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## I. Management Objectives

A. Implement range management which precludes unacceptable resource damage.
B. Optimize usable forage production and utilization in coordination with other resources.
C. Maximize permittee participation and responsibility in planning and executing the Allotment Management Plan.

## II. Management Requirements

A. Combine the Jungle Hill and Columbia Allotments under one rotational grazing system.
B. Adhere to the livestock management requirements.
C. Implement and maintain needed structural and non-structural range improvements.
D. Monitor and evaluate requirements towards meeting management objectives.
III. Allowable Use Criteria
A. Unacceptable resource damage is defined as:

1. Basic Resource Damage due to livestock grazing is soil loss, soil displacement, or soil compaction that impairs productivity of soil and water below the level restored naturally during the grazing cycle.

Definitions of terms used above:
a. Soil Loss - Soil which has entered the stream channel, whether permanent or intermittent or permanently removed by wind.
b. Soil Displacement - Soil which has been redistributed without entering the stream channel or being redistributed by the wind.
c. Soil Compaction - An increase in the bulk density which extends beyond one grazing cycle. (Vertical displacement.)
d. Examples of acceptable areas where damage limits may not apply i.e.:

1. Water developments
2. Trails
3. Corrals
4. Damage to Resources Other Than the Basic Soll Resource occurring when resource management objectives are not met. For the purpose of this definition, damage to vegetation is limited to too much or unplanned use.
B. Range readiness based on the soil conditions and growth stage of key plants. See Section IX, Evaluation supplement.
C. Optimum use (\% utilization), deferment or rest based on key plant physiology requirements for forage productions, vigor, regrowth, and reproduction. See Section IX, Evaluation supplement.

D. Domestic livestock grazing is limited to cattle under this plan.
IV. Allotment: Area and Estimated Capacity

The gross allotment area is 6,500 acres, resulting from the combination of the Columbia Allotment, 2,135 acres, and the Jungle Hill Allotment, 4,365 acres. See Appendix $V$ for delineation of allotment boundary.

The allotment area is classified as follows:
Table 1: Summary of Allotment Lands
Ownership Gross Acres Suitable Acres Indicated CM

| National Forest | 6,380 | 4,140 | 658 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Private (Hilderbrant) | 25 | 25 | 4 |
| Affiliated ownership | $6,405 \mathrm{ac}$ | $4,165 \mathrm{ac}$. | 662 CM |

Non-affiliated ownership
$\frac{\text { Private (Hilderbrant) }}{\text { All ownership }} \quad \frac{95}{6,500} \mathrm{ac} . \quad \frac{95}{4,260 \mathrm{ac} .} \frac{24}{686} \mathrm{CM}$
Non-affiliated lands will not be included for carrying capacity or for recommended stocking and permits.

See Appendix I for a more complete classification.
Animal unit months (cow months) are based on up to $50 \%$ utilization of acres of potential forage production (PFP) and daily dry weight forage requirements ( 34 lbs .) for a 1,000 pound cow with a 350 pound calf.

Classes of potential forage production acres (see Appendix I for acres) required per animal unit month (cow month) are shown in Table 2 .

Table 2: Class/Potential Forage Production/Acres per CM

| Class | PFP Pounds per Acre | Acres Per CM |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Good | $500+$ | 4 |
| Fair | $300-500$ | $4-8$ |
| Low | Less than 300 | $8+$ |

The indicated capacity is 662 cow months. Actual carrying capacity is to be determined by field evaluation under the rotational system of use.

Current permitted use exceeds the indicated capacity by approximately $28 \%$. However, in view of past utilization patterns tempered by judgment and knowledge of the area, it is estimated that permjtted use can be allowed on a sustained basis at a rate of 824 Cow Months, approximately $10 \%$ below current permitted use of 915 Cow Months.

## V. Management System, Recommended Stocking and Permits

The grazing system will be a 5 -unit, 5 cycle, rest rotation system of a 137 day annual period, June 1 to October 15 th.

