Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) **R1WEHB** Herbaceous Wetland **General Information** Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") Modelers **Reviewers** Peter Hujik phujik@tnc.org Dave Schirokauer dave_schirokauer@nps.gov Alison Forrestel alison forrestel@nps.gov **General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones Vegetation Type ✓ Literature Grassland Pacific Northwest California Local Data Great Basin South Central Expert Estimate **Dominant Species*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians LETR5 LANDFIRE Mapping Zones Northern Plains Southwest **ELMO** 3 6 N-Cent.Rockies TYSP 4 SCCA 5

Geographic Range

California Central Valley, coastal, and montane areas. This PNVG occurs from coastal brackish marshes to interior valley fresh water marshes, to haline or saline settings adjacent to alkaline playas and seeps in the desert. In the Sierra, Cascades, and Klamath mountains stands may occur in saturated meadows and along the shores of ponds and lakes which experience drawdown throughout the growing season.

Biophysical Site Description

Herbaceous wetland occurs on flat, poorly drained sites or on valley bottom depressions.

Vegetation Description

Large (>.5km2) coastal, montane, and valley freshwater marsh and wet meadow communities dominated by Scirpus (bulrush), Typha spp (cattail) and/or other herbaceous species with saturated soil or standing water for most of the year, but which generally dry out annually. Vegetation is characterized by short to medium graminoids which typically range from .5 to 1 meter. Some stands are heavily dominated Eleocharis, Scirpus, and/or Typha spp while others have several graminoids common throughout the stand. This PNVG occurs from coastal brackish marshes to interior valley fresh water marshes, to haline or saline settings adjacent to alkaline playas and seeps in the desert. In the Sierra, Cascades, and Klamath mountains stands may occur in saturated meadows and along the shores of ponds and lakes which experience drawdown throughout the growing season. Some stands occupy the centers of vernal pools. (Sawyer & Wolf, Sugihara et al. 2005)

Disturbance Description

The fire return interval of Herbaceous wetland is 3-20 years. These sites were likely burned by native peoples along with adjacent grasslands. In the absence of Native Americans, the fire return interval probably tended toward the longer end of the above range. Native herbivory was also a source of continual background-level disturbance (FEIS). These systems will succeed to upland grasslands on very long time frames (tens of thousands of years) (Mayer & Laudenslayer 1988).

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Adjacent systems include grasslands, coastal scrub, chaparral, oak woodland, and mountain meadows. Large portions of Herbaceous wetland are now in an uncharacteristic state as they have been drained and/or converted to agriculture/grazing.

This PNVG may be similar to the PNVG R#WGRA for the Pacific Northwest Model Zone. R#WGRA has a more frequent fire regime.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data ☑Literature □Local Data ☑Expert Estimate

Historically, fire size probably varied widely from very small fires (10s of hectares) to very large fires (1000s of hectares). Fires in this system are tied to burning in adjacent uplands. (Sugihara et al. 2005)

Issues/Problems

Model Evolution and Comments

Succession Classes**									
Succession of	classes are the equivalent of "	Vegetation Fuel Classes" as a	lefined in the	e Interage	ency FRCC C	Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).			
Class A	5%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	 Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) 						
Early1 PostRep <u>Description</u> Immediately after a stand replacing fire, this class will appear. It will be composed of Scirpus, Typha, Eleocharis and other wetland graminoids in an early life stage.		SCCA LETR5 TYSP ELMO Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Cover Height Tree Size	e Class layer life and cov	Min 0 % no data no data eform differs ver of domin	Max 100 % no data from dominant lifeform. ant lifeform are:			
Class B	90 %	Fuel Model no data	Structure	e Data (for upper la	aver lifeform)			
Midl Class	1				Min	Max			
Mid1 Closed <u>Description</u> This class is composed of closed canopy (>60%) wetland species including Scirpus, Typha, Eleocharis and other wetland graminoids. This type occurs several years after a stand replacing fire.		LETR5 ELMO TYSP	Cover	60 %		100 %			
			Height		no data	no data			
			Tree Size Class no data						
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree <u>Fuel Model</u> no data	Upper Height	from dominant lifeform. ant lifeform are:					

Class C	5%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure	er lifeform)				
		SCCA	Min		Min	Max		
Midl Open		I FTR5	Cover		0%	59 %		
Description		TYSP ELMO	Height	no data		no data		
A matrix of og canopy (<60%	b) wetland species		Tree Size Class no data					
including Scirpus, Typha, Eleocharis and other wetland graminoids. This type can occur via two pathways. A mixed severity fire in Class B creates a patchy expression of this type. Alternatively, a rare extreme stand replacing fire event (during a drought) would patchily kill rhizomes and a few years later create a patchy expression of this type.		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper Height	layer life and cov	eform differs fr ver of dominar	fers from dominant lifeform. minant lifeform are:		

Class D	0%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
Late1 Open Description			Min			Max		
			Cover	0 %		%		
			Height		no data	no data		
			Tree Size	ee Size Class no data				
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifefor Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Class E	0%	Dominant Species* and	<u>Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)</u>					
Latel Closed		Canopy Position			Min	Max		
Description			Cover	0 %		%		
			Height		no data	no data		
			Tree Size Class no data					
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
		ruer model no data						
Disturbances								

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Disturbances Modeled	Fire Regime Gr	<u>oup:</u> 2					
✓ Fire	I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity						
Insects/Disease	II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity						
Wind/Weather/Stress	IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity						
Native Grazing	V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity						
Other:	Fire Intervals (FI)						
Other	Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of						
Historical Fire Size (acres)	maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire intervals, if known.						
Avg: no data	Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are						
Min: no data	estimates and not precise.						
Max: no data							
Sources of Fire Begime Data		Avg Fl	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires	
	Replacement	15			0.06667	70	
✓ Literature	Mixed	35			0.02857	30	
Local Data	Surface						
Expert Estimate	All Fires	10			0.09525		
References							

Sawyer, J.O. and T.K. Wolf. In preparation. Manual of California Vegetation, revised. California Native Plant Society.

Sugihara, N.G., J.W. Van Wagtendonk, J. Fites-Kaufman, K.E. Shaffer, A.E. Thode, editors. 2005. Fire in California Ecosystems. University of California Press, Berkeley, California. In press.

USDA, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory. Fire Effects Information System (online). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/. Accessed November 3, 2004.

Mayer, K.E. and W.F. Laudenslayer. 1988. A Guide to Wildlife Habitats of California. State of California, Resources Agency. Dept of Fish and Game. Sacramento, CA. 166pp.