# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

#### Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) R#MCONdy Mixed Conifer - Eastside Dry General Information **Contributors** (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Miles Hemstrom mhemstrom@fs.fed.us Dave Swanson dkswanson@fs.fed.us Ed Uebler euebler@fs.fed.us Rex Crawford rex.crawford@wadnr.gov Bill McArthur wmcarthur@fs.fed.us Jim Merzenich imerzenich@fs.fed.us **General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **Vegetation Type** Literature Forested ✓ Pacific Northwest California Local Data Great Basin South Central **✓** Expert Estimate **Dominant Species\*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians **PIPO LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest **ABGR** 8 1 N-Cent.Rockies **PSME** 2 9 **ABCO** 7

# Geographic Range

Eastside Cascades Oregon and Washington, Blue Mountains Oregon and Washington, Ochoco Mountains Oregon, Wallowa-Snake province in Oregon/Washington

#### **Biophysical Site Description**

Elevation range in eastside Oregon about 2400 feet to about 6500 feet, but most stands occur between 3500 and 5000 feet. Elevation range in Washington Cascades somewhat lower, typically ranging 1000 to 4000 feet

This forest type occurs just above ponderosa types on a moisture gradient.

# **Vegetation Description**

Ponderosa pine overstory is typical in fire-maintained stands. Older stands tend to be of large, widely spaced ponderosa pine. Some areas have more Douglas fir on these dry sites, especially to the north, where grand fir drops out and PIPO becomes less dominant. Early seral forests are often open stands of mostly ponderosa pine. Lack of wildfire causes fill in of understory conifers, mainly ponderosa pine, Douglas-fir, and grand fir. Western larch is locally important.

# **Disturbance Description**

Typical disturbance regimes under natural conditions include frequent, low-intensity under- burns that maintain open stands of fire resistant trees. Much more infrequent mixed-severity and stand replacement wildfire occurred and tended to generate mosaics of older, larger trees and younger regeneration. Endemic bark beetles produced patch mortality. Rarer epidemic bark beetle outbreaks caused larger-scale overstory mortality and released understory trees. Defoliator outbreaks also caused fir mortality in some areas. Root diseases may play a significant role in later seral forests in this environment.

#### **Adjacency or Identification Concerns**

This PNVG occurs below the mesic MCON (fir dominated) forest types, and often occurs above mesic ponderosa forests.

This PNVG includes the following plant association groups: PIPO/elk sedge, PIPO/pinegrass, PIPO/snowberry, PIPO/ninebark and similar types, PSME with the same associated species list, grand fir with similar associated species, white fir with similar associated species. It does not include more mesic PSME (e.g. PSME/oceanspray, PSME/ACGL, PSME/CLUN, PSME/huckleberry, and similar moist types). White fir occurs in this type south of about Bend in Oregon.

## **Scale Description**

Sources of Scale Data	Literature	Local Data	✓ Expert Estimate

Dry mixed conifer forests that often occur in large areas (hundreds to thousands of acres) that, due to fire and insect disturbances, often contained mosaics of older, larger trees and smaller trees.

#### Issues/Problems

Landfire should map a more PSME dominated dry forest to the north, esp. north of Wenatchee.

There are differing opinions on this type. Dave Swanson proposed an extended shrub dominated stage. Jim Merzenich observed that the current model does not explain why the mid-open condition has one-fourth the probability of replacement fires than the late stages. This model is recommended for further refinement. One anonymous reviewer commented that the model shows a northern bias, and has overlooked how the type changes species to the south end of its range (Abco replacing Abgr, etc.)

#### **Model Evolution and Comments**

Beth Willhite (bwillhite@fs.fed.us) also helped build the model. This type is similar to PPDF1 in the RA book. Our size breaks are based on dominant and co-dominant trees.