Table 3: Rest Rotation System
Cycle Grazing Periods and Unit Sequence
Year: Early Summer Mid Sunmer Late Summer Fal1 Rest

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4 |
| 5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 |

Repeat Cycle
A11 permitted cattle are to be in the same unit at the same time.
A summary of units capacity and planned use are shown in Tables 4 and 5.
See Appendix I \& II for a more complete compilation.
Table 4: Summary of Units Capacity

|  | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 | Unit 5 | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Acres | 1290 | 1695 | 990 | 1105 | 1420 | 6500 |
| Suitable Acres | 1095 | 1005 | 804 | 480 | 560 | 3944 |
| Indicated CM | 163 | 164 | 133 | 80 | 121 | 661 |

Table 5: Summary of Planned Use

| Year 1 | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 | Unit 5 | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Planned Cattle | Rest | 183 | 183 | 183 | 183 | 183 |
| Planned Days | " | 50 | 50 | 16 | 21 | 137 |
| Planned CM | " | 304 | 304 | 96 | 120 | 824 |
| Year 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planned Cattle | 183 | Rest | 183 | 183 | 183 | 183 |
| Planned Days | 50 | " | 50 | 16 | 21. | 137 |
| Planned CM | 304 | " | 304 | 96 | 120 | 824 |
| Year 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planned Cattle | 1.83 | 183 | Rest | 183 | 183 | 183 |
| Planned Days | 50 | 50 | 11 | 16 | 21 | 137 |
| Planned CM | 304 | 304 | " | 96 | 120 | 824 |


| Year 4 | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 | Unit 5 | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Planned Cattle | 183 | 183 | 183 | Rest | 183 | 1.83 |
| Planned Days | 38 | 39 | 39 | " | 21. | 137 |
| Planned CM | 234 | 235 | 235 | " | 120 | 82.4 |
| Year 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planned Cattle | 183 | 1.83 | 183 | 183 | Rest | 183 |
| Planned Days | 40 | 40 | 41 | 16 | " | 137 |
| Planned CM | 242 | 243 | 243 | 96 | 1 | 824 |

Actual use periods on each unit will be determined by degree of utilization, stage of plant development, and current climatic conditions. It is expected that during years unit 5 is used last in the sequence, an allowance will have to be made for cattle to finish the grazing season in unit 1 , as they will be forced out of the high country by adverse weather. Use in unit 1 will be restricted to that use which is within allowable use standards.

Adjustments in the grazing cycle, pasture units, animal numbers, and use periods will be made as they are needed.

Contingent on a rotational grazing system being fully implemented and evaluations being completed, it is recommended to permit 183 cattle for the grazing period of June 1st to October 15 th , for a total of 824 cow months.

## VI. Livestock Management Requirements

A. All permitted cattle must bear a State of Washington registered brand and be one of brands declared on the permittee's grazing application.
B. All permitted cattle must bear a Forest Service approved ear tag and/or accounted for as per Forest Service requirements. See attached Appendix IV.
C. The number and breed of bulls placed on the allotment range must conform to the appropriate association rules and/or state statutes governing such matters.
D. It is the responsibility of the permittees to effect livestock movements and distribution in accordance with the prescribed rotation grazing system, annual plan of use, stock salting system and/or by instructions of the Forest Office in charge. The success of the systems depends on the effort and efficiency of the permittees.
E. Stock salt shall not be placed on or in the immediate proximity of roads, stock watering places or other areas of cattle concentrations. The "Drop" Salting system will be used.

THE "DROP" SALTING SYSTEM: This system puts the salting phase of range management in the hands of the user of the range. The system is flexible to fit the aspects of the individual range and the changing of the seasons. The name "drop" was given to it simply because the salt is dropped or placed in different areas depending on range management needs.

Salt should be placed where there is adequate forage. As that area becomes properly utilized, the salt should be moved, drawing the livestock into the lesser uttlized areas. Salt should not be placed on water courses, watering places, main roads, and other areas of other concentrated uses.

The range should be salted in amounts in proportion to the number of stock or at least one block for each ten head of cattle.

