Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) **R2SFPI** Spruce-Fir / Pine Subalpine General Information **Contributors** (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Mark Loewen mloewen@fs.fed.us Doug Page doug_page@blm.gov Jim Griffin igriffin01@fs.fed.us (original) **General Model Sources Vegetation Type** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **✓** Literature Forested California Pacific Northwest Local Data **✓** Great Basin South Central **✓** Expert Estimate **Dominant Species*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians PIAL **LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest PIFL2

Geographic Range

PIEN

ABLA

This system if found throughout the Rocky Mountains, the Sierra Nevada and at higher elevations in the Basin & Range. This model specifically relates to occurrences in the Great Basin region.

N-Cent.Rockies

Biophysical Site Description

Elevations typically range from 8500-11,000 feet in the subalpine zone on gentle to moderately steep terrain (e.g., 10-60% slope), high elevation ridgetops, and cold-air drainage basins. Drier sites may include lodgepole pine, and moister sites include Engelmann spruce and/or subalpine fir, or blue spruce.

Vegetation Description

The overstory is typically dominated by Engelmann spruce and/or subalpine fir. Other tree species may include lodgepole pine, aspen, limber pine, bristlecone pine, and Douglas-fir (not in Nevada). Common understory species include Ribes spp., Pachistima myrsinites, and Arnica spp.

Growing seasons tend to be very short ~90-120 days, resulting in slow vegetative growth.

Disturbance Description

Fire Regime V: Primarily long-interval (e.g., 200-500 yr) stand replacement fires, with mixed severity fire (e.g., 150 yr) occurring in open conditions. Disturbances also include insect/disease (every 250 years) and windthrow events than thin younger closed stands.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Includes dry-mesic and mesic spruce fir forest types. Mesic spruce-fir PNVG is limited in extent and may not be mappable. If aspen is present in large patches or if conifers are not coming in after ~30 years, the PNVG is probably misclassified and one of the Aspen types (R2ASMCup or R2ASPN) should be considered. Upslope the PNVG grades into true alpine or Krumholtz systems.

12

13

16

17

18

This PNVG may be similar to the PNVGs R0SPFI from the Northern and Central Rockies model zone and R3SPFI from the Southwest model zone.

Scale Description

Local Data Expert Estimate Sources of Scale Data Literature

Patch sizes vary but are mostly in the hundreds of acres, with rare very large patches (disturbances) in the thousands of acres. There may be frequent small disturbances in the 10s of acres or less.

Issues/Problems

Model Evolution and Comments

Shade tolerant- and mixed conifer saplings to poles (>5% canopy cover). Spruce and fir dominate

development closed). Replacement

fire will cause a transition to class

this class succeeds to E (late-

A every 200 yrs on average. Insects and disease may open up the canopy, causing a transition to class C (approximately 0.7% of the

class per year). Dog-hair conditions in this state may maintain the mid-development

closed condition.

Original R2SPFI model was rejected by reviewers (Julia Richardson [jhrichardson@fs.fed.us], Clinton Williams [cwilliams@fs.fed.us], Michele Slaton [mslaton@fs.fed.us]) because it mixed fundamentally different species and did not represent well their ecology. Spruce-fir model was adopted from the Southwest RA. Modifications were made to R3SPFI on 2/24/05 by Pohl for LANDFIRE BPS modeling. The revised R3SFFI model was further modified in Cedar City and the late-development, open box D deleted.

Succession Classes** Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov). Dominant Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class A 20% **Canopy Position** Min Max Early1 PostRep BRMA4 Cover 0% 100 % **PIEN** Description Height no data no data **ABLA** Early succession after moderately Tree Size Class no data long- to long interval replacement Upper Layer Lifeform fires. Within 40 years, conifers Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. will replace herbaceous vegetation ☐ Herbaceous Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Shrub and shrubs, Occasionally, a lack of Tree seed source of conifer may maintain this condition (modeled as Fuel Model no data competition/maintenance). The average FRI for replacement fire is 200 years. Dominant Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

