# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)									
R4PRMGs	RMGs Southern Mixed Grass Prairie								
General Information									
<b>Contributors</b>	(additional co	ontributors may be listed under	"Model Evolution and Comments"	")					
<u>Modelers</u>									
Gerry Steinauer g		stein@hamilton.net	John Ortmann	jortmann@tnc.org					
Vegetation T	vpe	ntModel Zones							
Grassland		✓ Literature	California	Pacific Northwest					
		Local Data	Great Basin	South Central					
Dominant Species*		<ul> <li>Expert Estimate</li> </ul>	Great Lakes	Southeast					
BOGR	BUDA	LANDEIRE Manning 7	Northeast	S. Appalachians					
ANGE	STCO4		■ Northern Plains	s Southwest					
SCHIZ	PASM	30	N-Cent.Rockie	S					
BOCU	KOEL	22							

# **Geographic Range**

The southern mixed grass prairie ranges from central Nebraska (south of the Nebraska Sandhills) south to northern Oklahoma. It is bordered on the east by tallgrass prairie. In Kansas it is bordered by short grass prairie in the west. In Nebraska it is bordered on the west by Sandhills, Sandsage, and western mixed grass prairie.

# **Biophysical Site Description**

The loessal plains and hills regions of central Nebraska and Kansas are included in this region as well as the Red Hills region of south-central Kansas and northern Oklahoma. The semi-arid region is characterized by seasonal moisture and temperature extremes of a continental climate. Elevation ranges from 1300 - 4000 feet. Precipitation ranges from 12-32 inches with 2/3 occurring during the growing season. Regional droughts are common.

## **Vegetation Description**

This community is dominated by a mixture of tall (1-2 m) and mid (0.5 - 1 m) grasses with an understory of shortgrasses (<0.5 m). Tallgrasses, primarily big bluestem and Indiangrass, are more abundant on lower slopes and bottoms, mid (primarily little bluestem, western wheatgrass, sideoats grama, and Junegrass) and short grasses (primarily blue grama and buffalograss) dominate on upper slopes and ridge tops. Shortgrasses dominate heavily grazed sites. In presettlement times the shortgrasses and mid grasses were likely in presettlement times due to heavy bison grazing. Species diversity was moderate to high in the mixed grass prairie (Steinauer and Rolfsmeier 2003). Common forb species in the mixed grass prairie include western ragweed, fringed sage, prairie coneflower, scarlet globe mallow, scarlet gaura, and others. Shrubs and trees were a small component of the southern mixed grass prairie in presettlement times. Today exotic plants, such as smooth brome, cheatgrass, and Kentucky bluegrass and eastern red cedars are abundant in this prairie type. The exotics were not present in presettlement times and cedars were limited to fire-protected sites.

\*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

33

## **Disturbance Description**

There is no historical documentation on the actual extent or condition of native grasslands or the frequency of fire before 1850. A presumed fire return cycle of 5-10 years was estimated for the southern mixed grass prairie (Joern and Keeler 1995). Fires were set by both lightning and Native Americans (Bragg and Steuter 1995). Most of the dominant grass species were fire-tolerant, although they may require two to three years to recover (Launchbaugh 1973, Nagel 1983). Fires were most frequent where litter accumulation was the greatest. Most grasses tolerate fire during years of normal to above normal precipitation, but are adversely affected during dry years (Wright 1974). In the absence of burning and grazing and the concomitant increase in mulch, significant reductions in the dominant grasses (e.g. blue grama, buffalograss and sideoat grama occurs in Kansas and Oklahoma mixed grass prairie while other species (e.g. sedges and tall dropseed) increase (Nagel 1994). In most instances, mid- and tallgrasses decrease with grazing while shortgrasses, especially buffalograss, increase as much as 90% in the Kansas and Oklahoma mixed grass prairie (Bragg and Steuter 1995). While heavy grazing reduces standing crop, moderate grazing may only slightly reduce, or even increase production over ungrazed areas (Tomanek and Albertson 1957). Ungrazed areas accumulate litter and may eventually cause stand degeneration. Prairie dogs were a common disturbance in the mixed grass prairie, their total presettlement abundance is unknown. For this model it is estimated that prairie dogs occupied 30% of the heavily grazed landscape (Class B). The presence of prairie dogs created or maintained a short vegetation stature, often composed of more weedy species. Prairie dogs are represented by Option 1 in the model. Longer term drought is not uncommon in the region. Using NOWA precipitation data, it is estimated for this model that drought occurred every ten years within the region. In the model, drought maintains Class B communities in that state, while Class C and D communities drop to the lower class. Longer term drought shifted the vegetation to short stature species (Weaver and Albertson 1956). Exotic grass and eastern red cedar invasion is a common disturbance in southern mixed grass prairie today, however these invasive species did not impact the presettlement prairie and their impact was not included in the model. Light to moderate grazing in the model is represented by Option 2. Heavy grazing is represented by the native grazing option.

# Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Ungrazed sites, particularly eastward, may be dominated by big bluestem to the extent they are difficult to distinguish from tallgrass prairie. Heavily grazed sites are dominated by shortgrasses and may be difficult to distinguish from shortgrass prairie.

This PNVG may be similar to the PNVGs R3PGRs from the Southwest model zone and R5PRSG from the South Central model zone.

### **Scale Description**

# Sources of Scale Data 🖌 Literature 🗌 Local Data 🖌 Expert Estimate

Fires ranged in size from small 1 acre or less burns that might occur with lightning strikes during the growing season to large scale fire events that might reach 5 million acres in size. Average fire size was likely around 1000 acres in size.

### **Issues/Problems**

Research and published literature on fire frequency is extremely limited for this PNV.

### **Model Evolution and Comments**

Ortmann in his review suggested rocky mountain locust outbreaks are another disturbance factor, although return interval is unknown.

# Succession Classes\*\*

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

#### Class A 10%

Early1 All Struct

# Description

Class A represents a post fire, early development, open canopy community. Blackened soil with ash is present. Short, mid and even tallgrasses can be present but they are resprouting and of short stature. This community has a very low likelihood of burning, except possibly in the fall when vegetation has had some regrowth. These sites are very attractive to native grazers after some regrowth is present, and are subject to heavy grazing the year after a burn.

## Class B

# Mid1 Clos Description Class B rep

heavily gr Grazing ha to a shortdominated buffalogra may be pro expressed abundance by grazing develop th Fuel build and the promoderately continue o years. The and grazin dogs furth community model that 20% of thi few years to decreas after 3-4 years convert grass (Class C) community.

Dominant Species* and						
Canopy Position						
BOGR2	Upper					
ANGR	Upper					
BOCU	Upper					
SCHIZ4	Upper					

### Upper Layer Lifeform

✓ Herbaceous Shrub Tree

Fuel Model 1

0%

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

Min

Cover 0% Height no data Herb Short <0.5m Tree Size Class no data

Max

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

40 %	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)						
sed	BOGR2 Lower			Min	Max			
n	BUDA Lower	Cover	75 %		100 %			
<u>u</u>		Height Herb Short <0.5m		Herb Short <0.5m				
azed community.		Tree Size	e Class	no data				
as driven this community	Upper Layer Lifeform	✓ Upper	layer life	form differs fron	n dominant lifeform.			
stature community	✓ Herbaceous	Height	and cov	er of dominant l	ifeform are:			
by blue grama and	Shrub	Domin	form is short a	and mid-grass				
iss. Mid- and tallgrasses	□Tree	species. The is the possibility in areas that						
due to these lasses		tallgra	sses are	the dominant	species.			
and low statum induced								
This community can	Fuel Model 1							
e vear after burning.								
-up is low due to grazing								
obability of fire is								
y low. Grazing can								
on the site for several								
short-stature vegetation								
ig may attract prairie								
er perpetuating this								
y. It is estimated for the								
t prairie dogs occupy								
is community. Over a								
grazing pressure is likely								
e on the site and will								
ears convert to a mixed								

\*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

# Class C 45 %

### Mid2 Closed Description

Class C represents a middevelopment, closed canopy community. A post-fire (Class A) community will go to this community without grazing. A Class B community will go to Class C in a few years without heavy disturbance. This is a classic mid grass community dominated by little bluestem, sideoats grama, western wheatgrass, needle-andthread, but short grasses are well developed in the understory and tallgrasses may be scattered mostly on wetter sites. Light to moderate grazing will maintain this community over time. About three years without disturbance and this community will accumulate litter, tallgrasses may become somewhat more prominent and the class will go to Class D. Fuel is present in this community and it will burn more frequently than Class B, but not as frequently as Class D.

longer. Dense litter makes this community susceptible to fire and not attractive to large grazers or

prairie dogs.

