Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)

R0PIPOnp   **Ponderosa Pine-Northern Great Plains**

General Information

Contributors *(additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments")*

Modelers		**Reviewers**	
Breck Hudson	bhudson@fs.fed.us	Bill Baker	bakerwl@uwyo.edu
		Dennis Knight	dhknight@uwyo.edu
		Brad Sauer	bsauer@mt.blm.gov

Vegetation Type
Forested

Dominant Species*
PIPO

General Model Sources
☑ Literature
☐ Local Data
☑ Expert Estimate

LANDFIRE Mapping Zones

10	21
19	22
20	29

Rapid AssessmentModel Zones

☐ California	☐ Pacific Northwest
☐ Great Basin	☐ South Central
☐ Great Lakes	☐ Southeast
☐ Northeast	☐ S. Appalachians
☐ Northern Plains	☐ Southwest
☑ N-Cent.Rockies	

Geographic Range
This PNVG is located in the lower elevations of the Black Hills, western North and South Dakota, southeastern Montana, the Missouri River Breaks of northern Montana, and from the High Plains of eastern Wyoming eastward to central Nebraska.

Biophysical Site Description
The geology is typically sedimentary in origin. Often found on buttes, hogbacks, rocky outcrops, and steep, rocky slopes. Elevations range from 3200 to 4400 feet, but in the southern Black Hills may be found up to 5700 feet on southern aspects.

Vegetation Description
This type is dominated by ponderosa pine and is often the only tree present. Understory composition varies but Rocky Mountain Juniper, skunkbush sumac, mountain mahogany, snowberry, and yucca are common woody species. Currant and chokecherry are found in the Montana portion of the PNVG's range. Herbaceous species include needlegrasses, gramma grasses, little bluestem, and western wheatgrass.

Disturbance Description
Generally frequent fires of low severity (Fire Regime Group I or III). Mixed severity fire occurs in the closed canopy conditions, and stand replacement fire is very infrequent (300+ years). Surface fires are frequent and range from <10 years to more than 20 (Brown and Sieg 1999, Fisher et al. 1987).

Variation in precipitation and temperature interacting with fire and ungulate grazing affects pine regeneration. Windthrow, storm damage, and mountain pine beetles were minor disturbances in this type unless stands reach high densities. The interactions among drought, insects, and disease are not well understood.

---

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

This type is either surrounded by Northern Plains Prairie or is a transition between Northern Plains Prairie and mid-elevational Black Hills ponderosa pine. Ponderosa pine in this PNVG has encroached into the Northern Plains Prairie type in many areas due to fire suppression and grazing.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data	☑ Literature	☑ Local Data	☑ Expert Estimate

Patch size probably ranged from 10's to 1000's of acres.

Issues/Problems

There is considerable debate over the role of mixed severity and surface fires in the historical range of variability in this and other ponderosa pine forests in the northern and central Rockies (Baker and Ehle 2001, 2003; Barrett 2004; Veblen et al. 2000).

Model Evolution and Comments

Workshop code was PPIN11.

Additional authors include Deanna Reyher, Carolyn Sieg, Breck Hudson, Cody Wienk, Peter Brown, Blaine Cook. This type was modeled based on earlier work done by an expert panel (Morgan and Parsons 2001). Collapsing of stages were necessary to fit the 5-box model used for this process.

Workshop review indicated more mixed fire should occur in the early stage and surface fire should be modeled in all structural stages. This was examined and included as appropriate.

Peer review incorporated on 4/18/05. Peer review comments disagreed on the role of mixed and surface fire in this type. The majority of review agreed with the original model's parameters for mixed fire, but thought surface fire could be slightly less frequent. One review contended that there is no evidence of mixed severity fire in this type at all, and that the overall MFI should be around 25 years. As a compromise, surface fires were reduced in frequency from a 10 year MFI to 20 year MFI for this model. Mixed severity fire was left in the model based on in-workshop and post-workshop review. These changes resulted in a higher MFI (from 10 years to 15 years) and an increase in the amount of the landscape in the mid- and late-development open classes (class C went from 15% to 20%; class D went from 75% to 70%).

Succession Classes**

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A   6 %

Early1 PostRep

Description

This community is dominated by herbaceous and woody species, including the graminoids needlegrasses, western wheatgrass, and little bluestem in moister areas, and various shrubs including skunkbush and snowberry. Ponderosa pine seedlings are scattered and found in small clumps. Number of years in this class is variable depending on climatic patterns and fire disturbances.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

NAVI
PASY

Upper Layer Lifeform

☐ Herbaceous
☐ Shrub
☐ Tree

Fuel Model no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	0 %	100 %
Height	no data	no data
Tree Size Class	no data	

☐ Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class B   2 %

Mid1 Closed

Description

Multi-story stand of small and medium trees with saplings and seedlings coming in as clumps. Understory is sparse.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

PIPO

Upper Layer Lifeform

☐ Herbaceous
☐ Shrub
☐ Tree

Fuel Model   no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	50 %	100 %
Height	no data	no data
Tree Size Class	no data	

