

Formatted: Width: 11", Height: 8.5"

## Desired Conditions

Locatable minerals are available for prospecting, exploring, developing, and producing and the lands are reclaimed in an ~~orderly-appropriate~~ (1)(62) manner. Abandoned mines that present a physical or chemical hazard to humans are identified and inventoried (12) and reclaimed in an appropriate manner (67)

1- ~~Mineral-Saleable(10)(11)(66)~~ materials are available and accessible (63) to support resource management (e.g., road surfacing or protective rip-rap); personal use (e.g., landscape rock) and ~~some (63)~~ commercial uses and the lands are reclaimed in an appropriate(1) (62)manner.—Saleable rock sources for internal use should be are developed such that minnum haul distances are required. (41)(47)

Formatted: Normal, No bullets or numbering

Nonenergy leasable minerals are available for prospecting, exploring, developing, and producing and the lands are reclaimed in an ~~orderly~~ appropriate (1) (62) manner.

Energy resources in the form of biofuels are available and meet market demands (9).

Energy resources in the form of geothermal are available for lease(2).

~~Abandoned mines that present a physical or chemical hazard to humans are identified and inventoried (12) and reclaimed in an appropriate manner (67)~~

## Objectives

1. ~~None~~ With in 5 years rock sources to be used for management activities such as road maintenance should be are established and developed if necessary. (20)(47)(70)(71).
2. Within 3 years establish information source on areas suitable for saleable minerals sales.(1)-

Formatted: List Paragraph, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

## Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input: Cultural Resources

~~Reclamation bonds for mineral operations should be reviewed every two years and update to reflect the current cost of completing the reclamation. (23)~~

3. ~~Annually 10-15 abandoned mine sites should be assessed and inventoried for potential reclamation (24)(69).~~

### Standards

For additional information see the Idaho Roadless Rule

### Guidelines

1. Notify mining claimants and leaseholders of impending Forest Service actions that may affect their claims or leased lands to minimize disruption of mining operations.

~~Saleable rock sources for internal use should be developed such that minimum haul distances are required. (41)(47)~~

2. ~~Reclamation bonds for mineral operations should be~~ will be reviewed every two years and updated to reflect the current cost of completing the reclamation. (23)
3. →
4. ~~Vegetation projects will be evaluated for bio fuels and contribute to market demand. (46).~~

**Formatted:** List Paragraph, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

**Formatted:** List Paragraph

**Formatted:** Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

**Formatted:** Font: (Default) +Body (Calibri), 11 pt

**Formatted:** Font: (Default) Tahoma, 12 pt

**Formatted:** Font: (Default) Tahoma, 12 pt

### Suitability of Uses

All areas are open to [locatable](#) mineral entry except those that are withdrawn.

## Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input: Cultural Resources

	<b><u>Component Input: (Yellow Sheet Group Input)</u></b>	<b><u>Commonality</u></b>	<b><u>FS Response</u></b>	
	<b><u>Desired Future Condition: Commonality</u></b>			
<u>1</u>	<u>✓ 1,2 3 add and lands are reclaimed in an appropriate manner (Oro 1)</u>		<u>only a word change..no problem</u>	Formatted: Left Formatted Table Formatted: Left Formatted: Left
<u>2</u>	<u>✓ Add to #4 Geothermal (Oro 1)</u>		<u>done</u>	Formatted: Left
<u>3</u>	<u>✓ Historic areas are withdrawn from mineral entry (Non-Concensus Oro 1)</u>		<u>Between FS mining regulations and cultural protection laws this is not necessary</u>	Formatted: Left
<u>4</u>	<u>✓ Sentence #2 add (saleable) after mineral material; take "some" out before comm. uses</u>		<u>Saleable is recognizable, change was made. Taking "some" out is fine.</u>	Formatted: Font: (Default) Tahoma, 12 pt Formatted: Normal, Left, Indent: Left: 0"
<u>5</u>	<u>✓ #4 Re: biofuel see Oro #2 for wording</u> <u>○ Oro 2: All renewable/non-renewable energy resources are available to public and commercial interest; and is encouraged</u>		<u>Mining and Minerals Policy Act, 1970 requires this.</u>	Formatted: Font: (Default) Tahoma, 12 pt Formatted: Normal, Left, Indent: Left: 0"
<u>6</u>	<u>✓ Remove biofuels from mineral section</u>	<u>✓ X 2</u>	<u>This will be taken under consideration</u>	Formatted: Left

## Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input: Cultural Resources

	<b><u>Component Input: (Yellow Sheet Group Input)</u></b>	<b><u>Commonality</u></b>	<b><u>FS Response</u></b>
<u>7</u>	<u>✓ Promote Min Dev as sustainable see GV 1</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>○ Need to add/promote mineral development as sustainable economic component</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>▪ Find ways to make mining happen similar to ways we make timber harvest happen. NOTE: agency driven vs proponent driven actions</u></li> </ul> </li> </ul>		<u>Laws- This is a right and difficult for the FS to promote</u>
<u>8</u>	<u>✓ Remove Para #1; Redundant to 1872</u>		<u>It is the law, and our regulations for reclamation, but if it isn't mentioned it will be misleading</u>
<u>9</u>	<u>✓ Biofuels are available to meet market demand</u>		<u>Added</u>
<u>10</u>	<u>✓ Include saleable and leasable</u>		<u>changed minerals materials to saleable</u>
<u>11</u>	<u>✓ Saleable and leaseable materials are available for management activities see LLMP</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>○ Include "saleable" and "leasable" minerals</u></li> <li><u>○ Locatable and saleable minerals are available for prospecting, exploring, developing and extracting</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>▪ Saleable materials are available to support resource management</u></li> </ul> </li> </ul>		<u>Could be combined into one sentence</u>
<u>12</u>	<u>✓ Add Reclaim abandoned mines (also objective)</u>	<u>✓ X 2</u>	<u>(maybe just an objective?)</u>

Formatted Table

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

## Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input: Cultural Resources

	<b>Component Input: (Yellow Sheet Group Input)</b>	<b>Commonality</b>	<b>FS Response</b>
<u>13</u>	✓ <u>1: Add accessibility</u>		<u>Mining laws specify that the lands will be open and accessible.</u>
<u>14</u>	✓ <u>4: all renewable and non-renewable available to the public and use is encouraged (Oro 2)</u> ○ <u>All renewable/non-renewable energy resources are available to public and commercial interest; and is encouraged</u>		<u>Encouraged covered by the 1970 act.</u>
<u>15</u>	✓ <u>Strike 1<sup>st</sup> para</u>		<u>It is basically covered by the mining law, but some would not understand why it was not listed.</u>
<u>16</u>	✓ <u>Biofuels are available to meet market demand</u>		<u>Isn't that a veg management decision?</u>
<u>17</u>			
<u>18</u>	<b><u>Objectives: Commonality</u></b>		
<u>19</u>	✓ <u>Within x years of approval develop resource monitoring program (Oro 1)</u>		<u>This is not a mineral objective rather and other resource objective</u>

Formatted: Left

Formatted Table

Formatted: Font: (Default) Tahoma, 12 pt

Formatted: Normal, Left, Indent: Left: 0"

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

## Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input: Cultural Resources

	<b>Component Input: (Yellow Sheet Group Input)</b>	<b>Commonality</b>	<b>FS Response</b>
20	<a href="#">Within 5 years establish and develop rock sources within each ranger district for management activities i.e. road maintenance (Oro 2)</a>	<a href="#">✓ X 2</a>	<a href="#">This also has to do with availability of adequate rock and volumes needed.</a>
21	<a href="#">✓ Within 2 years develop ability to enter long term contracts to develop bio mass energy (Oro 2)</a>		<a href="#">I don't know what the rules and restrictions are for biomass.</a>
22	<a href="#">✓ Provide for bio mass opportunities for local communities; within 3 years provide x bio fuels annually</a>	<a href="#">✓ X 2</a>	<a href="#">see above</a>
23	<a href="#">✓ Review reclamation bonds every 2 years for changed conditions; prices of fuel change</a>		<a href="#">done</a>
24	<a href="#">✓ Annually assess 10-15 mining areas for reclamation</a>		<a href="#">AML program...we can certainly assess. I added abandoned because if active we would encourage the miner to complet work.</a>
25	<a href="#">✓ Evaluate x number of NOIs to file</a>		<a href="#">We have regulation that speak to this</a>
26	<a href="#">✓ Public process for validating mining claims</a>		<a href="#">We are restricted by law and regulations</a>

Formatted: Left

Formatted Table

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Font: (Default) Tahoma, 12 pt

