## Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

#### Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) R4PRTGn **Northern Tallgrass Prairie** General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Jim Drake Daryl Smith jim drake@natureserve.org daryl.smith@uni.edu Jim Decoster jim\_decoster@nps.gov **Vegetation Type General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **✓** Literature Grassland California Pacific Northwest Local Data Great Basin South Central **✓** Expert Estimate **Dominant Species\*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians SPHE ANGE **LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** ✓ Northern Plains Southwest PAVI2 STSP2 N-Cent.Rockies **KOMA**

#### **Geographic Range**

**ELTRS** 

This type is located in and around the Red River Valley in eastern North Dakota, northwestern Minnesota, and southern Manitoba. This type encompasses Bailey's Ecoregion 251, but extends further west to the James River.

#### **Biophysical Site Description**

Soils are typically deep mollisols. Surface soil textures are primarily loam, silt loam, and silty clay loam and the landscape is level to gently rolling. Glacial activity shaped the landscape of this type and landforms of the region reflect this. They are primarily glacial lakeplains, alluvial outwash fans, beach ridges. Precipitation ranges from 17-25 inches.

#### **Vegetation Description**

This system is dominated by the common tallgrass species Andropogon gerardii and Panicum virgatum (Weaver 1954). The northern character of this type is reflected in the lesser abundance of Sorghastrum nutans compared to tallgrass prairies further south (Carpenter 1940, Smeins and Olsen 1967) and the generally higher abundance of species such as Elymus trachycaulus ssp. Subsecundus, Elymus canadensis, Koeleria macrantha, Stipa spartea, and Sporobolus heterolepis. The grasses are characteristically tall (~1-2 meters) and canopy cover is dense. Wetter areas and lowlands have species such as Spartina pectinatus and Carex spp. Scattered trees and shrubs (e.g. Populus spp., Quercus spp., Salix spp., Alnus spp., Corylus spp.) occur in this type with more in the more mesic eastern portions. In the west more cool season and midgrasses become more dominant (i.e. Pascopyrum smithii).

#### **Disturbance Description**

Fire was the dominant disturbance in this type. Fire return interval was short with stand replacement fires occurring approximately every 6 years on average. Herbivory by large mammals was also a factor in shaping the character of the Northern Tallgrass Prairie. Large mammals preferentially grazed recently burned sites keeping the vegetation low and providing fire breaks across the landscape. Without

40

disturbance, most sites with Northern Tallgrass Prairie will succeed to shrubland or woodland.

#### **Adjacency or Identification Concerns**

This type is similar to the Central Tallgrass Prairie (R4PRTGc) which abuts it to the south. It grades into the Northern Mixed Prairie (R4PRMGn) to the west, and into Oak Savanna (R4OASA), and aspen parklands to the east.

#### **Scale Description**

Sources of Scale Data Literature Local Data Expert Estimate

Disturbance varied widely in size. Fires ranged from local (tens of acres) to landscape level (thousands of acres).

#### Issues/Problems

There is limited information specifically on the northern tallgrass prairie areas versus the tallgrass prairie in general. We used some tallgrass prairie information, modifying it for the estimated effects of higher latitudes. Our estimates of disturbance patch size and effect of grazing need review, especially.

#### **Model Evolution and Comments**

#### Succession Classes\*\* Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov). Dominant Species\* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class A 15% **Canopy Position** Min Max Early1 All Struct ANGE Upper Cover 0% 70% PAVI2 Upper **Description** Heiaht no data Herb Tall > 1m Post fire community from Tree Size Class no data STSPE Upper immediately after a replacement **Upper Layer Lifeform** fire until one year after the fire. Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. **✓** Herbaceous Immediately following the fire, Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Shrub there are no above ground plants Tree and no litter. Plants emerge within weeks and by the end of the year Fuel Model 1 the grasses range from 1-1.75 meters high. Ideally, this stage would only last 3 months, but the model constrains the time interval to be at least one year. The modelers had trouble with this model working - particularly its transition to Class C, so they made this class last 2 years to make the model function properly. Dominant species are those common to the Northern Tallgrass Prairie - Andropogon gerardii, Panicum virgatum, Koeleria macrantha, and Stipa spartea. Forbs, such as Zigadenus elegans, are more abundant in the immediate post-fire vegetation

#### Class B 44 %

Mid1 Closed

#### **Description**

Class B is the successional state from Class A in absence of fire, grazing, or other disturbance. The structure is more closed and taller, there is some thatch buildup but this is still limited due to the limited time since fire. Tall grasses are dominant, woody vegetation (shrubs and trees) are widely scattered and limited to micro sites that escaped fire or to mature fire resistant trees. Both of these occur more commonly in the eastern parts of this Type. Dominant species are those common to the Northern Tallgrass Prairie - Andropogon gerardii, Panicum virgatum, Sorghastrum nutans, and Stipa spartea.

