Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)					
R1CHAP	Chaparral		-		
	General I	nformation			
Contributors (addition	onal contributors may be listed under "Mo	del Evolution and Comments",)		
<u>Modelers</u>		<u>Reviewers</u>			
Mark Borchert	mborchert@fs.fed.us	Jon Keeley	jon_keeley@usgs.gov		
		4 anonymous reviewers			
Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	s Rapid AssessmentModel Zones			
Shrubland	✓ Literature	✓ California	Pacific Northwest		
	Local Data	Great Basin	South Central		
Dominant Species	Expert Estimate	Great Lakes	Southeast		
ADFA	LANDFIRE Mapping Zone	Northeast	S. Appalachians		
HEAR		Northern Plains	Southwest		
CECU	3 6	☐ N-Cent.Rockies	3		
QUBE	4 5				

Geographic Range

Beginning as far north as Yreka and ending south of Bakersfield, chaparral forms a narrow, linear band along the foothills of the western Sierra Nevada Mountains. It is more diffusely distributed in the Coast Ranges from Ukiah to Salinas. From Big Sur in the northern Santa Lucia Mountains to Lompoc, it is primarily coastal. South of Santa Barbara chaparral is the dominant vegetation type covering several million of acres of the Transverse and Peninsular Ranges well into northern Mexico. Chaparral is widespread in southern California and can occur in the coastal mountains, foothills and plains.

Biophysical Site Description

Dry slopes and ridges below 5,000 feet on rocky, gravelly or fairly heavy soils. Average rainfall 14-25 inches.

Vegetation Description

Chaparral is composed of woody, sclerophyllous shrubs that generally vary from 3 to 15 feet in height. Shrub cover is usually dense and continuous, covering vast areas of land. In central and southern California xeric, high-insolation aspects typically support species such as chamise, redshank, obligate-seeding manzanitas, chaparral yucca, redberry, sugar bush and Ceanothus spp. In more mesic, low solar insolation settings, common dominants are scrub oak, toyon, poison oak, coffeeberry, and Prunus spp. Scrub oak readily sprouts after fire. At elevations above 4000 feet, resprouting manzanitas, shrub interior live oak, birchleaf mountain mahogany and canyon live oak are common associates.

Disturbance Description

Chaparral burns in high-intensity, stand-replacing crown fires that burn thousands of acres in a single event. However, there is a considerable range in the flammability of shrub species (e.g., chamise is "flashier" than manzanita). Large, stand replacement events can interact with seed availability and, hence, influence post-fire successional pathways differently than for smaller, less severe fires. Mean fire return intervals are highly variable across the state depending on species composition and other factors. Sediment cores taken

from the Santa Barbara Channel in central California dating from the 16th and 17th centuries indicate that large fires burned the Santa Ynez and Santa Lucia Mountain every 40-60 years. Season of burning plays a large part in species composition. Occasionally, frost affects mortality and increases fuel buildup. In the last century the high frequency of human ignitions have reduced the mean fire interval to 30-35 years in southern California.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Below ponderosa and sugar pine forests on the western slopes of the Sierra Nevada and more southern mountains.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data 🗸 Litera	ure Local Data	Expert Estimate
--------------------------------	----------------	-----------------

Wildfires typically burn 1,000's and 10,000's of acres; a small percentage burn more than 100,000 acres.

Issues/Problems

In this model, chaparral cover closes after 8 years. Of course, it could be faster or slower depending on the site. One reviewer suggested adding another state to reflect a mid-closed state (B) following an early seral ephemeral state (A). Due to the coarse nature of the Rapid Assessment and difficulty mapping a mid- versus late-closed state for the Rapid Assessment, we are maintaining the existing 2-box model, but will consider a 3-box model for future LANDFIRE modeling by mapping zone.

Model Evolution and Comments

This model uses a 50-year fire return interval. This is the mid-point between 40 and 60 given by Byrne et al. This represents the average interval between large fires that appeared in the sediment cores. The interval may have been somewhat shorter if smaller fires (I.e., those that did not show up in the cores) had been included.