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

## Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input: Cultural Resources

	<b>Component Input: (Yellow Sheet Group Input)</b>	<b>Commonality</b>	<b>FS Response</b>
<a href="#">27</a>	<a href="#">✓ Small firewood areas for local communities</a>		<a href="#">Firewood will be discussed under timber</a>
<a href="#">28</a>	<a href="#">✓ Identify, quantify and make info available to public areas that are suitable within 3 years</a>		<a href="#">Added</a>
<a href="#">29</a>			
<a href="#">30</a>	<b>Standards: Commonality</b>		
<a href="#">31</a>	<a href="#">✓ ? Will eligible WSR be managed to allow mining (answer: yes 1872 applies)</a>		<a href="#">Yes, Law</a>
<a href="#">32</a>	<a href="#">✓ Accept as written (GV2); existing laws OK</a>	<a href="#">✓ X 2</a>	<a href="#">-</a>
<a href="#">33</a>	<a href="#">✓ ID stream protection act---mining consistent with</a>		<a href="#">Have to meet the law</a>
<a href="#">34</a>	<a href="#">✓ Meet EPA NPSD permit (LLMP)</a> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">o Idaho Stream Protection Act (ID Dept of Water Resources) and any other State required permits</a></li> <li><a href="#">o EPA Clean Water Discharge Permit</a></li> </ul>		<a href="#">Have to meet the law</a>
<a href="#">35</a>	<a href="#">✓ Reserve option to include standards in other resource sections</a>		<a href="#">-</a>
<a href="#">36</a>	<a href="#">✓ Consistent with mining closures (LLMP)</a> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">o Consistent with mining closures under N. Fork and S. Fork Clearwater Comp Watershed Mgt Plans</a></li> </ul>		<a href="#">Not mineral</a>
<a href="#">37</a>	<a href="#">✓ Meet EPA Clean Water Discharge permits</a>		<a href="#">Required by Law</a>

Formatted: Left

Formatted Table

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0"

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0", Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0"

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

## Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input: Cultural Resources

	<b>Component Input: (Yellow Sheet Group Input)</b>	<b>Commonality</b>	<b>FS Response</b>
<u>38</u>	<u>✓ IRR: Backcountry theme: apply discretion re: "no surface use and occupancy"</u>		<u>The reason the IRR doesn't apply to locatables is because they have a statutory right to use and occupy the surface.</u>
<u>39</u>			
<u>40</u>	<b><u>Guidelines: Commonality</u></b>		
<u>41</u>	<u>✓ Minimize haul distances from rock sources (Oro 1)</u>		<u>Added to DC</u>
<u>42</u>	<u>✓ Promote biomass utilization (Oro 2); where financially feasible</u>		<u>Added</u>
<u>43</u>	<u>✓ Notify mining claimants, "permittees" and lease holders</u>		<u>Its in there</u>
<u>44</u>	<u>✓ Allow motorized access to all mining operations in all locations yearlong (ANILCA) (Oro 2)</u>		<u>Law and policy address this</u>
<u>45</u>	<u>✓ Use agency discretion to allow mining (GV 1)</u>		<u>Law and regulation address this</u>
<u>46</u>	<u>✓ Analyze all veg for bio fuels and contribute to market demand (GV 2); where feasible (KKL)</u>		<u>Added</u>
<u>47</u>	<u>✓ Material for resource management should be developed</u>		<u>both guideline and</u>
<u>48</u>	<u>✓ Minimize mining impacts on other resources</u>		<u>Will be address in other areas of the plan</u>
<u>49</u>	<u>✓ Consider use of local rock sources even when not meeting engineering standards (roads could be of a lesser standard in some cases)</u>		<u>Address partially</u>
<u>50</u>	<u>✓ ID proposed statement regarding notification—KEEP!</u>		<u>-</u>

Formatted: Left

Formatted Table

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0", Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left



## Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input: Cultural Resources

	<b><u>Component Input: (Yellow Sheet Group Input)</u></b>	<b><u>Commonality</u></b>	<b><u>FS Response</u></b>
<a href="#">51</a>			
<a href="#">52</a>	<b><u>Suitability: Commonality</u></b>		
<a href="#">53</a>	<a href="#">✓ Acceptable as written</a>	<a href="#">✓ X 3</a>	<a href="#">=</a>
<a href="#">54</a>	<a href="#">✓ Include bio fuels within same statements</a>		<a href="#">Added but not in suitability</a>
<a href="#">55</a>			
<a href="#">56</a>	<a href="#">General Notes:</a>		
<a href="#">57</a>	<a href="#">Q: Why are biofuels in Minerals? Belongs in Timber Resource</a>		<a href="#">We will re look at this</a>
<a href="#">58</a>	<a href="#">Add: Promote mineral development as a sustainable economic component</a>		<a href="#">Not appropriate as is a right under law</a>
<a href="#">59</a>	<a href="#">Q: Assessment: Are rock sources inadequate?</a>		<a href="#">Assessment page 4, paragraph 2 says there is adequate supplies</a>
<a href="#">60</a>	<b><u>Component Input: (White Sheet Group Input)</u></b>	<b><u>Working Group</u></b>	<b><u>Response</u></b>
<a href="#">61</a>	<b><u>Desired Future Condition</u></b>		

Formatted: Left

Formatted Table

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

## Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input: Cultural Resources

	<b><u>Component Input: (Yellow Sheet Group Input)</u></b>	<b><u>Commonality</u></b>	<b><u>FS Response</u></b>
<u>62</u>	<u>1. 1, 2, 3 and the lands are reclaimed in an appropriate manner</u> <u>2. 4 energy resoruces in the form of biofuels and geothermal are available</u> <u>3. Historic mining areas once restored are considered for withdrawal</u> <u>(group: non-concensus item)</u>	<u>Orofino 1</u> <u>w/Boise</u> <u>Satellite</u>	<u>added</u> <u>added</u> <u>Most restoration</u> <u>in historic areas</u> <u>were of un-</u> <u>regulated</u> <u>mining. Current</u> <u>laws are</u> <u>adequate to</u> <u>protect the</u> <u>historical</u> <u>resource and</u> <u>insure that</u> <u>future mining is</u> <u>within existing</u> <u>protective laws.</u>
<u>63</u>	<u>o Take the word "some" out of 2<sup>nd</sup> sentence</u> <u>o In the 1st sentence add after available..." and accessible"</u> <u>o In the 2<sup>nd</sup> sentence in ( ) after mineral (saleable) materials</u> <u>o All renewable/non-renewable energy resources are available to public</u> <u>and commercial interest; and is encouraged</u> <u>o NOTE: Define: non energy leasable minerals (should that be in the</u> <u>assessment?)</u>	<u>Orofino 2</u>	<u>done</u> <u>done</u> <u>done</u> <u>discussed</u> <u>above.</u>

Formatted Table

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

## Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input: Cultural Resources

	<b>Component Input: (Yellow Sheet Group Input)</b>	<b>Commonality</b>	<b>FS Response</b>
64	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Are biofuels appropriate here in this section. Move to Timber</li> <li>2. Need to add/promote mineral development as sustainable economic component <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Find ways to make mining happen similar to ways we make timber harvest happen. NOTE: agency driven vs proponent driven actions</li> </ol> </li> <li>3. Mineral Policy Act should be emphasized. FS should help not hinder</li> <li>4. 10.2.1.3 Mm Mat Last Para: "Rock sources are adequate" is this really true? How was it determined. Need # Map (question for engineers and Clint when he is back)</li> <li>5. 10.2.2. Biofuel (Biomass= Firewood/BTU need to be available for collection)</li> </ol>	<a href="#">Grangeville 1</a>	<p><a href="#">We can say we encourage mining, by law we encourage mining, but with our budgets, workloads and less employees it is unlikely.</a></p> <p><a href="#">Not appropriate under Law</a></p> <p><a href="#">Addressed in regulation and law</a></p> <p><a href="#">Is being looked at</a></p> <p><a href="#">Is being re-evaluated</a></p>
65	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 2st statement redundant of statute</li> <li>2. Add to biofuels statement..."Biofuels are available to meet market demand"</li> <li>3. Rest good as stated</li> </ol>	<a href="#">Grangeville 2</a>	<a href="#">Done</a>
66	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Include "saleable" and "leasable" minerals</li> <li>2. Locatable and saleable minerals are available for prospecting, exploring, developing and extracting</li> <li>3. Saleable materials are available to support resource management</li> </ol>	<a href="#">Potlatch,</a> <a href="#">Moscow,</a> <a href="#">Lapwai,</a> <a href="#">Lewiston</a>	<a href="#">1, 2 added</a> <a href="#">added</a>

