# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

#### Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) **R7ROPI Rocky Outcrop Pine - Northeast** General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Erin Small Michael Batcher esmall@fs.fed.us mbatcher@netheaven.com Nort Phillips tphillips@fs.fed.us Rick Vollick rick vollick@fws.gov **General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **Vegetation Type ✓** Literature Woodland Pacific Northwest California Local Data Great Basin South Central **✓** Expert Estimate Southeast **Dominant Species\*** Great Lakes **✓** Northeast S. Appalachians PIRI **LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest **OUER** 65 N-Cent.Rockies **ERICA** 66 VACCI 64

# Geographic Range

Scattered areas throughout New England and New York

### **Biophysical Site Description**

This forest type occurred where geological formations formed rocky cliffs, outcroppings, and steep slopes, typically occurring at elevations between 800 and 2600 feet. The shrub layer may vary in composition with hydrology, with drier sites containing scrub oak and wetter sites (generally flat) containing more heaths. Granite substrate is commonly characteristic of these areas with soil depth > 20 cm. In the Shawangunks the substrate is composed largely of conglomerate and not granite.

## **Vegetation Description**

On these depauperate soils and xeric growing conditions, pitch pine (Pinus rigida), red pine (Pinus resinosa), or jack pine (Pinus banksiana) often dominated the canopy, which varied from relatively open and patchy to woodland depending on time since disturbance, serverity of conditions, and proximity to surrounding communities. Xerophytic oak species (Quercus spp.), especially northern red oak (Quercus rubra and Q. alba) and white oak with Chestnut Oak making up the major component, would also have shared growing space in the canopy with the pine. Occasional eastern white pine (Pinus strobus) may have co-existed with the other pines. Red maple (Acer pensylvanicum) and/or gray birch (Betula populifolia) may grow during early stages of these woodlands.

Some areas may have had a well- developed mid to lower heath (Ericeous spp.) layer, particularly increasing with time since disturbance. Species may have included brushy mountain-laurel (Kalmia latifolia), Meadowsweet (Spirela latafolia), blueberries (Vaccinum spp.) and rhododendron (Rhododendron spp.), mountain winterberry (Ilex montana), hobblebush (Viburnum alnifolium), blueberries (Vaccinium spp.), black huckleberry (Gaylussacia

baccata), wild rasin (Viburnum cassanoides.) Ground cover may have

included sedges (Carex spp.) and uncommon herbaceous plants. Rare deciduous species, such as shrub oak (Quercus illifolia). Litter layers were usually light but can accumulate in older closed stands.

<sup>\*</sup>Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

# **Disturbance Description**

Fire Regime I or III. Maintained by disturbance and stressful conditions that prevent competing species from persisting. Rocky pitch pine communities have a mixed disturbance regime producing the seedbed conditions needed for regeneration. Pitch pine is fire-dependent and strongly fire-adapted, but may regenerate without fire. Both surface fires and stand replacement fires were likely common in this type, with mosaic, patchy effects. Shrubs as well as litter are both able to carry fire in classes C and D. Native Americans were considered a significant ignition source and more recently ignitions caused by blueberry pickers have had a similar effect on the landscape.

Ice and snow could be factors at elevation with extremely variable return intervals of 5-50 years. Gypsy moth may be a factor for tree oak mortality. Drought can also be a major factor in this vegetation type (Abrams, M. and D.A. Orwig. 1995).

### **Adjacency or Identification Concerns**

The sandy outwash pitch pine woodlands are ecologically similar to the coastal pine barrens and are described in PIBA PNVG. The Southeastern Table Mountain/Pitch Pine PNVG is similar to this model, though this model includes northeastern species.

# **Scale Description**

Sources of Scale Data Literature	Local Data	✓ Expert Estimate
----------------------------------	------------	-------------------

Patch sizes can range from a few acres to 300+ acres but typically are in the range of 10 to 50 acres. The patch sizes in the Shawangunks are greater than 500 acres.

#### Issues/Problems

Modern day forests at these sites have been subjected to fire suppression. Fire exclusion puts these communities at risk due to the limited ability of pitch pine to regenerate without fire. Pitch and jack pines can persist more frequent fires than red pine due to their ability to either sprout (pitch) or withstand low severity fires. Red Pine has less tolerance for frequent fires. When living on poor growing sites, such as these described as rocky outcrops, these pines tend to persist simply due to lack of competition. Railroad caused fires have probably had a significant influence on these areas.

# **Model Evolution and Comments**

Suggested review by Diane Burbank dburbank@fs.fed.us, Jeff Lougee jlougee@tnc.org, Dr. Bill Patterson, University of Massachusetts, F. Brett Engstrom, University of Vermont, and Glen Motzkin, Harvard Forest

Peer reviewed by Michael S. Batcher, Ecologist, 04/05.

#### Succession Classes\*\* Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov). Dominant Species\* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class A 15% **Canopy Position** Min Max PINUS Upper Early1 All Struct Cover 0% 30 % **OUERC** Upper Description Height Tree Regen <5m Tree Regen <5m VACCI Low-Mid Patchy dense pine reproduction. Tree Size Class | Seedling < 4.5ft All Typically sparse understory. First Upper Layer Lifeform few years after fire, shrub species Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Herbaceous dominate. The pines form a Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Shrub regeneration layer with 10-30% **✓** Tree canopy closure. Ericaceous shrub species and oak species fill in Fuel Model 5 beneath the pines. Fires occurring in this class are nearly always standreplacing.

