Forest Service

File Code: Route To:	1920; 2600		Date:	APR 2 6 2017	
Subject:	Manti-La Sal Nati	onal Forest Spec	cies of Conservation	on Concern	
То:	Brian M. Pentacost, Forest Supervisor Manti La Sal National Forest				

Identification of Manti-La Sal National Forest Plant Species of Conservation Concern

The Regional Office Natural Resource staff ("Regional Office") has reviewed your March 27, 2017, assessment of plant species for consideration as potential species of conservation concern (SCC) for the Manti La-Sal National Forest. The Regional Office also reviewed the public comments the Forest received on its SCC assessments, including those from the Utah Native Plant Society (UNPS). Based on this review, 12 plant species meet the SSC criteria, and I am identifying them as SCC for the Manti-La Sal National Forest:

Allium geyeri var. chatterleyi Aquilegia flavescens var. rubicunda Astragalus iselyi Cymopterus beckii Erigeron kachinensis Erigeron mancus Hedysarum occidentale var. canone Penstemon navajoa Salix arizonica Senecio fremontii var. inexpectatus Oreoxis bakeri Podistera eastwoodiae

The Regional Office also identified four additional plant species that meet the SCC criteria based on UNPS and other best available scientific information. Although the Forest assessment did not propose these additional species as SCC, based on the Regional Office review, I am also identifying the following four plant species as SCC for the Manti-La Sal National Forest:

Cryptantha creutzfeldtii Erigeron uttermannii (Syn. E. carringtoniae) Packera musiniensis (Syn. Senecio musiniensis) Penstemon tidestromii

Review of UNPS Proposed G1G2/T1T2 species

The UNPS identified additional G1G2/T1T2 plant species they feel the Forest should consider as SCC. The 2012 planning rule requires the Forest to consider all G1G2/T1T2 species for SCC status if known to occur in the planning area (FSH 1909.19, Chapter 10, Section 12.52d). The Regional Office found occurrence or other information UNPS provided sufficient to warrant further assessment as SCC by the Forest for the following seven plant species.

Brian M. Pentacost, Forest Supervisor Manti La Sal National Forest

Androsace chamejasme subsp. lehmanniana (Syn. Androsace chamejasme var. carinata Echinocereus mohavensis forma inermis Ericameria nauseosa var. psilocarpa (Syn. Chrysothamnus nauseosus var. psilocarpus) Erigeron melanocephalus Erigeron abajoensis Plantanthera zothecina (Syn. Habenaria zothecina) Potentilla paucijuga (Syn. Potentilla pensylvanica var. paucijuga)

I am directing the Forest to conduct the SCC assessment for these seven species no later than one month after issuing the draft plan revision assessment. This will allow the Regional Office review of the Forest's findings to determine if there is sufficient reason for me to amend the identified list of SCC for the Forest prior to the issuance of the final plan revision assessment and preliminary needs for change.

Review of UNPS Proposed G2G3/S1/S2 species

The UNPS identified 21 plant species that are either G2G3, S1, S2, or have threats that the UNPS feels the Forest should consider as SCC. The 2012 planning rule indicates the Forest should consider all G3, S1, and S2 species for SCC status if known to occur in the planning area (FSH 1909.19, Chapter 10, Section 12.52d). Regional Office review found there is information to indicate the Forest should consider the following species identified by UNPS for SCC status.

Potentilla paucijuga (syn. Potentilla pensylvanica var. paucijuga) - La Sal cinquefoil

Oxypolis fendleri Erigeron elatior Helianthella parryi Rudbeckia laciniata var. ampla Senecio serra var admirabilis Senecio fremontii var. blitoides Symphyotrichum laeve var. geyeri Callitriche heterophylla Stellaria longifolia Carex perglobosa Potentilla nivea Claytonia megarhiza Saxifraga flagellaris ssp. crandallii Saxifraga cernua Saxifraga bronchialis var. austromontana Synthyris alpina (syn. Besseya alpina) Botrychium simplex Artemisia pattersonii Astragalus consobrinus Townsendia beamanii

I am directing the Forest to conduct the SCC assessment for these species no later than one month after issuing the draft plan revision assessment. This will allow the Regional Office review of the Forest's findings to determine if there is sufficient reason for me to amend the identified list of SCC for the Forest prior to the issuance of the final plan revision assessment and preliminary needs for change:

Identification of Manti La Sal National Forest Animal Species of Conservation Concern

Brian M. Pentacost, Forest Supervisor Manti La Sal National Forest

The Regional Office also reviewed the Forest's updated proposed animal SCC assessment. Based on this review, I am identifying the following 12 animals as SCC for the Manti La Sal National Forest:

3

Black Rosy-finch (*Leucosticte atrata*) Bluehead Sucker (*Catostomus discobolus*) Bonneville Cutthroat Trout (*Onchorhyncus clarkii Utah*) Boreal Toad (*Anaxyrus boreas*) Colorado Cutthroat Trout (*Onchorhyncus clarkii*) Fringed Myotis (*Myotis thysanodes*) Greater Sage-grouse (*Centrocercus urophasianus*) Southern Leatherside (*Lepidomeda aliciae*) Townsends Big-eared Bat (*Sweltsa cristata*) Utah Sallfly (*Sweltsa cristata*) American Pika (*Ochotona princeps*)

Conclusion

In summary, I am identifying 16 plant species and 11 animal species as SCC for the Manti-La Sal National Forest, and I will issue the public notification about these 27 identified species as SCC for the Manti-La Sal National Forest. The public notification will indicate that the Forest Service will be considering information on seven G1G2/T1T2 plant species and 21 G2G3/S1/S2 plant species proposed by the UNPS and that I will subsequently determine if I need to add any of the species to the list of identified SCC for the Manti-La Sal National Forest. The Forest should not delay its efforts to issue the draft plan revision assessment solely to await this review of the 28 plant species.

I appreciate the considerable effort the Forest has completed in both the SCC assessments and in outreaching to the public for comments on these assessments. If you have questions about our conclusions and documentation please contact John Proctor (R4 Botanist, 801-625-5562) or Lee Jacobson (R4 TES Program Manager, 801-625-5664).

anny

NORA B. RASURE Regional Forester

cc: Tami Conner, Mark Bethke