

Regulatory Certifications for the Final Permanent Prairie Dog Hunting Order on the Thunder Basin National Grassland

[Date]

<u>Regulatory Planning and Review (Executive Orders 12866 and 13563)</u>. Consistent with Executive Order (EO) 12866, the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA) in the Office of Management and Budget will determine whether proposed and final permanent recreational shooting orders are significant as defined by EO 12866 and will review any significant proposed or final permanent recreational shooting orders. OIRA has determined that this final permanent recreational shooting order is not significant as defined by EO 12866. EO 13563 reaffirms the principles of EO 12866 while calling for improvements in the nation's regulatory system to promote predictability, to reduce uncertainty, and to use the best, most innovative, and least burdensome tools for achieving regulatory ends. The Forest Service has developed the final permanent recreational shooting order consistent with EO 13563.

<u>Congressional Review Act</u>. Pursuant to Subtitle E of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (known as the Congressional Review Act) (5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq*.), OIRA has designated this final permanent recreational shooting order as not a major rule as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

<u>National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)</u>. The Forest Service has concluded that this final permanent recreational shooting order will not cause effects on the natural and physical environment and the relationship of people with that environment and therefore documentation in a categorical exclusion (CE), environmental assessment (EA) or environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required under NEPA and Agency regulations at 36 CFR 220.4(a)(3).

<u>Regulatory Flexibility Act Analysis</u>. The Forest Service has considered this final permanent recreational shooting order under the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 602 *et seq.*). This final permanent order will not have any direct effect on small entities as defined by the Regulatory Flexibility Act. The final permanent order will not impose recordkeeping requirements on small entities; will not affect their competitive position in relation to large entities; and will not affect their cash flow, liquidity, or ability to remain in the market. Therefore, the Forest Service has determined this final permanent recreational shooting order will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities pursuant to the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

<u>Federalism</u>. The Forest Service has considered the final permanent recreational shooting order under the requirements of Executive Order (EO) 13132, *Federalism*. The Forest Service has determined the final permanent order conforms with the federalism principles set out in this EO; will not impose any compliance costs on the states; and will not have substantial direct effects on the states, on the relationship between the federal government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, the Forest Service has concluded the final permanent order does not have federalism implications.

<u>Consultation with Tribal Governments</u>. The Forest Service has determined national tribal consultation is not necessary for the final permanent recreational shooting order.

<u>Environmental Justice</u>. The Forest Service has considered the final permanent recreational shooting order under the requirements of EO 12898, *Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations*. The Forest Service has determined that the final permanent order is not expected to result in disproportionately high and adverse impacts on minority or low-income populations or the exclusion of minority and low-income populations from meaningful involvement in decision-making..

<u>No Takings Implications</u>. The Forest Service has analyzed the final permanent recreational shooting order in accordance with the principles and criteria in EO 12630, *Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights*. The Forest Service has determined the final permanent order will not pose the risk of a taking of private property.

<u>Energy Effects</u>. The Forest Service has reviewed the final permanent recreational shooting order under EO 13211, *Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use.* The Forest Service has determined the final permanent order will not constitute a significant energy action as defined in EO 13211.

<u>Civil Justice Reform</u>. The Forest Service has analyzed the final permanent recreational shooting order in accordance with the principles and criteria in EO 12988, *Civil Justice Reform*. Upon issuance of the final permanent order, (1) all state and local laws and regulations that conflict with the final permanent order or that impede its full implementation will be preempted; (2) no retroactive effect will be given to this final permanent order; and (3) it will not require administrative proceedings before parties may file suit in court challenging its provisions.

<u>Unfunded Mandates</u>. Pursuant to Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531-1538), signed into law on March 22, 1995, the Forest Service has assessed the effects of the final permanent recreational shooting order on state, local, and tribal governments and the private sector. The final permanent order will not compel the expenditure of \$100 million

or more by any state, local, or tribal government or anyone in the private sector. Therefore, a statement under section 202 of the Act is not required.

<u>Controlling Paperwork Burdens on the Public</u>. The final permanent recreational shooting order does not contain information collection requirements as defined in 5 CFR Part 1320 that are not already required by law or not already approved for use. Accordingly, the review provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations at 5 CFR Part 1320 do not apply.

In accordance with Federal civil rights law and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) civil rights regulations and policies, the USDA, its Agencies, offices, and employees, and institutions participating in or administering USDA programs are prohibited from discriminating based on race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity (including gender expression), sexual orientation, disability, age, marital status, family/parental status, income derived from a public assistance program, political beliefs, or reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity, in any program or activity conducted or funded by USDA (not all bases apply to all programs). Remedies and complaint filing deadlines vary by program or incident.

Persons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication for program information (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, American Sign Language, etc.) should contact the responsible Agency or USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TTY) or contact USDA through the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339. Additionally, program information may be made available in languages other than English.

To file a program discrimination complaint, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, AD-3027, found online at <u>How to File a Program Discrimination Complaint</u> and at any USDA office or write a letter addressed to USDA and provide in the letter all of the information requested in the form. To request a copy of the complaint form, call (866) 632-9992. Submit your completed form or letter to USDA by: (1) mail: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-9410; (2) fax: (202) 690-7442; or (3) email: <u>program.intake@usda.gov</u>.