# Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

#### Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) **R6GLSF Great Lakes Spruce Fir** General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Donald Mikel dmikel@fs.fed.us **General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **Vegetation Type ✓** Literature Forested California Pacific Northwest ✓ Local Data Great Basin South Central **✓** Expert Estimate **Dominant Species\*** ✓ Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians PIMA **LANDFIRE Mapping Zones**

Northern Plains

N-Cent.Rockies

Southwest

## Geographic Range

ABBA

PIGL.

THOC

System covers areas in northern Minnesota, Wisconsin, and Michigan with soils that are deeper or finertextured than soils in the jack pine forest that allowed development of dense forests of mixed aspen, birch, balsam fir, white spruce, and red maple (Frelich, 1998). This community occurs in upland positions, often with loamy shallow soils within bedrock-controlled landforms (Heinselman 1996).

### **Biophysical Site Description**

The spruce-fir PNVG is composed of a mixture of balsam fir, white spruce, paper birch, black spruce, cedar, and quaking aspen (Heinselman 1996). In areas where the landscape was interspersed with small wetlands, tamarack also was an important component of post-fire forests (Frelich 1998). Species dominance was determined by time since past disturbance, incidence of spruce budworm, neighborhood effects of seed source and dispersion (Frelich and Reich 1995), and associated successional dynamics.

## **Vegetation Description**

Almendinger and others (2003, Minnesota Department of Natural Resources) described successional trajectories within this community as having three growth stages separated by two transition periods. Initially, young stands, predominantly aspen with jack pine and birch, dominated for the first 35 years following fire. Then, during a transition period between 35 and 55 years following fire, aspen and jack pine declined and paper birch, white pine, red pine, and balsam fir increased, with establishment of white spruce seedlings occurring. Mature mixed forests composed of paper birch and white pine with a reduced presence of balsam fir, once established, persist up to around 100 years. Another transition period marked by significant increase in white spruce and decline of aspen and birch occurs for a couple of decades. At around 115 years following a fire, stable, long-lived white pine and white spruce dominated the canopy, with lesser amounts of balsam fir and paper birch present as subordinates.

#### **Disturbance Description**

Fire Regime Group IV is applicable, with fires occurring every 60 to 150 years and high stand-replacement

41

50

51

severity. Severe stand-replacing wind events affect mature stands on an approximate 1,000-year interval.

# **Adjacency or Identification Concerns**

# Scale Description Sources of Scale Data Literature Local Data Expert Estimate

### Issues/Problems

Wisconsin and Michigan would include hemlock in the PNVG. Hemlock does not occur in the Minnesota system.

## **Model Evolution and Comments**

Wisconsin and Michigan added to Minnesota, and white pine added to late-seral condition. All other information transferred directly from reference condition modeled by Cleland, Merzenich, Swaty. Suggested reviewers include David Cleland, Jim Merzenich, and Randy Swaty.

TC VIC WCI	s merude David Ciciana,	JIIII IVICIZO	men, and Ran	ay Swaty.				
			cession Cl					
Succession	classes are the equivalent of "	Vegetation I	Fuel Classes" as de	efined in the	Interage	ency FRCC Guide	book (www.frcc.gov).	
Class A	30 %	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform				<u>lifeform)</u>		
Early1 All	Struct		Upper Upper	Min			Max	
Description				Cover	0%		100 %	
Class A: Early-seral aspen-birch < 40 years. Class A succeeds to mid-		DLI II	Оррег	Height	Tree	Regen <5m	Tree Tall 25-49m	
				Tree Size Class no data				
		□Shrub ☑Tree Fuel Model 9		g.n		er of dominant I		
Class B 35 %		Dominant Species* and Canopy Position		Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Mid1 All S	tructu	POTR5			Min		Max	
Description Class B: Mid-age with aspen-birch overstory and mid-tolerant		BEPA ABBA	Upper Low-Mid	Cover		0 %	100 %	
				Height		Regen <5m	Tree Tall 25-49m	
		PIGL Low-Mid		Tree Size Class no data				
understory to class C. in aspen-bi	(40-100 year. Succeeds Replacement fires result rch. Windthrow returns to the beginning of this	Upper Layer Lifeform  Herbaceous Shrub Tree  Fuel Model 8		Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				

Class C 25%		Dominant Species* and Canopy Position		Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)												
		PIGL				Min	Max									
Mid2 Closed		ABBA	Upper	Cover	75 %		100 %									
<u>Description</u>			Upper	Height	Tree I	Regen <5m	Tree Tall 25-49m									
Class C: Mid-age stands dominated		PIMA Uppe	Upper	Tree Size Class no data												
	ice and balsam fir															
(101-200 years). Succeeds to class D. Higher replacement fire probabilities are due to effects of		Upper Layer Lifeform  Herbaceous  Shrub		Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:												
									spruce budworm.		<b>✓</b> Tree					
									budwoiii.		Fuel Mo	odel 8				
Class D 10%		Dominant Species* and Canopy Position		Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)												
Late1 Closed		PIGL	Upper			Min	Max									
<b>Description</b>		ABBA	Upper	Cover		75 %	100 %									
	stands > 200 years	PIMA Upper PIST Upper		Height	Tree I	Regen <5m	Tree Tall 25-49m									
	stands > 200 years. succession. Spruce			Tree Size	e Class	no data										
budworm inc			Discourse Park and Pitters from desired 196.6													
		Upper Layer Lifeform		Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:												
replacement fire probability.		☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☐ Tree														
		Fuel Mo	odel 8													
Class E 0%		Dominant Species* and Canopy Position		Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)												
Late1 All Str	uetu	<u>ouriopy i</u>	<del>- CORTON</del>			Min	Max									
<u>Description</u>	acta			Cover		%	%									
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree		Height		o data	no data									
				Tree Size	e Class	no data										
				Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:												
		Fuel Mo	odel no data													

Disturbances

<u>Disturbances Modeled</u>	Fire Regime Group: 4						
✓ Fire ☐ Insects/Disease ☐ Visit 1974 (2)	I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity						
✓ Wind/Weather/Stress	IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity						
☐ Native Grazing ☐ Competition	V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity						
Other:	Fire Intervals (FI)						
Other	Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and						
Historical Fire Size (acres)	maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the						
Avg: no data	inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling.  Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.						
Min: 10							
Max: 10000		•					
Courses of Fire Regime Date		Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires	
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	85	50	200	0.01176	100	
<b>✓</b> Literature	Mixed						
<b>✓</b> Local Data	Surface						
✓ Expert Estimate	All Fires	85			0.01178		

# References

All information transferred from Fire Regime Condition Class (FRCC) Interagency Handbook Reference Conditions. Modelers Davic Cleland, Jim Merzenich, Randy Swaty, Great Lakes Spruce-Fir.