Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) R#SSHE Sitka Spruce - Hemlock General Information **Contributors** (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Steve Acker steve acker@nps.gov Sarah Greene sgreene@fs.fed.us Jane Kertis ikertis@fs.fed.us Miles Hemstrom mhemstrom@fs.fed.us **Vegetation Type General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **✓** Literature Forested California **✓** Pacific Northwest Local Data Great Basin South Central **✓** Expert Estimate **Dominant Species*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians PISI **LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest TSHE 1 8 N-Cent.Rockies **PSEU** 2 9 **RUSP** 7 **Geographic Range** This PNVG occurs on the outer fringe of coast throughout Oregon and Washington (and beyond). **Biophysical Site Description** This PNVG occurs in the coastal fog belt, including up river valleys. The PNVG extends farther inland towards the northern part of its distribution. The climate of this PNVG is characterized by 200 to 300 cm of annual precipitation, frequent summer fog, and mild temperatures year-round. **Vegetation Description** Mature and old forests are characterized by Sitka spruce, western hemlock, and less often other conifers. In southern Oregon Port Oxford cedar is a common associate. Red alder often dominates disturbed sites. Mature and old forests can attain levels of volume and biomass rivaled by few other forests in the world. Lodgepole pine occurs in some cases on dunes or directly adjacent to the ocean. **Disturbance Description** Wildfire occurs infrequently in this PNVG, with a return interval of 300 to 1000 years or longer. Fire is usually stand-replacing. In most of the PNVG, windthrow is a more significant catastrophic disturbance than wildfire. Windthrow "rotation" is estimated to be between 100 and 200 years, (but can be up to 1000 years due to patchiness). The effects of windthrow are strongly correlated with topography and adjacent land use (e.g., clearcuts). **Adjacency or Identification Concerns** Boundary with wet Douglas-fir-western hemlock type is sometimes indistinct. Sources of Scale Data ✓ Literature Local Data Expert Estimate **Scale Description** When fires occur, they often spread from other types and cover large areas (up to hundreds of thousands of acres). Windthrow events can be small (tens of acres) to very large (up to a million acres or more). Within

large events, the degree of wind damage is quite variable.

Issues/Problems

On first draft of model, we weren't sure how to capture probability of alternative successional pathway from A to C. For a first try, we guessed at the fractional probability of the pathway (25%), and apportioned that over the 20 year duration of class A.

We assumed that catastrophic wildfire probability was the same for all classes. We assumed that all classes other than A can be converted to A through catastrophic windthrow (every 300 years for all classes). We assumed that non-catastrophic windthrow converts class E to class D.

Miles Hemstrom suggested that there was too much mid-seral due to too frequent wind-throw replacement. Jane Kertis and John Foster (jfoster@tnc.org) made changes (wind-throw and replacement fire have probability 0.0015) to the original model which reduced classes A,B and D and increased class E to the currently stated amounts.

Model Evolution and Comments

One reviewer commented that stands in this type may not really reach peak and then vary around it, but rather fluctuate quite a bit due to persistent wind disturbance, which lessens with distance from the coast.

Succession	classes are the equivalent of "	Succession Cl			ebook (www.frcc.gov).		
Class A	5%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Early1 All Struct Description		RUSP SARA2 PISI ALRU Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data Dominant Species* and		Min	Max		
			Cover	0 %	100 %		
Dense shrub layer dominated by salmonberry, elderberry, huckleberry, and salal. Regeneration of red alder or conifers may be present. [Succession to class B after 20 years; Replacement fire; Alternate succession allows a small proportion to proceed to dense alder stand (Class C).]			Height	no data	no data		
			Tree Size Class no data				
			☐ Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class B 10 % Mid1 Closed Description Dense stands of Sitka spruce and/or		Canopy Position PISI TSHE	Structure	Data (for upper layer	Max		
			Cover	40 %	100 %		
			Height	no data	no data		
			Tree Size		no data		
class. Stem high; tree di inches. [Suc 60 years in t	and the control of th	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper la	m dominant lifeform. lifeform are:			

Class C 1%		Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
) (" 10 Cl		ALRU		Min	Max		
Mid2 Closed		RUSP	Cover	40 %	100 %		
<u>Description</u>		KUSI	Height no data		no data		
Dense stands of red alder dominate			Tree Size	Class no data			
this class. Shrub understories, especially salmonberry, are common. [Succession to class E after 60 years in this class; Wind/weather/stress can return to class A.]		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class D 1	10%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Mid3 Open		TSHE		Min	Max		
Description		PISI	Cover	10 %	60 %		
	the class are western		Height	no data	no data		
Most stems in the class are western hemlock, as partial wind disturbance commonly removes most of the largest Sitka spruces. [Succession to class E after 30 years in this class; Replacement fire or Wind/weather/stress returns to class A.]			Tree Size Class no data				
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class E 7	4%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure	e Data (for upper layer	lifeform)		
Late1 Closed		PISI		Min	Max		
Description Description		TSHE	Cover	60 %	100 %		
Large individuals of Sitka spruce and western hemlock dominate this class (>20 inches in diameter). Douglas-fir and western red cedar are occasionally present. [Replacement fire returns to class A. Wind/weather/stress either returns to class A, or opens the stand to class D.]		131112	Height	no data	no data		
			Tree Size	e Class no data			
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				

Disturbances

Disturbances Modeled ✓ Fire ☐ Insects/Disease ✓ Wind/Weather/Stress ☐ Native Grazing ☐ Competition	Fire Regime Group: 5 I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity							
Other: Other Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: no data Min: no data Max: no data	Fire Intervals (FI) Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.							
Sources of Fire Regime Data		Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires		
Sources of Fire negitie Data	Replacement	700	300	2000	0.00143	99		
✓ Literature	Mixed							
☐Local Data	Surface							
✓ Expert Estimate	All Fires	699			0.00145			
D. (

References

Agee, J.K. 1993. Fire Ecology of Pacfic Northwest Forests. Island Press, Washington, D.C.

Franklin, J.F. and Dyrness, C.T. 1988. Natural Vegetation of Oregon and Washington. Second Edition. Oregon State University Press, Corvallis, Oregon.

Harcombe, P.A., Greene, S.E., et al. "The influence of fire and windthrow dynamics on a coastal spruce-hemlock forest in Oregon, USA, based on aerial photographs spanning 40 years." For Ecol & Mgt. 184(2004) 71-82.

Henderson et al. 1989. Forested plant associations of the Olympic National Forest. USDA Forest Service. R6-ECOL-Tech. Pap. 001-88

McCain, C., and N. Diaz. 2002. Field Guide to the Forested Plant Associations of the Northern Oregon Coast Range. USDA Forest Service Technical Paper R6-NR-ECOL-TP-02-03.