Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) Sierra Nevada Lodgepole Pine - Cold Wet Upper Montane R1PICOcw General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Anthony Caprio tony caprio@nps.gov **General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **Vegetation Type** ✓ Literature Forested **✓** California Pacific Northwest Local Data Great Basin South Central **✓** Expert Estimate **Dominant Species*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians **PICO LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest 3 N-Cent.Rockies 4 5 Geographic Range Cold wet lodgepole pine is distributed in the upper montane of the central and southern portions of the Sierra Nevada. Stands are typically located at elevations ranging from ~2000 m to ~3200 m (Potter 1994). **Biophysical Site Description** Wet cold lodgepole pine occurs on upper montane sites usually on gently rolling lower slopes and drainage bottoms (Potter 1994, 1998). Stands are typically in broken terrain and thus few large contiguous areas of this type exist. Climate is Mediterranean with wet winters (Nov.-Apr.) and dry summers although summer thunderstorms occur sporadically. Sites are moist and more productive than dry cool subalpine lodgepole. Fuels are composed of a matrix of herbaceous vegetation and pine debris. **Vegetation Description** The understory is diverse with graminoids and forbs (cover >50%). Tree cover is generally moderate to dense. At lower elevations there is an increasing dominance of red fir and western white pine. Lodgepole can be seral to these species and at higher elevations mountain hemlock. **Disturbance Description** Disturbance patterns have been poorly studied in Sierran lodgepole pine. Sierra lodgepole has been described as not being a fire type (Barbour and Minnich 2000) or as having long intervals between fires (Parker 1986, Keeley 1980, Potter 1998). Somewhat similar wet lodgepole types in Klamath Mountains and Oregon had a FRI range of 70 - 100 years. Season of fire is generally late summer to early fall. Stand replacement fire occurs at long intervals resulting in low stand complexity. Mixed severity fire occurs when fuel conditions remain moist and result in mixed age stands. Very infrequently, surface fires can occur. Adjacency or Identification Concerns Local Data Sources of Scale Data **✓** Literature Expert Estimate **Scale Description** Fire size from small (few hectares) to 100s of hectares. Disturbance scale in areas with long to short FRI is

^{*}Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

variable. Most fires are small (<1 ha) but the less common large fires affect large areas (10s to 100s ha).

Issues/Problems

Limited information about disturbance is available. Available information from limited geographical range of sites. Divergent fire occurrence patterns ranging from moderate frequency to very long FRI in vegetation type. Differences may be related to ignition and fire spread probabilities or lack of data. Information applied to this type in most reviews was derived from studies in the Klamath mountains rather than the Sierra.

Model Evolution and Comments

		Succession C				
Succession	classes are the equivalent of '		•	teragency FRCC Guide	book (www.frcc.gov,	
Class A	5%	Dominant Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
E 11D (D.	Canopy Position		Min	Max	
Early1 PostRep Description Lodgepole pine regeneration following stand replacing fire (severe understory fire or canopy fire). Moderate density to doghair thickets.		PICO	Cover	0 %	100 %	
			Height	no data	no data	
			Tree Size C	Class no data		
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
Class B 30 % Mid1 Closed Description Mid-maturity lodgepole pine undergoing intrinsic stand thinning. Considerable surface fuel from tree mortality from previous fire.		Dominant Species* and Canopy Position Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform				
		PICO		Min	Max	
			Cover	50 %	100 %	
			Height	no data	no data	
			Tree Size C	lass no data		
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
Class C	C 5% Dominant Species* and Canopy Position			Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)		
Mid1 Open		PICO	Carran	Min	Max	
Description			Cover Heiaht	10 %	49 %	
Mid-maturity lodgepole pine where surface fire or other disturbance has opened the stand.			Tree Size Cla	no data	no data	
			1166 3126 016	ass IIU uata		
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
		Tree				

Dominant Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class D 5% Canopy Position Min Max **PICO** Late1 Open Cover 10% 49 % **Description** Heiaht no data no data Areas that have experienced one or Tree Size Class no data more low severity understory fires that had reduced stand density or **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. old stands that have not Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous experienced fire but have been Shrub thinned by other processes (tree Tree falls etc.). Stands are uneven aged. Fuel Model no data Dominant Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class E 55% **Canopy Position** Min Мах Late1 Closed **PICO** Cover 50 % 100 % Description Height no data no data Old stands where fire has had Tree Size Class no data minimal influence. **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous \square Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data **Disturbances Disturbances Modeled** Fire Regime Group: **✓** Fire I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity ✓ Insects/Disease III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity **✓** Wind/Weather/Stress IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity Native Grazing Competition Fire Intervals (FI) Other: Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of Other fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and **Historical Fire Size (acres)** maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Avg: no data Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are Min: no data estimates and not precise. Max: no data Min FI Max FI Probability Percent of All Fires Avg FI Sources of Fire Regime Data Replacement 150 764 0.00667 23 37 **✓** Literature Mixed 50 0.02 70 ☐Local Data Surface 500 0.002 7 Expert Estimate All Fires 35 0.02867 References Agee, J.K. 1990. The historical role of fire in Pacific Northwest forests. In: Walstad, J.D.; Radosevich, S.R.; Sandberg, D.V., eds. Natural and prescribed fire in Pacific Northwest forests. Corvallis: Oregon State

Agee, J.K. 1993. Fire Ecology of Pacific Northwest Forests. Island Press, Washington, DC. 494 pp.

University Press: 25-38.

^{*}Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Husari, S. J., and K. S. Hawk. 1994. The role of past and present disturbance in California ecosystems. Draft Region 5 Ecosystem

Management Guidebook, Vol. 2, Appendices I–C. San Francisco: U.S. Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Region.

Keeley, J.E. 1980. Reproductive cycles and fire regimes. Pp 231-277. In: H.A. Mooney, T.M. Bonnicksen, N.L. Christensen, J.E. Lotan, and W.A. Reiners (tech. coord.). Proceedings of the Conference: Fire Regimes and Ecosystem Properties. Dec. 11-15, 1978, Honolulu, Hawaii. USDA Forest Service, GTR- WO-26, 594 pp.

Potter, Don. 1994. Guide to Forested Communities of the Upper Montane in the Central and Southern Sierra Nevada. R5-ECOL-TP-003.

Potter, Donald A. 1998. Forested communities of the upper montane in the central and southern Sierra Nevada. Gen. Tech. Rep. PSW-GTR-169. Albany, CA: Pacific Southwest Research Station, Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture; 319 p.

Parker, A. J. 1986. Persistence of lodgepole pine forests in the central Sierra Nevada. Ecology 67:1560–67.

Parker, A.J. 1988. Stand structure in subalpine forests of Yosemite National Park, California. For. Sci. 34:1047-1058.

Taylor, A.H. and M.N. Solem. 2001. Fire regimes and stand dynamics in an upper montane forest landscape in the southern Cascades, Caribou Wilderness, California. J. Torrey Bot. Soc. 128:350-361.