# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

#### Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) Mountain Shrubland with Trees **R2MSHBwt** General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Michele Slaton mslaton@fs.fed.us Clint Williams cwilliams03@fs.fed.us Joanne Baggs ibaggs@fs.fed.us Crystal Golden kolden@unr.edu Cheri Howell chowell@fs.fed.us Stanley G. Kitchen skitchens@fs.fed.us **General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **Vegetation Type ✓** Literature Shrubland California Pacific Northwest ✓ Local Data **✓** Great Basin South Central **✓** Expert Estimate **Dominant Species\*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians **SYMP LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest **AMEL** 12 17 N-Cent.Rockies **PRUN** 13 18 HOLO 16

# Geographic Range

Occurs from southwest Canada to the southern Sierra Nevada, western side of the traverse ranges of southern California, throughout the Great Basin, and in the Rocky Mountains from Montana to Colorado.

# **Biophysical Site Description**

Occurs on mesic sites on gentle to steep slopes. This type may be found on all aspects between elevations of 7,500 to 10,000 ft., although it may occur at lower elevations in the northern parts of its range.

# **Vegetation Description**

Mountain shrub communities vary greatly between the eastern and western Great Basin. Dominant shrubs include Symphoricarpos, Amelanchier, and Prunus on mesic sites, with more Artemisia tridentata var. vaseyana, and Holodiscus on dry sites. In Utah. true mountain mahogany (Cercocarpus montanus) is a resprouting shrub that sometimes dominates this PNVG. Ribes, Acer, mountain ash (Sorbus scopulina), and Chrysothamnus are less common. Grasses and forbs may be abundant and patchy. Trees include pinyon pine, juniper, and limber pine. Douglas fir, white fir, and lodgepole pine may be found on more mesic sites.

### **Disturbance Description**

Fire: This is a fire-dependent system, and is strongly influenced by the fire regime of the surrounding shrublands. Dominant species are resprouters (Anderson 2001, Esser 1995, Howard 1997, Uchytill 1990, Zlatnik 1999). Average FRIs vary between 100-200 yrs with longer intervals for older stands. The average mixed severity FRI varies between 25 yrs for younger stands to 100 yrs for older stands with greater tree encroachment..

Avalanche/rockslide: Sites on steep slopes experience rockslides and avalanches that favor resprouting shrubs.

Weather/stress: Severe weather event, such as frost, can cause replacement type mortality every 200 yrs on

average.

# **Adjacency or Identification Concerns**

This type occurs in association or complex with mountain big sagebrush, although mountain shrublands are differentiated here by greater diversity.

This PNVG may be similar to the PNVG R3MSHB for the Southwest model zone, but the proportions of mixed versus replacement fire are opposite in the two regions, probably due to differences in weather and lightning patterns. This PNVG may also be similar to the PNVG R0MTSB for the Northern and Central Rockies model zone, but the Great Basin model has much more frequent fire and more mixed severity fire. There is discrepancy among experts about the amount of mixed severity fire in this system.

# **Scale Description**

Sources of Scale Data ☐ Literature ☐ Local Data ✓ Expert Estimate

Usually, this community occurs on a small scale, on mesic sites near or within the mountain big sagebrush zone. However, it may occur on mesic sites outside this zone.

#### Issues/Problems

Dwarf aspen, willows, and alder may be present on moist sites. If those species are dominant, an aspen or riparian model would be more appropriate. Fire regime group is II and III, however FRG III is more likely.