The first distribution should be made prior to the grazing season or at the time of entering on the range.
F. Construction and maintenance of Range Improvements as per following tables will be carried out in a timely manner for maximum effectiveness. Tables of existing and proposed range improvement construction and maintenance programs are to be revised and/or superseded as status, needs, or changes warrant.
VII. Implementation and Alternatives

A 5-unit, five-year cycle rest rotation grazing system will be implemented with adjusted season of use effective in 1977. See Appendix V for unit boundaries.

Existing fences and/or natural barriers are sufficient at this time to provide for containment/exclusion of all units. Cattle access trails will have to be located and constructed to provide access between units 1. and 2, and 4 and 5. The Nyra Fence will be retained within unit 3 to act as a seasonal drift fence. Approximately one mile of the San Poil Fence will have to be opened and eventually taken down to allow for consolidation of unit 2. Additional boundary fence may be needed on the Jungle/Bracken Allotment Boundary to control drift between the allotments caused by increased cattle pressure on that boundary. Stock watering facilities will have to be enlarged to provide greater storage capacity for greater numbers of cattle on the unit area at the same time. See Section VIII for a listing of proposed range improvenents.

The alternative to this plan is to maintain the existing management. This would involve operating with two cattle herds within the former allotment boundaries. No provision for vegetative deferment or rest would be made on the Columbia side of the allotment. The modified rest rotation system would continue to operate on the Jungle Hill side of the allotment. Range
improvements would remain the same. Permitted use would be adjusted to conform more closely with the indicated carrying capacity. This would involve adjusting the season of use from June 1st - October 3lst to June 1st - October 15th.

Table 8: Alternative Grazing Systems
Jung1e Hill Unit

| Year |  | Early | Mid | Late | Rest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (sub-units) | la | 1c | 1b | 1d |
| 2 | " | 1b | 1d | 1c | 1 a |
| 3 | " | 1 a | 1b | 1c | 1 d |
| 4 | " | 1a | 1d | 1 b | 1c |
| 5 | " | 1 b | 1c | 1 a | 1 d |
| 6 | " | 1 a | 1d | 1c | 1b |

Columbia Unit

$$
\frac{\text { Year }}{1} \text { (sub-units) } \frac{\text { Early }}{2 a} \quad \frac{\text { Late }}{2 b}
$$

See Appendix for units and sub-units.
Recommended stocking for the alternative system is shown in Table 9.

```
Jungle Hill Unit
Columbia Unit
```

