Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) R#SPFI Spruce - Fir General Information **Contributors** (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Miles Hemstrom mhemstrom@fs.fed.us Dave Swanson dkswanson@fs.fed.us Ed Uebler euebler@fs.fed.us Tony Sveicar tony.svejcar@oregonstate.edu Bill McArthur wmcarthur@fs.fed.us **General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **Vegetation Type** Literature Forested ✓ Pacific Northwest California Local Data Great Basin South Central ✓ Expert Estimate **Dominant Species*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians **PICO LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest **ABLA** 1 8 N-Cent.Rockies **PIEN** 2 9 **ABGR** 7 Geographic Range Eastside Oregon and Washington Cascades, Blue Mountains of Washington, Blue and Ochoco Mountains in Oregon. **Biophysical Site Description** This forest type occurs at upper elevations, on cold sites with deep snow and frosty growing seasons. **Vegetation Description** Lodgepole pine often serves as a nurse crop for spruce and fir in early succession. Some sites take a very long time to regenerate following reburn fires. Dense stands of lodgepole can develop and survive for 100+ years. Old stands of Engelmann spruce and subalpine fir can develop, but are prone to insect and fire replacement. **Disturbance Description** Wildfires are less frequent than at lower elevations. Most fires are mixed severity or stand replacement severity. Insects play significant roles at both endemic and epidemic/outbreak levels. Adjacency or Identification Concerns Sub-alpine woodland occurs above this type. This PNVG may be similar to the PNVG R0SPFI from the Northern Central Rockies model zone. Sources of Scale Data Literature Local Data ✓ Expert Estimate **Scale Description** Stands often occur as large patches on upper slopes and break into stringers or islands as elevation nears tree line. Issues/Problems Using Class B as the standard post-replacement structure leaves class A to be the non-standard, very slow

^{*}Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

to re-establish class.

Limitation to 5 boxes caused us some problems with this model. We wanted to show an early seral open condition that is persistent and fills in very slowly with conifers following a reburn of young stands. This is our box A (early1 open). Consequently, we did not include a late open condition because this seems to be relatively less common except as you begin to leave closed forests near the transition to alpine woodland. Given our cover breaks for closed versus open, we figured most late structure would also have over 40% canopy cover. We used many of the probabilities and disturbances from the original FRCC SPFI1 model, after checking them for validity for our region. We did think that insect and disease events are more common in our area than in the original FRCC SPFI1 model.

Model Evolution and Comments

	Succession Cl	lasses**			
Succession classes are the equivalent of '		efined in the Int	eragency FRCC Guide	book (www.frcc.gov).	
Class A 3%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Early1 Open	PICO		Max		
Description	VASC VAME BROM	Cover	5 %	10 %	
		Height	no data	no data	
Openings and meadows following stand replacement fire. Very		Tree Size Cla			
poorly stocked with mostly lodgepole pine. Slow to fill in with lodgepole pine, frosty, lots of shrubs. Trees 0-5" DBH. Dominant understory species include grouse whortleberry, big huckleberry, bromes, and sedges.		Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
nuckieserry, bromes, and sedges.					
	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Da	ita (for upper layer	lifeform)	
Class B 22%			Min	Max	
Class B 22 % Early1 Closed	Canopy Position	Cover			
Class B 22 % Early1 Closed Description	Canopy Position	Cover Height	Min 10 % no data	Max	
Class B 22 % Early1 Closed Description Young lodgepole pine stand that	Canopy Position	Cover	Min 10 % no data	<i>Max</i> 80 %	
Class B 22 % Early1 Closed Description	Canopy Position	Cover Height Tree Size Cla	Min 10 % no data	Max 80 % no data	

Class C	25%	Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
) (14 G)		PICO		Min	Max		
Mid1 Closed Description Mid-sized lodgepole stand, closed canopy. Eventually, a few subalpine fir and Engelmann spruce begin to show. Trees 6-15" DBH.		ABLA	Cover	40 %	80 %		
		PIEN	Height	no data	no data		
		ABGR	Tree Size Class no data				
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	n dominant lifeform. feform are:				
Class D	20%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Mid1 Open		PICO		Min	Max		
<u>Description</u>		ABLA	Cover	10 %	40 %		
	daenole nine, onen	PIEN	Height	no data	no data		
Mid-sized lodgepole pine, open canopy with some spruce and fir filling in. Trees 6-15" DBH. Dominant understory species include grouse whortleberry, big huckleberry, bromes, and sedges. Fools huckleberry occurs to the north.		ABGR	Tree Size C	Class no data			
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class E	30%	Dominant Species* and	Structure I	Data (for upper layer l	<u>lifeform)</u>		
Late1 Closed	I	Canopy Position ABLA		Min	Max		
Description	1	PIEN	Cover	40 %	80 %		
	lanca Engalmann	ABGR	Height	no data	no data		
-	lense, Engelmann	ABGK NVEG	Tree Size Class no data				
spruce and subalpine fir. Trees 15+" DBH.		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				

Disturbances

<u>Disturbances Modeled</u>	Fire Regime Group: 4							
✓ Fire	I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity							
✓ Insects/Disease	II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity							
☐Wind/Weather/Stress	IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity							
☐ Native Grazing								
✓ Competition								
Other:	Fire Intervals (FI)							
Other	Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of							
Historical Fire Size (acres)	fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the							
Avg: no data	inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.							
Min: no data								
Max: no data								
Sources of Fire Posime Data		Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires		
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	135	80	270	0.00741	84		
✓ Literature	Mixed	700	285	1E+04	0.00143	16		
Local Data	Surface							
Expert Estimate	All Fires	113			0.00885			
	_	_						

References

Agee, James K. 1993. Fire ecology of Pacific Northwest forests. Washington, DC: Island Press. 493 p.

Crowe, E.; Clausnitzer, R. 1997. Mid-montane wetland plant associations of the Malheur, Umatilla and Wallowa-Whitman National Forests. R6-NR-ECOL-TP-22-97. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region. 299 p.

Heyerdahl, Emily K. and James K. Agee. 1996. Historical fire regimes of four sites in the Blue Mountains, Oregon and Washington. Final Report, University of Washington, Seattle. 173 p.

Hopkins, W.E. 1979a. Plant associations of the Fremont National Forest. USDA Forest Service R6 Ecol 79-004. Pacific Northwest Region, Portland Oergon. 106 p., illus.

Hopkins, W.E. 1979b. Plant associations of the south Chiloquin and Klamath Ranger Districts, Winema National Forest. USDA Forest ServiceR6 Ecol 79-005. Pacific Northwest Region, Portland, Oregon. 96 p., illus

Johnson, C.G. and Clausnitzer, R.R. 1992. Plant associations of the Blue and Ochoco Mountains. P6-ERW-TP-036-92. Portland, OR: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Reigion. 164 pp + appendices.

Johnson, C.G. and Simon, S.A. 1986. Plant associations of the Wallowa-Snake province. R6-ECOL-TP-255b-86. Portland, OR: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Reigion. 272 pp + appendices.

Lillybridge, T.R.; Kovalchik, B.L.; Williams, C.K.; Smith, B.G. 1995. Field guide for forested plant associations of the Wenatchee National Forest. PNW-GTR-359. Portland, OR: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Experiment Station. 337 p.

Mauroka, K.R. 1994. Fire history of Pseudotsuga menziesii and Abies grandis stands in the Blue Mountains of Oregon and Washington. M.S. Thesis, University of Washington, Seattle, WA. 73 p.

Volland, L.A. 1988. Plant communities of the central Oregon pumice zone. R-6 Area Guide 4-2. Portland, OR: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Reigion. 113 pp + appendices.