Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) R#PIJEsp Pine Savannah - Ultramafic General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Tom DeMeo tdemeo@fs.fed.us Tom Atzet jatzet@budget.net Diane White dewhite01@fs.fed.us Jim Merzenich imerzenich@fs.fed.us **Vegetation Type General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **✓** Literature Woodland California **✓** Pacific Northwest Local Data Great Basin South Central **✓** Expert Estimate **Dominant Species*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians PIJE **LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest PIMO 1 8 N-Cent.Rockies **PSME** 2 9

Geographic Range

ABMA

This woodland type occurs in Southwest Oregon and Northern California on serpentine soils derived from ultramafic rocks.

Biophysical Site Description

These dry sites are easily recognized due to the serpentine soils, and are more pronounced on southern aspects. At elevations from 200 to 3500 ft ASL, the sites will likely be dominated by Jeffrey pine. White pine occurs at 5000-7000 feet. Soils are usually shallow, and surface rock averages 8-27 percent. However, the defining character for the soil is the mineral nutrition rather than its depth.

This type represents about 20 percent of the total range of Jeffrey pine.

7

Vegetation Description

Savanna woodland that can be divided into two subtypes: Jeffrey pine and western white pine.

Plant associations PIJE/ARCA5/FEID, PIJE/CECU/FEID. PIJE/FEID. -- Jeffrey pine subtype associated with incense-cedar and Douglas-fir. Herbaceous layer strongly dominated by grasses, notably Idaho fescue, and serpentine-adapted herbs. Occasional ceanothus and manzanita.

Plant association PIMO3/XETE. -- White pine subtype conifer associates include Shasta red fir. Understory dominated by beargrass, with a diversity of herb species common. Herbaceous layer strongly dominated by grasses, notably Idaho fescue, and serpentine-adapted herbs. Occasional ceanothus and manzanita.

Disturbance Description

Historically, these woodland types had frequent low-severity fire (Fire Regime I). However, now there is higher susceptibility to stand replacing fire because of fire exclusion.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Also found in northern California on similar sites. These woodlands are usually found within a matrix of mixed conifer and mixed evergreen stands. However, their identity lies in the soil conditions, rather than envirnmental gradients.

This PNVG may be similar to the PNVG R1PIJE from the California model zone.

| Scale Description | Sources of Scale Da | t a Lite | rature Local Data | ✓ Expert Estimate | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Regionally a relatively small part of the landscape, but of great value for plant diversity. Patches in thousands of acres. However, disturbance patches were occasionally smaller in mixed severity fires. | | | | | | | | | |
| Issues/Problems Other disturbances in this type include wind-weather-stress, insects-disease, and competition-lack of seed. However, these disturbances were not modeled in VDDT. | | | | | | | | | |
| Model Evolution and Comments Note this type is defined as only occurring on ultramafic geology model does not apply to Jeffrey pine on other areas. | | | | | | | | | |
| One reviewer suggests that the range of fire frequency be qualified by the biomass productivity, which is keyed to soil chemistry. Furthermore, this PNVG is considered a 'woodland' type, but it includes some sites that are dominated by shrubs. | | | | | | | | | |
| [Throughout the model, replacement fires reset to Class A, and surface fires recycle into the same class.] | | | | | | | | | |
| Succession Classes** Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov). | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Class A 15% | Dominant Species* and | Structure | e Data (for upper layer l | lifeform) | | | | | |
| 10 /0 | Canopy Position | Structure | e Data (for upper layer l | lifeform) Max | | | | | |
| Early1 PostRep | Canopy Position PIJE | <u>Structur</u> | | | | | | | |
| Early1 PostRep Description | Canopy Position PIJE PIMO | Cover Height | Min 0 % no data | Max | | | | | |
| Early1 PostRep Description Scattered Jeffrey pine and/or white | Canopy Position PIJE | Cover | Min 0 % no data | <i>Max</i> 30 % | | | | | |
| Early1 PostRep Description | Canopy Position PIJE PIMO | Cover Height Tree Size | Min 0 % no data | Max 30 % no data | | | | | |
| Early1 PostRep Description Scattered Jeffrey pine and/or white pine and incense-cedar seedlings and saplings with herbaceous | Canopy Position PIJE PIMO CADE2 Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub | Cover Height Tree Size | Min 0 % no data c Class no data layer lifeform differs from | Max 30 % no data | | | | | |
| Early1 PostRep Description Scattered Jeffrey pine and/or white pine and incense-cedar seedlings and saplings with herbaceous | Canopy Position PIJE PIMO CADE2 Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree | Cover Height Tree Size Upper Height | Min 0 % no data c Class no data layer lifeform differs from | Max 30 % no data n dominant lifeform. feform are: | | | | | |
| Early1 PostRep Description Scattered Jeffrey pine and/or white pine and incense-cedar seedlings and saplings with herbaceous understory. | Canopy Position PIJE PIMO CADE2 Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data Dominant Species* and | Cover Height Tree Size Upper Height | Min 0 % no data e Class no data layer lifeform differs from and cover of dominant lies e Data (for upper layer Min | Max 30 % no data n dominant lifeform. feform are: | | | | | |
| Early1 PostRep Description Scattered Jeffrey pine and/or white pine and incense-cedar seedlings and saplings with herbaceous understory. Class B 45 % | Canopy Position PIJE PIMO CADE2 Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data Dominant Species* and Canopy Position | Cover Height Tree Size Upper Height | Min 0 % no data e Class no data layer lifeform differs from and cover of dominant li | Max 30 % no data n dominant lifeform. feform are: | | | | | |

