Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) R1SAGEco **Coastal Sage Scrub** General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Mark Borchert mborchert@fs.fed.us Jon Keelev jon keeley@usgs.gov 1 anonymous reviewer **Vegetation Type General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones ✓ Literature Shrubland **✓** California Pacific Northwest Local Data Great Basin South Central Expert Estimate **Dominant Species*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians **ARCA LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest SAME 3 N-Cent.Rockies ERFA2 4 BAPI 5 **Geographic Range** Coastal sage scrub is coastally distributed from Baja California, Mexico to just north of San Francisco, California. It has been divided into four floristic provinces which from north to south are: the Diablan, Ventura, and Diegan. The Riversidian is more inland and straddles the Venturan and Diegan associations. **Biophysical Site Description Vegetation Description** Coast sage scrub is mainly composed of soft-leaved shrubs and subshrubs with flexible, woody stems. Leaves are often summer-deciduous and high in volatile oils. Sage scrub varies from relatively open to closed stands often with a well-developed herbaceous understory. Common species are: Eriogonum spp., Artemisia californica, Salvia leucophylla and other Salvia spp., Mimulus aurantiacus, Hazardia squarrosa, Baccharis pilularis, and Toxicodendron diversilobum. Woody shrubs such as Xylococcus bicolor, Malosma laurina and Rhus integrifolia may also be present. Availability of seed sources play a relatively large part in vegetation succession and disturbance responses. Type conversions to grasslands occur with repeated smallscale fires that may not be captured in larger data sets. Loss of this habitat is of major concern to ecologists and certain ornithologists. **Disturbance Description** Coastal sage scrub burns in stand-replacing fires that burn hundreds to sometimes thousands of acres in a single event. Sage scrub likely burns at the same frequency as neighboring chaparral, although it is capable of burning at an earlier age than chaparral. Native Americans converted coastal sage scrub to grasslands through repeated burning, but this burning likely was limited to villages on the immediate coast. Adjacency or Identification Concerns ✓ Local Data Expert Estimate Sources of Scale Data Literature **Scale Description** Wildfires typically burn 100's to 1000's of acres.

Issues/Problems

Compared to chaparral, the canopy of coastal sage scrub develops more slowly. After approximately 5 years, perennial grasses have high cover for several decades until the shrub cover becomes more continuous. Type conversions to grasslands occur with repeated small-scale fires that may not be captured in larger data sets.

Model Evolution and Comments

Like the chaparral, this model uses a 50-year fire return interval. This is the mid-point between 40 and 60 given by Byrne et al. 1997. This represents the frequency between large fires that showed up in the Santa Barbara Channel sediment cores. The interval may have been somewhat shorter when smaller fires (I.e., those that did not show up in the cores) are included.

Succession Classes**										
Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).										
Class A 10 %	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)								
Early1 Open	LOSC2	0	Min	Max 70.0/						
Description	PHACE	Cover	0 9		70 %					
Shrub seedlings, fire annuals,	CRYPT	Height	no data		no data					
perennial geophytes, short-lived	EMME	Tree Siz	e Class no da	Class no data						
perennials, resprouting shrubs	Upper Layer Lifeform	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:								
perennus, respressing on ues	Herbaceous									
	Shrub									
	Tree									
	Fuel Model no data									
	no data									
Class B 90 %	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)								
	ARCA1 SAME3		Min	 Max						
Mid1 Closed		Cover	71 9	%	100 %					
Description		Height	no data	a	no data					
Resprouting shrubs, shrubs	ERFA2 SAAP	Tree Siz	e <i>Class</i> no da	ta						
growing from seedlings. Herbs		_								
only in openings.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:								
Class C 0%	Fuel Model no data Dominant Species* and	Structure	Data (for upp	ner laver life	oform)					
0 /6	Canopy Position	<u> </u>	Min		Max					
Late1 Open		Cover	%	,	%					
<u>Description</u>		Height	no data		no data					
		Tree Size	Class no dat	a						
	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data		Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:							

^{*}Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Class D 0%	Dominant Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)								
	Canopy Position	Min			Max					
Late1 Open		Cover		0%	%					
<u>Description</u>		Height	no	data	no data					
		Tree Size Class no data								
	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:								
Class E 0%	Dominant Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)								
Late1 Closed Description	Canopy Position	Min			Max					
		Cover		0 %	%					
		Height	no	data	no data					
		Tree Size	e <i>Class</i> no	o data						
	Upper Layer Lifeform ☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☐ Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:								
	Disturban	ces								
Disturbances Modeled	Fire Regime Group: 2									
✓ Fire ☐ Insects/Disease ☐ Wind/Weather/Stre ☐ Native Grazing ☐ Competition	I: 0-35 year frequency II: 0-35 year frequency III: 35-200 year frequency IV: 35-200 year frequency	I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity								
Other:		Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of								
Historical Fire Size (acr	res) maximum show the relati	fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling.								
Avg: no data Min: no data	Percent of all fires is the	Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are								
Max: no data	estimates and not precise	€.								
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Data Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires					
-	Replacement 5()	20	150	0.02	100					
✓ Literature	Mixed									
Local Data	Surface									
Expert Estimate	All Fires 50			0.02002						
	Reference	es								

Byrne, R.I., J. Michaelsen and A. Soutar. 1977. Fossil charcoal as a measure of wildfire frequency in southern California: a preliminary analysis, pp. 361-361. In H.A. Mooney and C.E. Conrad (eds.). Proceedings of the symposium on environmental consequences of fire and fuel management in Mediterranean ecosystems.

USDA Forest Service, General Technical Report WO-3.

Keeley JE. 2002. Native American impacts on fire regimes of the California coastal ranges. Journal of Biogeography 29, 303-320.

Keeley, J.E. Fire in the South Coast region. 2005. In J. Fites-Kaufman, N. Sugihara and J. van Wangtendonk (eds), Fire Ecology of California Ecosystems. University of California Press. In press.

Wells PV. 1962. Vegetation in relation to geological substratum and fire in the San Luis Obispo quadrangle, California. Ecological Monographs 32, 79 103.