Cattle Nos. 109
74
-183

Dates
6/1-10/15
$\frac{6 / 1-10 / 15}{6 / 1-10 / 15}$
A.U.M.S 491
333
824

VIII RANGE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM


| Date | Number ${ }^{\text {IMPROVEMENT }}$ Name and Location |  | CONSTRUCIION |  | RESPONSIBILITY |  | FACILITY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Material | Equip. | Labor | Maint. | Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Capacity } \\ & \text { Quantity } \end{aligned}$ | Gost |
| 1963 |  | Columbia Spr. <br> NE $1 / 4 \mathrm{NW} 1 / 4$, Sec. 13 , T36N, R34E, W.M. | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Permittee | Wooden plank | 400 gai. | 400 |
| 1963 |  | Upper Columbia Spr. <br> SW $1 / 4 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4, \mathrm{Sec} .13$, T36N, R34E, W.M. | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Permittee | Wooden plank | 200 gal. | 400 |
| 1962 |  | Willow Spr. <br> NE $1 / 4$ SE $1 / 4$, Sec. 15 , T36N, R34E, W.M. | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Permittee | Wooden Plank | 400 gal. | 400 |
| 1964 |  | Maycumber Spr. NW $1 / 4 \mathrm{SE} \mathrm{1/4}, \mathrm{Sec}. \mathrm{13}$, T36N, R34E, W.M. | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Permittee | Wooden plank | 400 gal . | 400 |
| 1973 |  | Shovel Spr. NE 1/4 NW 1/4, Sec. 14, T36N, R34E, W.M. | F.S. | Permittee | Permittee | Permittee | Stee 1 | 400 gal. | 850 |
| 1964 |  | Sherman-Columbia CG | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Steel $8^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Load | 500 |
| 1964 |  | Columbia State CG | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Steel $8^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Load | 500 |
| 1961 |  | Sherman Highway N.Fence .10 mi . | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Permittee | 4 wire | . 10 mi . | 220 |
| 1964 |  | Upper Columbia Fence | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Permittee | 4 wire | . 25 mi. | 550 |
| 1954 |  | Columbia Fence, 1.81 mi | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Permittee | 4 wire | 1.81 mi . | 8980 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Date | Number ${ }^{\text {IMPROVEMENT }}$ Name and Location |  | CONSTRUCIION RESPONSIBILITY |  |  |  | Type | FACILITY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Material | Equip. | Labor | Maint. |  | Capacity- | cost |
| 1965 |  | Crea's Draw Fence SE 1/4, Sec. 4, T37N, R34E, W.M. | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Permittee | 4 wire | . 30 | 540 |
| 1965 |  | Trap Fence <br> N $1 / 2$, Sec. 10 , <br> T37N, R34E, W.M. | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Permittee | 4 wire | 1.00 | 1800 |
| 1965 |  | Nyra Fence <br> E $1 / 2$, Sec. 3; NE $1 / 4$, Sec. 10, T37N, R34E.W.M. | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Permittee | 4 wire | 1.30 | 2340 |
| 1966 |  | Upper Wapaloosie Fence E 1/2, Sec. 2, <br> T37N, R34E, W.M. | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Permittee | 4 wire | . 90 | 1620 |
| 1966 |  | Barrier Wings Fence <br> W 1/2, Sec. 1 , <br> T37N, R34E, W.M. | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Permittee | 4 wire | . 30 | 540 |


| Table 10 (cont.) |  |  | Existing Range Improvements |  |  |  | 6/76 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | IMPROVEMENT |  | STRUCTI | RESPONSI |  |  |  |  |
| Date | Number | Name and Location | Material | Equip. | Labor | Maint. | Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Capacity- } \\ & \text { Quantity } \end{aligned}$ | Cost |
| 1964 |  | State-Jungle Hill CG | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Steel $8^{\prime \prime} \times 14^{\prime \prime}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Load | 500 |
| 1964 |  | Jungle-Bracken CG | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Steel 8. ${ }^{\prime} 14^{\prime}$ | " | 500 |
| 1971 |  | Corner-Corral CG | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Stee1 $8^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$ | " | 500 |
| 1966 |  | Wapaloosie Stock Trail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1965 |  | Packrat Sp. <br> NW 1/4 NW 1/4, Sec. 2, T36N, R34E, W.M. | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Permittee | Wooden plank | 400 gal. | 300 |
| 1963 |  | Upper Wapaloosie Spr. <br> NE $1 / 4$, Sec. 2, <br> T36N, R34E, W.M. | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Permittee | Wooden plank | 400 gal. | 300 |
| 1962 |  | Jungle Hill Spr. SE $1 / 4 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$, Sec. 10 , T36N, R34E, W.M. | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Permittee | Wooden plank | 400 gal. | 300 |
| 1963 |  | Windy Ridge Spr. <br> SE $1 / 4 \mathrm{NE} 1 / 4$, Sec. 35, T37N, R34E, W.M. | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Permittee | Wooden plank | 400 gal. | 300 |
| 1963 |  | Nyra Spr. <br> SE 1/4 NE 1/4, Sec. 3, T30N, R34E, W.M. | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Permittee | Wooden plank | 400 gal. | 300 |
| 1964 |  | Jungle-Bracken Fence NW 1/4, Sec. 4, T37N, R34E, W.M. | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Permittee | 4 wire | . 30 | 540 |
| 1965 |  | San Poil Rd. Fence <br> W 1/2, Sec. 10, <br> T37N, R34E, W.M. | F.S. | F.S. | F.S. | Permittee | 4 wire | 2.00 | 3600 |

## IX. Evaluation

A. Monitoring of the allotment area and evaluation of the information will be necessary to determine whether management requirements will meet the objectives and/or what, if any, changes are needed.