Class B 30% **Canopy Position PIEN** Mid1 Closed Cover **ABLA Description**

Upper Layer Lifeform and canopy is dense. At 130 years, □ Herbaceous Shrub

Tree

Fuel Model no data

Cover	40 %		100 %		
Height	no data		no data		
Tree Size Class		no data			

Min

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Мах

^{*}Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Class C 15%		Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
		PIEN			Min		Max
Mid1 Open		ABLA	Cover		0 %		50 %
<u>Description</u>		ADLA	Height		no data	no	o data
•	oderately tolerant		Tree Siz	e Class	no data		
	ooles (1" - 6.9" dbh)						
	anopy cover of spruce	Upper Layer Lifeform				from dominant	
and fir.		Herbaceous	Heign	t and cov	er of domina	ant lifeform are:	
At OO woons	this condition	Shrub					
•	class D. Replacement	□Tree					
	RI of 200 years) will	Fuel Model no data					
	sition to class A.						
	rity fires may occur on						
	ns of this class						
-	ely 0.1% per year) and						
	mid-development						
open conditi	-						
open conditi	on.						
Class D	35%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Open		PIEN			Min		Max
-		ABLA	Cover		40 %		100 %
<u>Description</u>		TIDE!	Height		no data	no	o data
	rger diameter		Tree Siz	e Class	no data		
moderately to shade tolerant conifer species (>50% canopy							
	oderate to large size	Upper Layer Lifeform				from dominant l ant lifeform are:	itetorm.
	aspects. Spruce and fir	Herbaceous	Height	and cove	or domina	in meioim are.	
dominate.	ispects. Spruce and in	Shrub					
dominate.		□Tree					
This class w	ill self-perpetuate if no	Fuel Model no data					
	cause a transition.						
	t fire will cause a						
	class A every 250 year						
	Insects and disease						
_	the stand every 250						
years on ave	•						
years on ave	ruge.						
		Dominant Species* and	<u>.</u>	D . "			
Class E	0%	Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Min Max				
Late1 Closed	d		Cover				wax %
Description			Height		no data	n,	o data
			Tree Siz		no data	TIC.	, data
			1166 312	Uiass	110 uata		
		Upper Layer Lifeform	Upper	laver life	form differs	from dominant	lifeform
		Herbaceous				ant lifeform are:	
		Shrub	-				
		Tree					
		Fuel Model no data					

Disturbances								
Disturbances Modeled ✓ Fire ✓ Insects/Disease ✓ Wind/Weather/Stress □ Native Grazing	Fire Regime Group: 5 I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity							
✓ Competition Other: Other Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: no data Min: no data Max: no data	Fire Intervals (FI) Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.							
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	Avg FI 217	Min FI 75	<i>Max FI</i> 300	Probability 0.00461	Percent of All Fires 98		
✓ Literature☐ Local Data✓ Expert Estimate	Mixed Surface All Fires	10000			0.0001	2		
References								

References

Arno, S. F. 1980. Forest fire history in the Northern Rockies. Journal of Forestry. 78(8): 460-465. [11990]

Arno, S. F. 1986. Whitebark pine cone crops--a diminishing source of wildlife food? Western Journal of Applied Forestry. 3: 92-94.

Bradley, A. F., N. V. Noste, and W. C. Fisher. 1992. Fire ecology of forests and woodlands in Utah. Ge. Tech. Rep. INT-287. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermoutain Research Station. 128 p.

DeVelice, Robert L. et al. 1986. A Classification of Forest Habitat Types of Northern New Mexico and Southern Colorado. USDA, Forest Service. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. GTR RM-131.

Howard, J. L. 2002. Pinus albicaulis. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/ [2004, November 18].

Johnson, K. A. 2001. Pinus flexilis. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/ [2004, November 18].

Keane, R. E., S. F. Arno, J. K. Brown, D. F. Tomback. 1990. Modelling stand dynamics in whitebark pine (Pinus albicaulis) forests. Ecological Modelling. 51: 73-95.

Komarkova, Vera et al. 1988. Forest Vegetation of the Gunnison and Parts of the Uncompandere National Forests: A Preliminary Habitat Type Classification. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. GTR RM-163.

Lewis, M. E. 1971. Flora and major plant communities of the Ruby-East Humboldt Mountains with special emphasis on Lamoille Canyon. Elko, NV: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Region 4, Humboldt National Forest. 62 p.

Uchytil, R. J. 1991. Picea engelmannii. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/ [2004, November 18].

Veblen, T. T., K. S. Hadley, E. M. Nel. T. Kitzberger, M. Reid,, R. Vellalba. 1994. Disturbance regime and disturbance interactions in a Rocky Mountain subalpine forest. Journal of Ecology 82:125-135.