Formatted: Left

Formatted Table

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

## Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input: Cultural Resources

	<b><u>Component Input: (Yellow Sheet Group Input)</u></b>	<b><u>Commonality</u></b>	<b><u>FS Response</u></b>
<u>67</u>	<u>1. Abandon mines are being reclaimed in an orderly manner</u> <u>2. Biofuels: move to renewable section or change title</u>	<u>Kamiah/Kooskia w/ Missoula Satellite</u>	<u>added</u>  <u>Lookin into</u>
<u>68</u>	<b><u>Objectives</u></b>		
<u>69</u>	<u>1. Within x years of approval of the plan, develop a resource monitoring system in collaboration with governmental and non-governmental entities</u> <u>2. Annually access 10-15 historic mining areas for reclamation</u>	<u>Orofino 1 w/Boise Satellite</u>	<u>Looking into further but probably no budget for.</u>  <u>added</u>
<u>70</u>	<u>1. Within 5 years establish and develop rock sources within each ranger district for use of management activities (i.e. road maintenance)</u> <u>2. Evaluation x # of NOI per year on mining claims</u> <u>3. Within 2 years develop the ability to enter into long term (20 years) contracts to facilitate the development of biomass energy (no idea)</u>	<u>Orofino 2</u>	<u>Added but modified</u>  <u>Law</u>  <u>Added but modified</u>

Formatted: Left

Formatted Table

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

## Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input: Cultural Resources

	<b><u>Component Input: (Yellow Sheet Group Input)</u></b>	<b><u>Commonality</u></b>	<b><u>FS Response</u></b>
<u>71</u>	<u>1. Develop rock sources across both Forests to support resource management (need engineering OK with this)</u> <u>2. Public process/input for validating mining claim</u> <u>3. Provide small firewood opportunities for local communities z/com/year</u>	<u>Grangeville 1</u>	<u>1-added</u> <u>2-We don't validate claims unless there is a reason...right of prospecting and exploration is there whether you have a claim or not.</u> <u>3 -This is being looked to see if needs to be in the timber section</u>
<u>72</u>	<u>1. Identify, Quantify and make info available to the public areas that are suitable for saleable minerals within 3 years of plan</u> <u>2. Within 3 years, provide x biofuels annually</u>	<u>Grangeville 2</u>	<u>added it, but budget would constrain that.</u>  <u>added</u>
<u>73</u>	<u>1. No Notes</u>	<u>Potlatch, Moscow, Lapwai, Lewiston</u>	<u>=</u>
<u>74</u>	<u>1. Reclamation bonds are reviewed every 2 years</u> <u>2. Develop rock pit sources to facilitate management</u> <u>3. Reclaim 5% of abandon mines annually by threats to other Forest values or public hazards</u> <u>a. Identify and prioritize within 3 years</u> <u>b. Reclaim 5%</u>	<u>Kamiah/Kooskia w/ Missoula Satellite</u>	<u>These have all been added in some form</u>

Formatted: Left

Formatted Table

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

## Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input: Cultural Resources

	<b>Component Input: (Yellow Sheet Group Input)</b>	<b>Commonality</b>	<b>FS Response</b>
<a href="#">75</a>			
<a href="#">76</a>	<b>Standards</b>		
<a href="#">77</a>	<a href="#">1. IRR: In Backcountry Restoration: "no surface use and occupancy for mineral activities"</a>	<a href="#">Orofino 1 w/Boise Satellite</a>	<a href="#">The reason the IRR doesn't apply to locatables is because they have a statutory right to use and occupy the surface.</a>
<a href="#">78</a>	<a href="#">1. Question: as proposed wild and scenic rivers automatic withdrawn mineral proposal?</a>	<a href="#">Orofino 2</a>	<a href="#">They are not</a>
<a href="#">79</a>	<a href="#">1. Drop ref to ID Roadless Rule</a> <a href="#">2. Reserve option to include standards under other resource topics</a>	<a href="#">Grangeville 1</a>	<a href="#">Done</a> <a href="#">We always have that option</a>
<a href="#">80</a>	<a href="#">1. No notes</a>	<a href="#">Grangeville 2</a>	<a href="#">=</a>
<a href="#">81</a>	<a href="#">1. Consistent with mining closures under N. Fork and S. Fork Clearwater Comp Watershed Mgt Plans</a> <a href="#">2. Idaho Stream Protection Act (ID Dept of Water Resources) and any other State required permits</a> <a href="#">3. EPA Clean Water Discharge Permit</a>	<a href="#">Potlatch, Moscow, Lapwai, Lewiston</a>	<a href="#">These are all covered by existing laws and regulations</a>
<a href="#">82</a>	<a href="#">1. No Notes</a>	<a href="#">Kamiah/Kooskia w/ Missoula Satellite</a>	<a href="#">=</a>
<a href="#">83</a>			
<a href="#">84</a>	<b>Guidelines</b>		