Tree Size Class no data

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform.

Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

PSME

Upper Layer Lifeform

Fuel Model no data

Herbaceous

Shrub Tree

Mixed stands of Jeffrey pine and/or

white pine with other conifers, typically incense-cedar and

Douglas-fir. Park-like.

| Class C | 40% | Dominant Species* and Canopy Position PIJE PIMO | Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) | | | |
|--|-------|--|---|---------------|---------|--|
| | 10 70 | | | Min | Max | |
| Late1 Open | | | Cover 10 % | | 40 % | |
| Description Scattered large Jeffrey pine/white pine maintained by frequent low intensity fire. | | FIMO | Height | no data | no data | |
| | | | Tree Size Class no data | | | |
| | | Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data | Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: | | | |
| Class D Late1 Open Description | 0% | Dominant Species* and Canopy Position | Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) | | | |
| | | | Min | | Max | |
| | | | Cover | % | % | |
| | | | Height | no data | no data | |
| | | | Tree Size | Class no data | | |
| | | Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data | Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: | | | |
| Class E | 0% | Dominant Species* and Canopy Position | Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) | | | |
| Late1 Closed Description | | Callopy Position | | Min | Max | |
| | | | Cover | 0 % | % | |
| | | | Height | no data | no data | |
| | | | Tree Size | Class no data | | |
| | | Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree | Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: | | | |
| | | Fuel Model no data | | | | |
| | | Disturban | ces | | | |

| <u>Disturbances Modeled</u> | Fire Regime Group: 1 | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------|--------|--------|-------------|----------------------|--|
| ✓ Fire ☐ Insects/Disease ☐ Wind/Weather/Stress ☐ Native Grazing ☐ Competition | I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity | | | | | | |
| Other: | Fire Intervals (FI) | | | | | | |
| Other | Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of | | | | | | |
| Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: no data Min: no data | fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise. | | | | | | |
| Max: no data | | · | | | | | |
| Sources of Fire Regime Data | | Avg FI | Min FI | Max FI | Probability | Percent of All Fires | |
| | Replacement | 200 | 100 | 300 | 0.005 | 7 | |
| Literature | Mixed | | | | | | |
| ☐Local Data | Surface | 15 | 10 | 20 | 0.06667 | 93 | |
| ✓ Expert Estimate | All Fires 14 0.07168 | | | | | | |

References

Atzet, T., D.E. White, L.A. McCrimmon, P.A. Martinez. P.R. Fong. and V.D. Randall. 1996. Field guide to the forested plant associations of Southwestern Oregon. Portland, OR: USDA For. Serv. Tech. Pap. R6-NR-ECOL-TP-17-96.

Burns, R.M., and B.H. Honkala. 1990. Silvics of North America: Vol. 1, conifers. Washington, DC: USDA For. Serv. Ag. Handbook 654, 675 pp