## Dominant Species\* and Canopy Position

ANGE Upper PAVI2 Upper SONU2 Upper STSPE Upper

#### Upper Layer Lifeform

Herbaceous
Shrub
Tree

Fuel Model 3

#### Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

		Min	Max
Cover		80 %	100 %
Height	Herb Tall > 1m		Herb Tall > 1m
Tree Size Class		no data	<u> </u>

ullet Upper layer lifeform dif	ffers from dominant lifeform
Height and cover of do	minant lifeform are:

#### Class C 15%

## Mid1 Open **Description**

Post-grazing stage with grasses and forbs grazed and kept to a low stature. Graminoids are abundant but the forb component is higher in this stage than others. There were a wide diversity of early successional forbs, such as Artemisia ludoviciana and Ambrosia artemisifolia. The graminoid species common to this Type, Andropogon gerardii, Panicum virgatum, Koeleria macrantha, and Stipa spartea, are still present but much shorter and in somewhat less abundance.

# Dominant Species\* and Canopy Position

ARLU Upper ANGE Upper PAVI2 Upper AMAR Upper

#### Upper Layer Lifeform

Herbaceous
Shrub
Tree

#### Fuel Model 1

#### Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

		Min	Max
Cover		50 %	80 %
Height	Herb Short <0.5m		Herb Medium 0.5-0.9m
Tree Size Class		no data	

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform
Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

#### Class D 22%

Late1 Closed

#### **Description**

Late-stage tall grass prairie. Sites in this class are beyond the normal fire return interval. Litter buildup is high and fires in this class would likely be intense. Woody vegetation is still limited but increases with time since fire. This class will have more of a woody component than other classes except class E. Dominant species are those common to the Northern Tallgrass Prairie - Andropogon gerardii, Koeleria macrantha, Panicum virgatum, and Stipa spartea.

## Dominant Species\* and Canopy Position

ANGE Upper PAVI2 Upper

STSPE Upper

#### **Upper Layer Lifeform**

Herbaceous
Shrub
Tree

Fuel Model 3

#### Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

		Min	Max
Cover		90 %	100 %
Height	Her	b Tall > 1m	Herb Tall > 1m
Tree Size Class		no data	

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform.
Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

#### Class E 4%

# Late1 All Structu <u>Description</u>

Shrub/scrub where woody species have been able to become dominant through lack of disturbance (fire and/or intense grazing). Grazing disturbance is replaced by browsing. Quaking aspen (Populus tremuloides), bur oak (Quercus macrocarpa), willow (Salix spp.), hazel (Corylus spp.), and alder (Alnus spp.) are the most common and can form dense thickets, although the bur oak and quaking aspen are small in size and make up 2-5% of the class. trees Grasses are still abundant in the understory and include Andropogon gerardii, Panicum virgatum, Koeleria macrantha, and Stipa spartea.

# Dominant Species\* and Canopy Position

POTR5 Upper QUMA Upper SALIX Upper ANGE Middle

#### Upper Layer Lifeform

☐ Herbaceous
☐ Shrub
☐ Tree

### Fuel Model 2

#### Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	40 %	100 %
Height	Shrub Medium 1.0-2.9m	Tree Short 5-9m
Tree Size Class no data		

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform
Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

### **Disturbances**

#### **Disturbances Modeled** Fire Regime Group: **✓** Fire I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity ☐ Insects/Disease III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity Wind/Weather/Stress IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity ✓ Native Grazing Competition Other: Fire Intervals (FI) Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of Other fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and **Historical Fire Size (acres)** maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Avg: 10000 Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are Min: 10 estimates and not precise. Max: 100000 Max FI Min FI Probability Percent of All Fires Avg FI Sources of Fire Regime Data Replacement 6.5 25 0.15385 89 **✓** Literature Mixed 63 0.01587 9 ☐Local Data Surface 303 0.00330 2 **✓** Expert Estimate All Fires 6 0.17302

### References

Carpenter, J.R. 1940. The Grassland Biome. Ecological Monographs 10(4): 617-684.

Rowe, J.S. 1969. Lightning fires in Saskatchewan grasslands. Canadian Field Naturalist. 83: 317-327.

Smeins, F.E. and D.E. Olsen. 1967. Species Composition and Production of a Native Minnesota Tall Grass Prairie. American Midland Naturalist 84(2): 398-410.

Weaver, J.E. 1954. North American Prairie. Johnson Publishing Company, Lincoln, NE. 348 pp.

Wright, H.A. and A.W. Bailey. 1982. Fire Ecology: United States and Canada. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 501 pp.