# is is a classic mid y dominated by sideoats grama,

### Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

		Min	Max				
Cover		90 %	100 %				
Height	Herb	Short <0.5m	Herb Medium 0.5-0.9m				
Tree Size Class		no data					

### Upper Layer Lifeform

Dominant Species\* and

Canopy Position BOGR2 Lower

BOCU Upper

SCHIZ4 Upper

PASM Upper

Herbaceous
Shrub
Tree

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class D	5%	<u>Dominant</u> Canopy P	<u>Species* and</u>	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Latel Closed		PASM	Lower Upper Middle Middle		Min		Max	
Description		ANGE		Cover	90 %		100 %	
Description		SCUI74		Height	Herb Medium 0.5-0.9m		Herb Tall > 1m	
Class D is a closed canopy community with somewhat taller vegetation stature and fairly dense litter accumulation. The species composition is similar to Class C though shortgrasses may be somewhat less common and tallgrasses somewhat more common. This community has		BOCU		Tree Size	e Class			
		Upper Layer Lifeform ✓ Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model 1		<ul> <li>Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:</li> <li>Mid grasses likely dominate, though tallgrasses may dominate in limited areas.</li> </ul>				
undisturbed fo	r about 3 years or							

\*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Class E 0%	SSE 0% Dominant Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)						
Lata 1 All Structure	Canopy Position	Min			Max		
Later All Structu		Cover		%	%		
Description		Height	eight no data		no data		
		Tree Size	e Class	no data			
	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifefor Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Disturbances							
Disturbances Modeled	Fire Begime Group: 2	1					
<ul> <li>✓ Fire</li> <li>☐ Insects/Disease</li> <li>✓ Wind/Weather/Stress</li> <li>✓ Native Grazing</li> <li>☐ Competition</li> </ul>	I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity						
✓ Other: Prairie dogs Fire Intervals (FI)							
✓ Other moderate grazing Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: 1000 Min: 1 Max: 5000000	Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.						
Sources of Eiro Pagima Data	Avg FI	Min FI	Max Fl	Probability	Percent of All Fires		
	Replacement 9	1	10	0.11111	100		
✓ Literature	Mixed						
Local Data	Surface						
✓Expert Estimate	All Fires 9			0.11113			
References							

Bragg, T. and A. Steuter. 1995. Mixed Prairie of the North American Great Plains. Trans. 60th No. Am. Wildl. & Natur. Resour. Conf. Pages 335-348.

Joern, A. and K. Keeler. 1995. The Changing Prairie in North American Grasslands. Oxford University Press. New York. 241 pp.

Launchbaugh, J. L. 1964. Effects of early spring burning on yields of native vegetation. J. Range Management. 17: 5-6.

Nagel, H. G. 1983. Effect of spring burning date on mixed-prairie soil moisture, productivity and plant species composition. Pages 259-263 in C.L. Kucera, ed., Proceedings of the Seventh North American Prairie Conference. Southwest Missouri St. Univ., Springfield. 321 pp.

Nagel, H. G. 1994. Willa Cather Prairie: 17 years of vegetative change with limited grazing and fire. Fourteenth No. Amer. Prairie Conference. (abstract).

Steinauer, G. and S. Rolfsmeier. 2003. Terrestrial Natural Communities of Nebraska (Version III - June 30, 2003). Unpublished document of the Nebraska Game and Parks Commission. 162 pp.

<sup>\*</sup>Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Tomanek, G. W. and F. W. Albertson. 1957. Variations in cover, composition, production, and roots of vegetation in two prairies in western Kansas. Ecol. Monographs. 27: 267-281

Weaver, J. E. and F. W. Albertson. 1956. Grasslands of the Great Plains, Johnson Publ. Co., Lincoln, NE 395 pp.

Wright, H. A. 1974. Effect of fire on southern mixed prairie grasses. J. Range Manage. 27: 417-419

\*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.