☐ Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class C   20 %

Mid1 Open

Description

Generally single story stands with a few pockets of regeneration. Low shrubs such as snowberry and skunkbush are present as well as grass and forbs. Rocky Mountain juniper present in patches.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

PIPO

Upper Layer Lifeform

☐ Herbaceous
☐ Shrub
☐ Tree

Fuel Model   no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	0 %	50 %
Height	no data	no data
Tree Size Class	no data	

☐ Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class D   70 %

Late1 Open

Description

Generally single story stands of large ponderosa pine with pockets of smaller size classes (replacement). Snowberry, skunkbush, patches of Rocky Mountain juniper, and grasses are still present.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

PIPO

Upper Layer Lifeform

☐ Herbaceous
☐ Shrub
☐ Tree

Fuel Model   no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	0 %	50 %
Height	no data	no data
Tree Size Class	no data	

☐ Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class E   2 %

Late1 Closed

Description

Multi-story stands of large, medium, small, and seedling ponderosa pine. Shrubs and grasses are sparse. This type generally exceeds 70% canopy cover.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

PIPO

Upper Layer Lifeform

☐ Herbaceous
☐ Shrub
☐ Tree

Fuel Model   no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	50 %	100 %
Height	no data	no data
Tree Size Class	no data	

☐ Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Disturbances

Disturbances Modeled

☑ Fire
☑ Insects/Disease
☑ Wind/Weather/Stress
☐ Native Grazing
☐ Competition
☐ Other:
☐ Other

Historical Fire Size (acres)

Avg: no data
Min: no data
Max: no data

Sources of Fire Regime Data

☑ Literature
☑ Local Data
☑ Expert Estimate

Fire Regime Group: 1

I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity
II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity
III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity
IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity
V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity

Fire Intervals (FI)

Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.

	Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires
Replacement	300			0.00333	5
Mixed	75	0		0.01333	20
Surface	20	10	40	0.05	75
All Fires	15			0.06667	

References

Baker, William L., Ehle, Donna S. 2001. Uncertainty in surface-fire history: The case of ponderosa pine forests in the western United States. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 31: 1205-1226.

Baker, William L.; Ehle, Donna S. 2003. Uncertainty in fire history and restoration of ponderosa pine forests in the western United States. In: Omi, Philip N.; Joyce, Linda A., tech. eds. Fire, fuel treatments, and ecological restoration: conference proceedings; 2002 April 16-18; Fort Collins, CO. Proceedings RMRS-P-29. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station: 319-333.

Barrett, S. W. 2004. Altered fire intervals and fire cycles in the Northern Rockies. Fire Management Today 64(3): 25-29.

Barrett, S. W. 2004. Fire Regimes in the Northern Rockies. Fire Management Today 64(2): 32-38.

Bock, J.H. And C.E. Bock. 1984. Effects of fires on woody vegetation in the pine-grassland ecotone of the southern Black Hills. American Midland Naturalist 112:35-42.

Brown, P. M. and C. H. Sieg. 1999. Historical variability in fire at the ponderosa pine - Northern Great Plains prairie ecotone, southeastern Black Hills, South Dakota. Ecoscience 6(4):539-547.

Fischer, William C.; Clayton, Bruce D. 1983. Fire ecology of Montana forest habitat types east of the Continental Divide. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-141. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 83 p.

Fisher, R. R., M. J. Jenkins, and W. F. Fischer. 1987. Fire and the prairie-forest mosaic of Devils Tower National Monument. American Midland Naturalist. 117:250-257.

Little, E.L., Jr. Atlas of United States trees. Vol. 1. Conifers and important hardwoods. USDA Forest Service. Misc. Pub. No. 1146, Washington, D.C.

Marriott, H. J. and D. Faber-Langendoen. 2000. Black Hills Community Inventory. Volume 2: Plant Community Descriptions. The Nature Conservancy and Association for Biodiversity Information, Minneapolis, MN.

Morgan, P. and Parsons, R. 2001, Historical range of variability of forests of the Idaho Southern Batholith Ecosystem. Univerity of Idaho. Unpublished.

Potter and Green. 1964. Ecology of ponderosa pine in western North Dakota. Ecology 45:10-23.

Shinneman, D. J., and W. L. Baker. 1997. Nonequilibrium dynamics between catastrophic disturbances and old-growth forests in ponderosa pine landscapes of the Black Hills. Conservation Biology 11:1276-1288.

Sieg, C.H., D. Meko, A.T. DeGaetano, and W. Ni. 1996. Dendroclimatic potential in the northern Great Plains. Pp. 295-302 In Dean et al., eds. Tree Rings, Environment and Humanity. Radiocarbon.

Veblen, Thomas T.; Kitzberger, Thomas; Donnegan, Joseph. 2000. Climatic and human influences on fire regimes in ponderosa pine forests in the Colorado Front Range. Ecological Applications. 10(4): 1178-1195.

Wendtland, K. J. and J. L. Dodd. 1992. The fire history of Scotts Bluff National Monument. Pages 141-143 in: Smith, D. D. and C. A. Jacobs (Eds.) Proceedings of the 12th North American Prairie Conference. University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Falls, IA.