Class A 15%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure	Data (for upper I	ayer lifeform)
Early1 PostRep	PIPO		Min	Max
, ,	PSME LAOC CAGE2	Cover	5 %	20 %
<u>Description</u>		Height	no data	no data
Open stand of ponderosa pine seedlings mixed with grasses and		Tree Size	Class no data	
shrubs. Early seral dominant species include, ceanothus, scoule willow, bull thistle, Bromus, some sedges and grasses.	Upper Layer Lifeform  Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:		

Class B 1 %	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Mid1 Closed	PIPO			Min	Max
Description	PSME	Cover		40 %	80 %
Closed stands of 5" to 20" DBH	LAOC	Height	0,	no data	no data
early seral tree species. Forests in	ABGR	Tree Size	e Class	no data	
10% canopy closure even in closed, dense conditions.	Upper Layer Lifeform  Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
Class C 30%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Mid1 Open	PIPO			Min	Max
Description	PSME	Cover		10 %	40 %
Open stands of 5" to 20" DBH	LAOC	Height		no data	no data
early seral tree species. Dominant	ABGR	Tree Size	Class	no data	
understory plants include elk sedge, pinegrass, common snowberry, rose, mountain mahogany (wetter), heartleaf arnica, lupines.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
Class D 40%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure	Data (1	for upper lay	/er lifeform)
Late1 Open	PIPO			Min	Max
Description	PSME	Cover		10 %	40 %
Open stands of 20+" DBH early	LAOC	Height		no data	no data
seral tree species. Dominant	ABGR	Tree Size	Class	no data	
understory plants include elk sedge, pinegrass, common snowberry, rose, mountain mahogany (wetter), heartleaf arnica, lupines.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifefor Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
Class E 14%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure	Data (1		ver lifeform)
Late 1 Closed	PIPO			Min	Max
<u>Description</u>	PSME	Cover		40 %	80 %
Closed stands of 20+" DBH early	ABGR	Height		no data	no data
seral tree species. Forests in this	LAOC	Tree Size	Class	no data	
NVG rarely if ever exceed 80% anopy closure even in closed, ense conditions.	Upper Layer Lifeform  Herbaceous Shrub Tree				from dominant lifeform. Int lifeform are:
	Fuel Model no data				

#### Disturbances **Disturbances Modeled** Fire Regime Group: **✓** Fire I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity ✓ Insects/Disease III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity **✓** Wind/Weather/Stress IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity Native Grazing V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity **✓** Competition

## Fire Intervals (FI)

Replacement

Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are

estimates and not precise.

Historical Fire Size (acres)
Avg: no data

Min: no data Max: no data

Other:

Other

# Sources of Fire Regime Data

<b>✓</b> Literature	
✓ Local Data	
<b>✓</b> Expert Estimate	

Mixed	75	70	175	0.01333	21
Surface	25	20	35	0.04	64
All Fires	16			0.06203	

Max FI

200

Probability

0.0087

Percent of All Fires

14

#### References

Avg FI

115

Min FI

70

Crowe, E.; Clausnitzer, R. 1997. Mid-montane wetland plant associations of the Malheur, Umatilla and Wallowa-Whitman National Forests. R6-NR-ECOL-TP-22-97. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region. 299 p.

Heyerdahl, Emily K. and James K. Agee. 1996. Historical fire regimes of four sites in the Blue Mountains, Oregon and Washington. Final Report, University of Washington, Seattle. 173 p

Johnson, C.G. and Clausnitzer, R.R. 1992. Plant associations of the Blue and Ochoco Mountains. P6-ERW-TP-036-92. Portland, OR: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Reigion. 164 pp + appendices.

Johnson, C.G. and Simon, S.A. 1986. Plant associations of the Wallowa-Snake province. R6-ECOL-TP-255b-86. Portland, OR: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Reigion. 272 pp + appendices.

Hopkins, W.E. 1979a. Plant associations of the Fremont National Forest. USDA Forest Service R6 Ecol 79-004. Pacific Northwest Region, Portland Oergon. 106 p., illus.

Hopkins, W.E. 1979b. Plant associations of the south Chiloquin and Klamath Ranger Districts, Winema National Forest. USDA Forest ServiceR6 Ecol 79-005. Pacific Northwest Region, Portland, Oregon. 96 p., illus.

Mauroka, K.R. 1994. Fire history of Pseudotsuga menziesii and Abies grandis stands in the Blue Mountains of Oregon and Washington. M.S. Thesis, University of Washington, Seattle, WA. 73 p.

Volland, L.A. 1988. Plant communities of the central Oregon pumice zone. R-6 Area Guide 4-2. Portland, OR: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Reigion. 113 pp + appendices.