixed	28	15	40	0.03571	90	
✓ Local Data	Surface						
✓ Expert Estimate	All Fires 25 0.03972						
References							

Agee, James K. 1993. Fire Ecology of Pacific Northwest Forests. Washington, D.C.: Island Press.

Arno, Stephen F. 2000. Fire in western forest ecosystems. In: Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. Wildland fire in ecosystems: Effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station: 97-120.

Atzet, Thomas, et al. 1996. Field Guide to the Forested Plant Associations of Southwestern, Oregon. USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region.

Franklin, Jerry F., and Dyrness, C. T. 1988. Natural Vegetation of Oregon and Washington. Corvallis, OR: Oregon State University Press.

Greenlee, Jason M., and Langenheim, Jean H. 1990. Historic fire regimes and their relation to vegetation patterns in the Monterey Bay area of California. American Midland Naturalist 124: 239-253.

Jimerson, Thomas M., McGee, Elizabeth A., Jones, David W., Svilich, Richard J., Hotalen, Edward, DeNitto, Gregg, Laurent, Tom, Tenpas, Jeffrey D., Smith, Mark, Hefner-McClelland, Kathy, and Mattison, Jeffrey. 1996. A Field Guide to the Tanoak and the Douglas-fir Plant Associations in Northwestern California. USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Region. R5-ECOL-TP-009.

McDonald, P.M., and Tappeiner, J. C. 1990. Arbuts menziesii—Pacific madrone. In: Burns, R. M., and Honkala, B. H., technical coordinators. Silvics of North America, Volume 2. Hardwoods. USDA Forest Service, Agriculture Handbook 654. Pp. 124-132.

Sawyer, John O., Thornburgh, Dale A., and Griffin, James R. 1988. Mixed evergreen forest. In: Barbour, Michael G., and Major, Jack, eds. Terrestrial Vegetation of California. California Native Plant Society, Special Publication Number 9. Davis: University of California Press. Pp. 360-381.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Station. 41 p. + CD.

Taylor, Alan H., and Skinner, Carl N. 1998. Fire history and landscape dynamics in a late-successional reserve, Klamath Mountains, California, USA. Forest Ecology and Management 111: 285-301.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/ [Accessed 2/7/02].

Whittaker, R. H. 1960. Vegetation of the Skskiyou Mountains, Oregon and California. Ecological Monographs 30: 279-338.

Wills, Robin D., and Stuart, John D. 1994. Fire history and stand development of a Douglas-fir/hardwood forest in northern California. Northwest Science 68(3): 205-212.