Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)

R1SABU	Saltbush		•	
	General Int	ormation		
Contributors (addition	nal contributors may be listed under "Model	Evolution and Comments")		
Modelers	Reviewers			
Jennifer Mata	jmata@blm.gov			
Louis Provencher	lprovencher@tnc.org			
Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	Rapid Assessment	lodel Zones	
Shrubland	✓Literature ✓Local Data	California	Pacific Northwest South Central	
Dominant Species*	✓ Expert Estimate	Great Lakes	Southeast	
ATRIP ALLE HARA DISTI	LANDFIRE Mapping Zones	 Northeast Northern Plains N-Cent.Rockies 	S. Appalachians	

Geographic Range

Typically found in central and southern central valleys of California, and near the Salton Sea. Found extensively in UT, NV, and portions of AZ.

Biophysical Site Description

Occurs on poorly to moderately drained saline and/or alkaline soils. Usually occurs in two phases a xerophytic phase in basins and valleys and a halophytic phase in playas and sinks. Elevations range from - 80 to 1200 meters.

Vegetation Description

Vegetation is dominated by several Atriplex spp. Throughout its range. Other genera include Haplopappus, Allenrolfea, Distichlis, and Sporobolus. Variations in vegetation occur throughout the region, based on soil drainage. This type correlates with Kuchler's (1964)Saltbush-Greasewood (type 40) and CES302.749 Sonora-Mojave Mixed Salt Desert Scrub (NatureServe 2004).

Disturbance Description

Fire regime group III, infrequent fire with mixed severity. Fire return interval is correlated to precipitation and the availability of fine fuels to carry fire. Fire would typically occur during moist years when fine fuels were persistent into late summer.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Likely adjacent to barren areas and California grassland types. This area is probably less than 3 percent of the landscape in California, but would be significant if combined with similar vegetation types in NV and UT.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data 🖌 Literature 🗌 Local Data 🖌 Expert Estimate

In California patches are small in size from 100's to 1000's of acres. There are several journal articles which predict mapped areas of this vegetation, with larger patches occurring in NV and UT. Disturbance

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

size could encompass entire patches under certain conditions.

Issues/Problems

Difficult to locate quantifiable information regarding fire regime. Not sure about the time steps associated with each class and percent cover breaks. Unsure of whether insects and/or weather would create disturbance impacts that need to be modeled. Suspect that there is disturbance associated with flood events, not sure how to incorporate that into model since that it would cause an increase in recruitment.

Model Evolution and Comments

Would like to have the Great Basin group review and refine model, to see if California type compares with that found in the Great Basin. Suggested reviewers: Dave Germano (dgermano@csu.bak.edu), Steve Laymon (slaymon@ca.blm.gov), Ellen Cypher (ecypher@esrp.org) and Bill Laudenslayer (blaudenslayer@fs.fed.us)

		Succession Cl	asses*	**				
Succession cla	sses are the equivalent of "	Vegetation Fuel Classes" as de	fined in the	e Interage	ency FRCC G	uidebook (www.fr	rcc.gov).	
Class A 1	0%	Dominant Species* and Capopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
Early1 PostRep Description Immediate post fire class, vegetation is dominated by forbs, resprouting grasses, and some shrubs. This type typically occurs where fires burn relatively hot in classes B and C.		ATRIP DISTI	Min			I	Max	
			Cover 5 %			20 %		
			Height no data			no	data	
			Tree Size Class no data					
		□ Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant □ Herbaceous □ Shrub □ Tree Fuel Model no data					ifeform.	
Class B 4	5%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure	e Data (for upper la	ver lifeform)		
Mid1 Open		ATRIP	-	1	Min	<i>I</i>	Max	
Description Canopy cover is open with Atriplex dominating the site. There is some vegetation in the understory including Distichlis and other forbs. Fire could occur in this class during wet years and would be stand replacing if it occurred with a wind event.		DISTI	Cover		20 %		40 %	
			Height		no data	no	data	
			Iree Size Class no data					
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant life Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					

Class C	45%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	- Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Mid1 Closed		ATRIP		Min	Max		
Midl Closed			Cover	40 %	70 %		
This type age	una wihan thana ana		Height	no data	no data		
This type occ	ars when there are		Tree Size Class no data				
persistently dry years with little persistent fine fuels to build a receptive fuelbed. It allows for dense stands of Atriplex to form. The vegetation is relatively stable in this state.		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	m dominant lifeform. lifeform are:				
Class D	0%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	nd Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Open				Min	Max		
Description			Cover	0 %	%		
			Height	no data	no data		
			Tree Siz	e Class no data			
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper Height	n dominant lifeform. ifeform are:			
Class E 0% Dominant Species* and Structure Data (for					pper layer lifeform)		
Latel Closed		<u>Callopy Position</u>		Min	Max		
Description			Cover	0 %	%		
			Height	no data	no data		
			Tree Siz	e Class no data			
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
		Disturban	ces				

Disturbances Modeled	Fire Regime Gr	<u>oup:</u> 4				
✓ Fire ☐ Insects/Disease	I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity					
Wind/Weather/Stress Native Grazing Competition						
Other:	Fire Intervals (FI)					
Other	Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and					
Historical Fire Size (acres)	maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the					
Avg: no data	inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling.					
Min: no data	estimates and not precise.					
Max: no data						
Sources of Fire Pagime Data		Avg Fl	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires
Sources of File Regime Data	Replacement	100	60	200	0.01	70
✓ Literature	Mixed	235	10	40	0.00426	30
✓ Local Data	Surface					
✓ Expert Estimate	All Fires	70			0.01427	
References						

Barbour, M. & Major, J. 1988. Terrestrial Vegetation of California, New Expanded Edition. California Native Plant Society. Special Publication Number 9.

Branson, F. & Miller, R. Geographic Distribution and Factors Affecting the Distribution of Salt Desert Shrubs in the United States. Journal of Range Management, Vol 20, No. 5. (Sept., 1967), 287-296.

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Kuchler, A. W. 1964. Manual to accompany the map of potential natural vegetation of the conterminous United States. American Geographical Society. Spec. Publ. No. 36. Lib. Congress Cat. Card Num. 64-15417. 156 p.

NatureServe. 2004. International Ecological Classification Standard: Terrestrial Ecological Classifications. NatureServe Central Databases. Arlington, VA. U.S.A. Data current as of October 12, 2004.

Sankary, M. & Barbour, M. Autoecology of Atriplex Polycarpa from California. Ecology, Vol 53, No. 6. (Nov., 1972), 1155-1162.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/ (October 27,2004).