Formatted: Left

Formatted Table

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

## Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input: Cultural Resources

	<b>Component Input: (Yellow Sheet Group Input)</b>	<b>Commonality</b>	<b>FS Response</b>
<a href="#">85</a>	<a href="#">1. Material for resource management should be:</a> <a href="#">a. Developed to minimize haul distances where doing so reduces net impacts</a> <a href="#">b. Minimize mining impacts on other resources</a>	<a href="#">Orofino 1 w/Boise Satellite</a>	<a href="#">Added</a>  <a href="#">Cover by other other plan components</a>
<a href="#">86</a>	<a href="#">1. Biomass utilization promotion</a> <a href="#">2. Add "permittees" after claimant</a> <a href="#">3. Allow motorized access to mining operations and claims year round</a>	<a href="#">Orofino 2</a>	<a href="#">added</a> <a href="#">lease holders</a> <a href="#">This covered by law, regulations and other forest plan needs</a>
<a href="#">87</a>	<a href="#">1. Consider use of local rock sources even when rock standards do not meet engineering standards</a> <a href="#">2. When there is agency discretion emphasis should be placed on development</a> <a href="#">3. Proposed C-L re notification. Keep.</a>	<a href="#">Grangeville 1</a>	<a href="#">This may be limited by FS</a>  <a href="#">Not a forest plan decision</a> <a href="#">=</a>
<a href="#">88</a>	<a href="#">1. Analyze all vegetation projects for biofuels and contribute to market demand</a>	<a href="#">Grangeville 2</a>	<a href="#">added</a>
<a href="#">89</a>	<a href="#">1. No Notes</a>	<a href="#">Potlatch, Moscow, Lapwai, Lewiston</a>	<a href="#">=</a>
<a href="#">90</a>	<a href="#">1. No Notes</a>	<a href="#">Kamiah/Kooskia w/ Missoula Satellite</a>	<a href="#">=</a>
<a href="#">91</a>			
<a href="#">92</a>	<b><a href="#">Suitability</a></b>		

Formatted: Left

Formatted Table

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

## Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input: Cultural Resources

	<b>Component Input: (Yellow Sheet Group Input)</b>	<b>Commonality</b>	<b>FS Response</b>
<a href="#">93</a>	<a href="#">1. No Notes</a>	<a href="#">Orofino 1 w/Boise Satellite</a>	=
<a href="#">94</a>	<a href="#">1. No Notes</a>	<a href="#">Orofino 2</a>	=
<a href="#">95</a>	<a href="#">1. No change</a>	<a href="#">Grangeville 1</a>	=
<a href="#">96</a>	<a href="#">1. All areas are open to biofuels except those that are withdrawn (roadless—some WM, W&amp;S)</a>	<a href="#">Grangeville 2</a>	<a href="#">Unable to make this statement because of other regulations and laws</a>
<a href="#">97</a>	<a href="#">1. No Notes</a>	<a href="#">Potlatch, Moscow, Lapwai, Lewiston</a>	=
<a href="#">98</a>	<a href="#">1. No Notes</a>	<a href="#">Kamiah/Kooskia w/ Missoula Satellite</a>	=
<a href="#">99</a>			
<a href="#">100</a>	<b>General Notes</b>		
<a href="#">101</a>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Lots of angst about timelines feeling too pressured</a></li> <li><a href="#">Concern for bringing new folks up to speed</a></li> <li><a href="#">Need 2 days/month ?</a></li> <li><a href="#">Need more time to marinate info/thoughts</a></li> </ul>	<a href="#">Grangeville 1</a>	

Formatted: Left

Formatted Table

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left



