# Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

#### Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) Ponderosa Pine Northern and Central Rockies **R0PIPOnr** General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Tonja Opperman tsopperman@fs.fed.us Steve Barrett sbarrett@mtdig.net Lynnette Morelean lmorelan@fs.fed.us Cathy Stewart cstewart@fs.fed.us Jane Kapler Smith ismith09@fs.fed.us **General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **Vegetation Type** Literature Forested Pacific Northwest California Local Data Great Basin South Central Expert Estimate **Dominant Species\*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians **PIPO LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest **FEID** 10 21 ✓ N-Cent.Rockies 19 22

### Geographic Range

Throughout the northern and central Rocky Mountains in Montana, northern Idaho, and west-central Wyoming. In Idaho, the distribution of this PNVG is limited.

29

20

#### **Biophysical Site Description**

These stands typically occurred on hot, dry, south and west-facing slopes at lower elevations with well drained soils and gentle to moderately steep slopes.

#### **Vegetation Description**

Vegetation is characterized by Pfister et al. (1977) as the ponderosa pine series, and ponderosa pine will often be the only tree species present. However, a frequent fire regime could maintain seral ponderosa pine stands on additional adjacent sites, characterized by Pfister as Douglas-fir or grand fir series. Fischer and Bradley (1987), Fischer and Clayton (1983), and Smith and Fischer (1997) would characterize these as predominantly Fire Groups 2 and 4 for western Montana, Fire Group 3 for eastern Montana and Wyoming, and Fire Group 1 for Northern Idaho.

Frequent fires promoted a grass-dominated understory with sparse shrubs and a ponderosa pine overstory. Douglas-fir and Rocky Mountain juniper may occur as accidental individuals, but overall Douglas-fir cover will be less than 10%. Common snowberry, antelope bitterbrush, and chokecherry are important shrubs, and mountain mahogany may also occur on rocky outcrops. Grasses may include Idaho and rough fescue (Fischer and Bradley 1987). More mesic shrubs may be present if it is a wetter habitat type that historically maintained an open stand via frequent fire.

#### **Disturbance Description**

Frequent, non-lethal surface fires were the dominant disturbance factor, occurring every 3 to 30 years (Arno and Petersen 1993, Arno 1976, Fischer and Bradley 1987). Three-year fire return intervals are likely very localized and associated with Native American burning. More median fire return intervals were likely about

15 years. Mixed-severity fires likely occurred about every 50 years; again, depending on the vegetative state. Stand-replacement fires likely occurred in stands and small patches on the order of a few hundred acres every 300-700 years depending on the vegetative state. Some authors note that little information is available regarding the exact nature of stand replacement fire severity in this PNVG.

Bark beetles will affect areas with denser canopy cover of ponderosa pine (e.g., when basal area exceeds 120 sq. ft.) Western pine beetle can attack large ponderosa pine in any canopy density.

#### **Adjacency or Identification Concerns**

These sites typically formed the lower timberline in the area and were historically found adjacent to grasslands and shrublands that dominated valley bottoms.

In the 21st century, after missing several fire return intervals, these stands may support an overabundance of stagnant ponderosa pine pole thickets, heavy duff and litter layers, and few grasses or shrubs. Dense pockets of Douglas-fir may also occur on microsites. This PNVG may be found on several different habitat types depending on the local fire regime; FRG I maintained these stands as ponderosa pine, but today they may be supporting a variety of shade-tolerant conifers. If your landscape of interest was maintained by another FRG, use a different PNVG.

This PNVG may be similar to the PNVG R2PIOPO from the Great Basin model zone.

### **Scale Description**

Sources of Scale Data	✓ Literature	Local Data	Expert Estimate

Stands dominated by ponderosa pine with frequent fire return intervals commonly exhibit very small patch sizes even though fire events occurred over hundreds or thousands of acres (Agee 1998). Open, late-seral stands typically dominated the landscape with frequent fire, though even-aged stands were uncommon. In Idaho, this type was often found as a narrow band between grassland/shrublands at lower elevations and Douglas-fir types at higher elevations.

#### Issues/Problems

Fischer and Bradley (1987) show only a single pathway from the dense pole stage characterized by succession without a fire disturbance (Class A to Class B). However, it seems that under a frequent fire regime, these stands would typically bypass Class B and move directly to Class C--unless there is not enough fuel to carry fire at this stage until there is sufficient stand density and leaf litter. 2) Mixed-severity and stand-replacement fire return intervals are not well documented in the literature for this PNVG. Some evidence suggests these fires indeed occurred, but there may be room to improve the assumptions used in this modeling effort. 3) There was some debate in the in-workshop peer review over the probability of mixed fire. Currently the model shows a fire interval of about 70 years for mixed severity fire; some thought it should be more like 50.

#### **Model Evolution and Comments**

Peer review incorporated on 4/6/2005. The peer-review process resulted in lumping two original ponderosa pine models together-- one for Idaho and one for Montana (these were originally called PPIN1 and PPIN2 during the June 2004 workshop; were later renamed to R0PIPOdy and R0PIPO to adhere to Rapid Assessment naming conventions; and has subsequently been renamed R0PIPOnr to identify the lumped type). To lump the two types, the descriptions were generally combined and in VDDT, the attributes for fire in the R0PIPOdy model were replaced with the attributes for fire from R0PIPO.

