Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) **ROSBMT** Mountain Big Sagebrush Steppe and Shrubland General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers Reviewers** Mark Williams mark a williams@blm.gov Bill Baker bakerwl@uwyo.edu Dennis Knight dhknight@uwyo.edu Ken Stinson ken stinson@blm.gov **General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **Vegetation Type ✓** Literature Shrubland Pacific Northwest California Local Data Great Basin South Central **✓** Expert Estimate **Dominant Species*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians **ARTR LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest **PASM** 10 21 ✓ N-Cent.Rockies **FEID** 19 22 **ERUM**

Geographic Range

Occurs throughout foothills and at higher, cooler elevations of Wyoming. Mountain big sagebrush (Artemisia tridentata spp. Vaseyana) occurs throughout most of the western United States.

29

20

Biophysical Site Description

This vegetation type is found on all aspects. Pure stands are found in areas with deeper soils and less topographic relief, but it is also common on slopes with a gradual shift to a mixed mountain shrub community on steeper slopes and in drainages. Elevation ranges from 6,000 feet to 10,000 feet and precipitation from 12-20 inches. Soils are deep, well drained with a pH +- 7.0. Soil moistures are udic (not dry for as long as 90 cumulative days) and soil temperatures cryic (very cold soils of the Rocky Mountain Region).

Vegetation Description

Mountain sagebrush steppe dominated by mountain sage, western snowberry and bitterbrush with a grass and forb understory is believed to be a major pre-settlement vegetation type for Wyoming, although the exact composition of the community before settlement is unknown.

Dominant shrubs include mountain big sagebrush (Artemisia tridentata ssp. Vaseyana), antelope bitterbrush (Purshia tridentata), and mountain snowberry (Symphoricarpos oreophilus). In the Big Horn Basin of Wyoming, yellow rabbitbrush (Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus) may be a common co-dominant. Other common shrubs include serviceberry (Amelanchier alnifolia), mountain mahogany (Cercocarpus montanus), spineless horsebrush (Tetradymia canescens) and black sagebrush (Artemisia nova). Other shrubs may be locally common.

Herbaceous cover is moderate to abundant ranging from 40-85%. Common grasses include: Festuca idahoensis, Elymus elymoides, Pascopyrum smithii, Elymus trachycaulus, Hesperostipa comata, Nassella viridula, Poa fenderiana, and Poa juncifolia var ampla. Indicative forbs include Eriogonum umbellatum,

Antennaria rosae, Balsomorhiza sagittata, Lupinus argenteus, Delphinium nuttallianum, Phlox multiflora, Viola nuttallii.

Disturbance Description

Fire is a major disturbance factor for mountain sage (Blaisdell et al 1984, Johnson 2000). Mountain big sagebrush has the fastest recovery rate of the three subspecies of big sagebrush (Johnson 2000; local data). Fire size for this type is larger than other big sagebrush species because of greater fine fuel load, but some unburned pockets remain after fires, often resulting in a patchy mosaic.

The fire return intervals reported in the literature for this type vary from 10 -200 years (Baker in press, Bunting et al 1987, Harniss and Murray 1973, Hironaka et al 1983, Miller and Rose 1999, Wright and Bailey 1982). However, estimating historic fire regimes for sagebrush ecosystems is tenuous at best and often based on fire scar and age structure data from adjacent forest types (e.g., ponderosa pine and pinyon/juniper), shrub age structure, and fuel characteristics. Fire regimes also vary considerably across the biogeographic range of mountain big sagebrush, based on factors like elevation, soil depth, slope, aspect, adjacent vegetation, frequency of lightning, and climate.

Recent data from long term vegetation transects collected over a twenty year period in Wyoming suggest that the recovery of mountain sagebrush steppe communities following fire requires at least 25 years in northwestern Wyoming and at least 40 years in southern Wyoming to reach a late seral state with > 30% sagebrush cover (Grand Teton National Park/Bridger Teton National Forest Fire Effects Monitoring Data, Southern Wyoming Fire Zone BLM Fire Effects Monitoring Data). If recovery rates are correlated with composite fire return intervals, fire return intervals may lie somewhere between 40-60 years. However, recent data show that fire return intervals may be twice or more as long as recovery periods, indicating a fire return interval of 70-200 years (Baker in press). Reviewers of this type disagreed about the frequency of fire in mountain big sagebrush systems, and suggested MFIs ranged from 25 years to 135 years. An MFI of 70 years was used here.

The severity of fire is also contested in this system. While the majority of fires were likely stand-replacing, some mixed severity fire may have occurred, though there is little data documenting mixed severity fires (Sapsis and Kaufmann 1991). Mixed severity fires were likely small in area, but ignitions may have occurred as frequently as 5-20 years. There were probably also portions of this system that never carried fire because of sparse fuels (Bushey 1987). Historic fires likely occurred during the summer months and were wind-driven events.