Apecific or subsequent evaluations, i.e.: Range readiness, key species, key areas, carrying capacities, etc., will be inserted and/or superseded as supplementary or replacement pages to this section.
B. Depending on funds and manpower available, data collection will be limited to several recurrent inspections annually by simple visual and/or minimal measurements, and appropriately recorded and/or graphically displayed on maps. Some of the observation measurements may be made coincidentally with each other. Specific items to be checked for include:

1. Range Readiness . . . . . . Vegetative and soil condition.
2. Pattern of Use . . . . . . Key areas and key plants.
3. Utilization . . . . . . Percent use.
4. Resource Damage . . . . . . Basic (soi1) and other resource.
5. Range Improvements . . . . Construction and Maintenance compliance.
C. Additional data to be gathered as the situation warrants includes:
6. Plant Vigor . . . . . . Key plants on key areas.
7. Soil and Vegetation trends. Per grazing system cycle using photo point technique.
8. Production . . . . . . Forage weight.
D. Range environmental analysis and mapping will be kept current as significant changes occur, i.e.: transitory range, range conditions, etc.
E. Key areas will be determined from successive observations and utilization checks and graphically recorded on an allotment map overlay.
F. Key plants will be defined from observation and study in conjunction with the determining of key areas and other suitable range lands.
G. A Record of Grazing Use (see Appendix V) will be kept to indicate permitted and/or actual use.

Evaluation: June 10, 1976
Range Readiness: Initially indicators and criteria are:

| Pinegrass | CARU | $4^{\prime \prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ foliage leaves |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sandberg bluegrass | POSE | Seed heads in dough stage |
| Bluebunch wheatgrau | AGSP | $8^{\prime \prime}$ foliage, seed stalks showing |
| Idaho fescue | FEID | $5^{\prime \prime}$ foliage leaves |
|  |  |  |
| Common Yarrow | ACMI | Flower stalks beginning to show |
| Arrowleaf balsaroot | BASA | leaf $3 / 4$ developed, beginning to flower |
|  |  |  |
| Serviceberry | AMAL | Part of blossoms out |
| Snowberry | SYAL | $7-8$ pairs (each bud) leaves unfolded |

Soils fairly dry and firm.
Key Areas:
Generally, key areas will be found on the open bunchgrass slopes which because of their species composition, are most preferred by cattle because of their palatibility and accessibility. Specifically, these areas are north of Nyra Spring and west of Packrat Spring, on Windy Ridge, below Willow Spring, and on the open slope below and between Maycumber and Upper Columbia Springs. Key areas are not now specifically located. These areas must be defined in the near future by Forest Sarvice officials and the permittee. Monitoring of these areas is a key part in determining the effectiveness of this management plan.

## Key Species:

Bluebunch wheatgrass and Idaho fescue are key species on the above named areas. Rough fescue will be given consideration where it occurs and is locally abundant. Generally, rough fescue has been all but eradicated by past overgrazing on what would be considered key areas.

Key species may vary with different key areas and time of season.

## Utilization:

Initially, utilization is to approximate $50 \%$ on the above named key species. When and if the data show that the key species can withstand a greater degree of use due to deferment and rest, a greater amount of use will be allowed.

Condicion and Trend:
Vegetative condition on most of the Allotment area is currently rated as fair. Vegetative trend is generally not apparent or is slightly downward. Windy Ridge, however, has shown an upward vegetative trend with vegetative condition improving from fair to good. This is probably due to the recent trend in light use and periodic rest. Over used areas do still exist on Windy Ridge, nevertheless.

The past nine years of rest rotation management on the Jungle Hill portion of the allotment has failed to show any appreciable improvement in vegetative condition, Windy Ridge being the exception. This may indicate an overstocked situation. Subsequent management and evaluation should identify the validity of this postulation.