# **Model Evolution and Comments**

	Succession C	lasses**				
he equivalent of "V			teragency FRCC Guid	lebook (www.frcc.gov		
	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Early1 PostRep	SYMPH		Max			
	AMEL			40 %		
abundant as	PRUNU HOLO			no data		
		Tree Size Class no data				
ry 100 yrs and I mortality cal clock to	Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
	Dominant Species* and	Structure D	ata (for upper layer	r lifeform)		
	SYMPH	Min		Max		
	AMEL	Cover 10 %		50 %		
and grasses	HOLO	Height no data  Tree Size Class no data		no data		
_	PRUNU					
ecially in gaps between shrubs.  ny shrubs are small and nature. Both replacement fire ry 100 yrs and severe weather  tted mortality every 200 yrs will		Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
	abundant, as s. Shrub sent.  by 100 yrs and d mortality all clock to a classes A to and grasses sent, ween shrubs. I and cement fire ere weather by 200 yrs will lass A. Mixed	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position  SYMPH  AMEL  AMEL  PRUNU  HOLO  Upper Layer Lifeform  Tree  Tuel Model  and grasses  Sent,  ween shrubs. I and cement fire ere weather  y 200 yrs will lass A. Mixed  Dominant Species* and Canopy Position  SYMPH  AMEL  HOLO  PRUNU  Upper Layer Lifeform  Herbaceous  Shrub  Tree  Tree  Fuel Model  Dominant Species*  Fuel Model  Tree  Tree  Fuel Model  Tree  Tree  Fuel Model  Tree  Tree  Tree  Tree  Tree  Tree  Tuel Model  Tree  Tree  Tree  Tree  Tree  Tree  Tree  Tuel Model  Tree  Tree  Tree  Tree  Tree  Tree  Tuel Model  Tree  Tree  Tree  Tree  Tree  Tree  Tuel Model  Tree  Tree  Tree  Tree  Tree	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position  SYMPH  AMEL PRUNU HOLO Upper Layer Lifeform I land Canopy Position  SYMPH  AMEL PRUNU HOLO Upper Layer Lifeform I land Canopy Position SYMPH  AMEL HOLO  Dominant Species* and Canopy Position SYMPH  AMEL HOLO STRUCTURE D  Structure D  Cover Height Tree Size Count Cover	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position  SYMPH  AMEL  PRUNU  HOLO  Sent.  Ty 100 yrs and I mortality al classes A to  Dominant Species* and Canopy Position  SYMPH  AMEL  HOLO  Upper Layer Lifeform  I classes A to  Dominant Species* and Canopy Position  SYMPH  AMEL  HOLO  Upper Layer Lifeform  Canopy Position  Shrub  Shrub  Shrub  Tree  Fuel Model no data  Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform differs from Height and cover of dominant down and and and and and and and and and an		

successional dynamics. Succession to C after 15 yrs.

Class C 65%  Late1 Closed Description  Shrubs are dominant, with little decadence. Grasses and forbs may be present. Small tree seedlings may be present. Shrubs are larger and many are reproducing. Fire and severe weather events return interval are as in class B. Class C is the succession endpoint. However, vegetation will transition to class D in the absence of fire for 60 yrs	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position SYMPH AMEL PRUNU HOLO Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)  Min Max  Cover 25 % 60 %  Height no data no data  Tree Size Class no data  Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
Class D 10%  Late2 Open  Description  Shrubs are dominant, with more decadence. Trees are over-topping the shrub canopy. Vegetation is considered open because trees do not form a close canopy. FRIs are longer in this class. Replacement fire every 200 yrs and severe weather every 200 yrs will cause transitions to A. Mixed severity fire every 100 yrs simply maintains vegetation in class D, which is the endpoint for succession without stand replacement fire.	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position  JUNIP PIFL2 ARTR2 HOLO Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Cover Height Tree Size	e <i>Class</i>	Min 5 % no data no data orm differs fro	Max 15 % no data  om dominant lifeform.
Class E 0 % Late1 Closed Description	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position  Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Cover Height Tree Size	e Class		Max % no data com dominant lifeform. nt lifeform are:

#### Disturbances **Disturbances Modeled** Fire Regime Group: **✓** Fire I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity Insects/Disease III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity **✓** Wind/Weather/Stress IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity Native Grazing V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity Competition Fire Intervals (FI) Other: Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of Other fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and Historical Fire Size (acres) maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Avg: no data Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are Min: no data estimates and not precise. Max: no data Avg FI Min FI Max FI Probability Percent of All Fires Sources of Fire Regime Data Replacement 100 200 22 105 0.00952 **✓** Literature Mixed 29 25 100 0.03448 78 Local Data Surface **✓** Expert Estimate All Fires 23 0.04402

### References

Anderson, M. 2001. Acer glabrum. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis [2004, November 18].

Brown, J. K. and J. K. Smith, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Esser, L.L. 1995. Prunus emarginata. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis [2004, November 18].

Howard, J. L. 1997. Amelanchier alnifolia. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis [2004, November 18].

Howell, C, R. Hudson, B Glover, K Amy. 2004. Resource implementation protocol for rapid assessment matrices. USDA Forest Service, Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest, Sparks, NV.

Uchytil, R.J. 1990. Acer grandidentatum. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis [2004, November 18].

Zlatnik, E. 1999. Amelanchier utahensis. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis [2004, November 18].