Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)

R2PIPO	Interior Ponderosa Pine							
General Information								
Contributors (additio	nal contributors may be listed under "Mo	odel Evolution and Comments	")					
Modelers		<u>Reviewers</u>						
Tom Dooley	tdooley@tnc.org	Stanley G. Kitchens	skitchens@fs.fed.us					
Henry Bastian	henry_bastian@ios.doi.gov							
Sandy Gregory	s50grego@nv.blm.gov							
Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	Rapid Assessme	ntModel Zones					
Forested	✓ Literature	California	Pacific Northwest					
	Local Data	Great Basin	South Central					
Dominant Species*	Expert Estimate	Great Lakes	Southeast					
PIPO	LANDFIRE Mapping Zon	es Northeast	\Box S. Appalachians					
FEID	12 17	Northern Plains	s Southwest					
ARNO	13 18	N-Cent.Rockie	S					
QUGA	16							

Geographic Range

Ponderosa pine is widely distributed throughout North America occupying about 38 million acres across 14 states. Interior ponderosa pine is much more restricted than the ponderosa pine/dry mixed conifer (Douglas fir) type in the Great Basin. Interior ponderosa pine is typically found in the southern and eastern Uinta Mountains in northern UT. Ponderosa pine is found along the east side of the Sierra Nevada Range, with a remnant patch around the Mount Charleston area (near Las Vegas, NV) above 6000 feet, and in the central and eastern side of Nevada along mountain ranges where mixed conifers are absent or uncommon. Ponderosa pine covers plateaus and mountains in the southern and central portion of Utah (Bradley et al. 1992).

Biophysical Site Description

This type occurs at elevations ranging from 5,500 (waterways) to 8,900 feet (FEIS), however occurrence is generally above 8,000 feet in eastern Nevada. In southern NV, interior ponderosa pine will be found above 6,000 ft, often where mixed conifers are uncommon or absent. Ponderosa pine is the climax dominant on relatively warm, dry sites. In the northern zones of the Great Basin, ponderosa pine is limited to areas with adequate moisture in the early growing season.

Vegetation Description

This PNVG is associated with several species throughout the Great Basin. Many stands have shrubdominated understories, unlike the common ponderosa pine/bunchgrass habitat types found in neighboring Arizona. Associates/phases include greenleaf manzanita, black sagebrush, elk sedge, mountain-mahogany, Idaho fescue-greenleaf manzanita, Idaho fescue-big sagebrush, Idaho fescue, mountain muhly, antelope bitterbrush, Gambel oak, and mountain snowberry (Bradley et al. 1992).

This PNVG is characterized by open, park-like stands; typically the dominant structural stage on the landscape. Understories may be grass- or shrub-dominant. Closed canopy conditions occur where fire has not been present for many years.

^{*}Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Disturbance Description

Under presettlement conditions, interior ponderosa pine forests were subject to frequent, low severity, fires (Fire Regime Group 1) (Bradley et al. 1992). Mean fire return interval for this type ranged from 7-25 years. Mean FRI is 8-10 years for surface fire, with shorter intervals is more open stands. The mean FRI for mixed severity fires is 50 (closed stands) to 80 years (open stands). Replacement fire is rare, but more frequent in closed stands (FRI of 250 yrs), which is less common in the landscape, than in open stands (FRI of 800 yrs).

Dwarf-mistletoe is a serious disease agent of interior ponderosa pine. Stands that have had partial cuts or mountain pine beetle attack are most susceptible to infections (Howard 2003). Mountain pine beetle is the most serious pest in the Black Hills and the central and southern Rocky Mountains. Epidemic outbreaks are usually associated with large (>6-inch diameter), stressed trees in overcrowded stands (Howard 2003).

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Found adjacent to Gambel or shrub live oak (Quercus turbinella), pinyon-juniper, mixed conifers, interior chaparral, and blackbrush.

This PNVG may be similar to the PNVG R0PIPOnr from the Northern and Central Rockies model zone.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data ✓ Literature □ Local Data □ Expert Estimate

In 1631, a fire burned an area of approximately 30 to 40 square kilometers. Golblum and Veblen (1992) reported larger fires were of similar size to those observed at Cheesman Lake (Kaufmann et al. 2000). At Zion National Park roughly 1000 acres burned every three years (West and Madany 1981).

Issues/Problems

This interior ponderosa pine model should be better researched for the Great Basin. Many scattered PIPO patches in the Great Basin were completely logged during the mining era of 1850-1900 (e.g., several 100 acres in the Clover Mountains on the Great Basin-Mojave Desert boundary in eastern NV). It is not well understood how ponderosa pine survived fire with chaparral understories (e.g., many areas on Mount Charleston and in the Clover Mountains), thus leading some to suggest stand replacement as the dominant fire regime with boulders and rugged topography providing fire-safe zones. It is also thought that the dominance of shrubs in understories is greater today than during pre-settlement because livestock grazing greatly reduced grasses in the southern portion of the Great Basin.

Model Evolution and Comments

This model was initially the result of merging two PNVGs (PIPO2 and PIPO 5). The model was developed by modifying the PIPO2 VDDT model. The model was further modified using the comprehensive review from one expert. Literature shows that up to two variations of ponderosa pine occur in the Great Basin (in particular NV--var. ponderosa). However, PIPO does not appear to be a dominant cover type in NV, except in isolated locations.

This model does not take into account insect, disease, wind, weather, and/or stress. None of the modelers felt comfortable describing the historical impact of these disturbances.