Carrying Capacity: The indicated carrying capacity of 662 C.M. is considered a conservative figure. However, the planned use of 824 C.M. exceeds this figure by $20 \%$. The appropriateness of this figure should be monitored closely. No fuether cuts in permitted use are recommended at this time beyond the 15 -day reduction in length of season, pending results of further monitoring and evaluation. Mr. Crea, the permittee, has voluntarily entered into a 5 -year non-use agreement for 183 cattle for 15 days (October 16-October 31 yearly) to allow further study. If the carrying capacity of $915 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{U} . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{s}$ is substantiated, use should be restored to that figure. However, if some lower capacity is determined, this stocking rate should be established on the Jungle allotment. At this time other alternatives, if available, will be explored to satisfy the current term use.

AREA AND FOMAGE PRODUCTTON／CORBTY ON SUMMARY
Appendix I Jungle C\＆H AMOTMMME

Colville $\qquad$ NATGONAL TOREST $\qquad$ Republic $\qquad$ RANGER DTSTME

Complled $\qquad$ 2／6／76 $\qquad$ By W．Brad Reed

| 1上程 | NATLONAT，HOEEST LANDS |  | ATTENATED ODNERSHEP LANDS |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADOMM } \\ & \text { TOMA, } 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wod | Actes | $\%$ | Actes | \％ | Actes |
| 208s | 6380 | 100 | 120 | 100 | 6500 |
| matane (a) |  | － | 90 | 75 | 90 |
| Asmann ox <br>  | 2235 | 35 | 5 | 4 | 2240 |
| （1）Mat | 4145 | $65^{\text {：}}$ | 25 | 21. | 4170 |
| मelmak | 3400 | 82 | 25 | 100 | 3425 |
| $\begin{gathered} (\text { Trans (boy) } \\ \text { Pab/Bee) } \end{gathered}$ | － | － | － | － | － |
| SB6OMAIS | 745 | 18 | ！ | － | 745 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { आomat } \\ & \text { Tym } \end{aligned}$ |  | ACRES BX EORAGE PRODICTION／COMDITTON C．ASS音 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \％ | Good | Eate | Poot | Good | Fate | Poor | Good | Tats | Pos |
| P1 505 | 15 | － | 460 | 45 | － | － | － | － | 460 | 45 |
| P4 225 | 6 | － | 225 | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | － | 225 | － |
| P5 45a | 1 | 10 | 20 | 15 | － | － | － | 10 | 20 | 15 |
| P6 2600a | 76 | 65 | 1890 | 625 | － | 15 | 5 | 65 | 1905 | 630 |
| P10 50a | 2 | － | 45 | 5 | － | － | － | － | 45 | 5 |
| Subt． 3415 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S1 90a | 12 | － | 90 | － | － | － | － | － | 90 | － |
| S4 50a | 7 | － | 45 | 5 | － | － | － | － | 45 | 5 |
| S6 605a | 81 | 50 | 240 | 315 | － | － | $\sim$ | 50 | 240 | 315 |
| Subt．$\quad 745 \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SULTESE 4170 | 100 | 125 | 3015 | 1010 |  | 15 | 5 | 125 | 3030 | 1015 |
|  | \％ | 3 | 72 | 24 |  |  |  | 3 | 73 | 24 |

Table of Area and Forage Production/
Condition Class Acres and Potential Animal Unit Months (AUM's) By Units