Succession Classes** Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).						
Class A 5%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Early1 PostRep	FEID AGSP PIPO	0	Min	Max		
<u>Description</u>		Cover Height	0 %	100 %		
Fire-maintained grass/forb and/or		Tree Siz	no data re Class no data	no data		
seedlings and saplings. Largest size class would be about 6" diameter trees; no very large or old-growth trees would be present in patches of 10s to 100s of acres to be counted in this class. Seedlings among large or very large trees should be counted in class B or C depending on percent cover.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class B 5%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Mid1 Closed	PIPO	0	Min	Max		
Description	FEID	Cover	40 %	100 %		
Closed PIPO pole stand; may have	AGSP	Height no data no data  Tree Size Class no data  Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Douglas-fir as accidentals. Larger, old-growth trees may be present in this class, but the regeneration in the 4-18" diameter size class occurring between these large trees is what should be counted for this class. May see large dead snags as poles compete on the site.  Stagnant pole stands are counted here; may see insect/disease here.	PSME Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data					
Class C 20%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)  Min Max				
Mid1 Open	PIPO	Cover	0 %	40 %		
<u>Description</u>	FEID	Height	no data	no data		
Open PIPO pole stand that may	AGSP	Tree Size Class no data				
have Douglas-fir as accidentals. Larger, old-growth trees may be present in this class, but the 4-18" diameter regeneration between these trees is what should be	Upper Layer Lifeform  Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				

Fuel Model no data

these trees is what should be counted for this class. These

the more open condition.

patches have probably had recent fire or are drier in order to retain

#### Dominant Species\* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class D 60% Canopy Position Min Max **PIPO** Late1 Open Cover 0% 30 % **FEID Description** Heiaht no data no data AGSP Classic fire-maintained open, park-Tree Size Class no data **SYAL** like PIPO; nearly any fire maintains; Douglas-fir may be seen **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. as accidentals or in patches, but not Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous a major component of the $\square_{\mathsf{Shrub}}$ overstory. Understory is □Tree dominated by grasses and is Fuel Model no data relatively open. Seedlings are very infrequent, with less than 10% cover. Dominant Species\* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class E 10% Canopy Position Min Max Late1 Closed **PIPO** 100 % 30 % Cover **Description PSME** Height no data no data Crowded, decadent, two or multi-Tree Size Class no data story PIPO stand; may see Douglasfir on microsites. Thickets of pole **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. size trees, large trees, and old-Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous growth may be interspersed with Shrub large snags. Tree Fuel Model no data Disturbances **Disturbances Modeled** Fire Regime Group: 1 **✓** Fire I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity ✓ Insects/Disease III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity **✓** Wind/Weather/Stress IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity Native Grazing **✓** Competition Fire Intervals (FI) Other: Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of Other fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and **Historical Fire Size (acres)** maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Avg: no data Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are Min: no data estimates and not precise. Max: no data Percent of All Fires Avg FI Min FI Max FI Probability Sources of Fire Regime Data Replacement 300 100 1000 0.00333 4 **✓** Literature Mixed 60 50 200 0.01667 19 **✓** Local Data Surface 15 77 3 30 0.06667 **✓** Expert Estimate All Fires 12 0.08667

## References

Agee, J.K. 1988. The landscape ecology of western forest fire regimes. Northwest Science, Vol. 72, Special Issue: p24-34.

Arno, S.F. 1980. Forest Fire History in the Northern Rockies. Journal of Forestry 78:8, 460-465.

Arno, S.A. and T.D. Petersen. 1983. Variation in Estimates of fire intervals: a closer look at fire history on the Bitterroot National Forest. Res. Pap. INT-301, Ogden, UT: USDA, Forset Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, 8p.

Barrett, Stephen W. 1984. Fire history of the River of No Return Wilderness: River Breaks Zone. Final Report. Missoula, MT: Systems for Environmental Management. 40 p + appendices.

Barrett, Stephen W. 1993. Fire regimes on the Clearwater and Nez Perce National Forests north-central Idaho. Final Report: Order No. 43-0276-3-0112. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory. 21 p. Unpublished report on file with: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory, Missoula, MT.

Barrett, S. W. 2004. Altered fire intervals and fire cycles in the Northern Rockies. Fire Management Today 64(3): 25-29.

Barrett, S. W. 2004. Fire Regimes in the Northern Rockies. Fire Management Today 64(2): 32-38.

Fischer, W.C. and B.D. Clayton. 1983. Fire ecology of Montana forest habitat types east of the Continental Divide. GTR-INT-141.Ogden UT: USDA, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, 83p.

Fisher, W.C. and A.F. Bradley. 1987. Fire Ecology of Western Montana Forest Habitat Types. General Technical Report INT-223. Ogden UT: USDA, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, 95p.

Habeck, J.R. and R.W. Mutch. 1973. Fire-dependent forest in the northern Rocky Mountains. Quarternary Research 3, 408-424.

Morgan, P. and Parsons, R. 2001, Historical range of variability of forests of the Idaho Southern Batholith Ecosystem. Univerity of Idaho. Unpublished.

Pfister, R.D., B.L. Kovalchik, S.F. Arno, and R.C. Presby. 1977. Forest Habitat Types of Montana. GTR-INT-34. USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Ogden UT, 174p.

Smith, Jane Kapler; Fischer, William C. 1997. Fire ecology of the forest habitat types of northern Idaho. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-GTR-363. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 142 p.