Mountain big sagebrush does not resprout following fire and recolonization of burned areas must come from either a short-lived seed bank or seed dispersed by plants in unburned patches or adjacent stands (Johnson and Payne 1968, Bushey 1987). Sagebrush may also establish during recruitment pulses related to precipitation in single or successive growing seasons (Anderson and Inouye 2001).

Other disturbances, including drought stress, insects, and native grazing, were present under pre-settlement conditions in this type. Most of these disturbances were mixed-severity, resulting in thinning of sagebrush.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Differentiation of Mountain Big Sagebrush Steppe from Wyoming Big Sagebrush may be difficult at the ecotone due to physical similarities and hybridization zones (i.e., species concepts become blurred).

Nearly all sagebrush communities today have been grazed and there are no refugia to use as reference conditions.

This PNVG is similar to the PNVG R2SBMT for the Great Basin model zone. This PNVG may be similar to the PNVG R#SBMT for the Pacific Northwest model zone, but their fire regimes differ significantly

(R#SBMT is Fire Regime Group II). This may be due to geographic variability, but there is discrepancy among experts and in the literature about the frequency of fire in this system.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data	Literature	✓ Local Data	✓ Expert Estimate

Fires burn in patchy mosaics in this type, and scales ranged from small (tens of acres) to very large (possibly hundreds of thousands of acres). Landscape-scale assessments should probably be in the order of 10,000 acres for mountain sagebrush steppe communities because of the mosaic nature of vegetation communities, the moderate to long fire mean return intervals, and the size and amount of vegetation community.

Issues/Problems

There is a limited amount of information available on fire regimes and reference conditions in sagebrush due to modern overgrazing (the herbaceous component is severely impacted and current information cannot exclude the effects of cattle). Nearly all sagebrush communities today been grazed - there are no refugia to use as reference conditions.

Model Evolution and Comments

Workshop code was ARTRV1. This model was combined with two other Rapid Assessment models after peer-review: R0MTSBsb (workshop code MSHB2), modeled by Diane Abendroth (Diane_Abendroth@nps.gov) and reviewed by Dennis Knight (dhknight@uwyo.edu), Don Bedunah (bedunah@forestry.umt.edu), Shannon Downey (shannon_downey@blm.gov), Bill Baker (bakerwl@uwyo.edu), Ken Stinson (ken_stinson@blm.gov), Thor Stephenson (thor_stephenson@blm.gov), Curt Yanish (curt_yanish@blm.gov), and Gavin Lovell (gavin_lovell@blm.gov); and R0SBCL (workshop code CSAG1) modeled by George Soehn (george_soehn@blm.gov) and reviewed by Eldon Rash (erash@fs.fed.us) and Reggie Clark (rmclark@fs.fed.us). Peer review suggested lumping R0SBMT with R0MTSBsb as their disturbance regimes and vegetation composition were nearly identical. R0SBMT was very different from the model, R0SBCL in fire regime, but the other characteristics were the same. Based on the abundant peer review for R0SBMT, R0SBCL was combined here.

Peer review was incorporated on 4/30/2005. Additional reviewers included Thor Stevenson (thor_stephenson@blm.gov), Gavin Lovell (gavin_lovell@blm.gov), Curt Yanish (curt_yanish@blm.gov), and Eve Warren (eve_warren@blm.gov). Additional information from the author, Mark Williams, was also incorporated. Reviewers disagreed about the range of fire frequency for this vegetation type, suggesting MFIs ranging from 25-135 years. The model was originally developed with an MFI of 50 years; based on peer review it was increased to 70 years. This resulted in the following changes in each vegetation class: class A was unchanged; class B changed from 35% to 45%; class C changed from 25% to 20%; class D changed from 35% to 30%.

Succession Classes** Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov). **Dominant Species* and** Class A Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) 10% **Canopy Position** Min Max Early1 PostRep **FEID** Cover 0% 5% **PASM Description** Height no data no data **ELSM** Sagebrush cover ranges from 0 to Tree Size Class no data **MEVI** 5%. Herbaceous cover is variable, Upper Layer Lifeform but is typically at least 30%. This Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. ∐Herbaceous class lasts approximately 5 years, Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Shrub and then succeeds to mid-Tree development open (class C). Fuel Model no data