| Vegetative Type <br> Units | National Forest Lands |  |  |  | Private Lands |  |  |  | Combined Lands |  |  | Gross <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Good | Fair | Poor | Total |  | Fair | Oor | al | Good | Fair | Poor |  |
| Unit One |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P1 Acres | - | 85 | 45 | 130 |  |  |  |  | - | 85 | 45 | 130 |
| P4 Acres | - | 40 | - | 40 |  |  |  |  | - | 40 | 45 | 40 |
| P6 Acres | 10 | 435 | 300 | 745 |  | 15 |  | 15 | 10 | 450 | 300 | 760 |
| Primary Acres | 10 | 560 | 345 | 930 |  | 15 |  |  | 10 | 560 | 345 | 930 |
| Potential AUMs | 2.5 | 93.5 | 43.0 | 139 |  | 2 |  |  | 2.5 | 95.5 | 43.0 | 141 |
| S6 Acres | 10 | - | 155 | 165 |  |  |  |  | 10 | 95. | 155 | 165 |
| Secondary Acres | 10 | - | 155 | 165 |  |  |  |  | 10 | - | 155 | 165 |
| Potential AUMs | 2.5 |  | 12.5 | 22 |  |  |  |  | 2.5 | - | 19.5 | 22 |
| Suitable Acres | 20 | 560 | 500 | 1095 |  | 15 |  |  | 20 | 560 | 500 | 1095 |
| Unsuitable Acres | - | 60 | - | 60 |  |  |  |  |  | 60 | , | 60 |
| Potential AUMs | 5 | 94 | 62 | 163 |  | 2 |  |  | 5 | 94 | 62 | 163 |
| Unit Two |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P1 Acres | - | 40 | - | 40 |  |  |  |  | - | 40 | - | 40 |
| P6 Acres | 35 | 585 | 110 | 730 | - | - | 5 | 5 | 35 | 585 | 115 | 740 |
| Primary Acres | 35 | 625 | 110 | 770 |  |  | 5 | 5 | 35 | 625 | 115 | 775 |
| Potential AUMs | 9 | 104 | 14 | 127 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 9 | 104 | 14 | 127 |
| S6 Acres | 40 | 85 | 105 | 230 |  |  |  |  | 40 | 85 | 105 | 230 |
| Secondary Acres | 40 | 85 | 105 | 230 |  |  |  |  | 40 | 85 | 105 | 230 |
| Potential AUMs | 10 | 14 | 13 | 37 |  |  |  |  | 10 | 14 | 13 | 37 |
| Suitable Acres | 75 | 710 | 215 | 1000 |  |  |  |  | 75 | 710 | 215 | 1000 |
| Unsuitable Acres |  |  |  | 655 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 655 | 655 |
| Potential AUMs | 19 | 118 | 27 | 164 |  |  |  |  | 19 | 118 | 27 | 164 |
| Unit Three |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P1 Acres | - | 110 | - | 110 |  |  |  |  | - | 110 | - | 110 |
| P4 Acres | - | 145 | - | 145 |  |  |  |  | - | 145 | - | 145 |
| P5 Acres | 5 | 15 | 10 | 30 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 15 | 10 | 30 |
| P6 Acres | 20 | 354 | 65 | 439 |  |  |  |  | 20 | 300 | 65 | 385 |
| P10 Acres | - | 45 | 5 | 50 |  |  |  |  | - | 45 | 5 | 50 |
| Primary Acres | 25 | 645 | 80 | 750 |  |  |  |  | 25 | 645 | 80 | 750 |
| Potential AUMs | 6 | 108 | 10 | 124 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 108 | 10 | 124 |
| Suitable Acres | 25 | 699 | 80 | 804 |  |  |  |  | 25 | 645 | 80 | 750 |
| Unsuitable Acres |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | 200 |
| Potential Aums | 6 | 117 | 10 | 133 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 108 | 10 | 124 |