#### **Dominant Species\* and** Class B 10% Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) **Canopy Position** Min Max Mid1 Open PINUS Upper 10% 25 % Cover QUERC Mid-Upper Description Height Tree Regen <5m Tree Short 5-9m ERICA Low-Mid Mid-development, open canopy. Tree Size Class | Pole 5-9" DBH Woodland with herbaceous understory. Fires in this class are **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. usually not stand-replacement, with Herbaceous Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Shrub both surface and mixed fires depending on season of burn. **✓** Tree Surface fires maintain the class, Fuel Model 9 while less frequent mixed fires return the stand to class A. Understory is fire-adapted ericaceous vegetation. Dominant Species\* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class C 45% **Canopy Position** Min Мах PINUS Upper Late1 Open Cover 10% 25 % Description **QUERC** Mid-Upper Height Tree Regen <5m Tree Short 5-9m ERICA Low-Mid Late-development, open canopy Tree Size Class | Medium 9-21"DBH pine-oak to oak-pine in composition. Limited understory Upper Layer Lifeform Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. components. Surface, mixed, and Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous stand-replacing fires all occur in Shrub this class. Stand-replacing fires set **✓**Tree the stand back to class A; surface Fuel Model 9 fire would maintain the class, and mixed fires would move the stand to class C. Understory consists of fire-adapted ericaceous vegetation. Dominant Species\* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class D 30% Canopy Position Min Мах Late1 Closed QUERC Upper 25 % Cover 65 % PINUS Upper **Description** Height Tree Regen <5m Tree Short 5-9m Late-seral, canopy closed in Tree Size Class | Medium 9-21"DBH patches, pine with oak or other hardwood in overstory. In the **Upper Laver Lifeform** $\sqcup$ Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. absence of fire, pioneer herbaceous Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous vegetation is often replaced by Shrub mountain laurel and/or other **✓** Tree woody species and shrubs. In the Fuel Model 9 absence of fire, herbaceous vegetation is eventually replaced by mountain laurel and/or other woody species. Gaps and other openings from overstory mortality

are common. Fires occurring in this

class are surface, mixed, and replacement fires with mortality dependent on fire intensity and seasonality. Surface fires would move the stand to D, mixed fires would maintain the class in E by removing pines and favoring oaks, and stand-replacement fires would set the stand back to A.

Class E 0 % Late1 Closed Description	<b>Dominant Speci</b>		d Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)						
	Canopy Position Upper	<u>1</u>		 Max					
			Cover	25 %		60 % Tree Short 5-9m			
		r	Height Tree Re		egen <5m				
			Tree Size Class   Medium 9-21"DBH						
	Upper Layer Lifeform ☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☐ Tree  Fuel Model 9								
	Dist	urban	ces						
Disturbances Modeled	Fire Regime Gro	oup: 1							
<b>✓</b> Fire	l: 0-35 year f	 requency	, low and m	nixed sever	rity				
☐Insects/Disease	II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity								
✓ Wind/Weather/Stress	III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity								
☐ Native Grazing	<ul><li>IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity</li><li>V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity</li></ul>								
Competition	V. 2001 your	noquon	y, ropiacoi		,				
Other:	Fire Intervals (F	=1)							
Other		Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of							
						eled. Minimum and			
Historical Fire Size (acres)	maximum show	the relati	ve range of	fire interva	als, if knówn. F	Probability is the			
Avg: no data	inverse of fire int								
Min: no data	Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.								
Max: no data	estimates and in	or biecise	J.						
		Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires			
ources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	128	IVIIII I I	IVIQX I I	0.00781	16			
Literature	Mixed	65			0.00781	32			
☐Local Data	Surface	40			0.01338	52			
Local Data		70			0.043	J 2			

### Reterences

Abrams, M. and D.A. Orwig. 1995. Structure, radial growth dynamics and recent climatic variations of a 320year-old Pinus rigida rock outcrop community. Oecologia 101: 353-360.

Batcher, M. 2000. Ecological Processes and Natural Communities of the Northern Shawangunk Mountains. Report Prepared for the Shawangunk Ridge Biodiversity Partnership.

Bernard, J. and F. Seischab. 1996. Pitch pine (Pinus rigida Mill.) communities in northeastern New York

<sup>\*</sup>Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

State. American Midland Naturalist 134: 294-306.

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Burns, R. M. and Honkala, B.H., tech coords. 1990. Silvics of North America: 1. Conifers; 2. Hardwoods. Agricultural Handbook 654. USDA, FS, Wash. DC. Vol.2, 877p.

Edinger, G.J., D.J. Evans, S. Gebauer, T.G. Howard, D.M. Hunt, and A.M. Olivero (editors). 2002 Ecological Communities of New York State. Second Edition. A revised and expanded edition of Carol Reshke's Ecological Communities of New York State (Draft for review). New York Natural Heritage Program, New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Albany, NY.

Hubbs, K. 1995. Fire History of the Northern Shawangunks. The Nature Conservancy, New York Regional Office, Troy, NY.

Laing, C. 1994. Vegetation and Fire History of the Dwarf Pine Ridges, Shawangunk Mountains, New York. Final report submitted to The Nature Conservancy. University of Massachusetts, Department of Forestry and Wildlife Management, Amherst, MA.

Motzkin, G, Orwig, D.A. And David R. Foster. 2002. Vegetation and disturbance history of a rare dwarf pitch pine community in western New England, USA. Journal of Biogeography, 29, 1455–1467p.

Olsvig, L.S. 1980. A Comparative Study of Northeastern Pine Barrens Vegetation. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York.

Rees, C. 1997. Fire and Pinus rigida Rock Outcrop Communities of the Northern Shawangunk Mountains. Graduate thesis submitted to Bard College, Annandale-on-Hudson, NY.

Thompson, E.H. and E.R. Sorenson. 2000. Wetland, Woodland, Wildland: a guide to the natural communities of Vermont. Vermont Department of Fish and Wildlife and The Nature Conservancy.