

FNF Resource Advisory Committee (RAC)

Meeting Notes

Thursday, October 21, 2021

4:00 - 7:00 p.m.

Teams Meeting Participants

1. Tami MacKenzie
2. Heather Seals
3. Jim Marsh
4. Lincoln Chute
5. Mark Schlitz regular
6. Randy Brodehl
7. Randy Kenyon
8. Sarah Lundstrum
9. Mike West
10. Lisa Paullin
11. Debo Powers
12. Mark Vance
13. Paul McKenzie

Agenda

4:00 Welcome-Introductions

4:10 Roll Call

All present from each Category

Sarah Lundstrum: how do folks feel about having the ability to spend more than 50% in RW?

Mark Vance: already spent more in RW

Lisa: no problem if that's where it seems like everyone is leaning

Randy Kenyon: no problem

Lincoln: go for best projects and let it fall where it falls

Randy Brodehl: agree with Lincoln

Debo: rather do 50/50

Mark Schlitz: like to do 50/50 again

Jim Marsh: has more than 50 in his column for RW

Mark Schlitz motion to designate a 50 50 split with half going to RW and other to O

Debo second that motion

Randy K – Nay

Lisa Paullin – Nay

Jim marsh – nay

Mark Schlitz – yay

Lincoln – nay

Paul – yay
Mark vance – nay
Debo – yay
Randy brodehl nay
Sarah Lundstrum – yay
Motion fails 6 to 4

4:15 Voting Results

RW Projects

1st Vote:

Motion to designate a 50/50 split for money going to RW and O
Motion failed (6 support, 4 opposed)

2nd Vote:

Motion to fund RW-02 at \$28,285.64
Motion passed (All in favor)

3rd Vote:

Motion to fully fund RW-03 at \$20,000
Motion passed (All in favor)

4th Vote:

Motion to fund RW-04 at \$25,000
Motion passed (All in favor)

5th Vote:

Motion to fund RW-07 at \$8,000
Motion passed (All in favor)

6th Vote:

Motion to fully fund RW-01 at \$3,450 and Rw-06 at \$23,227
Motion failed (did not have quorum)

Discussions on:

- Come back after approving quorum votes and see if we want to adjust based on leftover funds.
- Wild Cramer prescribed fire getting mixed discussion. Could not get questions answered from SL staff.
- To fund AIS would need to fully fund dust abatement, would everyone be willing to go over the 50%?
- Fully funded RW-01 and RW-06 if O-11 is funded at \$4,000, and use remainder on O projects (\$36,094).

O-Projects

1st Vote:

Motion to fully fund O-1 at \$12,000
Motion passed (All in favor)

2nd Vote:

Motion to fund O-4 at \$8,000
Motion passed (All in favor)

3rd Vote:

Motion to fund O-5 at \$15,000
Motion passed (All in favor)

4th Vote:

Motion to fund O-9 at \$8,000
Motion passed (All in favor)

5th Vote:

Motion to fund O-2 at \$3,000
Motion passed (All in favor)

6th Vote: Motion to fund O-11 at \$4,000 and let them know we would like them to branch out and find other sources of funding.

Motion passed (all in favor)

7th Vote:

Motion to fund O6 at \$15,000, O-12 at \$10,547, and O-13 at \$10,547
Motion passed (all in favor)

*Comments regarding preference to not fund funding mostly salary proposals.

6:19 Public Comments/Questions

None

6:20 Monitoring

Heather will send monitoring form out to everyone again and folks can sign up for projects to monitor.

*Did we get reports and photos back from last time? Don't think most the projects have been done yet, Heather will send out an email and ask status. State this with their approval emails.

Tami will follow up later on and remind them.

Critique

Jim Marsh: I thought it went okay, wouldn't feel bad about it

Randy K, same

Lisa, think things went very well, consistent problem is we don't have enough money

Lincoln surprised that people aren't better prepared, lots of questions that weren't answered

Sarah: the potential for bringing back a little bit of the presentations people used to give.

Randy Broedehehl: we lacked in the ability for people to answer questions, people seemed unprepared and disengaged. Agree that giving opportunity for presentations may be valuable. The process went well

Debo: miss the presentations, could just give them 3 minutes. Also think we should have anybody who is repeating have those monitoring reports in hand.

Mark other: in person will help with this too.

Mark Schlitz: okay other than it is a zoom. Went away from presentations because of redundancy and selling. I think next year depending on number of projects we can judge whether or not we can accommodate it, would like some. Might be opportunity to submit questions ahead of time.

Lisa: I have reason to believe I will no longer be qualified after this year, so keep in mind we are looking for a replacement possibly.

We also do have three open spots. Heather please share roster with committee so we can see who is open. Heather will also let everyone know where they are at on their terms.

Lisa: thanks to everyone involved!

6:33 Adjourn