| Vegetative Type | National Forest Lands |  |  |  | Private Lands |  |  |  | Combined Lands |  |  | Gross <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Units | Good | Fair | Poor | Total | Good | Fair | Poor | Total | Good | Fair | Poor |  |
| Unit Four |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P1 Acres | - | 125 | - | 125 |  |  |  |  | - | 125 | - | 125 |
| P6 Acres | - | 200 | 15 | 215 |  |  |  |  | - | 200 | 15 | 215 |
| Primary Acres | - | 325 | 15 | 340 |  |  |  |  | - | 325 | 15 | 340 |
| Potential AUMs | - | 54 | 2 | 56 |  |  |  |  | - | 54 | 2 | 56 |
| S1 Acres | - | 90 | - | 90 |  |  |  |  | - | 90 | - | 90 |
| 54 Acres | - | 45 | 5 | 50 |  |  |  |  | - | 45 | 5 | 50 |
| Secondary Acres | - | 135 | 5 | 140 |  |  |  |  | - | 135 | 5 | 140 |
| Potential AUMs | - | 23 | 1 | 24 |  |  |  |  | - | 23 | 1 | 24 |
| Suitable Acres | - | 460 | 20 | 480 |  |  |  |  | - | 460 | 20 | 480 |
| Unsuitable Acres |  |  |  | 585 |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 585 |
| Potential AUMs | - | 77 | 3 | 80 |  |  |  |  | - | 77 | 3 | 80 |
| Unit Five |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P1 Acres | - | 100 | - | 100 |  |  |  |  | - | 100 | - | 100 |
| P4 Acres | - | 40 | - | 40 |  |  |  |  | - | 40 | - | 40 |
| P6 Acres | - | 290 | 15 | 305 |  |  |  |  | - | 290 | 15 | 305 |
| Primary Acres | - | 430 | 15 | 445 |  |  |  |  | - | 430 | 15 | 445 |
| Potential AuMs | - | 72 | 2 | 74 |  |  |  |  | - | 72 | 2 | 74 |
| S6 Acres | - | 165 | 50 | 215 |  |  |  |  | - | 165 | 50 | 215 |
| Secondary Acres | - | 165 | 50 | 215 |  |  |  |  | - | 165 | 50 | 215 |
| Potential Aums | - | 41 | 6 | 47 |  |  |  |  | - | 41 | 6 | 47 |
| Suitable Acres | - | 595 | 65 | 560 |  |  |  |  | - | 595 | 65 | 560 |
| Unsuitable Acres | - | - | - | 810 |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 810 |
| Potential AUMS | - | 113 | 8 | 121 |  |  |  |  | - | 113 | 8 | 121 |

Totals

Gross Acres 6500
Suitable Acres 3944
Unsuitable Acres 2600
Potential AUM:s 661

Ranger District
Allotment

| Year | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Unit } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { Key } \\ \text { Area } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Planned/Permitted Use |  |  |  | Actual Use |  |  |  | Proper Use |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dates } \\ \text { From - To } \end{gathered}$ | AUM | \% Use | Number | Dates <br> From - To | AUM | \% Use | AUM | \% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

RULES FOR EAR TAGS REQUIRED FOR CATTLE GRAZING UNDER

## PERMLT ON NATIONAL FOREST CONTROLLED LANDS

1. All permitted cattle, 6 months of age and older, when entering on National Forest controlled lands must bear a Forest Service approved ear tag bearing a sequential number or letter or number/letter character combination identification. Offspring of permitted cattle, under 6 months of age, when entering National Forest controlled lands are not requixed to bear an ear tag.
2. Permittees will furnish the required ear tags (condition of grazing permit, Part 2, Section e) beginning with the 1974 grazing season.
3. Permittees will furnish in writing the identification number of permitted animals put on National Forest controlled lands to the Forest Officer in charge within 10 days of their entry on said controlled lands each grazing permit period.
4. Identification numbers and/or letter characters must be limited to a maximum of four characters, nominally a minimum of one inch in height displayed horizontally on the lower front of the ear tag. Line width of characters shall be a minimum of $1 / 8$ inch in a contrasting colo: to the ear tag color. The required tag must: have a display face of a minimum of $2-3 / 4$ inches wide by 2 inches high.

The permittees recorded brand may also be displayed on the face of the ear tag above the identification number.

The reverse side (back) of the ear tag may be used for any other identification or data the permittee may wish; name and address, ete.
5. Each permittee must obtain an approved ear tag color from the Forest Service. Colors will be assigned on the basis of the permittees allotment and adjacent permittees, allotments, other adjacent cattle operations and current use of acceptable ear tags.