Class B	45 %	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position						
Late1 Closed	[ARTRV		Т	Min	T	Мах	
<u>Description</u> Sagebrush cover is greater than		ELSP FEID		Cover 30 %			60 %	
			Height no data				data	
30% and rarely exceeds 60%. Insects, drought stress, and native grazing cause transitions to class D by thinning sagebrush cover. If no disturbance occurs, this condition can persist.		ERUM	Tree Size Class no data					
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Class C	20%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure	e Data (for upper la	ıyer lifeform)		
M: 11 0		ARTRV			Min	^	Лах	
Mid1 Open Description		ELSP	Cover		5 %		15 %	
·		ELSM	Height		no data	no	data	
_	ver ranges from 5 to	ERUM	Tree Size	Class	no data			
15%. Insects, drought stress, and native grazing are replacement disturbances, causing transitions to class A. If no disturbance occurs, this condition succeeds to late-development open (class D).		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Class D	25%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
Late1 Open		ARTRV			Min	٨	Лах	
<u>Description</u>		ELSP	Cover		15 %		30 %	
	var rangas from 15	ERUM	Height		no data	no	data	
	ver ranges from 15- , drought stress, and	FEID	Tree Size	e Class	no data			
native grazing cause a transition to mid-development conditions (class C). Otherwise, this class succeeds to late-development closed (class B).	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:						
Class E	0%	Dominant Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
Late1 Closed		Canopy Position		r	Min	^	Лах	
Description			Cover		%		%	
		Height		no data	no	data		
		Tree Size Class no data						
	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:						

Disturbances **Disturbances Modeled** Fire Regime Group: **✓** Fire I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity ✓ Insects/Disease III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity **✓** Wind/Weather/Stress IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity ✓ Native Grazing V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity Competition Fire Intervals (FI) Other: Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of Other fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and Historical Fire Size (acres) maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Avg: no data Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are Min: no data estimates and not precise. Max: no data Percent of All Fires Avg FI Min FI Max FI Probability Sources of Fire Regime Data Replacement 30 200 70 0.01429 100 **✓** Literature Mixed **✓** Local Data Surface **✓** Expert Estimate All Fires 70 0.01431

References

Anderson, J, and R. Inouye. 2001. Landscape-scale changes in plant species abundance and biodiversity of a sagebrush steppe over 45 years. Ecological Monographs 71: 531-556.

Baker, W. L. In press. Fire and restoration of sagebrush ecosystems. Wildlife Society Bulletin, in press.

Blaisdell, J.P., R.B. Murray, and E. Durant. 1982. Managing Intermountain rangelands -- Sagebrush-grass ranges. GTR INT-134. Ogden, UT. USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station 41p.

Bunting, S.C., B.M. Kilgore and C.L. Bushey. 1987. Guidelines for Prescribed Burning Sagebrush-Grass Rangelands in the Northern Great Basin. USDA, USFS. Intermtn. Res. Stat. GTR-INT-231.

Bushey, Charles L. 1987. Short-term vegetative response to prescribed burning in the sagebrush/grass ecosystem of the northern Great Basin: three years of postburn data from the demonstration of prescribed burning on selected Bureau of Land Management districts. Final Report. Cooperative Agreement 22-C-4-INT-33. Missoula, MT: Systems for Environmental Management. 77 p.

Dorn, R.D. 2001. Vascular Plants of Wyoming. Cheyenne, WY: Mountain West Publishing. 4120 p.

Gates, D.H. 1964. Sagebrush infested by leaf defoliating moth. J. Range Management. 17: 209-210.

Harniss, R.O., and R.B. Murray. 1973. 30 years of vegetal changes following burning of sagebrush-grass range. Journal of Range Management 26: 322-325.

Hironaka, M., M.A. Fosberg, and A.H. Winward. 1983. Sagebrush-grass habitat types of southern Idaho. Bull.35. Univ. of Idaho, Forest, Wildl. And Range Exp. Statn. Moscow ID 44pp.

Johnson, Kathleen A. 2000. Artemisia tridentata spp. Vaseyana. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/ [2004, September 10].

Johnson, James R.; Payne, Gene F. 1968. Sagebrush reinvasion as affected by some environmental influences. Journal of Range Management. 21: 209-213.

Miller, F.F. and J. A. Rose. 1999. Fire History and western juniper encroachment in sagebrush steppe. J. Range Management 52: 550-559.

Sapsis, David B.; Kauffman, J. Boone. 1991. Fuel consumption and fire behavior associated with prescribed fires in sagebrush ecosystems. Northwest Science. 65(4): 173-179.

Tisdale, Edwin W. 1994. SRM 402: Mountain big sagebrush. In: Shiflet, Thomas N., ed. Rangeland cover types of the United States. Denver, CO: Society for Range Management: 41-42.

Welch, B., and C. Criddle. 2003. Countering Misinformation Concerning Big Sagebrush. Research Paper RMRS-RP-40. Ogden, UT: Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 28p.

Wright, H. and A. Bailey. 1982. Fire Ecology: United States and Southern Canada. John Wiley and Sons, N.Y., N.Y.