Succession classes are the equivalent of	Succession Co		ragency FRCC Guide	ebook (www.frcc.gov).
Class A 20 % Early1 Open Description Shrub seedlings, fire annuals, perennial geophytes, short-lived perennials. 0-8 years of age.	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position LOSC2 PHACE CRYPT EMME Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Cover Height Tree Size Cla		Max 70 % no data m dominant lifeform.
Class B 80 % Late3 Closed Description Resprouting shrubs, shrubs growing from seedlings. Herbs only in openings. Greater than 8 years of age.	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position ADFA QUBE5 CEBE2 CECU2 Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Cover Height Tree Size Cla		Max 100 % no data m dominant lifeform.

^{*}Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Class C	0%	Dominant Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Mid1 Open	0 /0	Canopy Position		 Max		
			Cover	0 %	%	
<u>Description</u>			Height	no data	no data	
			Tree Size C	Class no data		
				Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:		
Class D	0%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Latal Onan	•	Odnopy i Osicion	Min		Max	
Late 1 Open			Cover	0 %	%	
<u>Description</u>			Height	no data	no data	
			Tree Size C	Class no data		
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data		dominant lifeform. eform are:		
Class E	0%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure [Data (for upper layer l	lifeform)	
Late1 Closed		<u>Canopy Position</u>		Min	Max	
Description			Cover	0 %	%	
			Height	no data	no data	
			Tree Size C	Class no data		
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
		Fuel Model no data				
Disturbances						

<u>Disturbances Modeled</u>	Fire Regime Gr	<u>oup:</u> 4				
✓ Fire ☐ Insects/Disease ☐ Wind/Weather/Stress ☐ Native Grazing ☐ Competition	I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity					
Other:	Fire Intervals (FI)					
Other	Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.					
<u>Historical Fire Size (acres)</u>						
Avg: no data						
Min: no data						
Max: no data						
Sources of Eiro Pagima Data		Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	50	30	125	0.02	100
✓ Literature	Mixed					
☐Local Data	Surface					
Expert Estimate	All Fires	50			0.02002	
References						

Byrne, R.I., J. Michaelsen and A. Soutar. 1977. Fossil charcoal as a measure of wildfire frequency in southern California: a preliminary analysis, pp. 361-361. In H.A. Mooney and C.E. Conrad (eds.). Proceedings of the symposium on environmental consequences of fire and fuel management in Mediterranean ecosystems. USDA Forest Service, General Technical Report WO-3.

Keeley JE. 2002. Native American impacts on fire regimes of the California coastal ranges. Journal of Biogeography 29, 303-320.

Keeley, J.E. Fire in the South Coast region. 2005. In J. Fites-Kaufman, N. Sugihara and J. van Wangtendonk (eds), Fire Ecology of California Ecosystems. University of California Press. In press.

Keeley, J.E., C.J. Fotheringham, and M. Morais. 1999. Reexamining fire suppression impacts on brushland fire regimes. Science 284:1829-1832.

Keeley, J.E. and C.J. Fotheringham. 2001. The historical role of fire in California shrublands. Conservation Biology 15:1536-1548.

Keeley, J.E. and C.J. Fotheringham. 2001. History and management of crown-fire ecosystems: A summary and response. Conservation Biology 15:1561-1567.

Keeley, J.E. and C.J. Fotheringham. 2003. Impact of past, present, and future fire □regimes on North American Mediterranean shrublands, pp. 218-262. In T.T. □Veblen, W.L. Baker, G. Montenegro, and T.W. Swetnam (eds), Fire and Climatic □Change in Temperate Ecosystems of the Western Americas. Springer, New □York.

Moritz, M.A., J.E. Keeley, E.A. Johnson, and A.A. Schaffner. 2004. Testing a basic assumption of shrubland fire management: Does the hazard of burning increase with the age of fuels? Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 2:67-72.

Wells PV. 1962. Vegetation in relation to geological substratum and fire in the San Luis Obispo quadrangle, California. Ecological Monographs 32, 79 103.