Modelers also included: Lynn Bennet (lmbennet@fs.fed.us); John Foster (jfoster@tnc.org)

Succession Classes**

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vege

Class A 5%

Early1 PostRep Description

Graminoid dominated community following stand replacement fire. Sprouting shrubs on more moist site can occur. Conifer seedlings are scattered throughout, but are typically found in dog-hair type thickets.

Age class: 0-15 years. D.B.H. range of 0-2". Succession to B, mid-development closed. Because of the dominance of young trees, replacement fire is surface fire. Therefore, the FRI is 10 yrs (long FRI post-fire) with succession setback by 15 years.

Class B 5%

Mid1 Closed

Description

>30% canopy cover from sapling to pole size pine. Understory species decreasing to depressed. D.B.H. range of 2-14". Age class: 15 - 100 years. Succession to E, late-development closed state, unless replacement fire (mean FRI of 250 yrs) returns vegetation to state A or mixed severity fire (FRI of 50 yrs) causes a transition to the mid-development open condition (state C). Surface fire is not assumed possible in this closed condition as any fire would at least cause mixed severity fire effects.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
DIDO	Min			Max	
	Cover	0 %		30 %	
	Height	no data		no data	
QUGA	Tree Size	e Class no data			
Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
□Tree					

Fuel Model no data

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
PIPO	Min		Max		
OUGA	Cover	31 %		99 %	
QUUA	Height		no data	no data	
	Tree Size	e Class	no data		

Upper Laver Lifeform

Herbaceous Shrub Tree

Fuel Model no data

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Class C 10%

Mid1 Open Description

<30% canopy cover of pole to saw timber size trees with diverse understory of grasses or shrub species. Open structure maintained by low intensity and mixed severity fire. D.B.H. range of 2-14". Age class: 16 - 98 years. Replacement fire is infrequent in the open condition (average FRI of 800 yrs). Surface fire (FRI of 10 yrs) and mixed severity fire (FRI of 80 yrs) maintain the open structure of the stand and prevent closure of the vegetation (transition back to B in the absence of fire for 25 yrs). Therefore, vegetation succeeds to late development open after 84 yrs with fire activity.

Cla

Late

Des

<30 tree thro app gras und

D.E 100 is it (eve othe mos yrs) **FR** freq pre stru 50 vegetation will remain in class D indefinitely.

Dominant Species* and **Canopy Position** PIPO QUGA

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

		Min	Max			
Cover		10 %	30 %			
Height		no data	no data			
Tree Size	e Class	no data				

Upper Layer Lifeform

Herbaceous Shrub Tree

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Fuel Model no data

iss D	75%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
el Onen		PIPO			Min	Max
		OUGA	Cover		10 %	30 %
		QUUI	Height		no data	no data
J% canop	y cover with scattered		Tree Size	e Class	no data	·
bughout, of bearance v ss species lerstory. 3.H. rango) + years of nfrequent ery 800 y er classes st frequent) and mixi I of 80 yr quent rate vents the incture (E) yrs without	creating a savanna-like with diverse shrub or a dominating the e of 14+". Age class: old. Replacement fire in this condition rs). Compared to , surface fire is at its at rate (mean FRI of 8 ed severity fire (mean s) is at its least in class D. Fire transition to a closed , which happens after ut fire. Otherwise, the	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper I Height	ayer life and cove	form differs fro	om dominant lifeform. t lifeform are:

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Dominant Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class E 5% Canopy Position Min Max Late1 Closed PIPO Cover 31 % 99 % Description **PSEUD** Height no data no data >30% canopy cover of decadent ABCO Tree Size Class no data trees. Severely suppressed to **OUGA** poorly developed understory. Age Upper Layer Lifeform Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. class: 100+ years. D.B.H. Range of Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous 14+". Replacement fire will return Shrub vegetation to class A (mean FRI of Tree 250 yrs), whereas mixed severity Fuel Model no data (FRI of 50 yrs) will open stand structure and cause a transition to class D. Without fire, the stand remains closed indefinitely. Disturbances **Disturbances Modeled** Fire Regime Group:

	The Hogime of	0001						
 ✓ Fire ✓ Insects/Disease □ Wind/Weather/Stress □ Native Grazing □ Competition 	I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity							
Other:	Fire Intervals (FI)							
Other	Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of							
Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: no data Min: no data Max: no data	fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.							
Sources of Fire Pagime Data		Avg Fl	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires		
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	161	250	800	0.00621	5		
✓ Literature	Mixed	80	50	80	0.0125	10		
Local Data	Surface	9	8	10	0.11111	86		
✓ Expert Estimate	All Fires 8 0.12982							

References

Bradley, A. F., N. V. Noste, W. C. Fischer. 1992. Fire Ecology of Forests and Woodlands in Utah. Gen. Tech. Rep. GTR-287. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. 45-47.

Goldblum, D. and T. T. Veblen. (1992). Fire history of ponderosa pine/Douglas-fir forest in the Colorado Front Range. Physical Geography. 13: 133-148.

Howard, J. L. 2003. Pinus ponderosa var. scopulorum. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/ [2004, November 17].

Kaufmann, M. R., C. M. Regan, and P. M. Brown. 2000. Heterogeneity in ponderosa pine/Douglas-fir forests: agae and size structure in unlogged and logged landscapes of central Colorado. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 30: 698-711.

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

West, N. E. and M. H. Madany. 1981. Fire history of the Horse Pasture Plateau, Zion National Park, Final report. Utah State University - NPS Cooperative Studies Unit, Logan, UT, 225 pp.

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.